RhoB Differentially Controls Akt Function in Tumor Cells and Stromal Endothelial Cells during Breast Tumorigenesis
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Abstract

Tumors are composed of cancer cells but also a larger number of diverse stromal cells in the tumor microenvironment. Stromal cells provide essential supports to tumor pathophysiology but the distinct characteristics of their signaling networks are not usually considered in developing drugs to target tumors. This oversight potentially confounds proof-of-concept studies and increases drug development risks. Here, we show in established murine and human models of breast cancer how differential regulation of Akt by the small GTPase RhoB in cancer cells or stromal endothelial cells determines their dormancy versus outgrowth when angiogenesis becomes critical. In cancer cells in vitro or in vivo, RhoB functions as a tumor suppressor that restricts EGF receptor (EGF-R) cell surface occupancy as well as Akt signaling. However, after activation of the angiogenic switch, RhoB functions as a tumor promoter by sustaining endothelial Akt signaling, growth, and survival of stromal endothelial cells that mediate tumor neoangiogenesis. Altogether, the positive impact of RhoB on angiogenesis and progression supersedes its negative impact in cancer cells themselves. Our findings elucidate the dominant positive role of RhoB in cancer. More generally, they illustrate how differential gene function effects on signaling pathways in the tumor stromal component can complicate the challenge of developing therapeutics to target cancer pathophysiology. Cancer Res; 73(1); 50–61. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Solid tumors are composed of neoplastic epithelial cells that exist in a microenvironment rich in resident fibroblasts, endothelial cells, pericytes, leukocytes, and extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins (1, 2). Both cancer cells and their surrounding stroma undergo dramatic alterations during the two main stages of tumor initiation and progression (3, 4). During initiation, a normal ductal epithelium with a quiescent stroma enters a hyperproliferative stage, with cells that harbor oncogenic mutations in genes promoting cell growth and survival (4). Initiation is mostly induced by the intrinsic properties of the epithelial cells themselves that facilitate transformation. The transformed cells subsequently progress to form a solid tumor that may ultimately acquire the ability to invade and metastasize to distant organs. Unlike initiation, progression is determined primarily by the surrounding stromal microenvironment. Cancer cells produce a range of growth factors and proteases that modify the adjacent stroma to form a permissive and supportive environment for tumor growth known as a reactive tumor stroma (5). One critical modification in the tumor stroma is the angiogenic switch in which proangiogenic molecules secreted by tumor cells recruit endothelial cells to the growing tumor to drive neovascularization. Thus, crosstalk between tumor cells and their surrounding stromal cells drives progression. Elucidating the character of the tumor-promoting signaling pathways that are present in both neoplastic cells and their stromal compartments is critical to define valid therapeutic targets, develop effective drugs and understand their mechanism of action.

RhoB is a member of the family of small GTPases that is distinguished from other Rho proteins by its subcellular localization in endosomes, Golgi-associated vesicles and the nucleus (6–8). In nontumor cells, RhoB controls the subcellular trafficking of important signaling molecules such as EGF receptor (EGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), and Src between endosomes and other intracellular compartments (9–11). RhoB is also a sensor of cellular stress and is part of the immediate early response to EGF, TGF-β and Src activation (12). Thus, RhoB is...
considered a modifier of growth factor signals that are associated with cellular stresses coupled to neoplastic transformation (13). Alterations in RhoB expression have been detected in a number of human cancers. For example, RhoB expression is reduced significantly in invasive and poorly differentiated head and neck squamous cell carcinomas compared with normal epithelium (14). Similarly, expression of RhoB in lung and gastric cancers is diminished markedly compared to nonneoplastic tissues, and, moreover, overexpression of RhoB significantly inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of gastric cancer cells in vitro (15, 16). Similar findings have been reported in ovarian adenocarcinomas (17). Cumulatively, these results support the concept that RhoB functions as a tumor suppressor or negative modifier gene in cancer (18).

