Molecular Subsets of Mantle Cell Lymphoma Defined by the IGHV Mutational Status and SOX11 Expression Have Distinct Biologic and Clinical Features
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Abstract

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a heterogeneous disease with most patients following an aggressive clinical course, whereas others having an indolent behavior. We conducted an integrative and multidisciplinary analysis of 177 MCL to determine whether the immunogenetic features of the clonotypic B-cell receptors (BcR) may identify different subsets of tumors. Truly unmutated (100% identity) IGHV genes were found in 24% cases, 40% were minimally/borderline mutated (99.9%–97%), 19% significantly mutated (96.9%–95%), and 17% hypermutated (<95%). Tumors with high or low mutational load used different IGHV genes, and their gene expression profiles were also different for several gene pathways. A gene set enrichment analysis showed that MCL with high and low IGHV mutations were enriched in memory and naive B-cell signatures, respectively. Furthermore, the highly mutated tumors had less genomic complexity, were preferentially SOX11-negative, and showed more frequent nonnodal disease. The best cut-off of germline identity of IGHV genes to predict survival was 97%. Patients with high and low mutational load had significant different outcome with 5-year overall survival (OS) of 59% and 40%, respectively (P = 0.004). Nodal presentation and SOX11 expression also predicted for poor OS. In a multivariate analysis, IGHV gene status and SOX11 expression were independent risk factors. In conclusion, these observations suggest the idea that MCL with mutated IGHV, SOX11-negativity, and nonnodal presentation correspond to a subtype of the disease with more indolent behavior. Cancer Res; 72(20); 5307–16. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a mature B-cell neoplasm clinically characterized by a generalized lymphadenopathy, disseminated disease at diagnosis, and a poor clinical evolution (1). The translocation t(11;14)(q13;q32) deregulating cyclin D1 expression is considered the primary oncogenic event (2, 3). In addition, MCL usually carries a high number of secondary chromosomal alterations targeting different oncogenic pathways that contribute to the progression of the disease (4–8).

The immunogenetic analysis of the clonogenic B-cell receptors (BcR) in B-cell neoplasms has made significant contributions toward understanding their ontogenetic derivation, obtaining evidence for the possible involvement of antigen selection in their pathogenesis, and identifying biologic subtypes with clinical implications (9–12). These studies have also
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proven to be of clinical relevance as exemplified by chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), in which molecular analysis of the immunoglobulin (IG) genes expressed by the clonogenic BcRs identifies subsets of tumors with different biologic features, clinical presentation, and outcome, indicating that the functional antigen reactivity of the clonogenic BcRs is critically implicated in shaping the biologic behavior of the malignant clones (9, 10, 13–16).

MCL shares certain phenotypic and biologic analogies with CLL (1). Several studies have shown that 15% to 40% of MCL have a somatically hypermutated BcR and a strong restriction in IGHV gene usage (17, 18). Similar to CLL (19), closely homologous (stereotyped) variable heavy chain region (VH) CDR3s have been recognized in MCL, albeit with molecular features clearly distinct from those described in CLL (17, 19). Altogether, these immunogenetic findings strongly argue for antigen-driven selection in the clonogenic expansion of tumor cells in MCL (17). However, contrary to CLL, the clinical implications of immunogenetic analysis in MCL remain controversial (18, 20–26). Most studies have found no relationship between the mutational status of the clonogenic IGHV genes and the evolution of the disease (23, 27). Although, a tendency of longer survival has been reported for patients with a high number of somatic mutations or carrying specific IG genes (18, 21, 22, 28). Moreover, a subset of patients with a very indolent clinical course and SOX11-negative expression seem to express Igs with a high load of somatic hypermutation (SHM; ref. 20). A potential confounding issue in most relevant studies has been the application of a 2% identity cut-off value for assigning cases to the mutated or unmutated subgroup. This cut-off has been used widely for prognostic stratification in CLL but may not be appropriate outside this context. In particular, it may mask the biologic (and, perhaps, clinical) heterogeneity of MCL as also indicated by the recent finding that subsets of MCL cases with different mutational load display marked immunogenetic differences, even when comparing cases with limited mutations (98%–99.9% identity) to those with no mutations at all (100% identity; ref. 17). In the present study, we conducted an integrative and multidisciplinary analysis of a large series of MCL to determine whether the specific molecular features of the clonotypic BcR may identify subsets of tumors with biologic differences potentially underlying a different clinical behavior.

