A Survivin-Associated Adaptive Response in Radiation Therapy
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Abstract

Adaptive responses can be induced in cells by very low doses of ionizing radiation resulting in an enhanced resistance to much larger exposures. The inhibitor of apoptosis protein, survivin, has been implicated in many adaptive responses to cellular stress. Computerized axial tomography used in image-guided radiotherapy to position and monitor tumor response uses very low radiation doses ranging from 0.5 to 100 mGy. We investigated the ability of these very low radiation doses administered along with two 2 Gy doses separated by 24 hours, a standard conventional radiotherapy dosing schedule, to initiate adaptive responses resulting in the elevation of radiation resistance in exposed cells. Human colon carcinoma (RKO36), mouse sarcoma (SA-NH), along with transformed mouse embryo fibroblasts, wild type or cells lacking functional tumor necrosis factor receptors 1 and 2 were used to assess their relative ability to express an adaptive response when grown either to confluence in vitro or as tumors in the flank of C57BL/6 mice. The survival of each of these cells was elevated from 5% to 20% (P ≤ 0.05) as compared to cells not receiving a 100 mGy or lesser dose. In addition, the cells exposed to 100 mGy exhibited elevations in survivin levels, reductions in apoptosis frequencies, and loss of an adaptive response if transfected with survivin siRNA. This survivin-mediated adaptive response has the potential for affecting outcomes if regularly induced throughout a course of image guided radiation therapy. Cancer Res 73(14): 4418–28. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

The adaptive response, defined as the ability of a very low dose of ionizing radiation (≤100 mGy) to confer enhanced resistance to cells or organisms subsequently exposed to a much larger dose of a deleterious agent, was initially observed in the context of elevating the inherent resistance of human lymphocytes against the toxicity of high doses of ionizing radiation and chemical mutagens (1). This phenomenon has garnered interest again given the expanding use of computerized axial tomography (CAT) as an essential and pervasive tool for rapid and highly sensitive analysis in both diagnostic- and therapeutic-associated medical procedures. In 2007, 72 million CAT scans were conducted in the United States alone (2). The dose range of most CAT procedures falls within the range of 0.5 to 100 mGy that overlaps the inductive dose range of the adaptive response (3). CAT imaging to monitor tumor responses as a function of therapy may initiate adaptive responses that may affect therapeutic outcomes (4). It is important to consider this possibility as CAT monitoring of tumor response in image-guided radiotherapy is now routine and has become a standard of care.

An adaptive response that has been studied extensively is attributed to TNF signaling (5, 6). Stimulation of this pathway leads to the activation of NF-kB, enhanced expression of the manganese superoxide dismutase (SOD2) gene, and elevated enzymatic activity (7–9). Another more tumor cell–specific adaptive response is known to involve the inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) protein survivin (10, 11). Survivin is recognized as an important factor in tumor cell resistance. Its overexpression has been correlated with elevated resistance to radiation- and chemotherapy-induced cell killing and reduced frequencies of apoptosis (12–15). Ionizing radiation at doses of 1 to 8 Gy is known to significantly elevate survivin levels in malignant cells (14–16). We have focused this study on the effectiveness of very low radiation doses in the range of 5 to 100 mGy as inducers of an adaptive response when interspersed with two 2 Gy doses separated by 24 hours, an irradiation scheme reflective of a standard image-guided radiotherapy protocol. RKO36 human colon carcinoma, SA-NH mouse sarcoma, and transformed mouse embryo fibroblast (MEF) cells were used in this study. MEF, both wild-type (WT) and those engineered with germline deletions of TNF receptors 1 and 2 (TNFRI ‘R2’), were used to test the hypothesis that TNF signaling is an important factor in the expression of any adaptive response (7, 9). Furthermore, these cells grow both in vitro as monolayers...
and as solid tumor growths when inoculated s.c. into C57BL/6 mice.

