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Abstract

High frequency of cytidine to thymidine conversions was identified in the genome of several types of cancer cells. In breast cancer cells, these mutations are clustered in long DNA regions associated with single-strand DNA (ssDNA), double-strand DNA breaks (DSB), and genomic rearrangements. The observed mutational pattern resembles the deamination signature of cytidine to uridine carried out by members of the APOBEC3 family of cellular deaminases. Consistently, APOBEC3B (A3B) was recently identified as the mutational source in breast cancer cells. A3G is another member of the cytidine deaminases family predominantly expressed in lymphoma cells, where it is involved in mutational DSB repair following ionizing radiation treatments. This activity provides us with a new paradigm for cancer cell survival and tumor promotion and a mechanistic link between ssDNA, DSBs, and clustered mutations. Cancer Res; 73(12): 3494–8. ©2013 AACR.
These findings are strongly supported by the mutational signature in yeast cells proliferating under chronic DNA-damaging conditions and in other human malignant tumors (18). In both these highly divergent biologic systems, mutations were clustered in long ssDNA regions associated with double-strand DNA breaks (DSB) and genomic rearrangements. In agreement with Nik-Zainal and colleagues (17, 18), Roberts and colleagues (18) claimed that "once a mutagen is present (methyl-methane-sulfonate in yeast or potentially APOBEC in cancers), the limiting factor in cluster formation seems to be the formation of ssDNA, where the length of ssDNA region and the time it persists are the key parameters determining the cluster's mutation density and length". Both reports elicited the signature of the predominant mutations assigned for APOBEC3 deamination activity. A solid support to this assumption was recently provided by Burns and colleagues (19), identifying A3B as a mutational source in breast cancer cells.

Concurrently with the above mentioned reports, we described a novel role for A3G in promoting DSB repair in lymphoma cells, which is ssDNA cytidine deamination dependent (20). The biochemical characteristics of A3G provide the mechanistic platform for the genetic alterations observed in yeast and cancer cells: (i) A3G conducts cytidine deamination on ssDNA, and it is able to interact with the extreme cytosine of the ssDNA 3'-terminus. (ii) A3G undergoes intersegmental transfer on ssDNA, a translocation mechanism involving simultaneous binding of two long or short ssDNA segments, directing dispersed hypermutation of cytidine targets. This intersegmental mechanism could be responsible for the appearance of expanded regions of hypermutation, referred to as clusters or "Kataegis." (iii) A3G juxtaposes two 3' ssDNA termini with minimal terminal microhomology. (iv) A3G mixed with HeLa whole-cell extracts efficiently supports the end joining of linearized plasmids. Association of A3G with ssDNA ends, together with its ability to mediate interstrand synapsis, led us to speculate that A3G may promote ssDNA end joining. Hence, the formation of end synapses and overlapping microhomology, as well as chromosomal translocations described in yeast cells, breast cancer, and lymphomas (17, 18, 21), could be caused by these APOBEC protein activities.

A3G was recently shown to activate ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) DNA damage checkpoint kinase in HIV-1-infected cells containing deaminated viral DNA (22). High expression of A3G in B cells of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with anthracycline-containing chemotherapy was associated with poor survival (23), suggesting that A3G may promote DNA repair. Several types of cancer cells, such as lymphoma and myeloma cells, display efficient repair of genomic DSBs induced by ionizing radiation (IR) and enhanced cell survival after IR treatment. Interestingly, these cells were found to express high levels of A3G in comparison with their related normal cells. In response to IR, A3G accumulates transiently in the nuclei of these cells and is recruited to DSB repair foci. Consistent with a direct role in DSB repair, inhibition of A3G expression, or its deaminase activity, resulted in reduced DSB repair, whereas reconstitution of A3G expression in A3G-deficient leukemia cells enhanced DSB repair. To confirm the hypothesis that A3G activity is involved in repairing DSBs, an integrated reporter cassette containing an ISceI restriction site was used. Activation of ISceI restriction enzyme in A3G-deficient cells induces a DSB that is repaired mainly by nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), and approximately 10% of DSBs are repaired by homologous recombination (HR; ref. 24). In A3G-expressing cells, the number of DSBs repaired by HR mechanisms was reduced, whereas mutagenic end-joining increased. These results identified A3G as a component that promotes DSB repair by NHEJ or microhomology-mediated end joining (MMEJ; ref. 20).

A3G deaminates a CpC target motif (in which the underlined C is preferentially deaminated), whereas the other APOBEC3 enzymes preferentially use TpC ssDNA as a substrate (25, 26). Although the APOBEC3 signature described in breast cancer cells points to APOBEC3 activities distinguished from those of A3G, we speculate that other members of the APOBEC family, predominantly those with two zinc-finger domains (A3B, A3D, A3G, and A3F) generally act as cell-specific prosurvival factors. These APOBEC3 proteins may rescue cells from the frequently occurring and highly genotoxic DSB lesions in genomic DNA, via the mechanism we propose for A3G. For instance, A3B is overexpressed in breast cancer cells, and is presumably responsible for many of the C-to-T somatic mutations in these tumor cells (19). Recruitment of APOBEC3 enzymes to the DSB site to participate in DSB repair (see below) could, in turn, insert mutation via deamination. Indeed, two reports show association between genomic DNA rearrangements and hypermutation regions of "Kataegis" (17, 18). The fact that the APOBEC3 genes have been selected toward multiplication along evolution (primates/humans encode AID, A1, A2, A4, and seven APOBEC3 proteins, four of which have two zinc fingers, whereas fish and birds express only AID and APOBEC2; ref. 26) justifies the multiplication and maintenance of promiscuous and active deaminases in primates despite the potential threat of mutational insertion.

