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Abstract

TGF-β has limited effects on ovarian cancer cells, but its contributions to ovarian tumor growth might be mediated through elements of the tumor microenvironment. In the present study, we tested the hypothesis that TGF modulates ovarian cancer progression by modulating the contribution of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) that are present in the microenvironment. Transcriptome profiling of microdissected stromal and epithelial components of high-grade serous ovarian tumors and TGF-β–treated normal ovarian fibroblasts identified versican (VCAN) as a key upregulated target gene in CAFs. Functional evaluations in coculture experiments showed that TGF-β enhanced the aggressiveness of ovarian cancer cells by upregulating VCAN in CAFs. VCAN expression was regulated in CAFs through TGF-β receptor type II and SMAD signaling. Upregulated VCAN promoted the motility and invasion of ovarian cancer cells by activating the NF-kB signaling pathway and by upregulating expression of CD44, matrix metalloproteinase-9, and the hyaluronan-mediated motility receptor. Our work identified a TGF-β–inducible gene signature specific to CAFs in advanced high-grade serous ovarian tumors, and showed how TGF-β stimulates ovarian cancer cell motility and invasion by upregulating the CAF-specific gene VCAN. These findings suggest insights to develop or refine strategies for TGF-β–targeted therapy of ovarian cancer. Cancer Res; 73(16); 5016–28. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Advanced ovarian cancer is the fifth most common form of cancer in women in the United States (1). Researchers estimated the diagnosis of 22,280 new cases of and 15,500 deaths owing to ovarian cancer in 2012 (2), making it the most lethal gynecologic malignancy. In particular, ovarian cancer is noted for its initial chemotheraphy sensitivity. However, more than 75% of ovarian cancers recur within 12 to 24 months (3).

Ovarian cancer cells are known to lose responsiveness to growth, recent studies indicated that TGF-β is at least partly responsible for activation of fibroblasts in cases of a number of different cancer types (7–9). Primarily composed of fibroblasts and extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins as well as endothelial cells and lymphocytic infiltrates, the tumor microenvironment directly affects cell growth, migration, and differentiation via the action of secreted proteins, cell–cell interactions, and matrix remodeling (10, 11). TGF-β may indirectly affect ovarian tumor growth by modulating the secretion of stroma-specific mediators in the tumor microenvironment.

The purpose of this study was to use a whole-genome oligonucleotide array platform to conduct transcriptome profiling of the fibroblastic stromal and epithelial components microdissected from a series of advanced-stage, high-grade serous ovarian adenocarcinomas and of TGF-β–treated ovarian fibroblasts in vitro to identify a TGF-β–regulated gene signature in cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF). We further investigated the molecular mechanism by which one of the TGF-β–upregulated genes, versican (VCAN), which codes for the ECM proteoglycan versican, promotes ovarian cancer progression and delineated the functional role of VCAN in modulation of ovarian cancer cell motility and invasion potential.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and culture conditions

The human ovarian adenocarcinoma cell lines ALST, HeyA8, OVCA3, OVCA420, OVCA429, OVCA433, and SKOV3ipluc,
which cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, were used in this study. All of the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination and authenticated using microsatellites panel. The telomerase-immortalized human normal ovarian fibroblast (NOF) line NOF151-hTERT and primary ovarian CAF lines were cultured in MCDB 105/199 medium (1:1) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1 ng/mL EGF.

**Microdissection and microarray analysis of tissue samples**

RNA was extracted from microdissected frozen ovarian tissue samples, which included tumor epithelial components (n = 35), normal ovarian surface epithelial (OSE) cells (n = 6), stromal CAFs (n = 28), and normal stromal fibroblasts (n = 8). Microdissection was conducted by fixing tissue sections in 70% ethanol and then staining them with 1% methyl green to visualize the histologic features. During dissection, the areas of interest in the sections were carefully outlined. Areas with immune cell and blood vessel infiltration were excluded to minimize contamination (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B).

Patient samples were collected from the Ovarian Cancer Repository under protocols approved by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Review Board. RNA samples were amplified, labeled, and hybridized onto GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 microarrays (Affymetrix) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After hybridization, arrays were washed and stained using a GeneChip Fluidics Station 450 and then scanned using a GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G (Affymetrix).

