Abstract

Cigarette smoking (CS) is a leading cause of death worldwide. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is partially responsible for tobacco-induced carcinogenesis although the underlying mechanisms involving early effector genes have yet to be determined. Here, we report that adrenomedullin (ADM) significantly contributes to the carcinogenicity of tobacco-activated AHR. CS and AHR activating ligands induced ADM in vitro and in vivo but not in AHR-deficient fibroblasts and mice. Ectopic transfection of AHR rescued ADM expression in AHR−/− fibroblasts whereas AHR blockage with siRNA in wild type cells significantly decreased ADM expression. AHR regulates ADM expression through two intronic xenobiotic response elements located close to the start codon in the ADM gene. Using tissue microarrays we showed that ADM and AHR were copurgedulated in lung tumor biopsies from smoker patients. Microarray meta-analysis of 304 independent microarray experiments showed that ADM is elevated in smokers and smokers with cancer. In addition, ADM coassociated with a subset of AHR responsive genes and efficiently differentiated patients with lung cancer from nonsmokers. In a novel preclinical model of CS-induced tumor progression, host exposure to CS extracts significantly elevated tumor ADM although systemic treatment with the ADM antagonist NSC16311 efficiently blocked tobacco-induced tumor growth. In conclusion, ADM significantly contributes to the carcinogenic effect of AHR and tobacco combustion products. We suggest that therapeutics targeting the AHR/ADM axis may be of clinical relevance in the treatment of tobacco-induced pulmonary malignancies. Cancer Res; 72(22); 5790–800. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Cigarette smoking (CS) causes 87% of lung cancer fatalities and represents the leading preventable cause of death in developed countries (1, 2). First- and second-hand exposure to cigarette combustion products promotes tumor angiogenesis and cancer in lung and several other anatomical sites including esophagus, bladder, pancreas, and cervix (3). Although much is known about the epidemiology of tobacco smoke, the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for its carcinogenic potential are unclear. Tumor angiogenesis, epigenetic regulation, and inflammation have been identified as processes contributing to smoke-related lung cancer (4–6), although we are only beginning to recognize the controlling individual molecular players. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR; a basic helix-loophelix transcription factor) is a main factor of the complex subcellular circuitry linking tobacco smoke and tumor promotion and progression (7). Upon activation by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) present in CS (8), AHR binds to specific DNA consensus sequences denoted as xenobiotic response elements (XRE) and drives the expression of proinflammatory and oncogenic genes such as COX-2 (9), thereby enhancing tumor growth (10). AHR expression is also elevated in PAH-induced lung carcinomas (11). Constitutive activation of AHR results in spontaneous stomach tumors and promotes hepatocarcinogenesis and lymphoma (12) whereas PAH carcinogenicity is lost in AHR-deficient mice (13). Hence, in the context of cancer, AHR can be regarded as a master transcription regulator that controls the expression of a large array of gene clusters some of which display oncogenic properties and can potentially be implicated...
in lung cancer (14). However, identification of individual AHR-activated effector genes remains elusive.

AHR is a protooncogene, which plays a multifaceted role in cancer (15). Hypoxia drives its expression through a hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1)-based mechanism (16). Within the tumor microenvironment AHR supports tumor progression through a variety of mechanisms. It acts as a growth factor for tumor cells (17) and confers resistance to apoptosis through inhibition of proapoptotic factors (18) and upregulation of antiapoptotic factors (19). AHR promotes tumor growth by mediating the cross talk between tumor and immune cells (20) and induces angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis in a direct fashion (21, 22) or through induction of angiogenic factors such as VEGF (23). It is also a migratory factor contributing to enhanced metastasis in ADM producing tumors (24).

The present study was undertaken to determine the role of ADM as an effector gene functionally associated with AHR mediating the oncogenic potential of tobacco in lung cancers.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and chemicals

A549, MCF7, Panc1, CaPan, Hep3B2, HepG2, H209, and H1264 (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured following manufacturer’s instructions. Immortalized fibroblast bearing or lacking the AHR gene (AHR+/−, AHR−/−) have been previously described (25). 3-methylcholanthrene (3-MC) and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) were obtained from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories.