Materials and Methods

Study population

One hundred and seventy-seven patients with MCL were selected on the basis of the availability of tumor samples. All cases had the t(11;14)(q13;q32) and/or cyclin D1 overexpression. Samples were obtained from peripheral blood (n=99), lymph nodes (n=41), spleen (n=15), bone marrow (n=7), and other tissues (n=15). The IGHV gene mutational status of 41 out of 177 cases was reported previously (17). Clinical information is summarized in Table 1. The patients were managed heterogeneously: 13 patients did not receive chemotherapy and 15 patients received first-line intensive treatment with high-dose araC and/or autologous stem-cell transplant. Ninety patients were treated with different regimens of conventional chemotherapy. Rituximab was administered to 43 patients at some stage during the course of their disease. Tissue sections for evaluation of the histologic variants and additional pathologic features were available in 95 cases (Table 1). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Amplification of IG—IGHD—IGHJ rearrangements, sequence analysis, and interpretation

PCR amplification was conducted using either complementary or genomic DNA extracted from cryopreserved blood cells, frozen tissues, and formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissues (17 cases). RNA and DNA were extracted by using the TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Inc.), QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (for tissue samples), and FFPE RNeasy Mini Kit (for FFPE tissues; QIAGEN).

IGHV–IGHD–IGHJ rearrangements were amplified and analyzed as reported previously (10, 29). The sequences were analyzed using IMGT databases and tools (30, 31, 32). Only productive rearrangements were evaluated. Output data from IMGT/V-QUEST were used to obtain: IGHV gene usage, percentage of identity to germ line, length and composition of the VH CDR3.

Gene expression profiling

We studied the gene expression profiling (GEP) of 38 cases using highly purified leukemic cells (>95% by flow cytometry) from patients with untreated MCL. RNA was hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Arrays (Affymetrix), as described previously (20). The analysis of the scanned images and the determination of the signal value were obtained with GeneChip Command Console Software (Affymetrix). Raw data were imported to R Package. The data were normalized using the Robust Multi-chip Analysis algorithm of the BioConductor affy Package and the 25% of genes with lower interquartile range were excluded. Differential gene expression between the subgroups of cases was conducted using moderated t statistics with empirical Bayes shrinkage of the standard errors, implemented in the BioConductor limma Package (33). The false discovery rate (FDR) method of Benjamini and Hochberg was used to adjust the P value for each gene based on a significance level of 0.05. Functional enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes was conducted using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) and Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA) applications. The primary data of the microarrays are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) of the National Center for Biotechnology Information, accession number GSE36000.

Twenty differentially expressed genes were selected and validated by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using the Fluidigm BioMark Real Time System (Fluidigm). Total RNA was retrotranscribed to cDNA using the high capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems) and preamplified with 14 cycles according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.
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gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) desktop application

Gene set enrichment analysis

We conducted an enrichment pathway analysis using the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) desktop application (GSEA, Broad Institute at MIT, Cambridge, MA). We used a preranked gene list based on the limma's statistic obtained in the differential expression analysis using the curated collection of canonical pathways (3,276 gene sets). We added 4 additional gene sets generated in-house to capture gene expression signatures associated with different B-cell origin, using data available at the GEO (accession number GDS3516; Supplementary Table S1). The B-cell origin signatures were defined by those genes that were more significantly expressed, exhibiting at least 20% higher levels as compared with the second highest expressing group (for naive and memory B-cell signatures) and 50% (for germinat center cell and plasma cell signatures) to obtain approximately 100 to 200 genes in each signature.

Analysis of SOX11 expression

SOX11 expression was evaluated in 161/177 (90%) cases and categorized as positive or negative according to previous defined criteria (20, 29, 34). One hundred forty-three cases were analyzed by qPCR using the cut-off of 9 relative units (29), 64 cases were analyzed by immunohistochemistry (34) and 50 cases by GEP using as cut-off a signal value of 120 for the probe set 204913_s_at following a MAS5 normalization with a target intensity of 150. Overall, 51% of the cases were evaluated with at least 2 different techniques, with fully concordant results (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Molecular analysis

TP53 mutational analysis was conducted as previously described (29) and the genomic profile of 101 cases was investigated using the Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 in 73 cases and the 100K SNP-array in 28 cases, as previously described (Affymetrix; ref. 35, 36).

