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Abstract

Aneuploidy is a hallmark of human cancers originating from abnormal mitoses. Many aneuploid cancer cells also have greater-than-diploid DNA content, suggesting that polyploidy is a common precursor to aneuploidy during tumor progression. Polyploid cells can originate from cell fusion, endoreplication, and cytokinesis failure. Recently we found that cell cannibalism by entosis, a form of cell engulfment involving live cells, also leads to polyploidy, as internalized cells disrupt cytokinesis of their engulfing cell hosts. By this mechanism, cannibalistic cell behavior could promote tumor progression by leading to aneuploidy. Here, we discuss cell cannibalism in cancer and other mechanisms that result in the formation of polyploid cancer cells. Cancer Res; 72(7); 1596–601. ©2012 AACR.

Cell Cannibalism in Human Tumors: Evidence for Entosis

Cell cannibalism has been observed as a phenomenon in mammalian systems for more than 100 years (1). Unlike phagocytosis, which involves the engulfment of dead or dying cells or pathogenic organisms, cannibalism involves the ingestion of live cells, resulting in the unusual appearance of whole cells contained within large vacuoles, or so-called “cell-in-cell” structures. Such cells usually die after they are engulfed, but they can also divide inside of their vacuoles, and some even manage to escape altogether, reemerging as indistinguishable from their unengulfed counterparts (1). Whereas the various physiologic roles of phagocytosis and the consequences of its dysfunction are clear, by contrast, the roles of live cell engulfments under normal or pathologic conditions are largely unknown (1). In fact, the first physiologic function for a program of live cell engulfment in mammals was only recently revealed, by the discovery that hepatocytes ingest live autoreactive T cells to block the development of autoimmune disease in mice (2).

Various terms, including cannibalism, emperipolesis, entosis, as well as phagocytosis, have been used to describe live cell engulfments that can occur heterotopically (between cells of different types) or homotypically (between cells of the same type; ref. 1). Most heterotypic live cell engulfments involve leukocytes ingested into a variety of host cells (epithelial cells, fibroblasts, neural cells, and others). Homotypic live cell engulfment is most often reported between tumor cells, in breast, lung, gallbladder, and gastric carcinoma, as well as metastatic melanoma, malignant mesothelioma, and other cancers (1, 3).

Just as the physiologic roles for most forms of cell cannibalism are unknown, the mechanisms that underlie engulfment are also unclear, as it is the mere appearance of whole, and apparently viable, engulfed cells that is scored as cannibalism, whereas the actual mechanisms of cell uptake have rarely been investigated. In fact, many authors first reporting live cell engulfments speculated that internalized cells were not “eaten” at all, but were instead invaders, not unlike some classes of pathogenic organisms (1). For these authors, it was simply the viability of internalized cells that suggested their active involvement (1). It is conceivable that cannibalism of leukocytes, for example, is a related activity to endothelial transmigration, as leukocytes can enter actively into individual endothelial cells to exit the blood stream (1). Accordingly, the internalization of live T cells into hepatocytes is argued to occur by an invasion-like mechanism, referred to as suicidal emperiploesis (2). Conversely, cells could be cannibalized as passive targets when engulfment pathways are overactive, as in SRGP-1 mutant Caenorhabditis elegans, in which activation of the Rac1 homolog CED-10 leads to the engulfment and killing of viable cells (4). Similarly, the ingestion of live leukocytes by metastatic melanoma cells is thought to occur by an active engulfment-like activity of the tumor cells, which is dependent on the cytoskeletal linker protein ezrin (5).

Recently, a mode of homotypic cannibalism was reported in which epithelial cells exert autonomous control over their uptake, called entosis (6). Cannibalism by entosis involves the formation of adherens junctions between epithelial cells, mediated by E-cadherin, followed by engulfment in a Rho-GTPase– and Rho-kinase–dependent manner. Rho activity and Rho-kinase are not required within engulfing cells but within internalizing cells, suggesting that uptake is controlled autonomously by an invasion-like mechanism (Fig. 1A; ref. 6).
Importantly, cannibalistic cell structures in human breast tumors show clear evidence of a cell–cell adhesion-based mechanism of engulfment, with β-catenin, a component of adherens junctions, at the interface between internalizing cells and their engulfing partners, providing morphologic evidence for entosis as a mechanism underlying the appearance of cell cannibalism in vivo (6). The entosis mechanism can also account for the appearance of cell-in-cell-in-cell arrangements.
of successive live engulfments that are observed in human tumors (6, 7). A mode of heterotypic cannibalism between natural killer (NK) cells and tumor cells was recently reported to involve E-cadherin–mediated cell junctions, as well as ezrin (8). Like entosis, this form of cell cannibalism is suspected to involve at least some degree of active control by the NK cells over their own uptake, suggesting that entosis-like mechanisms involving cell–cell adhesion proteins may not be restricted to homotypic engulfments (8).