Plasmids and luciferase reporter assays

Three 5’-flanking regions of the human ADM gene containing 6, 4, and 2 putative XREs were amplified from human genomic DNA using primers AM2725, AM1754, and AM689, respectively (Supplementary Table S1), subcloned into pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen), and subsequently excised by digestion with MluI and BglII. The resulting DNA fragments were cloned into the promoterless luciferase reporter pGL3Promoter vector (Promega Corp) to generate plasmids pGL3P-AM2725, pGL3P-AM1754, and pGL3P-AM689. The cloned sequence of all plasmids was confirmed to be 100% identical to the published ADM gene. The XREs sequences present in plasmid pGL3P-AM689 were mutated by site directed mutagenesis (QuickChange, Stratagene) and primers XRE1 and XRE2 (Supplementary Table S1). The expression vectors for mouse and human AHR (pCI-mAHR and pCI-hAHR) were a kind gift from Dr. Frank Gonzalez (National Cancer Institute, NIH). The expression vectors for mouse and human ARNT (pmARNT and pHARNT) were a kind gift from Dr. Oliver Hankinson (School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA). The XRE-driven reporter plasmid pGudLuc was kindly provided by Professor Michael S. Denison (University of California, Los Angeles, CA).

For the luciferase assays, transfections were carried out in triplicate using FuGene (Roche Diagnostics) and cells exposed to the indicated treatments. Luciferase activities were determined using the Dual-Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega) and a M200 Infinite multireader plate scanner (Tecan) and normalized to and internal reference standard of renilla luciferase activity.

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and real time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cell lines and mouse tissues using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and 3.5 μg reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis system (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time PCR reactions were run in an Opticon cycler (MJ Research) using Sybr Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and primers in Supplementary Table S1 as previously described (26).

Preparation of cigarette smoke extract

Cigarette smoke extract (CSE) derived from Kentucky Reference 3R4F research blend cigarettes (University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY) were prepared as described (27).

Radioimmunoassay of immunoreactive ADM

Concentrations of ADM in culture media of A549 cells were measured by radioimmunoassay (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals) following manufacturer’s instructions and as previously described (28).

In vivo exposure to benzo[a]pyrene

Four month old AHR+/− and AHR−/− mice (29) were treated for 24 hours with a single intraperitoneal injection of benzo[a]pyrene (BP; 10 mg/kg, Sigma Chemical Co.) in 100 μL corn oil, or corn oil only. Animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation and tissues snap frozen in dry ice and stored at −80°C until used. Two treatments were made for each experimental condition and the experiment was repeated twice. These experiments were done following the guidelines set forth by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Extremadura.

In vivo CS exposure

CS exposures were conducted using a nose-only exposure apparatus (Expose, Scireq Inc.) daily, 5 days per week, for 6 weeks (20 mice). Two mainstream reference cigarettes (1R1, Kentucky Tobacco Research and Development Center) were lit and fed into a pump programmed to puff for 2 seconds in every 30-second period, thus simulating actual smoking inhalation. The CS was mixed with bias-flow air and led to the exposure tower. Mice (C57BL/6) were positioned in net restrainers and allowed to acclimate for 5 minutes before smoking inhalation was initiated. Two cigarettes were used simultaneously to feed smoke to the exposure chamber, and the process was immediately repeated once (4 cigarettes total for 20 mice) per day. Mice were then allowed access to water and chow ad libitum. Studies were conducted under animal protocols approved by the National Institute of Environmenal Health Sciences/Animal Care and Usage Committee (ACUC).

In vivo exposure to CSE

A549 cells (1 × 10⁵ cells/100 μL) were inoculated subcutaneously in the left and right flanks of athymic nude mice. The mice (10 animals/group) were checked daily for tumor formation by palpation and the width and length measured twice a week. Once tumors reached approximately 25 mm², mice were injected intraperitoneally with saline,
CSE (100 μL; 0.06, 0.12, 0.18, and 0.24 puffs) and/or the ADM antagonist small molecule NSC16311 [100 μL, 10 μmol/L (30)], 3 times a week for 4 weeks. Tumors were then surgically removed and processed for RNA extraction. This experiment was conducted in a blind fashion under animal protocol approved by the ACUC of NCI–Frederick Cancer Research Center.

Tissue microarrays
Tissue microarrays (TMA) containing a total of 133 non-small cell lung cancers, including primary and secondary (metastatic) cancers, were prepared as described before (11). All tissues were obtained through an NCI/Taiwan MTA and considered Institutional Review Board exempt by the NCI Patient Care Review Board.

ADM and AHR immunodetection
AHR and ADM immunocytochemistry were conducted as previously described (31). For immunofluorescence a chicken polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG-FITC (1:100 for cultured cells; Abcam Inc.) was used. TMAs were assessed by 2 independent researchers.