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS (version 17; IBM Corporation) and Prism (version 5.0; GraphPad Software) software programs were used to conduct the statistical tests. All in vitro experiments were repeated independently in triplicate. Two-tailed Student t test was used to test the differences in sample means for data with normally distributed means. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for analysis of nonparametric data. t test and Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) multiple testing corrections were used for microarray data analysis. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**TGF-β–modulated ovarian cancer cell motility in the presence of ovarian fibroblasts**

To evaluate the effect of TGF-β on ovarian cancer cell motility and invasion potential in the presence of ovarian stromal fibroblasts, high-grade serous ovarian cancer cell lines ALST, HeyA8, and OVCA433 cells were cocultured with a telomerase-immortalized human ovarian fibroblast cell line, NOF151-hTERT, in the presence of an anti-TGF-β antibody. The results of migration assays showed that inclusion of the TGF-β–neutralizing antibody abrogated the stimulating effect of fibroblasts on ovarian cancer cell motility (Fig. 1A), suggesting that in the tumor microenvironment, TGF-β was able to modulate the behavior of ovarian cancer cells via the fibroblasts.

To better understand the role of TGF-β, we measured the concentrations of TGF-β 1 and 2 in the ALST, NOF151-hTERT coculture system. We harvested conditioned culture medium from the coculture system at 24 and 48 hours and measured the concentrations of TGF-β using ELISA. We detected higher concentrations of both TGF-β 1 and 2 in the coculture system when compared with the culture with either cell types alone (Supplementary Table S1A and S1B). However, the extent of the increases in TGF-β concentration led us to believe that they resulted mainly from increased cell numbers rather than from induction of TGF-β expression by coculture. We validated this result by coculturing ovarian cancer cells and fibroblasts and then conducting TGF-β expression analysis. We did not detect a significant increase in TGF-β mRNA expression in either cell type (data not shown). Also, ELISA results showed that the presence of the TGF-β–neutralizing antibody significantly decreased the detectable amount of TGF-β in the system.

To further determine whether fibroblasts mediated the effect of TGF-β on ovarian cancer cell behavior, we conducted a coculture study using NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts and ALST and HeyA8 cells in the presence of exogenous TGF-β 1 and TGF-β 2. Although TGF-β had no effect on the motility of either cancer cell line in the absence of fibroblasts (Fig. 1B and C), the motility of both the cell lines increased significantly (P < 0.01) when they were cocultured with the fibroblasts. These data suggest that ovarian fibroblasts mediate the indirect stimulating effect of TGF-β on ovarian cancer cell motility.

We also assayed the invasion potential of ALST and HeyA8 cells cocultured with ovarian fibroblasts. In the presence of TGF-β, the cancer cells in the coculture system showed increased invasion potential (Fig. 1D).

**Differential expression of TGF-β and its receptors in epithelial and stromal cells in normal and malignant ovarian tissue samples**

To identify the molecular mechanism that conferred TGF-β nonresponsiveness in ovarian cancer cells, we first conducted quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis of TGF-β receptor type 1 and 2 expression in a panel of high-grade serous ovarian cancer cell lines, OSE cells, NOFs, and ovarian CAFs. We observed significantly lower expression of the TGF-β receptors in the cancer cells than in the OSE cells (Fig. 2A and B), suggesting that the nonresponsiveness to TGF-β resulted, in part, from the downregulation of the receptors. To further validate downregulation of receptors expression in ovarian cancer cells in vitro, we examined the receptor expression in transcriptome profiles generated from laser-microdissected epithelial and stromal fibroblastic components of both normal and malignant ovarian tissue samples (Fig. 2C and D). We found that the epithelial tumor cells had significantly lower levels of TGF-β receptor type 1 and 2 expression than did normal OSE cells (P < 0.001). Also, to evaluate the protein expression and localization of the TGF-β receptors, we conducted immunolocalization of TGF-β receptors type 1 and 2 in ovarian tumor and normal ovarian tissue sections. Specifically, we...
stained sections of high-grade serous ovarian tumors (n = 15) and normal ovarian tissue (n = 8) for both receptors and quantified the staining intensity (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2D). The results showed lower TGF-β receptor type 1 and 2 protein expression in ovarian cancer cells than in normal ovarian epithelial cells (P < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. S2E and S2F). Unlike in normal surface epithelium, ovarian cancer cells had no distinct cell surface staining for the receptors. The expression of the 2 receptors in ovarian cancer stroma by CAFs was visualized under high magnification (Supplementary Fig. S2G and S2H).