Materials and Methods

Cells and culture conditions

RKO human colorectal carcinoma cells (CRL-2577), obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, were grown in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlantic Biologicals). A subclone of these cells transfected with the pCMV-EGFP2Xho vector allowing for the analysis of delayed hyper-recombination and/or deletion/mutation events in the progeny of surviving cells, designated RKO36, was generated and supplied to us by the laboratory of Dr. W.F. Morgan, University of Maryland, Baltimore, as described in detail elsewhere (17). SA-NH mouse sarcoma cells, supplied by Dr. L. Milas, the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Institute, were MAP tested and then frozen down for future use. Cells used from the fifth generation passage were adapted for in vitro and in vivo growth and were used in these experiments (18–20). MEFs were isolated from either 14- to 16-day-old pregnant female C57BL/6 WT or TNFR1−/− C57BL/6 knockout mice (9). Mice were euthanized, and the uterus was removed and placed in a culture dish containing sterile PBS, Organs, tail, limbs, and head were removed for genotyping. Carcasses were placed in PBS with 0.25% trypsin and finely minced with scissors. Minced tissues were incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C and pipetted to dissociate the tissue. This process was repeated 2 to 3 times, after which supernatants were collected and centrifuged. Cells were resuspended in culture medium containing (1:1) DMEM:F12 (Invitrogen Life Technologies), 10% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen Life Technologies), and plated in 60 mm dishes at a density of 10^6 cells/dish. All cell cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified environment containing 5% CO₂.

Generation of transformed MEF cell lines was as follows: the pLXSN vector designed for co-expression of c-myc and H-RasVal12 with an internal ribosomal entry site (a gift from Dr. A. Gudkov) was cotransfected with packaging plasmids in 293T cells using ProFection Mammalian Transfection Calcium Phosphate System (Promega). Supernatants containing infectious retrovirus were harvested 48 hours posttransfection, pooled, and filtered through a 0.45-mm membrane, and exponentially growing MEFs were transduced.

RKO36 and MEF cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium. SA-NH cells were grown in McCoy’s 5A medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies). In all experiments, cells were grown to confluence and then re-fed with fresh medium and maintained for an additional 3 days. Cultures were again re-fed with fresh medium 1 day before each experiment.

Cell survival assay

All cells were irradiated with very low doses of 5 to 100 mGy at times 30 minutes to 6 hours before or after exposure to the first of two 2 Gy doses, each separated by 24 hours. Unirradiated cells served as controls. Immediately after the second 2 Gy dose, cells were counted, diluted, and known numbers seeded into 100-mm tissue culture dishes to allow the development of 100 to 200 colonies per dish. Colonies were stained with 20% crystal violet and scored. Five dishes per experimental point were used and experiments were repeated three times.

Single-cell suspensions from tumors

Viable MEF WT or TNFR1−/− cells (1 × 10^5) were injected into the right hind leg of C57BL/6 mice and grown as tumors to 8 mm in diameter. Tumors were irradiated with 2 Gy followed 30 minutes or 3 hours later by exposure to 100 mGy. Mice were sacrificed 24 hours later and tumors were aseptically harvested, minced, and incubated in 4 mL PBS containing 5 mg/mL collagenase and 10 μg/mL DNase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour on a rotary shaker at room temperature. Cells were passed through sterile #200 nylon mesh and pelleted by centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature and counted using a hemocytometer. From each suspension cells were removed to determine plating efficiency. The remaining cell suspension was exposed to 2 Gy and plated for survival in 100-mm tissue culture dishes. Colonies were fixed and stained 10 days later. Five dishes per experimental point were used and experiments were repeated three times.

Animal models

Female C57BL/6 mice 6 to 8 weeks of age were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). The care and treatment of experimental animals were in accordance with institutional guidelines and adherence to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Survivin siRNA transfection

MEF WT and TNFR1−/−, RKO36, and SA-NH cells were grown to confluence and transfected with 100 nmol/L survivin (Birc5) or negative control siRNA (Ambion by Life Technologies) using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Two different human survivin siRNA sequences were used: (seq 1) 5’-UGUAGGAAGGGACACUGCCtt-3’ and (seq 2) 5’-UGUAGGAAGGCGGUGGCGtt-3’. Mouse survivin siRNA was 5’-UCCGUGAGCUUACUAAUAt-3’. siRNA oligomer and Lipofectamine 2000 were diluted in serum-free media and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. The siRNA oligomer and Lipofectamine 2000 were mixed and incubated for 20 minutes. Growth medium was aspirated from the dishes and cells were washed with PBS at 37°C. siRNA Lipofectamine 2000 complexes were added to the dishes and incubated for 24 hours with cells under their normal growth conditions. The medium was then aspirated, the cells washed with PBS at 37°C, and fresh complete growth medium was added.