Microarray meta-analysis
Microarray meta-analysis included 304 arrays from 5 human data sets publicly available from Gene Expression Omnibus (Supplementary Table S2). All data sets were from Affymetrix GeneChips and the “signal” or “average difference” values after MAS5 normalization were downloaded as supplied from the source. Intensity values for each experiment were log2 transformed and the probe sets from each array were mapped to HUGO gene symbols. When multiple probes/probe sets were mapped to the same gene, the expressions were processed using customized script to rule out combining values with potential splice variants. In short, a Pearson correlation was computed between all probes/probe sets for a given gene and the 2 profiles with highest correlation were averaged to represent the gene (32).

Figure 1. Tobacco smoke induces ADM expression and activates the AHR pathway in lung cancer cells. Exposure of A549 cells to CSE resulted in induction of ADM and CYP1A1 mRNA expression in a dose- (A) and time (B)-dependent manner. C, CSE significantly enhanced transactivation of a luciferase reporter under the control of the ADM promoter (pGL3P-AM2575) and a XRE reporter control plasmid (pGudLuc; C insert) in A549 cells. D, elevated levels of ADM protein were found in conditioned media of A549 cells exposed to CSE.
Z-score normalization was used to adjust the systematic bias of data sets generated by different platforms. The Z scores were computed according to the formula:

\[ Z_{\text{score}} = \frac{\text{intensity} - \text{mean intensity}_{G1 \ldots Gn}}{\text{SD}_{G1 \ldots Gn}} \]

where G is any gene on the microarray and G1 \ldots Gn represents aggregate measure of all of the genes. The data were regrouped into 4 sample classes: nonsmokers, smokers, smokers with lung cancer, and former smokers for comparative analysis.

An AHR module was compiled from the network of ligand activated AHR-binding targets (14) containing a list of 693 genes. Out of these 693 genes, 479 mapped to the genes on the arrays. To identify genes that show significant correlation with the ADM gene, we calculated the pairwise correlation of ADM gene-expression profile with all genes in the chip. To limit the number of false positives, we used the multivariate permutation test, with 100 permutations at each comparison, to give the \( P \) value estimate of significance (33, 34). Genes with \( P \) value \( \leq 0.01 \) were flagged for enrichment analysis. Next, we showed the enrichment of the AHR module among the 4 sample classes using Fisher exact test. The probability that the AHR module was significantly enriched among a specified set of genes can be calculated with the following formula:

\[ R_n = \frac{n_f}{n} / \frac{N_f}{N} \]

where \( n \) and \( n_f \) are the total and flagged number of genes in AHR category and \( N \) and \( N_f \) are the total and flagged number of genes on the microarray (35). Sweave code documentation for the analysis of microarray data is provided as Supplementary file.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were conducted using either GraphPad Prism 5 software or R bioconductor resource (36, 37). Note that Chi-squared test was used to evaluate correlations of AHR and ADM immunocytochemistry (Supplementary Table S3), multivariate permutation tests was used to identify genes coexpressed with ADM module, and Fisher exact test was used for the enrichment analysis of ADM module in nonsmoker, former smoker, smoker with, or without lung cancer groups. Differences were regarded as significant at a value of \( P < 0.05 \) and noted as follows throughout the text: \(*\), \( P < 0.05\); \(**\), \( P < 0.01\); \(***\), \( P < 0.001\).

### Results

**CSE induces ADM in vitro and concomitantly activates AHR**

CSE was used to explore the influence of tobacco combustion products on ADM expression in lung cancer cells in vitro. Exposure of A549 cells to CSE resulted in a dose- (Fig. 1A) and time-dependent (Fig. 1B) increase in expression of ADM that paralleled the well-characterized AHR target gene CYP1A1. To better understand this response, A549 cells expressing a reporter plasmid under the regulation of the full ADM promoter, pGL3P-AM2725, were exposed to CSE. A statistically significant induction in luciferase activity was observed 24 hours after exposure to CSE (Fig. 1C). Activation of AHR in the same cells was shown by significant luciferase induction of the
XRE control reporter plasmid pGudLuc after exposure to CSE (Fig. 1C, insert). Furthermore, a significant increase in ADM was detected by radioimmunoassay in culture media from A549 cells treated with 0.06 puffs/mL of CSE for 48 hours (Fig. 1D). Together, these in vitro results support a positive regulation of the ADM gene by CSE, concomitant with activation of the AHR pathway, and encouraged us to study ADM expression in an in vivo model of CS exposure.