Our transcriptome profiling data on microdissected CAFs and NOFs tissue samples showed that CAFs expressed significantly higher levels of both type of receptors than did NOFs (P = 0.001 and P = 0.004, respectively; Fig. 2C and D). We observed the same result in cultured primary CAFs (Fig. 2A and B). These data suggested that CAFs in the ovarian tumor microenvironment may be more responsive to TGF-β than are NOFs in normal ovaries.

Next, we evaluated the TGF-β expression in different ovarian tissue components using transcriptome profiles from laser-microdissected ovarian tissue samples. The results showed that all of the cell types had comparable levels of TGF-β1 expression (Fig. 2E). Also, tumor and stromal cells (NOFs and CAFs) had comparable levels of TGF-β2 expression, whereas normal OSE cells expressed slightly lower levels of TGF-β2 than did the other cell types (Fig. 2F). These data suggested that both ovarian cancer cells and CAFs are sources of TGF-β in the ovarian tumor microenvironment.

Identification of a TGF-β-responsive gene signature in cancer-associated fibroblasts

To identify the genes whose expression is regulated by TGF-β in ovarian fibroblasts, we generated transcriptome profiles from TGF-β1- and TGF-β2–treated NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts (Gene Expression Omnibus GSE40266). We identified 651 upregulated and 827 downregulated genes in TGF-β1–treated NOF151-hTERT cells, and 755 upregulated and 768 downregulated genes in TGF-β2–treated cells, showing more than 2-fold differences when compared with untreated cells (P < 0.05; Fig. 3A). We also showed that a majority of the differentially expressed genes were common to the 2 treatment profiles (Fig. 3B and C). These results suggested that TGF-β1 and 2 may have very similar effects on NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts.

Next, we obtained a gene signature for CAFs by comparing transcriptome profiles generated from microdissected ovarian NOFs and CAFs (Gene Expression Omnibus GSE40266). We identified 651 upregulated and 827 downregulated genes in TGF-β1–treated NOF151-hTERT cells, and 755 upregulated and 768 downregulated genes in TGF-β2–treated cells, showing more than 2-fold differences when compared with untreated cells (P < 0.05; Fig. 3A). We also showed that a majority of the differentially expressed genes were common to the 2 treatment profiles (Fig. 3B and C). These results suggested that TGF-β1 and 2 may have very similar effects on NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts.
GES40595). We identified 657 upregulated and 162 down-regulated genes that showed significant differences between the 2 types of fibroblasts. We then compared the gene signature with that generated from TGF-β-treated NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts. We identified 85 upregulated probe sets and 1 downregulated probe set that were common to the 2 signatures (Fig. 3D and E), suggesting that these sets represent CAF-specific genes whose expression is regulated in the TGF-β-rich ovarian tumor microenvironment. In the GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 microarray, a gene is represented by multiple probe sets on the chip, and 11 probe pairs (perfect match and mismatch) are included within each probe set to interrogate a given sequence. Using the probe set IDs, a list of 71 upregulated genes was generated for further analysis (Supplementary Table S3).