Statistical analysis

The independence between categorical clinical parameters and the MCL subgroups was evaluated using Fisher’s exact test and continuous variables were compared by Mann–Whitney or Kruskall–Wallis tests. To find the best IGHSV gene identity% cut-off related to survival, we used a maximally selected log-rank statistic (maxstat package). Overall survival (OS) was measured from date of diagnosis to date of death or last follow-up. Survival was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. The association between different variables and outcome was estimated using univariate Cox regression analysis,

<p>| Table 1. Clinicobiologic characteristics of patients with MCL according to the mutational status of IGHSV |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N of cases (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>HM(&gt;95%)</th>
<th>SM(95%–96.9%)</th>
<th>MBM(97%–99.9%)</th>
<th>TU(100%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic and molecular data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical/small cell (%)</td>
<td>71/95 (75)</td>
<td>6/6 (100)</td>
<td>8/13 (66)</td>
<td>29/44 (66)</td>
<td>28/32 (87)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blastoid/pleomorphic (%)</td>
<td>24/95 (25)</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>5/13 (38)</td>
<td>15/44 (34)</td>
<td>4/32 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 (&gt;35%)</td>
<td>24/75 (32)</td>
<td>1/8 (12)</td>
<td>4/10 (40)</td>
<td>14/34 (41)</td>
<td>5/23 (22)</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD5-positive</td>
<td>72/83 (87)</td>
<td>9/16 (56)</td>
<td>11/13 (85)</td>
<td>32/34 (94)</td>
<td>20/20 (100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX11-positive</td>
<td>111/161 (69)</td>
<td>5/26 (19)</td>
<td>11/26 (42)</td>
<td>57/67 (85)</td>
<td>38/42 (90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNA, mean number (range)</td>
<td>12.4 (0–50)</td>
<td>2.8 (0–26)</td>
<td>6.3 (0–33)</td>
<td>9.5 (0–50)</td>
<td>8.6 (0–34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High genomic complexity (&gt;4 CNA)</td>
<td>62/101 (61)</td>
<td>4/18 (22)</td>
<td>6/16 (37)</td>
<td>30/39 (77)</td>
<td>22/28 (79)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53 mutated</td>
<td>21/85 (25)</td>
<td>3/12 (25)</td>
<td>3/13 (23)</td>
<td>12/35 (37)</td>
<td>2/25 (8)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17p alteration/TP53 mutated</td>
<td>33/109 (30)</td>
<td>7/20 (35)</td>
<td>4/17 (23)</td>
<td>15/43 (35)</td>
<td>7/29 (24)</td>
<td>0.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>66 (29–90)</td>
<td>68 (45–88)</td>
<td>70 (44–85)</td>
<td>66 (29–89)</td>
<td>63 (33–90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio male/female</td>
<td>112/39</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>17/8</td>
<td>51/13</td>
<td>33/7</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node (&gt;1 cm; %)</td>
<td>84/122 (69)</td>
<td>5/19 (26)</td>
<td>9/18 (50)</td>
<td>42/50 (84)</td>
<td>28/35 (80)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpable splenomegaly (%)</td>
<td>67/110 (60)</td>
<td>7/16 (44)</td>
<td>7/16 (44)</td>
<td>30/47 (63)</td>
<td>23/31 (74)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High serum LDH (&gt;450; %)</td>
<td>30/77 (39)</td>
<td>2/14 (14)</td>
<td>3/11 (27)</td>
<td>14/33 (42)</td>
<td>11/18 (61)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV (%)</td>
<td>70/79 (89)</td>
<td>7/8 (88)</td>
<td>7/10 (70)</td>
<td>32/35 (91)</td>
<td>24/26 (92)</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytosis (median, L/mm³)</td>
<td>8,800</td>
<td>10,812</td>
<td>11,368</td>
<td>18,374</td>
<td>38,633</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median follow-up, years (range)</td>
<td>3.25 (0.23–21)</td>
<td>4.08 (0.33–8)</td>
<td>3.50 (0.50–21)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.23–12)</td>
<td>2.65 (0.68–6.58)</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy at any time (%)</td>
<td>105/118 (89)</td>
<td>13/19 (68)</td>
<td>13/18 (72)</td>
<td>47/49 (96)</td>
<td>32/32 (100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to treatment (mo)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response rate (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year OS (%)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GUSB and B2M genes were used as endogenous controls and Universal Human Reference RNA (Stratagene) as the calibra-
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whereas the independence of IGHV gene mutational status was estimated by multivariate Cox regression analysis. Covariates included in the multivariate were age, nodal presentation, IGHV gene mutational status, and SOX11 expression. The variables selected for the multivariate analysis were the ones statistically significant in the univariate analysis and that had information available in more than 100 patients. The covariates used in the model did not show colinearity and did not violate the proportional hazard assumption (by assessing the plots of smoothed martingale residuals). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted with SPSS software v.18.0 and R Package.