TUNEL apoptosis assay

Apoptosis was monitored using the TACS 2 TdT-Blue Label In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit from Trevenig following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were grown to confluence, trypsinized, and pelleted by centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 4°C. Cells, fixed in 70% ethanol, were stored at 4°C overnight. Cells, dropped onto Trevenig Three Sample-treated glass microscope slides and air dried overnight, were
Results

The effect of very low radiation doses on RKO36 cell survival in a split dose paradigm

Presented in Fig. 1 are data describing the effects of 5, 10, 20, or 100 mGy, which if administered 30 minutes or 6 hours after the first of two 2 Gy doses of radiation, induce a 35% to 40% increase in the baseline resistance of RKO36 cells to radiation-induced cell killing. Because the magnitude of the increase in survival was consistent throughout the dose...
range tested, all subsequent irradiations were done using a dose of 100 mGy.

The effect of a 100 mGy exposure on survivin protein in RKO36 cells exposed to a split dose paradigm

Western blots were conducted to monitor survivin protein using samples isolated at various times up to 24 hours following radiation exposure. Representative gels are presented in Fig. 2A and B. After a single 2 Gy dose, survivin protein in RKO36 cells was observed to gradually increase to 60% over control levels by 24 hours (see Fig. 2A). Exposure of cells to 100 mGy 30 minutes after a 2 Gy dose resulted in a more robust elevation of survivin levels ranging from 2.6- to 12.7-fold higher than levels in control cells (see Fig. 2B).

Comparative adaptive responses in MEF WT, MEF TNFR1−/− R2−/−, RKO36, and SA-NH cells

MEF WT, MEF TNFR1−/− R2−/−, RKO36, and SA-NH tumor cells were exposed to 100 mGy either 30 minutes, 3 hours, or 6 hours before or after the first of two 2 Gy doses separated by 24 hours. As described in Fig. 3A to D, all 4 cell systems exhibited significant adaptive responses (P ≤ 0.04). MEF TNFR1−/− R2−/− knockout cells were used to determine whether TNF signaling was an important factor in the expression of this adaptive response. Its robust development in MEF TNFR1−/− R2−/− cells indicates that this adaptive response is not dependent upon an intact TNF signaling pathway (see Fig. 3B).

MEF WT and TNFR1−/− R2−/− knockout cells can grow both in vitro as assayable colonies and in vivo as growths in the flanks of C57BL/6 mice. MEF tumors 8 mm in size were irradiated with 2 Gy only or with 2 Gy plus 100 mGy 30 minutes or 3 hours later and then excised 24 hours after the first 2 Gy dose and made into single-cell suspensions before irradiation with a second 2 Gy dose. This procedure was followed to allow for the use of a clonogenic assay in determining both the expression and the magnitude of any potential adaptive responses. As described in Fig. 4A and B, both MEF WT and TNFR1−/− R2−/− grown in vivo exhibited a highly significant adaptive response when compared to the 2 Gy only groups (P < 0.001). We conclude that TNF signaling is dispensable for development of an adaptive response under these conditions.

The effect of survivin siRNA transfection on the adaptive response

To assess the potential relationship between elevation in survivin protein levels and elevated resistance to ionizing radiation, RKO36 cells were exposed to these same radiation conditions after transfection with a negative control or two different survivin siRNAs. As described in Fig. 5A and B, negative control siRNA had no effect on cellular response to radiation exposure. Transfection with either of the survivin siRNAs, seq 1 or 2, inhibited elevated survival after a 100 mGy exposure (P ≤ 0.001). Apoptosis frequencies presented in Fig. 5C and D were also significantly elevated in RKO36 cells.
Percentage of surviving cells

A MEF WT

B MEF TNFR1 R2

C RKO36

D SA-NH

Survivin

α-Tubulin

Relative expression levels

Grdina et al.