In both tumor cells and stromal endothelial cells, RhoB function has been linked to the regulation of PI3K/Akt survival pathways (7, 19). PI3K/Akt signaling is crucial for tumor progression insofar as numerous genetic lesions have been discovered in Akt signaling components in human breast cancers and other solid tumors (20). In stromal endothelial cells, loss of RhoB decreases Akt phosphorylation and blocks its nuclear translocation (7). In tumor cells, regulation of the Akt signaling axis by RhoB controls invasion and migration (21, 22). However, a fully integrated view of how RhoB acts in vivo in tumor cells and stromal cells has yet to be developed. In this study, we show how RhoB differentially regulates the Akt pathway in neoplastic tumor cells versus stromal endothelial cells. Strikingly, the stromal effects of RhoB in the tumor vasculature override the effects of RhoB in tumor cells, such that the net in vivo effect is a marked reduction in the rate of tumorigenesis when RhoB is missing, challenging the prevailing view that this gene acts chiefly as a suppressor function in cancer.

Materials and Methods

Mice

Transgenic MMTV-PyT+/− males (Jackson Labs; ref. 23) were crossed with RhoB+/− females (12). Resulting double heterozygotes were crossed to obtain the required genotypes (MMTV-PyT+/−/RhoB+/−, MMTV-PyT+/−/RhoB−/−, MMTV-PyT+/−/RhoB−/−) in females. For mammary gland transplantation, NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid mice (NOD/SCID; Jackson labs) were crossed with 129/Sv/Prkdcscid females (12). Resulting double heterozygotes were crossed to obtain Prkdcscid/Prkdcscid/RhoB−/− or Prkdcscid/Prkdcscid/RhoB+/− mice, which in turn were crossed to get Prkdcscid/Prkdcscid/RhoB+/−, Prkdcscid/Prkdcscid/RhoB−/−, or Prkdcscid/Prkdcscid/RhoB+/− mice. Transplantations were carried out with modifications of previous procedures (24). For orthotopic injection of tumor cells into severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice, 3 × 10^6 cells (MMTV-RhoB cells or MDA-MB-231) were embedded in Matrigel Matrix (BD Biosciences) and injected into the inguinal gland (no. 4). All tumors were measured with a caliper and total tumor volume was determined using the following formula: volume = (4/3)πr^2 × (1/2 × smaller diameter)^2 (1/2 × larger diameter). All studies were conducted in compliance with the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Lankenau Institute Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines (Boston, MA).

Human cells and shRNA

MDA-MB-231 cells obtained from American Type Culture Collection and authenticated by this established provider were cultured for less than 6 months after resuscitation in the laboratory in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) 4.5 g/L glucose containing 10% FBS. Proliferation assays were conducted using the MTT kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). Migration assays were conducted using a Transwell assay for 4 hours (Corning). Colony formation in soft agar was conducted by resuspending 5 × 10^5 cells per well in culture medium containing 0.5% agar and 10% serum, and then plating onto a bottom layer of 1% agar in 6-well plates. 293T cells were used for production of short hairpin RNA (shRNA) purchased from The RNAi Consortium (TRC) at Broad Institute (Cambridge, MA) using recommended procedures (25). The targeting sequences of these constructs are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Mouse primary tumor cells

Primary mouse tumor cells were isolated as described previously (26). Anchorage-independent cell growth was conducted as described on the nonadhesive substrate polyHEMA (Sigma; ref. 27). Cell proliferation was assessed using the BrdUrd assay from Roche. Three-dimensional (3D) morphogenesis assays in growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) were conducted as previously described (28, 29).

Mouse primary tumor endothelial cells

Tumors were collected in a petri dish, washed with cold Hank’s balanced salt solution containing antibiotics, finely minced and digested in 0.2% Worthington type I collagenase, 0.1% trypsin, and resuspended in PBS with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The filtrate was incubated with magnetic beads (Invitrogen) conjugated to anti-mouse CD31 antibody (BD Pharmingen) for 15 minutes. The beads were washed vigorously 6 to 8 times in 0.1% BSA/PBS using the MPC magnet (Invitrogen) and plated on gelatin coated plates in high glucose DMEM supplemented with 25 mg Endothelial Cell Mitogen (Biomedical Technologies, Inc.), 100 µg/mL heparin, 20% FBS, and nonessential amino acids. At 80% confluence, cells were removed and incubated with beads conjugated to anti-mouse ICAM-2 (BD Pharmingen). Endothelial cell identity was confirmed by Dil-actylated Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL) fluorescence (Biomedical Technologies, Inc).