**Entosis and Ploidy Change: A Possible Mechanism to Promote Tumor Progression**

It is becoming clear that homotypic cannibalism in cancer is an indicator of poor prognosis, suggesting that this process may play a role in tumor progression (3). In bladder carcinoma, homotypic cannibalism occurs most frequently in high-grade cancers, in which it is a predictor of progression, whereas generally fewer or no cannibalistic cell structures are found in benign urine samples (3). Similarly, the presence of homotypic cannibalism in breast cancers correlates with high grade (9, 10). High-grade tumors generally exhibit rapid progression, increased recurrence, and decreased overall patient survival compared with low grade. It is conceivable that cannibalism can promote tumor progression by supplying tumor cells with nutrients, similar to self-digestion by macroautophagy, as shown in culture for heterotypic cannibalism between metastatic melanoma tumor cells and T cells (5). Recently, we identified another mechanism whereby cell-in-cell formation may promote tumor progression, by inducing changes in cell ploidy (10).

Increased ploidy is common in human breast cancers. Overall, about half of breast tumors contain cells with greater than diploid quantities of DNA, and some are polygenomic, with multiple subclones identified by unique ploidy increases (11). Ploidy increase is most often observed in high-grade tumors compared with low grade and is associated with tumor cell proliferation, as well as adverse prognosis in a number of studies (e.g., ref. 12). We have found that entosis directly promotes ploidy by disrupting cell division, leading to the formation of binucleate engulfing cells in culture. The engulfing cells, or hosts, of cell-in-cell structures in human breast tumors are also frequently bi- or multinucleated (10). It is the mere presence of cannibalized cells that disrupts division, as they present a barrier to the formation of contractile rings, leading to partial furrows that are often unable to complete cytokinesis (Fig. 1A; ref. 10).

The binucleate state has been shown to drive tumor progression when combined with loss of the appropriate checkpoint proteins such as p53 (13). Binucleate cells contribute to tumor progression, it is thought, by propagating cell lineages with grossly unstable genomes, which fuels the genetic diversity that drives selection (14). Whereas many of the daughter cells produced by binucleates are nonviable due to the massive chromosome shuffling caused by multipolar divisions, rare clones that have acquired advantages will propagate, potentially forming the clonal or subclonal populations that can be observed in tumors by their unique, and often greater than diploid, DNA content (11). Binucleates formed by division failure also have twice the normal number of centrosomes, which is equally critical to tumor progression as increased DNA content, as both multipolar divisions and abnormal chromosome attachments—merotelic attachments—of single chromatids to 2 poles are a direct consequence of having supernumerary centrosomes (15). In tetraploid cells that cluster centrosomes to divide with bipolar spindles, merotelic attachments promoted by transient multipolar spindle intermediates lead to the missegregation of single chromosomes, which promotes genetic instability within tetraploid cell lineages without compromising viability to the same extent as multipolar divisions (15).

**Other Mechanisms That Promote Ploidy Increase in Human Tumors**

The finding that cell cannibalism by entosis can promote polyploidy in cancers by disrupting cell division presents a new and unexpected potential link between cannibalistic cell behavior and tumor progression. As both polyploidy and cell cannibalism have been noted in a variety of human cancers, it is conceivable that this link extends to cancers beyond those of the breast. But besides cell cannibalism, ploidy increase has been shown to occur by other pathways, including additional mechanisms that promote cytokinesis failure, pathways of endoreplication, and also by cell fusion (Fig. 1). These various pathways that lead to polyploidy and the evidence that they occur in human cancers are discussed below.