Cancer Res; 73(14) July 15, 2013

Cancer Research

Published OnlineFirst May 7, 2013; DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4640
transfected with either survivin siRNA \((P \leq 0.026)\). Transfection of MEF WT, MEF TNFR1 R2-, and SA-NH cells with survivin siRNA also inhibited 100 mGy induced adaptive responses \((P \leq 0.005; \text{see Fig. 6A–C})\). Western blotting, used to monitor the effects of survivin siRNA transfection on survivin protein levels at 24 hours, showed about 50% reduction in survivin.

**The effect of the adaptive response on apoptosis**

Described in Fig. 7A to C are the percentages of apoptotic cells appearing in the populations of MEF WT, TNFR1 R2-, and SA-NH cells respectively, as a function of survivin siRNA transfection and irradiation conditions at 24 hours after the first 2 Gy dose. The 24-hour time point was chosen to assess apoptosis frequencies because cells were irradiated with a second 2 Gy dose at this time and then counted and plated to assess clonogenic survival. Cells exposed to 100 mGy 30 minutes or 3 hours after the first 2 Gy dose exhibited a much lower apoptotic frequency than did cells exposed only to 2 Gy. The magnitude of these differences at 24 hours after the first 2 Gy dose indicated that an exposure to 100 mGy conferred a protection against the induction of apoptosis in all 3 cell lines. The reduced apoptotic frequencies associated with exposure to 100 mGy correlate with the elevated cell survival shown in Fig. 6. An important endogenous IAP that is known to be both associated with enhanced tumor cell resistance and inducible by high doses of ionizing radiation, for example BIRC5-encoded IAP protein survivin, was identified as the potential effector of this adaptive response.

**Discussion**

Previous studies on the adaptive response have focused exclusively on a single exposure to a very low dose of radiation followed at some later time by a much larger radiation dose (1, 7–9). Very low doses of radiation used to initiate these adaptive responses have been reported to range from 0.5 to 100 mGy, a dose range overlapping that currently received by patients from CAT scans used in diagnostic procedures (3, 4). The rapid development of image guided radiotherapy protocols utilizing CAT scans or portal imaging for the treatment of cancer (21, 22) has elevated the concern about the...
Figure 5. The effects of transfection of RKO36 cells with negative control (NC) and survivin siRNA sequences, 5'-UAGGAAAGGGAGACUCG-3' (A,C) or 5'-UGUAGAGAUGCGUGGUC-3' (B,D) on survival and apoptosis responses, respectively, to two 2 Gy doses or 2 Gy followed 30 minutes or 3 hours later with an additional 100 mGy exposure and relative survivin protein levels in control cells as determined by Western blotting. Survival and apoptosis experiments were repeated 3 times and P values were determined by comparing the survival of each nontransfected cohort of cells after either two 2 Gy doses only or two 2 Gy doses with an additional 100 mGy exposure with their respective survivin siRNA transfected cohorts using a Student 2-tailed t test. Error bars represent the SEM.
Figure 6. Effects of transfection of MEF WT (A), MEF TNFR1^-/-^ (B), and SA-NH cells (C) with negative control (NC) or survivin siRNA, 5'-UAGCIAAAGG/GAGACUSCC-3, on respective cell survival after two 2 Gy doses or 2 Gy followed 30 minutes or 3 hours later with an additional 100 mGy exposure. Survivin protein levels in control cells were determined by Western blotting. Survival experiments were repeated 3 times and *P* values were determined by comparing the survival of each nontransfected cohort of cells after either two 2 Gy doses or two 2 Gy doses with an additional 100 mGy exposure with their respective survivin siRNA transfected cohorts using a Student 2-tailed *t* test. Error bars represent the SEM.
potential clinical relevance of such adaptive responses. We report here for the first time that delivering a very low dose of radiation, \(< 100\) mGy, between two much larger doses of 2 Gy separated by 24 hours, simulating what is used in a conventional fractionated radiation therapy paradigm, induces an adaptive response. The RKO human colon carcinoma cell line was chosen for study because it grows well in vitro both as a monolayer culture and as colonies in a standard cell survival assay. SA-NH mouse sarcoma cells can grow as tumors in C3H mice as well as cells in monolayers and colonies in vitro and have been used to investigate adaptive responses induced under both in vitro and in vivo conditions (18–20). Transformed MEF models were developed to facilitate the investigation of the role of TNF signaling in the low-dose radiation-induced adaptive response. We previously reported using a BFS murine fibrosarcoma model, along with WT and TNFR1 \(-R^2\) transformed MEF cells, that TNF signaling and associated increases in SOD2 enzymatic activity could be completely inhibited in cells defective in both TNF receptors 1 and 2 and that these cells failed to exhibit an adaptive response when exposed to a 100 mGy dose followed by a single 2 Gy exposure (8, 9). We were able to develop transformed MEF WT and MEF TNFR1 \(-R^2\) knockout cells capable of growth as monolayer cultures and colonies in standard survival assays, as well as tumors in C57BL/6 mice to test the role of TNF signaling in the expression of adaptive responses as a function of various irradiation conditions.