Results

IGH gene repertoires and SHM

A total of 177 productive IGHV–IGHD–IGHJ rearrangements from 177 patients were analyzed. On the basis of the approach introduced by Hadzidimitriou and colleagues (17), we subdivided the cohort in 3 subsets: (i) truly unmutated (TU; 100% identity), (ii) minimally/borderline mutated (MBM; 99.9%–97% identity), and (iii) significantly mutated (SM; 96.9%–95% identity). We included an additional subset defined as hypermutated (HM; <95% identity). Overall, 24% of the cases were classified as TU, 40% as MBM, 19% as SM, and 17% as HM IGHV (Table 1).

As expected, IGHV3 and IGHV4 were predominant (39% and 28% of cases, respectively). The IGH repertoire is skewed, as 53% of the gene usage was represented by only 6 genes, IGHV1-8 (12%), IGHV4-34 (11%), IGHV3-23 (9%), IGHV3-21 (7%), IGHV5-31 (7%), and IGHV4-59 (6%; Supplementary Table S2), this bias in the repertoire is similar to the previously reported in a large cohort of MCL (17).

Figure 1. Distribution of rearrangements of the 6 more frequent IGHV genes in subgroups of MCL with different mutational status.

We identified 24 IGHD genes among which the IGHD2-2 (13%), IGHD3-3 (10%), IGHD2-15 (8%), and IGHD1-26 (7%) predominated (Supplementary Table S2). Interestingly, IGHD1-26 was more frequently associated with the TU group (16%) and IGHD2-2 was mainly found in HM and SM (17% and 24%, respectively). Most of the cases used IGHJ4 (39%) and IGHJ6 (28%) with no differences among the 4 IGHV mutational subsets (Supplementary Table S2). The median VH CDR3 length was 16 amino acids (aa; range 7–30). IGHV5-51 and IGHV3-23 displaying shorter VH CDR3 compared with IGHV3-34 and IGHV3-21 rearrangements (11 and 13 aa vs. 18 and 19 aa, respectively). Differential patterns of IGHV–IGHD and IGHV–IGHJ associations were identified that were concordant with our previous findings (17).

Recurrent aa changes introduced by SHM in conserved positions of the VH domain are considered as suggestive of antigen selection (9, 12, 17, 19). We found such changes mainly in IGHV4-59, IGHV3-23, and IGHV1-8 rearrangements (Supplementary Fig. S2). As an example, codon 92 (FR3) of the IGHV4-59 rearrangements was mutated in 55% of the cases, with the substitution S-to-T in this codon being detected in 36% of cases (Supplementary Fig. S2).

MCL subsets exhibit distinct pathologic and molecular features

We explored potential associations between IGHV gene mutational status and several features of the MCL tumors. HM-MCL was conspicuous for the complete absence of blastic/pleomorphic variants, whereas their proportion was similar in the other subgroups (P = 0.045; Table 1). Only half of the HM-MCL cases expressed CD5, whereas almost all tumors of the other mutational subsets were positive (P < 0.001; Table 1).

SOX11 expression was positive in 69% of evaluated (Table 1). SOX11-positive tumors had significantly lower IGHV gene mutations than SOX11-negative tumors (mean% identity: 98.7% and 95.1%, respectively; P < 0.001; Fig. 2A). Notably, SOX11 expression was predominantly found in tumors with no or low IGHV mutations (TU 86%; MBM 80%), whereas only a small number of MCL with high number of IGHV mutations expressed SOX11 (HM 17%; SM 36%; P < 0.001; Fig. 2B; Table 1).