Whole-mount staining

Mammary glands were removed at 5 weeks of development and spread on a glass slide. After fixation for 4 hours in Carnoy’s solution (10% glacial acetic acid, 30% chloroform, and 60% ethanol), they were rehydrated by washing sequentially in 70%, 50%, and 25% EtOH and then in H2O for 5 minutes. Each gland was stained overnight with carmine.
alum (Sigma), dehydrated in EtOH, cleared in xylene, and mounted in permamount (Fisher Scientific).

**Immunoblot and immunoprecipitation**

Western blot analysis was conducted using antibodies against phospho-Akt 5473 (Cell signaling), total Akt (Cell signaling), phospho-EGFR Tyr1068 (Cell signaling), total EGFR (Cell signaling), and α-tubulin (Calbiochem). For immunoprecipitation, cell extracts were prepared in lysis buffer (150 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP-40 and 0.5% deoxycholic acid, 1 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 200 mmol/L NaF, 50 mmol/L calyculin, and phosphatase/protease inhibitors). Immunoprecipitations were conducted with pAktS473 antibody (Cell Signaling) and a 50:50 mix of protein G and protein A Sepharose (GE), then analyzed by immunoblotting with antibody against Akt1, 2, or 3 (Cell Signaling Technology).

**Immunostaining**

Costaining of SMA (Sigma) and CD31 (Pharmingen) were conducted on 5-micron frozen sections using standard methods. Samples were mounted with Prolong Gold anti-fade mounting media containing 4’, 6 diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen). Confocal images were taken using the Zeiss LSM510 confocal system and quantified using the Velocity software (Perkin Elmer).

**In situ hybridization**

*In situ* hybridization protocol has been previously detailed (30). Use of human tissue was approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

**Quantitative RT-PCR for gene expression**

Tumor was collected in RNAlater solution (Ambion) over-night at 4°C for total RNA extraction using RNAeasy Fibrous Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen). cDNA were prepared from 0.8 µg total RNA using random hexamers as templates (Applied Biosystem kit). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was carried out on an ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Cycling conditions were conducted as described previously (31). Multiple samples from independent tumors were run in triplicate. Primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1. VE-cadherin and gliceraddehyde-3 phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were used as references for quantification of blood vessels RNA fraction and total RNA, respectively. The multigene transcriptional profiling method was used to determine mRNA copies per cell as described previously (32, 33).

**Flow cytometry**

Cells (5 × 10^5) were dissociated using 5 mmol/L EDTA, blocked in cold PBS with 3% BSA for 30 minutes, and incubated with IMC-ME1 antibody at 10 µg/mL for 1 hour on ice. After washing, cells were incubated with phycoerythin-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch) for an additional 1 hour on ice, and then analyzed for IMC-ME1 surface binding using flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson FACS/Aria system). Data were analyzed using FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc.)

**Results**

**RhoB is elevated in tumor blood vessels but less frequently expressed in tumor cells**

There is considerable evidence that RhoB functions as a tumor suppressor in cancer cells where its expression correlates inversely with tumor aggressiveness (34). To begin to evaluate the overall contributions of RhoB during tumorigenesis *in vivo*, we investigated RhoB expression in tumor cells and the adjacent stroma of human breast tumor specimens using RNA *in situ* hybridization. Among the set of tumor specimens examined, 6 of 8 contained invasive ductal carcinoma with 2 of those 6 also containing ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS). RhoB expression was detected in tumor cells in 4 of 6 of the invasive ductal carcinomas and 1 of 2 of the DCIS, whereas expression was lower in adjacent normal ductal epithelium. RhoB is expressed in the endothelial vasculature during neoangiogenesis in nonmalignant settings (7), so endothelial cells in the tumor and adjacent normal tissues were examined. Notably, all the breast tumor specimens showed elevated RhoB expression in tumor-associated blood vessels, with lower expression observed in blood vessels from adjacent normal tissue. Figure 1 shows antisense RNA hybridization images for adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 1A and B), tumor tissue and tumor-associated blood vessels (Fig. 1C and D), and sense RNA probe controls (Fig. 1E and F). These results suggested that RhoB expression was uniformly increased in tumor blood vessels. These findings agreed with a published dataset (35) of gene expression in 5 invasive ductal carcinomas and 5 invasive lobular carcinomas, where our bioinformatics analysis indicated that 8 of 10 breast tumor specimens displayed higher RhoB expression. Overall, it seemed that RhoB expression is commonly increased in the blood vessels of breast tumors, suggesting the hypothesis that RhoB may exert a role in the tumor vasculature that is distinct from its role in tumor cells.