The magnitude of the adaptive response measured as an inducible increase in cell survival was significant for RKO36 colon carcinoma cells in the dose range tested, for example, 5 to 100 mGy, and was accompanied by an increase in survivin protein levels (see Figs. 1 and 2, respectively). This enhancement in survivin protein level accompanied by elevated cell survival was also observed in MEF WT and TNFR1 \(-R^2\) cells grown in culture (see Fig. 3) or as tumors in mice and then assayed for cell survival in vitro (see Fig. 4), showing this adaptive response is both novel and independent of TNF receptor status or SOD2 activity (see Supplementary Materials). The induction of this survivin-mediated adaptive response seems to occur over a wide range of time intervals, for example, 30 minutes to 6 hours either before or after a 2 Gy exposure. This suggests that the timing of the very low dose exposure is critical to the induction of this adaptive effect and that care should be taken to conduct imaging procedures as close as possible, most likely well within the 30-minute period before or after the 2 Gy exposure.

Transfection of human RKO36, mouse SA-NH, and both WT and TNFR1 \(-R^2\) mouse cells with survivin siRNA was sufficient to completely inhibit elevations in cellular radioresistance and the adaptive response, as well as reduce cell survival directly (see Figs. 5 and 6). We also monitored changes in apoptosis as a function of very low dose irradiation and transfection with survivin siRNA (see Figs. 5 and 7). The addition of a 100 mGy exposure to the 2 Gy dosing paradigm resulted in a reduction in apoptosis frequency that correlated with an elevation in survivin protein. Transfection with survivin siRNA resulted in decreased survivin levels and a concomitant increase in apoptotic frequencies, reduced cell survival, and enhanced radiation sensitivity as has been reported by others using various malignant cell systems (23, 24). Human endometrial cancer cells, HL60 leukemia cells, renal clear cell carcinoma cells, and breast cancer cells after transfection with survivin siRNA alone, without the addition of any other deleterious agents, exhibited elevated apoptotic frequencies and lower cell survival (25–28).

Intact TNF signaling is not a requirement for the expression of this newly identified survivin-mediated adaptive response. NF-\(\kappa\)B activation and subsequent effects on survivin have been extensively characterized and have been identified as being controlled via the PI3K/Akt/NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling pathway (29–31). Conventional radiation therapy protocols generally involve the use of multiple 2 Gy doses per fraction, each administered at 24-hour intervals. For this reason, the experimental design used in this study used two 2 Gy doses separated by 24 hours with or without a 100 mGy dose delivered from 30 minutes, 3 hours, or 6 hours after delivery of the first 2 Gy dose. The use of this wide range of time intervals for administration of the 100 mGy exposure allowed for an evaluation of the time dependence for the development of this adaptive response. All of the time points tested proved to be effective in the development of a survivin-mediated adaptive response strongly suggesting that the "safest" time interval for utilizing an imaging procedure during standard radiotherapy is well within a 30-minute interval before or after each delivery of a 2 Gy dose. Although survivin is known to be inducible by exposure to high radiation doses in the 1 to 8 Gy range (12, 13), its elevation after exposure to very low doses of radiation, for example, \(< 100\) mGy, in the range of doses used in image guided radiotherapy, was unexpected and represents a novel and important finding with clinical implications.