Validation of the patterns of expression of TGF-β-responsive genes in NOFs

In this study, we focused on how TGF-β modulates the motility and invasion potential of ovarian cancer cells via fibroblasts. Among the 71 upregulated genes, 4 non-collagen and ECM-related genes (Fig. 3F) were chosen for validation because of their potential ability to modify the ECM or behavior of surrounding cancer cells, which may subsequently affect the motility and invasion potential of cancer cells. Specifically, we selected VCAN, periostin (POSTN), and cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP), which code
for secretory proteins produced by fibroblasts; and dapper, antagonist of β-catenin, homolog 1 (DACT1), which is implicated to be involved in the development of fibrosis by interacting with Wnt signaling, for validation in TGF-β-treated NOFs using qRT-PCR (Fig. 4A–D). Treatment with TGF-β1 significantly increased the expression of all 4 genes ($P < 0.001$). However, the TGF-β-induced increase was attenuated in the presence of a TGF-β-neutralizing antibody. These results confirmed that upregulation of the 4 genes in ovarian fibroblasts was mediated by TGF-β. VCAN, a poor prognostic marker for ovarian cancer (12), was selected for further studies. We confirmed upregulation of VCAN protein expression by Western blot analysis. Protein from NOF151-hTERT cell lysate and concentrated conditioned medium was used for analysis. We detected significant increases in the amounts of intracellular and secreted VCAN protein in TGF-β-treated NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts (Fig. 4E).
Induction of SMAD signaling pathway-mediated VCAN expression by TGF-β

In a coculture of NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts with ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780, ALST, and OVCA433), we observed significantly higher levels of VCAN mRNA expression in the fibroblasts (P < 0.001), suggesting that mediators secreted by ovarian cancer cells transcriptionally upregulate VCAN expression in fibroblasts (Fig. 5A). To determine whether induction of VCAN expression was mediated by TGF-β produced by the ovarian cancer cells, we introduced TGF-β-neutralizing antibody into the coculture system. Experimental results showed that NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts had significantly lower expression of VCAN than did the control (P < 0.05; Fig. 5B). To further evaluate the effect of TGF-β on VCAN expression, qRT-PCR analysis was conducted on TGF-β-treated NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts and CAF lines. TGF-β significantly increased VCAN expression in the NOF151-hTERT cells (P < 0.001; Fig. 5C). Furthermore, TGF-β1 induced significant increases in VCAN expression in 3 of the CAF lines (P < 0.001).
To determine whether induction of VCAN expression in ovarian fibroblasts by TGF-β is mediated by the TGF-β receptors and SMAD signaling, we examined this induction in the presence of inhibitors. The results showed that the TGF-β receptor inhibitors, ALK5 I and II, and SMAD3-specific inhibitor, SIS3, significantly abrogated induction of VCAN expression in NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts (Fig. 5D). We validated these results in an additional primary CAF line (Supplementary Fig. S3). These data confirmed that the TGF-β receptors and SMAD signaling regulated VCAN expression in the ovarian fibroblasts.

**Mediation of TGF-β enhancement of ovarian cancer motility by upregulation of VCAN expression in neighboring stromal fibroblasts**

We observed that ALST cell motility was significantly increased when cocultured with TGF-β-treated ovarian fibroblasts. To confirm that the increase in motility was VCAN-dependent, we repeated the coculture experiment using NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts transfected with VCAN-specific siRNA. TGF-β treatment did not upregulate VCAN mRNA in siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 6A). Subsequently, using a Transwell coculture migration assay, we showed that TGF-β–induced motility of ovarian cancer cells was reduced when cocultured with VCAN-silenced NOF151-hTERT fibroblasts. TGF-β1 and TGF-β2–induced ALST cell motility was significantly abrogated in coculture with VCAN siRNA-transfected fibroblasts ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 6B).

Polyclonal anti-VCAN antibody was also used to block the effect of TGF-β–induced VCAN on ALST, HeyA8, and OVCA433 cell motility. In the presence of anti-VCAN antibody, TGF-β–induced cell motility was attenuated (Fig. 6C). These data suggested that TGF-β–induced VCAN expression in fibroblasts is essential for enhancement of ovarian cancer cell motility.

To further confirm the direct effect of VCAN on ovarian cancer cell motility, wound-healing assays were conducted with ovarian cancer cell lines ALST, HeyA8, OVCA3, OVCA420,
OVCA429, OVCA433, and SKOV3 treated with VCAN-positive or -negative conditioned medium (Fig. 6D and E). The results showed that treatment of the ovarian cells with VCAN significantly increased cell motility. These results supported our hypothesis that TGF-β–induced ovarian cancer cell motility is mediated by fibroblast-derived VCAN.