**RhoB loss increases tumor initiation and tumor cell growth**

To dissect the functional role of RhoB during breast cancer development, we used the well-established MMTV-PyT and MMTV-myc mouse models of spontaneous breast cancer (23). MMTV-PyT mice were interbred with RhoB null mice and breast tumorigenesis was evaluated in the backcrossed offspring. An evaluation of whole-mount staining of mammary fat pads revealed an increase in the number of early tumor lesions in RhoB^−/− animals (average of 10 lesions per fat pad) compared with RhoB^+/− animals (average of 0.5 lesions per fat pad; Fig. 2A (a and b)). We next isolated cells from the arising tumors and evaluated their growth *in vitro*. Three-dimensional growth of RhoB^−/− tumor cells in Matrigel/collagen revealed an enhanced growth in acini compared with control RhoB^+/− cells (Fig. 2B (a and b)). Similarly, RhoB^−/− tumor cells survived and proliferated under anchorage-independent conditions, whereas RhoB^+/− cells did not survive or grow in the same assay (Fig. 2C). The level of cell proliferation in RhoB^−/− tumor cell cultures was assessed using BrdUrd analysis (Fig. 2D). The results indicated a much higher proliferation rate in RhoB^−/− tumor cells compared with RhoB^+/+ cells. Although both
RhoB\(^{-/-}\) and RhoB\(^{+/+}\) tumor cells displayed increased proliferation at 72 hours, compared with 48 hours, RhoB\(^{-/-}\) tumor cells displayed higher levels of proliferation at both time points.

Similar findings were obtained in experiments in human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells where RhoB expression was inhibited by shRNA-mediated silencing (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S2A). RhoB downregulation elicited a marked phenotypic change in 3D Matrigel cultures such that highly branched and invasive structures were decreased and replaced with proliferative foci of tumor cells (Fig. 3A). Notably, this phenotypic change was associated with decreased invasion of the Matrigel matrix. In considering these characteristics in two-dimensional (2D) cell cultures, we found that RhoB-silenced cells were less migratory in Transwell assays (Fig. 3B) and more proliferative in MTT assays (Fig. 3C). The invasive phenotype seen in 3D Matrigel/collagen cell cultures were difficult to quantify in comparison with the loss-of-function phenotype, due to the differently shaped colonies observed, so this culture system was used to qualitatively evaluate the impact of RhoB. To quantify differences in 3D cell growth, we took advantage of agar 3D cell culture assays where invasion is inhibited, resulting in cell colonies that were similarly shaped and more readily compared in size (Fig. 3D (a and b)). In these cultures, we observed a significant increase in the size of colonies with loss of RhoB.