The profile of gains and losses of 101 cases analyzed by SNP-arrays was concordant with the pattern previously defined (5). The main losses were located at 11q (35%), 8p (33%), 13q (30%), 17p (26%), and 9p (21%), whereas regions with gains were 3q
The number of copy number alterations (CNA) was inversely related to the level of SHM and varied from 2.8 (range 0–26) in HM tumors to 8.6 (range 0–34) in TU MCL (P < 0.001; Table 1). The number of cases with high genomic complexity (>4 alterations) was significantly lower in HM and SM (22% and 37%) than in MBM and TU tumors (77% and 79%; P < 0.001; Fig. 3, Table 1). Interestingly, no deletions of the ATM and CDKN2A were observed in HM MCL as compared with the remaining 3 groups, whereas TP53 gene mutations and 17p alterations/TP53 mutations were similarly distributed among the 4 subsets of MCL (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

**GEP reveals distinct signatures and putative cell of origin in MCL subsets with different IGHV gene mutational status**

To identify biologic features that could distinguish the different subsets of MCL according to SHM status, we conducted a genome-wide GEP of 38 purified peripheral blood untreated tumor samples. We grouped the HM and SM cases as mutated MCL (M-MCL) and the MBM and TU as unmutated MCL (U-MCL), and found 518 genes differentially expressed: 395 genes were upregulated in U-MCL and 123 in M-MCL (Supplementary Table S3). The signature of 13 genes, including SOX11, which we found previously underexpressed in indolent MCL (20), was also downregulated in M-MCL in this independent series. The previously described GEP proliferation signature (37) and survival predictor signature (38) were not significantly different between the 2 subgroups, although there was a trend to lower proliferation (mean ± SD; 0.14 ± 0.25 vs. 0.51 ± 1.04) and better prognosis score (−0.09 ± 0.41 vs. 0.32 ± 1.03) in M-MCL compared with U-MCL, respectively.

To gain insights into the biologic meaning of the differential expression profile between M-MCL and U-MCL, we conducted a functional enrichment analysis using the DAVID application. The most significant biologic processes enriched among the upregulated genes in M-MCL were related to translational elongation, ribosome biogenesis, regulation of B-cell function, and T-cell mediated immunity.

### Figure 2. IGHV mutational load according to SOX11 expression

A, box plot of the percentage of IGHV identity in MCL cases negative and positive for SOX11 expression. B, distribution of the cases according to 4 subgroups of IGHV gene mutational status and SOX11 expression.

### Figure 3. Characterization of MCL cases according to their distinct genetic and molecular features

The 101 cases in which SNP-array analyses were conducted are represented. Top, the number of genomic alterations (bar plots) and the percentage of identity of IGHV genes (from left to right in decreasing order).
activation, and leukocyte/lymphocyte activation. On the other hand, the regulation of transcription, apoptosis/cell death, and response to extracellular stimulus were the functional terms enriched among the genes significantly upregulated in U-MCL group (Fig. 4A). Very similar results were also obtained using IPA (data not shown).

To validate the GEP results, we conducted qPCR of 20 selected genes in 38 cases, 21 M-MCL, 17 U-MCL, 6 tumors studied by GEP, and 32 independent cases. The results were concordant in 18 of 20 (90%; Supplementary Table S4).

To investigate whether the different GEP of the U-MCL and M-MCL could be related to a particular subtype of normal B-cell counterpart, we conducted a GSEA using 4 specific gene sets related to different normal B-cell subtypes, this analysis indicated that the SOX11-positive U-MCL expressed a signature enriched in genes related to naive B cells (FDR: 0.001 and NES: 1.7), whereas SOX11-negative M-MCL had a signature related to memory B-cells (FDR: 0.086 and NES: 1.28; Fig. 4B).

**IGHV** gene mutational status defines MCL subsets with distinct clinical presentation and outcome

The male/female ratio was significantly different in the 4 MCL subsets, varying from 1 in HM MCL to 4.7 in TU tumors ($P = 0.027$; Table 1). The major clinical difference concerned nodal presentation, which was less common in HM (26%) and SM (50%) than in MBM (84%) and TU (80%) MCL ($P < 0.001$).