Figure 1 depicts a model that may provide the mechanistic framework for the function of APOBEC3 proteins in the setting of genomic DNA breaks in human cells. Moreover, the results described by Nowarski and colleagues and Burns and colleagues (19, 20) and the model described here may shed light on the mechanism of somatic mutations in breast cancer cells (17, 18). The cell-cycle phase and the nature of the DSB lesions determine the DSB repair pathway (27, 28). NHEJ and MMEJ repair DSBs occurring in all cell-cycle phases and represent the major pathways in G1, whereas HR functions mainly in G2–S phases. Simple DSBs may be repaired by direct ligation via the NHEJ machinery while the breaks that often appear in breast cancer cells or are introduced by IR and chemotherapies may require end-processing by nucleolytic end-resection of ssDNA at the DSB, affecting the recruitment of NHEJ, MMEJ, and HR factors. C-to-U mutations along the resected ssDNA may lead to formation of a base-excision repair (BER) complex containing RPA and UNG2 that bind directly to deoxy-uridine (dU; refs. 29, 30). UNG2 preferentially deglycosylates dU residing on ssDNA. The UNG2 activity forms an abasic site (31, 32), which
may lead to ssDNA cleavage by the MRN complex (33). In the case of A3G, BER-mediated cleavage of deaminated ssDNA will generate 3’ ssDNA ending with two or more cytidines. This process can facilitate the search for a homologous micro-polyguanine tract, promoting A3G-mediated MMEJ.

DSB end-processing proceeds by a two-stage mechanism initiated by the MRN-CtIP complex that generates short 3’-terminal ssDNA overhangs, which are the substrates for the major NHEJ factor DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) complex. These overhangs are then extended by Exo1 and/or BLM helicase to generate extensive ssDNA tracts several kb long, the substrate for HR factors such as Rad51 and Rad52 (34–39). Extensive DSB resection therefore commits DSB repair to HR (40–42). The kinetics of A3G recruitment to DSB foci assigns A3G as a factor associated with persistent DSBs, which are not efficiently repaired by NHEJ (20). Furthermore, the DSB frequency in A3G-depleted cells was comparable with parental cells at early time points following IR, suggesting that A3G is not involved in the classical NHEJ pathway. Taken together with the association of mutation clusters density with ssDNA length and persisting time (18), it is therefore plausible that A3G engages DSBs in the process of repair by HR. Binding of HR mediators occurs following extensive DSB end-resection, estimated to generate an average of 2–4 kb long ssDNA in each side of the break (34). The propensity of

A3G to form high-order homomultimers in cells and its ability to simultaneously bind two ssDNA segments both terminally and internally may directly promote HR by juxtaposing resected ssDNA termini to homologous ssDNA regions. It is also plausible that such synapses control the proximity of broken DNA fragments following DSB resection, thus affecting adventitious repair resulting in chromosomal translocation. Alternatively, cytidine deamination may enhance binding of HR factors such as RPA, as recently shown for AID (43). However, we observed a cytidine deamination–dependent shift from HR- to end-joining–mediated repair in irradiated lymphoma cells. This may be the result of resected ssDNA trimming that favors reassociation of the NHEJ/MMEJ machinery over HR mediators, as discussed above. Similarly, the observed elevated frequency of mutations and microhomology-mediated indels in BRCA1- or BRCA2-mutant tumors (17) reflects the increased use of MMEJ instead of the impaired HR DSB repair in these breast cancer cells (44, 45). The impaired DSB repair in BRCA1- or BRCA2-mutant tumors is also consistent with the elevated frequency of C>T, G>A mutations induced by A3B (19).

In summary, A3G and probably other APOBEC proteins may promote DSB repair by direct end synopsis and cytidine deamination–dependent cleavage of resected ssDNA, facilitating MMEJ-directed repair and/or recruitment of MRN-ATM.
Hence, APOBEC3 proteins play a dual role in promoting survival of cells in vivo, first by enhancing DSB repair following genotoxic treatments or spontaneous breaks, thus preventing cell death, and, second, by promoting a mutator phenotype that drives tumor progression (46, 47, 48). Inhibition of APOBEC3 expression and/or its catalytic activity would increase sensitivity to genotoxic agents and restrain the progressive accumulation of mutations, which is one of the underlying processes that characterizes the cancer phenotype (49, 50). Hence, anti-APOBEC3 agents could be promising targets for the treatment of cancers in the future. Our preliminary results indicate that peptides aimed at inhibiting A3G activity in cultured tumor cells increase their sensitivity to genotoxic treatments.
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