In addition, we evaluated the effects of VCAN on ALST, HeyA8, and OVCA433 cells’ invasion potential using a Matrigel invasion assay. The results showed that in the presence of exogenous VCAN, the invasion potential increased significantly (Fig. 6F). The effect of VCAN on ovarian cancer cell invasion was also confirmed using a three-dimensional (3D) culture model and confocal microscopy (Fig. 6G and H).

**Signaling events contributing to the effects of VCAN on ovarian cancer cells**

To identify signaling molecules and events involved in mediation of VCAN’s effect on ovarian cancer cell motility and invasion potential, we conducted luciferase reporter assays for 7 major signaling pathways, extracellular signal-regulated kinase, Wnt, Notch, c-jun-NH2-kinase (JNK), PKC, NF-κB, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase, which are frequently involved in the progression of human cancers, in the VCAN-conditioned medium-treated ovarian cancer cell lines OVCA429 and OVCA433. We observed that the JNK and NF-κB pathways were significantly activated ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 7A and B).

To identify downstream target genes for VCAN signaling, we transcriptome profiled VCAN-treated OVCA433 cells. We identified 288 genes that were differentially expressed in VCAN-treated OVCA433 cells and control cells (Gene Expression Omnibus GSE40241; Fig. 7C; Supplementary Table S2). Five of these genes, which are involved in either cell motility or ECM degradation, were chosen for validation. Expression of 4 of the genes—matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9, hyaluronan (HA)-mediated motility receptor (HMMR), a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 1 (ADAMTS1), and CD44—was upregulated, whereas that of the fifth gene—tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3 (TIMP3)—was downregulated (Fig. 7D). Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems) showed a strong association among these genes and the VCAN-activated signaling pathways that we identified (Fig. 7E).

To further validate the effect of exogenous VCAN on MMP9, HMMR, CD44, ADAMTS1, and TIMP3 expression in ovarian cancer cells, qRT-PCR analysis was conducted on ovarian cancer cell lines ALST, OVCA429, OVCA433, and SKOV3 treated with VCAN. We confirmed upregulation of CD44 expression in all 4 cell lines and VCAN-induced upregulation of MMP9 and HMMR expression in all cell lines but SKOV3 (Fig. 7F). Furthermore, we validated the protein expression of CD44, HMMR, and MMP9, whose expression are regulated by VCAN, in ALST (Fig. 7G) and OVCA433 (data not shown) cells. Increased expression levels of CD44, HMMR, and MMP9 were observed in VCAN-treated ovarian cancer cells.

To show the association between stromal VCAN expression and HMMR, CD44, and MMP9 expression in ovarian cancer cells in vivo, immunolocalization of these proteins was conducted on tissue sections obtained from patients with high-grade serous ovarian cancer. The results showed that regions with intense stromal VCAN staining frequently corresponded to regions with high levels of HMMR, CD44, and MMP9 protein expression, particularly at the stroma–cancer interface (Fig. 7H). This suggests that CAF-derived VCAN modulates ovarian cancer cell motility and invasion potential via activation of HMMR, CD44, and MMP9.

**Discussion**

Ovarian cancer can be classified into type I and type II tumors based on their pathways of tumorigenesis (13). Although type I tumors tend to be low-grade neoplasms, type II tumors are high-grade neoplasms. High-grade serous ovarian carcinomas are type II surface epithelial tumors, which show frequent p53 mutation, and in the clinical standpoint, they are aggressive tumors that progress rapidly. Owning to the fact that high-grade serous carcinoma is the most common type of ovarian cancer, our study focused on this specific type of cancer.

In this study, we identified a TGF-β–regulated gene signature in CAFs and investigated the molecular mechanism by which TGF-β upregulated VCAN. TGF-β regulates many cellular processes, including cell growth, differentiation, adhesion, migration, and apoptosis (14). Through TGF-β receptors and SMAD signaling, TGF-β activates or represses specific target genes (15, 16). The mechanisms involved in the resistance of ovarian cancer cells to the antiproliferative effects of TGF-β remain unclear and controversial (6). However, our findings reported therein showed that ovarian cancer cells express significantly lower levels of both TGF-β receptors than do normal OSE cells, suggesting that downregulation of the receptors may play a key role in conferring resistance to TGF-β in ovarian cancer cells. We also found that ovarian CAFs have significantly higher levels of both receptors than NOFs and ovarian cancer cells, suggesting that CAFs in the ovarian tumor microenvironment are more responsive to TGF-β ligands than are other cell types.