ADM and AHR are upregulated in lungs of mice exposed to CS

Further supporting our in vitro results, lung tissue obtained from mice challenged with CS for 6 weeks showed more than 2-fold increase in ADM mRNA expression when compared with animals exposed to normal air (Fig. 2A). No histologic differences were appreciable between the lungs of control (normal air exposed) and CS exposed mice (Supplementary Fig. S1). Immunocytochemical analysis revealed that, ADM overexpression was restricted to the ciliated epithelial cells of the bronchioles (Fig. 2B and C) and the vascular endothelium (Fig. 2F). Interestingly, increased AHR protein expression was observed in lungs of mice exposed to CS and colocalized with ADM to the bronchiole’s epithelium (Fig. 2D and E), although no AHR immunostaining was observed in the vascular endothelium in the same mice (Fig. 2G). Both ADM and AHR proteins were localized in the cytoplasm of bronchiolar epithelial cells (Fig. 2C and E). No ADM or AHR immunostaining was detected in the bronchiolar epithelium of mice exposed to normal air (Fig. 2H–K).

AHR regulates ADM expression

The above in vitro and in vivo data, together with recent studies demonstrating high levels of AHR agonists in CS (8), support the involvement of AHR in the regulation of ADM by tobacco smoke. Consistent with this idea, exposure of A549 cells to 3-MC, a known AHR agonist, resulted in a significant induction of ADM mRNA and intracellular protein levels (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, tumor cell lines from different anatomical origins showed an increase in ADM mRNA upon exposure to TCDD (Fig. 3B), which peaked 24 hours after the initial insult (Fig. 3C). Additionally, in vivo preliminary data suggested that BP triggers ADM expression in liver, kidney, heart, lung, and testis in AHR+/− mice (Supplementary Fig. S2) although no induction was observed in AHR−/− mice.
Several reports in the literature have implicated ADM as part of a generalized physiologic response to different stress conditions (38, 39). To rule out nonspecific effects and to confirm the involvement of AHR as part of a defined molecular response involved in the regulation of ADM expression upon exposure to tobacco smoke, AHR<sup>−/−</sup> and AHR<sup>+/+</sup> fibroblasts were compared for their ability to activate ADM transactivation. A 2-fold induction in luciferase activity (under the regulation of the complete ADM promoter; pGL3P-AM2725) was observed only in AHR<sup>+/+</sup> but not AHR<sup>−/−</sup> cells exposed to CSE (0.06 puffs/mL). Interestingly, a significant difference in the reporter activity was noted between untreated AHR<sup>+/+</sup> and AHR<sup>−/−</sup> cells, suggesting that basal activity of AHR (unrelated to activation by xenobiotic substances) is also relevant in the regulation of ADM expression. As expected, significant differences in transactivation activity were observed when pGudLuc was transfected in AHR<sup>+/+</sup> and AHR<sup>−/−</sup> fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Forced overexpression of AHR in mice results in the development of spontaneous tumors (40). Following the same rationale in an in vitro system, we artificially expressed AHR in A549 cells and tested levels of ADM. The AHR is a heterodimeric transcription factor, which exerts its transcriptional activity upon binding to ARNT (41). Coexpression of AHR and ARNT was needed to trigger a significant increase in ADM transactivation (Fig. 3E) that was subsequently shown to be time-dependent (Fig. 3F). Consistently, blockage of ADM expression was achieved after transfection of siRNA hairpins targeting AHR (Fig. 3E and Supplementary Fig S4A and S4B). A high level of correlation between AHR and ADM mRNA levels was observed in murine normal tissues (Supplementary Fig. S4C and S4D). In a recovery experiment, ectopic reintroduction of AHR in AHR<sup>−/−</sup> fibroblasts restored the transcriptional activation of ADM in a dose-dependent manner to the levels in AHR wild type cells (Fig. 3G).