**Cytokinesis Failure**

The failure of cytokinesis remains one of the most commonly observed mechanisms to double cell ploidy, as well as centrosome number, and cytokinesis failure is a likely mechanism underlying many of the ploidy changes that are observed in human tumors. Like the disruption of cytokinesis by cannibalized cells, asbestos fibers lodging within the midbody during cell division were noted long ago to be a physical barrier to completion of cytokinesis, potentially linking this carcinogen mechanistically to tumor formation by induction of a tetraploid intermediate to aneuploidy (16). However, because only some asbestos-associated mesotheliomas are aneuploid, this mechanism is plausibly one route to tumor formation but clearly not the only tumor-promoting effect of asbestos. Like asbestos fibers or cannibalized cells, chromatin bridges traversing the midbody as well can lead to cytokinesis failure, potentially by acting as a physical barrier, or by a mechanism involving defects in signaling through the Aurora B kinase, which delays abscission and inhibits furrow regression in response to chromatin bridges (17).

Unlike the physical barriers to division presented by cannibalized cells or asbestos fibers, which involve no genetic defects underlying the initial generation of tetraploidy, most other mechanisms that disrupt cytokinesis involve dysregulated pathways and checkpoints (Fig. 1B). One notable mechanism that has emerged as a potentially common link to aneuploidy and polyploidy in cancers is overactivation of the...
spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC; ref. 18). The SAC functions to ensure bipolar spindle attachment of sister chromatids prior to anaphase onset. Mad2 is a critical component of the SAC that inhibits the anaphase promoting complex (APC-Cdc20), which targets securin, a negative regulator of the cohesin-protease separase, for destruction by the proteasome (18). Mad2 is transcriptionally upregulated by E2F, which is inhibited by the Rb tumor suppressor (18). Overexpression of Mad2, as a consequence of Rb inhibition, induces aneuploidy by allowing chromosome nondisjunctions, and Mad2-overexpressing cells become polyploid as a result of cytokinesis failure, potentially linked to chromatin bridging (19), by the Mad2-dependent inhibition of the mitotic kinesin MKlp2 that controls cytokinesis (20), or by slipping out of mitosis (21). As Mad2 overexpression promotes tumor formation and progression in a variety of tissues (19), and Rb is inactivated directly or indirectly in a host of cancers, this mechanism is likely a common inducer of aneuploidy as well as polyploidy in human cancers (18).

Endoreplication

Endoreplication, also known as endoreduplication, is another mechanism to increase cell ploidy, but without cell division (Fig. 1C). Pathways of endoreplication generally involve disruptions to the cell division cycle that allow for cells to skip mitosis (referred to as endocycling), abort from mitosis (referred to as endomitosis), or reinitiate DNA replication during S-phase (referred to as rereplication). For both endocycles and endomitoses, the genome is completely replicated in each cycle, giving rise to cells with genomic content of 2N multiples. By contrast, rereplication involves dysfunctional regulation of DNA synthesis leading to multiple origin initiations per S-phase, giving rise to cells with indistinct DNA profiles (for a more comprehensive review, see ref. 22). Pathways associated with endoreplication have been shown to promote cell transformation and tumorigenicity, but the frequency of endoreplication and its role in human cancers are largely unknown.

Recently, a new mechanism linking endoreplication to telomere erosion was reported (23). Shortened telomeres result from normal cellular aging and are thought to link human aging to increased cancer predisposition. Accordingly, shortened telomeres are reported at the early stages of many different human cancers (24). Telomere erosion triggers endoreplication in p53-null cells by induction of a prolonged G2 cell-cycle phase due to inhibition of Cdk1/Cyclin B, which allows for degradation of the replication inhibitor geminin and expression of the replication origin-licensing protein Cdt1. These combined effects allow cells without a p53-dependent G1 arrest, which would normally occur in response to telomere erosion, to reenter S-phase by skipping mitosis, thereby becoming polyploid. Strikingly, the subsequent restoration of telomere protection allows polyploid cells to enter mitosis, and, due to the accumulation of multiple centrosomes, these cells exhibit multipolar divisions that are known to generate aneuploid progeny (23). Because shortened telomeres are a common characteristic of many human cancers at early stages, this mechanism could be a frequent inducer of aneuploidy through a tetraploid intermediate derived from endoreplication (24).