We further confirmed our findings using the MMTV-myc mouse model of breast cancer where expression of c-Myc is under the control of the MMTV promoter/enhancer (36). Consistent with observations in the MMTV-PyT/RhoB\(^{-/-}\) mice, loss of RhoB increased tumor incidence in MMTV-Myc/RhoB\(^{-/-}\) mice (Supplementary Fig. S1A) such that the occurrence of tumor-free mice was more common in nullizygous mice (\(n = 34\)) than control heterozygous mice (\(n = 27\); Supplementary Fig. S1A). In addition, there was a significant difference in tumor onset in virgin females between MMTV-myc/RhoB\(^{-/-}\) (14.8%, \(n = 37\)) and MT-myc/RhoB\(^{-/-}\) (26.5%, \(n = 34\)) mice (Supplementary Fig. S1B). The frequency of early ductal hyperplastic lesions in nullizygous virgin female mice (88.9%, \(n = 9\)) was significantly greater than heterozygous mice (11.1%, \(n = 9\); Supplementary Fig. S1C, panels a and b). In vitro, there was increased growth of acini in 3D cultures that was evident with nullizygous tumor cells (Supplementary Fig. S1D). Finally, we found that MMTV-myc/RhoB\(^{-/-}\) cells also grew relatively more aggressively under anchorage-independent conditions (Supplementary Fig. S1E). In summary, our findings in multiple mouse and human models supported the conclusion that RhoB acts primarily as a suppressor function \textit{in vivo} during the initial stages of breast tumorigenesis.

**RhoB loss enhances Akt signaling in breast tumor cells**

In previous work, we showed that RhoB positively regulates Akt activity and signaling in nontumor endothelial cells (7). Yet studies in human tumor cells have implicated RhoB as a suppressor gene that downregulates growth factor-mediated Akt signaling (37). Here, we sought to determine whether differential alterations in Akt activity contributed to the observed tumor suppressor function of RhoB we documented in our breast cancer models. Increased levels of activated phospho-Akt isoform (pAkt) were detected in tumor tissues isolated from RhoB\(^{-/-}\) mice, compared with control animals (Fig. 4A). Similarly, in tumor cells isolated from RhoB\(^{-/-}\) tumors, we observed an increase in basal pAkt compared with cells isolated from wild-type animals, and this could be further increased by IGF-1 or EGF stimulation (Fig. 4B). Similar results were obtained in MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 cells, where shRNA-mediated or antisense-mediated knockdown of RhoB expression, respectively, enhanced levels of basal pAkt compared with control cells (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3). To determine which Akt isoforms contributed to the increased levels of total phosphorylated Akt and to the phenotypes produced by RhoB deficiency \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}, we conducted an immunoprecipitation analysis of pAkt-S473 followed by specific immunoblotting for Akt1, Akt2, or Akt3. In tumor cells from RhoB\(^{-/-}\) mice, as well as in MDA-MB-231 cells...
transduced with RhoB shRNA, we observed enhanced phosphorylation of S473 in all 3 Akt isoforms (Fig. 4D). In Akt knockdown experiments, we evaluated their individual contributions to tumor cell phenotypes by using shRNAs designed to selectively silence each Akt isoform in RhoB+/+ and RhoB−/− mouse tumor cells (Supplementary Figs. S4 and S5). Paralleling the results in MDA-MB-231 cells, we found that silencing any one of the 3 Akt isoforms was sufficient to ameliorate the increased growth of RhoB−/− acini in 3D cultures (Fig. 4E and F). Quantification of the acini sizes confirmed an increase in the size of RhoB+/+ acini (22426.81 Pixel² vs. 9481.53 Pixel²) and revealed the significant reduction in size sustained after depletion of the Akt1, Akt2, or Akt3 isoforms (8688.84, 12208.94, and 12427.88 pixel², respectively). Thus, we concluded that RhoB loss was sufficient to elevate the levels of phosphorylation in all Akt isoforms, suggesting effects on a redundant rather than nonredundant function of these isoforms that contributed to a more aggressive growth phenotype.

RhoB loss delays breast tumor progression

Having established that RhoB loss promoted the initiation and early growth of breast tumors, we went on to assess its effects on tumor angiogenesis and progression after activation of the angiogenic switch. Strikingly, the greater number of early lesions in RhoB-deficient mice did not convert at later times to a greater tumor burden. Specifically, we found that the appearance of palpable tumors was markedly delayed in MMTV-PyT and MMTV-myc mice when RhoB was deleted (Fig. 5A and data not shown). In addition, at the endpoint of the experiment, RhoB-deficient mice displayed small, slow growing tumors, whereas the tumor burden of RhoB-expressing animals was much larger (Fig. 5B). Because RhoB was deleted in both the tumor and stromal compartments, we reasoned that it may exert different competing biologic functions in these compartments, as hinted by the opposite directions of pAkt regulation we had observed in tumor cells versus endothelial cells. Indeed, by establishing that RhoB loss retards angiogenic sprouting in normal tissues, a previous study had hinted that