Blood lymphocytosis was higher in MBM- and TU-MCL, but the differences were not statistically significant (Table 1).

The 4 MCL subsets did not differ about the use of different treatments, including either high dose AraC and/or autologous stem-cell transplantation or rituximab at any time during the course of the disease. Overall, 68% and 72% of HM and SM MCL were treated during the clinical course as compared with 96% and 100% of MBM and TU, respectively ($P<0.001$). Patients with M-MCL had a significantly better OS than patients with U-MCL ($P = 0.023$; Table 1 and Fig. 5A). The **IGHV** gene identity cut-offs that best discriminates the patient’s outcome were 96.6% and 97% (Supplementary Fig. S3). For simplicity, we selected the 97% cut-off and found that M-MCL had a significantly better outcome than U-MCL with 5-year OS rates of 59% and 40%, respectively ($P = 0.004$; Fig. 5B).

In addition to SHM, variables predicting for poor OS on univariate analysis were advanced age, high serum LDH, nodal presentation, blastoid morphology, high Ki67 expression, positive SOX11 expression, and 17p/TP53 alteration (Table 2). Because both, high **IGHV** gene mutational load and SOX11-negativity have been associated with a more indolent evolution of MCL, we examined which of the 2 was the most important variable for OS (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, patients with M-MCL negative for SOX11 ($n = 23$, 5-year OS, 73%) had a significantly better prognosis than the other subgroups of patients ($P = 0.005$). In addition, 17p/TP53 alterations in this subgroup of
Finally, we conducted a multivariate analysis with age, nodal presentation, \(I G H V\) gene mutational status, and \(S O X 11\) expression. In the final model with 112 cases, age [relative risk (RR): 1.03; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.01–1.06; \(P = 0.001\)], \(I G H V\) gene mutational status (RR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.08–3.74; \(P = 0.029\)), and \(S O X 11\) expression (RR: 2.44; 95% CI: 1.22–4.9; \(P = 0.012\)) were identified as independent risk factors for OS, whereas the nodal presentation no longer retained any prognostic value.

Discussion

The immunogenetic analysis of the BcR in B-cell neoplasms has helped to identify biologic subtypes with clinical implications (9–12, 39–42). A recent study of the \(I G H V\) genes in a large series of MCL provided strong molecular evidence for antigen-driven selection in the pathogenesis of at least a subset of cases and also led to the identification of distinct molecular subsets of MCL defined by the repertoire and mutational status of the clonogenic \(I G s\) (17). In the present study, we report that these molecular subsets exhibit distinct genetic, molecular, and clinical characteristics suggesting that they may correspond to different subtypes of the disease.

The clinical relevance of the \(I G H V\) gene mutational status in MCL has been controversial. Almost all previous studies have discriminated subsets of MCL following the 98% \(I G H V\) gene germline identity cut-off established for CLL (10, 41). However, as highlighted by Hadzidimitriou and colleagues (17), this approach may have overlooked the particular biologic characteristics of MCL. Following the \(I G H V\) mutational subset definition of this study, we stratified our MCL series in TU, MBM, and SM subsets, an included an additional group of hypermutated MCL (17).

Our series was enriched in tumors with SM and HM \(I G H V\) genes, similar to the study of Orchard and colleagues (18) who reported 29% of cases in their cohort exhibiting <97% identity. Interestingly, this immunogenetic bias in these 2 cohorts likely reflects the higher frequency of the nonnodal clinical presentation (present study: 31%; Orchard and colleagues: 46%) compared with most other MCL studies (17). These differences are probably due to the characteristics of the collaborative centers in our study that include reference laboratories for leukemic patients in addition to surgical pathology groups. In contrast, most previous studies of the BcR in MCL have been conducted in patients from clinical trials that required histologic confirmation of the tumor (22) or in tumor samples recruited from surgical pathology departments (21, 23–25, 43) and, therefore, may have underestimated the subset of patients presenting with leukemic nonnodal disease.