Multiple in vivo studies have shown that the TGF-β ligand-enriched proinflammatory tumor microenvironment particularly created by the recruited macrophages plays a key role in tumor initiation and progression (16–19). TGF-β activates stromal cells, including immune cells, and fibroblasts, which subsequently modulate neighboring epithelial cell growth and oncogenic potential. This supports our finding that TGF-β enhanced ovarian tumor cell motility and invasion potential in the stromal–epithelial cell coculture system. Because CAFs express high levels of both TGF-β receptor types I and II, enhanced TGF-β signaling in these cells may modulate the motility and invasion potential of the adjacent ovarian cancer cells.

Recent studies showed that TGF-β is, in part, responsible for activating fibroblasts in a number of cancer types (7–9). A majority of the TGF-β–responsive genes identified in our study represent ECM proteins and have been implicated to support cancer stem cell growth or bone and cartilage morphogenesis. For example, POSTN is required for breast cancer stem cell maintenance and facilitation of breast cancer cell metastasis (20, 21). Also, COMP interacts with other ECM proteins to
Figure 6. TGF-β-induced upregulation of VCAN in ovarian stromal fibroblasts enhances ovarian cancer cell motility. A, VCAN-specific siRNA was transfected into NOF151-hTERT to inhibit the effect of TGF-β on VCAN upregulation. B, although TGF-β enhanced cancer cell motility in the presence of fibroblasts, the...
enhance cartilage organization and assembly (22) or to protect HeLa cells against apoptosis (23). Furthermore, DACT1 is implicated to be involved in development of dermal fibrosis (24). These data suggest that these CAF-derived ECM proteins may develop a niche in the TGF-β-rich ovarian tumor microenvironment, which supports ovarian stem cell growth. In addition, the niche may provide a rigid microenvironment, which has been shown to facilitate tumor invasion and metastasis (25, 26).

VCAN is another ECM-related gene implicated to play a crucial role in ovarian tumor progression. VCAN has been shown to bind HA, which subsequently activates CD44-mediated downstream signaling (27). Previous studies showed that VCAN-treated cancer cells incorporated VCAN and HA into a pericellular matrix that promoted their motility and that the effect correlated with the cancer cells’ ability to express the HA receptor, CD44 (28, 29). Despite these findings, however, the functional role of stromal VCAN in ovarian cancer progression has yet to be thoroughly evaluated.

Using a coculture model, we showed in the present study that TGF-β-induced ovarian cancer cell motility was abrogated by silencing of VCAN in NOFs using siRNAs and a VCAN-blocking antibody and that TGF-β–induced VCAN expression was attenuated by the addition of a TGF-β–neutralizing antibody or in the presence of a TGF-β receptor and SMAD3-specific inhibitors. These data confirm that TGF-β modulates the invasion potential of ovarian cancer cells via upregulation of CAPE-derived VCAN, which most likely results from transcriptional activation of the VCAN promoter through the potential Sp1 and API-binding sites (Supplementary Fig. S4A).

Our data suggest that induction of increased ovarian cancer cell migration or invasion potential by stromal VCAN may be mediated through binding of VCAN to CD44, which subsequently activates the NF-kB and JNK signaling pathways in ovarian cancer cells and increases CD44, HMMR, and MMP9 production. Upregulation of CD44 may activate the autocrine loop for VCAN and HA-mediated signaling in ovarian cancer cells. Also, upregulation of HMMR may activate HA-mediated signaling pathways, which have been shown to enhance tumor cells’ focal adhesion, migration, and cell-cycle progression (30). In the present study, CD44 was identified in the microarray study by a probe set that detects CD44 isoforms 1 to 7. Although not examined in this study, the eight CD44 isoforms are known to be differentially expressed in different tissue types, an interesting aspect for inclusion in future studies. Upregulation and activation of MMP9, which has been shown to be activated by the NF-kB pathway and down-regulation of TIMP3, respectively, may facilitate the breakdown and remodeling of the ECM and the invasion potential of tumor cells, which may further contribute to tumor progression and subsequently affect patient survival rates.