Figure 2. RhoB loss promotes the growth of breast tumor cells. A, mammary glands from 8-week-old mice are shown (a) and mean number of lesions graphed (b). n, the number of fat pads that were stained. B, 3D culture of primary tumor cells at 16 days (a). Acini size was quantified by ImageJ software (b). C, tumor cells counted from 0 to 72 hours on polyHEMA-coated dishes. D, BrdUrd incorporation for 48 hours of incubation for RhoB+/+ and RhoB−/− cells in 5% serum. See also Supplementary Fig. S1. P values were calculated with Prism4 by an unpaired 2-tailed test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
tumor neoangiogenesis might also be similarly impaired (7). In support of this likelihood, tumors stained with CD31 to mark endothelial cells and α smooth muscle actin (SMA) to mark pericytes revealed a general deficiency in the tumor vascular network in RhoB−/− animals consistent with a defect in sprouting angiogenesis (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, whole tumor mRNA analysis revealed significantly lower levels of endothelial-specific VE-cadherin mRNA in RhoB-deficient mice, another indication of fewer endothelial cells in the tumor tissue (Fig. 5D). We isolated endothelial cells from tumors growing in RhoB+/+ and RhoB−/− mice to assess pS473 levels in Akt expressed in tumor endothelial cells (Fig. 5E). As expected, a dose-dependent decrease was documented in pAkt that was associated with allelic losses in RhoB−/−, RhoB+/−, and RhoB−/− tumor endothelial cells. There were no dramatic changes in the expression of Akt isoforms detected at the RNA level in endothelial cells taken from RhoB−/− mouse lung (Fig. 5F).

In summary, we concluded that a loss of Akt phosphorylation rather than expression in endothelial cells may contribute to the angiogenic failure in RhoB-null tumors.

Benefits of RhoB loss to tumor cell growth are subordinate to angiogenic limitations in the tumor stromal compartment

Given the differential functions of RhoB seen on tumor cells in vitro where loss of RhoB increased cell growth contrasted with the slowed overall tumor grown in the RhoB-null MMTV-PyT mice, we hypothesized that the impact of RhoB on stromal functions may be dominant to its tumor cell autonomous functions. To examine this hypothesis, we conducted reciprocal transplants of tumor and host RhoB genotypes. We observed consistent results regardless of whether minced tumor pieces or primary tumor cells grown in culture were used as orthotopic transplants. We first compared the growth RhoB+/+ tumor grafted into RhoB nullizygous or heterozygous hosts. Notably, tumor growth was restricted in nullizygous hosts, establishing that the RhoB+/−/− stroma was sufficient to enforce a restriction in tumor growth (Fig. 6A). Specifically, there was a delay in the appearance of palpable tumors in RhoB−/−/− stromal microenvironment leading to a reduction in overall tumor size at 35 and 50 days after implantation. This difference diminished by approximately 80 days after implantation, suggesting the imposition of a selection by the microenvironment for the emergence of properties that could overcome the antiangiogenic barrier that the microenvironment initially enforced (Fig. 6A). A breakdown in the rate of tumor growth at early time points (0–50 days) versus later time points (60–80 days) showed that the barrier conferred by the RhoB−/− stroma is active against the initial phases of growth rather than the later stages of progression. Therefore, we interpreted the delay as one that could trigger the angiogenic switch, as once the tumor vasculature was established in the RhoB−/− hosts,
tumor growth proceeded similarly to that observed in wild-type hosts. Overall, these findings are consistent with previous work defining the critical role of RhoB in sprouting, the earliest and first steps in neoangiogenesis (7).