The major clinical and biologic differences among patients were observed between the HM and TU-MCL, whereas SM and MBM tumors had intermediate features with a tendency to resemble the HM and TU tumors, respectively (Table 1). The
most significant differences among the mutational subsets concerned IGHV gene usage, CD5 expression, genomic complexity, gene expression profiles, including SOX11 expression, gender distribution, and nodal presentation. All these findings support the concept that the mutational status of the IGHV genes identifies biologically and clinically distinct subsets of MCL.

The relationship between IGHV gene mutational status and the clinical course of MCL has been addressed in different studies, but the results have been inconclusive with only some tendencies to favorable outcome in tumors with high mutational load. In our study, we found that 97% identity was the best cut-off for predicting survival. This cut-off enabled the delineation of HM/SM versus MBM/TU MCL and defined a significant difference in the OS of these 2 subgroups of patients. On these grounds, it is not unreasonable to claim that the inability of previous studies to detect clinical implications for SHM in MCL may be due, at least in part, to the application of the CLL-relevant 2% cut-off and the relatively lower number of cases with high SHM that reduced the statistical power of the analysis.

In keeping with previous studies (18, 20, 26), we found that nodal presentation and SOX11 expression were also predictors of poor outcome but, interestingly, in the multivariate analysis only the IGHV gene mutational status and SOX11 expression remained as independent variables. A recent study by Nygren and colleagues (43) has shown an apparently conflicting result with SOX11-negative MCL having a worse prognosis than SOX11-positive tumors. However, 9 of 13 (69%) of the SOX11-negative cases in that study were strongly positive for p53 by immunohistochemistry, suggesting that these tumors carried TP53 gene mutations. These findings are concordant with our recent observation indicating that TP53 mutations and 17p deletions in SOX11-negative MCL are associated with...

### Table 2. Analysis for OS in patients with MCL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>5-year OS (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.01–1.06</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61 (42–80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56 (46–66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72 (59–85)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17 (3–31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (log10 L/mm³) ≥4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>46 (31–61)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>38 (23–53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81 (69–93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47 (36–58)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57 (45–69)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blastoid</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23 (5–41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (≥35%)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>53 (38–68)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;35%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 (2–34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGHV gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.08–3.74</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutated (&lt;97%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>84 (73–95)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmutated (≥97%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50 (39–61)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX11 expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.22–4.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>84 (72–96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>48 (38–58)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53 status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59 (42–76)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutated</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19 (1–37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17p/TP53²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not altered</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>53 (40–66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25 (9–41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age was treated as a continuous variable.

*Survival for TP53 and 17p/TP53 alterations was calculated from the time of sample assessment instead of from time of diagnosis.*
complex genomic karyotypes and a rapid clinical evolution, whereas SOX11-negative MCL with wild-type 1p/16q have a very stable disease and a long survival (29). Altogether, these observations support the idea that MCL with mutated IGHV, SOX11-negativity, and nonnodal clinical presentation may correspond to a subtype of the disease with more indolent behavior. However, the inactivation of TP53, similar to other small B-cell lymphomas, confers a more aggressive behavior with development of nodal dissemination and more rapid clinical evolution.

The comprehensive analysis of the BeR in MCL is modifying our views about its potential ontogeny and the role of antigen selection in the pathogenesis of the disease (17, 44). The definition of a cell of origin for this tumor is facing the same challenges already encountered in CLL (15). The spectrum of SHM, the molecular evidence for antigen selection, and the differences in the GEP of the 2 major subsets of MCL according to SHM load raise the possibility, as suggested for CLL (15), of a scenario in which the different subsets of MCL may be related to different normal counterparts. The postulated cells may include in addition to naive B-cells for some TU tumors, intermediate cells between naive and germinal center cells (45), transitional B-cells (46), or even a postgerminal center memory B-cells for the tumors with high load of SHM. Our GEP analysis of the 2 major subset of MCL supports this hypothesis with an enrichment of the naive B-cell signature in MCL with unmutated IGHV and the memory B-cell signature in the mutated IGHV tumors.

In conclusion, our results suggest the idea that the mutational status of the IGHV genes and SOX11 expression recognize 2 major subsets of MCL with distinct molecular and genetic features and also distinct clinical presentation and evolution. The gene expression profile analysis supports relationship of MCL with unmutated and mutated IGHV with naive and memory B cells, respectively, reinforcing the hypothesis that they may correspond to different subtypes of the disease.
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