Because epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a key phenotypic change that contributes to cell motility, we sought to determine whether EMT was induced in the proposed model. First, we cocultured ovarian cancer cell lines with fibroblasts in the absence or presence of a TGF-β–neutralizing antibody. We then extracted RNA from ovarian cancer cells and examined the expression levels of an epithelial marker (E-cadherin) and mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin and vimentin). If EMT is induced in cancer cells by coculture with fibroblasts in the presence of TGF-β, a decreased level of E-cadherin and increased levels of N-cadherin and vimentin should be observed in the control group when compared with the TGF-β–neutralizing antibody group. However, our experimental results did not support this (Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5C).

We also studied the protein expression levels of EMT markers in ovarian cancer cell lines using Western blot analysis. Cells were treated with exogenous VCAN, and expression levels of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and vimentin were examined. We observed no significant changes in EMT marker expression levels between VCAN-treated and untreated cancer cells.

Kang and colleagues (31) found that cross-talk between TGF-β and EGFR receptor (EGFR) signaling induced EMT in liver cancer cells. In that study, expression of transmembrane 4 L6 family member 5 (TM4SF5), an EMT inducer, was upregulated in liver cancer cells by exogenous TGF-β1, and EGFR signaling pathways were involved. However, in our ovarian cancer model, we observed VCAN-induced EGFR expression similar to that described by Sheng and colleagues (32) but did not observe significant EMT under the experimental conditions. We speculated that the main difference between the 2 models was their responses to TGF-β. Specifically, although liver cancer cells respond directly to exogenous TGF-β and have TM4SF5 expression induced, ovarian cancer cells have minimal response to exogenous TGF-β. This also explained the negative EMT phenotypes of ovarian cancer cells when cocultured with fibroblasts. Though ovarian cancer cells were provided with a TGF-β–enriched environment in the coculture system, our study showed that EMT was not induced and that exogenous TGF-β had little effect on ovarian cancer cell motility. In our proposed model, although EGFR activation by VCAN may increase cancer cell motility, EMT may not be directly involved.

In conclusion, we describe herein a model of TGF-β–modulated molecular cross-talk between ovarian cancer cells and CAFs in the ovarian tumor microenvironment (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Upregulation of expression of TGF-β–responsive genes such as VCAN in CAFs, together
Figure 7. Signaling events contributing to the effects of VCAN on ovarian cancer cells. Luciferase reporter assay was conducted using OVCA429 (A) and OVCA433 (B) cell lines. Results for both cell lines suggested that the JNK and NF-κB signaling pathways were activated upon treatment with VCAN. C, transcriptome profiling of VCAN-treated OVCA433 cells revealed 288 differentially expressed genes (see also Supplementary Table S3). D, five genes identified in the microarray experiment. These genes have been shown to be related to cell motility and ECM degradation. E, strong association among CD44, HMMR, and MMP9 with the NF-κB signaling pathway was identified, suggesting that these VCAN-activated genes may be tightly regulated with each other. F, the effect of exogenous VCAN on CD44, HMMR, and MMP9 expression in ovarian cancer cell lines was validated using qRT-PCR analysis. G, increased CD44, HMMR, and MMP9 protein expression in ALST ovarian cancer cells by VCAN treatment was validated via Western blot analysis. H, immunolocalization was conducted to show the association between stromal VCAN expression and HMMR, CD44, and MMP9 expression in ovarian cancer cells in vivo. The results showed that in tissue sections obtained from the same patient, regions with intense stromal VCAN staining (brown) frequently had high levels of HMMR, CD44, and MMP9 expression (pink; T, tumor; S, stroma). GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
with the loss of the inhibitory effect of TGF-β in ovarian cancer cells, may result in tumor progression. Understanding the role of TGF-β as a mediator in epithelial–mesenchymal interaction in ovarian cancer may aid the development of new treatment strategies for ovarian cancer and lengthen survival durations in patients.
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