We further addressed this question by conducting a reciprocal experiment in which RhoB−/− tumors were grown in wild-type hosts. In this case, RhoB−/−/− mouse tumor cells and RhoB-silenced MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells both exhibited a delay in progression, even in a RhoB+/+ microenvironment, despite having exhibited enhanced growth in cell culture (Fig. 6B and C). Thus, it was clear that the effects of RhoB on tumor growth could not be explained simply by its cell-autonomous contribution in endothelial cells. Instead, the results implied that the loss of RhoB in cancer cells conferred a nonautonomous effect(s) on the stromal compartment that was sufficient to restrain tumor growth. Indeed, an examination of the vascular bed in these tumors revealed a marked decrease in CD31 staining, showing a reduction in neoangiogenesis (Fig. 6D). This result was not surprising, because the secretion of proangiogenic signals by tumor cells is known to be essential to recruit endothelial cells to the tumor mass and to vascularize it (4). In assessing the likelihood that RhoB−/− tumors may have a deficiency in such signals, we documented a significant decrease in the expression of VEGF-A that is pivotal to tumor neoangiogenesis (Fig. 6E). In profiling gene expression in nullizygous and control wild-type breast tumor tissues, and in cells derived from those tumors, we also documented a reproducible decrease in expression of PDGF-β and thrombospondin1 (THBS1) and a reproducible increase in stem cell factor 1 (SCF1), the c-KIT ligand, in cells where RhoB was deleted (Supplementary Table S3). To evaluate whether increased Akt activity could explain the downstream gene expression changes, we also profiled gene expression in tumor cells silenced for Akt1, Akt2, or Akt3. Unlike the general impact of each Akt isoform on 3D tumor growth, only SCF1 seemed to be altered by Akt2 silencing in RhoB−/− cells. In summary, we concluded that the delays in tumor progression caused by RhoB deficiency reflected both direct and indirect effects on tumor angiogenesis, involving both Akt-dependent and Akt-independent pathways.

**RhoB deficiency in breast tumor cells increases expression of EGFR**

RhoB localizes to endosomes and regulates endocytic trafficking of the EGFR (10, 38). A reduction in endocytic removal of active ligand-receptor complex from the cell surface is the chief process through which signal transduction by tyrosine kinase receptors is amplified (39). For these reasons, we investigated whether loss of RhoB affected the level of EGFR on the cell surface in our breast cancer models, as one way to
Stimulate Akt signaling. Immunoblot analysis showed an increased steady-state level of EGFR in mammary tumor cells isolated from RhoB+/−/− mice that were maintained in full growth media in 2D cell cultures (Fig. 7A). The enhancement to EGFR expression occurred at the steady-state protein level, as we did not see significant changes at the mRNA level (Fig. 7B). However, as the immunoblot analysis did not indicate where the increase in protein levels occurred, we conducted fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis to examine the levels of EGFR expression on the cell surface. We observed that RhoB loss increased the cell surface expression level of EGFR in both mouse tumor cells and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 7C and Supplementary Fig. S6). Together, these results indicated that the increased levels of EGFR caused by RhoB loss were also reflected by an increase at the cell surface, most likely reflecting the defect in intracellular trafficking produced by RhoB loss described previously (10).

To determine whether the increased accumulation of EGFR on the cell surface contributed to the enhancement of Akt activation and proliferation rate caused by RhoB loss, we examined the effects of a ligand blocking antibody (ME1) that specifically recognizes murine EGFR. Western blot analysis showed a higher level of pEGFR-Tyr 1068 in RhoB+/−/− cells (Fig. 7D). Although ME1 addition to cell cultures reduced the level of pEGFR-Tyr 1068 in both RhoB+/−/− and RhoB−/− cells, there was no effect on the levels of pAkt-S473. Cell proliferation assays based on BrdUrd incorporation or growth in 3D culture confirmed that ME1 addition could proportionately reduce proliferation of both RhoB+/−/− and RhoB−/− mouse tumor cells (Fig. 7E and F). Therefore, while pEGFR elevation could activate Akt signaling and cell proliferation, and RhoB loss could elevate the levels of pEGFR, it was evident that pEGFR elevation was not the sole mechanism through which RhoB modulated the Akt pathway.