Survivin does not regulate apoptosis independently of other proteins that can modify its effects, but its role in elevating tumor cell resistance to radiation therapy is well documented and is generally associated with a reduction in apoptosis (11–13). Because survivin is overexpressed in malignant cells and can be elevated by both high and very low doses of ionizing radiation, it is recognized as an important risk factor associated with adverse outcomes in radiation therapy. The potential for very low doses of radiation delivered between intervals of high dose exposures used in standard radiotherapy treatment regimens to induce a survivin-mediated adaptive response is a novel observation and represents a unique identifiable adaptive response. Although it is unclear whether such an adaptive response could be induced in a very high dose paradigm such as those used in Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy, its potential for affecting therapy should be investigated. This study shows the need to better recognize the potential treatment modifying effects of imaging techniques that use very low levels of radiation exposure in the context of their ability to induce adaptive responses capable of altering overall radiation responses. Increases in tumor cell survival as low as 5% to 20%, through a multitreatment course of radiotherapy, could have serious adverse consequences about therapeutic outcomes. As a worst case scenario, a small increase in survival each day of treatment induced through a very low dose imaging-associated...
Conception and design: R.C. Miller, J.J. Li, G.E. Woloschak, R.R. Weichselbaum

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): D.J. Grdina, J.S. Murley, R.C. Miller, G.E. Woloschak, R.R. Weichselbaum

Development of methodology: R.C. Miller

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): J.S. Murley, R.C. Miller, H.J. Mausser, H.G. Sutton

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: D.J. Grdina, J.S. Murley, R.C. Miller, J.J. Li, R.R. Weichselbaum

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): D.J. Grdina, R.C. Miller, J.J. Li

Study supervision: D.J. Grdina, J.S. Murley, R.R. Weichselbaum

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

J.S. Murley has ownership interest (including patents) in Pinnacle Oncology LLC. D.J. Grdina is a paid consultant to Pinnacle Biologics and is a minority equity partner in Pinnacle Oncology LLC regarding the potential novel uses of amifostine. R.R. Weichselbaum is a consultant to Reflexion, a radiotherapy company. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors’ Contributions

Conception and design: D.J. Grdina, J.S. Murley, J.J. Li, G.E. Woloschak, R.R. Weichselbaum

Development of methodology: R.C. Miller

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): J.S. Murley, R.C. Miller, H.J. Mausser, H.G. Sutton

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): D.J. Grdina, J.S. Murley, R.C. Miller, G.E. Woloschak, R.R. Weichselbaum

Figure 7. The percentage of apoptotic cells as determined by the TUNEL assay are plotted as a function of radiation treatment and transfection with survivin siRNA, 5'-UGCAAAGGGGACACUGCCct-3', for MEF WT (A), MEF TNFR1-R2* (B), and SA-NH cells (C). Comparisons were made in each cell system between the percentage of apoptotic cells counted 24 hours after the first 2 Gy dose in the nontransfected group and its negative control (NC) or survivin siRNA-transfected counterpart. Apoptosis was significantly elevated only in cells transfected with survivin siRNA and exposed to 100 mGy (P < 0.019) as compared to no effect in transfected cells only exposed to 2 Gy (P ≤ 0.05). Each experiment was repeated 3 times and error bars represent the SEM.

Radiation exposure could lead to a persistent induction and maintenance of a survivin-mediated adaptive response. Such a response would be exponentially magnified by the number of treatments such that an increase in a theoretical survival of 0.45 to 0.50 for each of 32 treatments could result in a several orders of magnitude increase in overall tumor cell survival by the end of therapy.
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