**Two intronic XREs drive CSE-activated, AHR-induced transcriptional transactivation of ADM**

XREs contain a 5-nucleotide core sequence (GCGTG) flanked by variable residues (42–44). A search for XREs in the ADM gene revealed the presence of 12 consensus sequences located in the promoter and both intronic and exonic regions (Fig. 4A). Deletion of the 5′ region of the ADM promoter...
containing 4 of 6 XREs located before the start codon, in exon 2 (pGL3P-AM689), had no significant effect on the relative luciferase activity in CSE (0.06 puffs/mL) treated A549 or AHR+/+ fibroblasts (Fig. 4B). In contrast, deletion of the region containing the 2 intronic XREs proximal to the ADM start codon resulted in a significant decrease in luciferase activity in both A549 and AHR+/+ cells (Fig. 4B). No differences were found in luciferase activity when the same plasmids were transfected in AHR/C0/C0 fibroblasts (data not shown) demonstrating that the observed differences were mediated through AHR. These experiments suggested that the 2 XREs located in intron 1 are more relevant to the overall transcriptional activation of the ADM gene by AHR than the ones located upstream of exon 1. Mutation of these XREs individually (pGL3P-AM689D-190 and pGL3P-AM689Δ-50) resulted in a moderate reduction in the luciferase activity although mutation of both XREs (pGL3P-AM689D-50D-190) notably hindered the transcriptional activity of the ADM gene in A549 and AHR+/+ cells (Fig. 4C).

ADM mediates tobacco-induced tumor growth in vivo

To study the functional role of ADM in tobacco-induced cancer progression in vivo, we developed a new preclinical model in which mice bearing A549 subcutaneous xenograft tumors were exposed to CSE intraperitoneal injections. CSE significantly enhanced tumor growth in a dose-dependent manner reaching a maximum at 0.18 mg tar (Fig. 5A; no further growth stimulation was observed using 0.24 mg tar injection in a separate experiment; data not shown). Gene expression analysis of tumor tissues at the completion of the experiment revealed a CSE dose-dependent increase of ADM expression and of several known AHR target genes including CYP1A1, CYP1B1, APP, and AKT (Fig. 5B). To further ascertain the involvement of ADM in the observed CSE-enhanced tumor progression, we used a previously identified small molecule inhibitor of ADM (NSC16311, ref. 30). Treatment with the ADM small molecule inhibitor completely abrogated the enhanced tumor growth induced by CSE further supporting the role of ADM in tobacco-induced tumor progression in vivo (Fig. 5C).

ADM and AHR are co-regulated in lung cancer biopsies

The expression of ADM and AHR was analyzed in serial lung sections from 133 patients with adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table S3). A high degree of correlation (70%) between AHR and ADM expression was found independently of the tumor etiology (Chi-squared test < 0.001 for all groups in Supplementary Table S3). More than 56.4% of the biopsies were positive for both AHR and ADM and about 14.3% of them were negative for both markers. Note that 10.5% of the samples were positive for one of the markers but not for the other (18.8% of the spots in the tissue array were missing or did not contain tumor mass and were not included in the analysis). In all matching tumors AHR and ADM colocalized to the same tissue areas (Fig. 6A–F). Illustrating the complexity of this colocalization, the ADM and AHR proteins were localized to either the nucleus and/or the cytoplasm in different biopsies (Fig. 6A–D). Tumor-associated inflammatory cells consistently showed staining for both AHR and ADM (Fig. 6E and F).

ADM and AHR target genes are co-associated and efficiently differentiate between smoke-induced cancer and nonsmoker patients in clinical sample microarrays

To validate the results observed in patient biopsies, we extended the comparison to microarray analysis on metadata consisting of 304 human subjects (Supplementary Table S2) stratified into 4 groups of samples: (i) nonsmoker group, (ii) smokers without cancer, (iii) smokers with lung cancer, and (iv) former smokers. Welsh t test analysis of unequal variance
was implemented to assess the statistical differences between nonsmokers and patients in each of the other 3 groups (Fig. 7A). Interestingly a significant difference was observed in smokers without/with cancer groups \( (P < 0.05) \), but not in former smoker group \( (P = 0.197) \), confirming the results from tissue biopsies. It is proposed that genes coexpressed with any given gene in both parallel and antiparallel directions are enriched for significant biologic functions, processes, and/or cellular components \( (32) \). Using multivariate analysis we estimated 51,748 pairwise computations (Supplementary Table S4) and selected genes with correlation \( P \) value \( \leq 0.01 \) (after 100 permutations for each computation) in each group for further analysis. To understand the relationship between AHR and smoking, we used an AHR module generated as described in the Methods section and applied the Fisher exact analysis to estimate the level of significance of enrichment (Fig. 7B). At a \( P \) value cutoff of 0.05, only smoker groups without/with cancer were found to be significant but not former smoker group. Unsupervised clustering of the 136 AHR target genes \( (14) \) coassociated with ADM (pooled list with multivariate permutation test \( P \) value \( \leq 0.05 \) in any of the groups) efficiently separated the smoker with cancer group from the nonsmokers and former smokers (Fig. 7C). Smokers with no cancer clustered generally with patients in the cancer group although some smokers were grouped with the nonsmokers and former smokers groups.