Discussion

The stress-inducible RhoB gene is frequently attenuated in tumor cells with most studies finding an association between its reduced expression and increased tumor aggressiveness (15–17). The identity of specific modifiers that modulate RhoB expression during cancer development remain largely unknown. To better understand how RhoB expression levels influence tumor progression, we used the RhoB null background to determine the role of RhoB expression in regulating tumor growth and angiogenesis in a murine breast cancer model.
undefined. Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) in the human RhoB gene has been reported to correlate with poorer outcomes in lung cancer (40). Transcriptional variations have been linked to epigenetic modifications of the promoter, with polymorphisms in this region implicated in the heterogeneity of RhoB expression in human populations as well as mechanisms for cancer-related silencing events that impact chemotherapeutic responses (41–43). Our present study provides new insights into the functional consequences of such changes in various well-established models of breast cancer.

Our investigations of early tumor lesions in these models support previous studies that indicate RhoB attenuation is sufficient to increase tumor aggressiveness (12). However, the more expanded scope of our investigations into how RhoB affects tumor progression challenge the generally held interpretation of a solely cell autonomous function that acts as a pivotal regulator of overall tumor growth. Indeed, while suppression of cell growth by RhoB was critical during the early stages of cell transformation in mouse models, we found that later in progression it exerted a distinct cell nonautonomous impact on the stromal microenvironment that was dominant to its cell autonomous impact. This perspective gained from murine models was confirmed in studies of the highly invasive and metastatic human MDA-MB-231 breast cells, where we found that RhoB silencing heightened the proliferative phenotype, in support of studies of RhoB in other types of human cancer cells (34). Because small-molecule–based therapeutic interventions necessarily target both tumor and stroma, in the many types of human tumor cells where RhoB is attenuated, the primary benefit of inhibiting its primary Akt effector signals would be to block stromal activities that are essential to support angiogenesis. In broader terms, our findings illustrate how a putative suppressor function thought to be solely cancer cell-centric in nature may also be cell nonautonomous and tumor promoting in nature, as a result of crosstalk with tumor stromal components that dominate tumor growth, such as the case with RhoB and its Akt effector pathways in the tumor vasculature.

Our work deepens the concept that the early response stress-activated protein RhoB is a pivotal modulator of Akt signaling in cancer pathobiology. In epithelial cancer cells, we learned that silencing individual Akt isoforms was sufficient to ablate the proliferative benefits conferred by RhoB loss. Thus, RhoB seemed to affect the redundant functions rather than nonredundant functions of Akt isoforms, perhaps by affecting regulators common to each. In endothelial stromal cells, we observed decreased phosphorylated Akt, consistent with earlier results in retinal endothelial cells where loss of RhoB also reduced levels of Akt activation (7). While we do not yet fully understand the basis by which RhoB modulates Akt activity, this work sets a precedent for differential responses to stress pathways between tumor cells and endothelial cells or other cell types in tumors.

An additional general implication of our work is it reveals a fundamental molecular difference in the wiring of signaling pathways in endothelium and cancer cells, which heretofore have been generally assumed to be similar in organization and functional output. This core distinction likely has its roots in
the differential response of the endothelium to metabolic stresses such as glucose or oxygen deprivation, which promote growth and angiogenesis in opposition to the cell stasis or autophagic responses triggered by these conditions in cancer cells or other nonendothelial cells. This distinction speaks to the core function of the vasculature in providing access to nutrients by expansion in times of nutrient deprivation. In establishing a critical function for RhoB in tumor angiogenesis, our work points to future work in determining how this small GTPase influences responses to hypoxia and glucose deprivation, stresses of central relevance to cancer pathophysiology that other recent studies suggest RhoB may control (44, 45). Our findings reinforce the concept that genetic validation of a candidate target in the tumor stroma is critical to monitor,
as effects at this level may dominate the desired effects on tumor cells and alter interpretations of what constitutes a suitable therapeutic candidate. In summary, we have shown that RhoB differentially influences tumorigenesis in tumor cells and vascular cells, showing that the latter effects are dominant in terms of disease pathobiology. The unexpected differential phenotype of in vivo tumor progression versus in vitro transformation assays and 3D growth underscores the importance of exploring gene function in a full in vivo context that includes the tumor microenvironment, when contemplating therapeutic intervention.
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