Cell Fusion

Perhaps the most direct way to double cell ploidy and centrosome number is to simply fuse 2 cells together (Fig. 1D). Conceivably, cell fusion could be linked to cell cannibalism, as the intimate association of viable engulfed cells and their hosts could facilitate membrane fusion, as has been speculated (25). In our laboratory, we have not found cell fusion to occur commonly between cannibalized cells and their hosts. However, this outcome may depend on cell type or, potentially, on the mechanism of engulfment. Cell fusion occurs normally throughout metazoan development; for example, muscle fibers form by myoblast fusion, trophoblasts can fuse in the placenta, and even fertilization itself is a fusion event (25). Also, fusion between hematopoietic cells and various targets has been observed during inflammation in adults (25). Whereas the cell products of fusion in normal physiology are terminally differentiated and nonmitotic, hybrid cells formed by fusion between tumor cells with defective checkpoints are predicted to be capable of division. In fact, experimentally induced cell fusion between cells with disrupted checkpoints has been shown to be sufficient to induce tumor formation, in a manner recapitulating many of the most common aspects of human tumors, ploidy increase, and genotypic and phenotypic diversity (26). The phenotypic traits of parental cells are combined in fusion progeny, including even metastatic organotropism (27), leading to speculation that fusion between normal motile cells and tumor cells could underlie metastasis (28), or between stem cells and tumor cells the acquisition of tumor-initiating capacity (29). Interestingly, the propensity of polyploid cells to metastasize may also relate more directly to their increased size, which favors lodging in the capillary beds of organs like lung and brain (30).

Cell fusion may be a more common initiator of ploidy increase in human cancers than is appreciated. Beyond the spontaneous fusion of tumor cells, its induction by fusogenic proteins encoded by viruses, such as herpesvirus, might link common infections to tumor development (14). However, the products of cell fusion in human tumors are difficult to distinguish from cytokinesis failure, as each results in the transient appearance of binucleate cells, which become mononucleate upon nuclear fusion or cell division. Heterotypic fusion between tumor cells and nontumor cells can be quantified by examining tumor-specific translocations along with cell lineage markers detected by immunohistochemistry. By this technique, up to 30% of tumor-associated osteoclasts in multiple myeloma were found to be the products of tumor cell and osteoclast fusion, which is postulated to underlie a previously unrecognized mechanism of tumor cell–directed bone destruction (31). However, quantification of homotypic fusion between tumor cells relies on identification of premature chromosome condensation (PCC) as a distinguishing feature. Fusion of a mitotic cell with an interphase cell results in
premature condensation of the chromatin of the interphase nucleus, which appears with morphologic characteristics distinctive from mitotic nuclei, termed PCC. Cells in G1 exhibit PCC with single chromatids, whereas S-phase PCC is described as having a “pulverized” appearance, and G2 nuclei have longer chromatids than mitotic nuclei (32). PCC indicative of cell fusion has been observed in a number of studies and in a range of human cancers from leukemias to various carcinomas (33). Although overall, PCC has been found in a relatively low percentage of cancers in which it has been investigated, this technique may underrepresent cell fusion because it relies on mitotic cells, which are a very small fraction of the total tumor cell population, to be involved for identification. Cell fusion, therefore, could be a common mediator of ploidy increase in human cancers, but the extent of its role remains unclear.

Conclusions

Polyploidy arising from disrupted cytokinesis, defective mitotic entry, or cell fusion, is a known precursor to aneuploidy, which is regarded as a driver of human cancers (Fig. 1). Here, we have discussed some of the evidence that these various forms of polyploid cell induction occur, or are likely to occur, in human cancers. They are each, essentially, means to a similar end with respect to aneuploidy. However, distinguishing features will determine whether, and when, each occurs in various cancers. Some forms are also predicted to have additional effects on tumor progression that could be positively selected; for example, cell fusion combines the phenotypic traits of different cells, and cell cannibalism could provide starving cells with nutrients. Telomere erosion is a known early event preceding cancer development, and like mechanical disruptions of cytokinesis, it requires no other preexisting genetic dysregulations to promote ploidy change, besides p53 loss that is also generally required for the fitness of aneuploid progeny. Cell cannibalism could also occur at early stages of tumor progression, as entosis can occur in culture between cells arising from the first division during attempted colony formation in transformed growth assays. Failure of division of such an engulfing cell could be one mechanism that leads to the formation of polyploid cells in human cancers.
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