Discussion

According to the World Health Organization, cigarette smoking is one of the leading causes of preventable death in the world, accounting for 6 million deaths each year worldwide \( (45) \). Despite the relevance of this epidemic, the molecular mechanisms underlying tobacco smoke toxicity and carcinogenic potential remain elusive. The complexity of tobacco smoke, containing more than 55 carcinogens, has generated confusion about the mechanisms by which it induces lung
Lung cells are equipped with molecular sensors able to detect and trigger the processing of carcinogens present in tobacco smoke. A well known example is the AHR gene, which is activated by tumorigenic substances in tobacco smoke and regulates the expression of vast proto-oncogenic gene networks (47). However, the individual effector genes responsible for the carcinogenic effect of tobacco smoke-activated AHR are largely unknown. Here, we provide in vitro, in vivo, and clinical data supporting the critical role of ADM as a mediator of the carcinogenic potential of AHR and CS.

Although ADM is elevated in lung cancer (48), little is known about its regulatory expression and functional implication in tobacco smoke-related lung malignancies. In this study we have found that CS and prototypical AHR-activating exogenous ligands, such as TCDD, 3-MC, and BP, activate AHR and stimulate ADM expression both in vitro and in vivo, but not in
cells and mice lacking the AHR gene. However, AHR-induced ADM expression in AHR−/− fibroblasts is rescued upon ectopic reexpression of AHR. Using site directed mutagenesis, we show that ADM transactivation is regulated through binding of AHR to 2 XREs mapped to the intronic region proximal to the translation start codon in the ADM gene. Taken together these data show that AHR directly regulates ADM expression. This regulation occurs under normal physiologic conditions as suggested by a strong correlation of AHR and ADM expression in different organs and lower ADM levels in AHR−/− fibroblast compared with their wild type counterparts, in absence of external stimulus. However, we provide evidence that this regulatory mechanism may also play a role during early stages of tumorigenesis in the lung. Mice inhaling CS for short periods of time (6 weeks) showed overall overexpression of ADM mRNA and significant upregulation of AHR and ADM protein in bronchial epithelial cells before any notable histologic changes. It is known that ADM induces activation of proto-oncogenic early response genes such as c-jun (49). Microarray meta-analysis shows increased ADM levels and significant coassociation with AHR target genes (14) in smokers without cancer, including c-jun, supporting an early role of the AHR/ADM axis in cigarette exposed nontransformed lung cells, prospectively involved in tumor promotion.

Forced overexpression of AHR in mice results in spontaneous tumors in different anatomical sites (40, 50) and abnormal overexpression of AHR has been shown in different tumor types including lung adenocarcinoma (11). In our model, ectopic expression of AHR in the lung adenocarcinoma cell line A549 causes upregulation of ADM. This provides a working model to explain ADM overexpression in patients with lung tumors (48) and to understand the oncogenic and angiogenic properties of AHR in smoke-induced lung cancers (51). Supporting this model, we found paralleled protein overexpression of AHR and ADM in 133 patient lung tumors and associated inflammatory cells and significant coassociation between ADM and AHR targeted genes in microarray data from smokers with cancer. Unsupervised clustering of AHR module subset correlated with ADM gene from 304-sample microarray validation set separating smokers without/with cancer from nonsmokers and former smoker. Interestingly, although most smokers with no cancer clustered together with the smokers with cancer group, some clustered with nonsmokers and former smokers. A likely explanation is that smokers without cancer group have a considerable level of heterogeneity in the expression of AHR-associated genes potentially reflecting the level of progression toward a malignant phenotype. The prospective prognostic value of ADM/AHR coassociated gene data sets requires further study.

Further functional evidence of the role of ADM in tobacco/AHR-enhanced tumor progression comes from a new preclinical model of cancer progression in which lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells are subcutaneously implanted in mice that are systemically treated with CSE. In this model, increasing doses of CSE were directly correlated to tumor growth and expression of tumor ADM and other AHR-induced genes such as CYPIA1. More importantly, tobacco-driven tumor growth was suppressed by the ADM small molecule antagonist NSC16311 (30) providing a direct indication that ADM mediates tobacco smoke-induced tumor progression.

In conclusion, CS drives ADM expression in lung cancer cells through AHR. Our data supports that tobacco-induced ADM plays a significant role in lung cancer progression and reveals the AHR/ADM axis as a rational target for drug therapy in the clinical management of cigarette-induced cancers.
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