Targeting Sonic Hedgehog-Associated Medulloblastoma through Inhibition of Aurora and Polo-like Kinases
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Abstract

Medulloblastoma is the most common malignant brain tumor in children. Although aggressive surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy have improved outcomes, survivors suffer severe long-term side effects, and many patients still succumb to their disease. For patients whose tumors are driven by mutations in the sonic hedgehog (SHH) pathway, SHH antagonists offer some hope. However, many SHH-associated medulloblastomas do not respond to these drugs, and those that do may develop resistance. Therefore, more effective treatment strategies are needed for both SHH and non-SHH–associated medulloblastoma. One such strategy involves targeting the cells that are critical for maintaining tumor growth, known as tumor-propagating cells (TPC). We previously identified a population of TPCs in tumors from patched mutant mice, a model for SHH-dependent medulloblastoma. These cells express the surface antigen CD15/SSEA-1 and have elevated levels of genes associated with the G2–M phases of the cell cycle. Here, we show that CD15+ cells progress more rapidly through the cell cycle than CD15− cells and contain an increased proportion of cells in G2–M, suggesting that they might be vulnerable to inhibitors of this phase. Indeed, exposure of tumor cells to inhibitors of Aurora kinase (Aurk) and Polo-like kinases (Plk), key regulators of G2–M, induces cell-cycle arrest, apoptosis, and enhanced sensitivity to conventional chemotherapy. Moreover, treatment of tumor-bearing mice with these agents significantly inhibits tumor progression. Importantly, cells from human patient-derived medulloblastoma xenografts are also sensitive to Aurk and Plk inhibitors. Our findings suggest that targeting G2–M regulators may represent a novel approach for treatment of human medulloblastoma.

Introduction

Medulloblastoma is the most common malignant pediatric brain tumor, with the majority of cases occurring in children under the age of 15 years (1). Patients with medulloblastoma are commonly treated with surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, but survivors suffer severe side effects, including cognitive and developmental deficits and an increased risk of secondary tumors later in life (2, 3). Therefore, alternative approaches to treatment of medulloblastoma are essential.

Recent genomic analyses have identified four major subtypes of medulloblastoma that differ from one another in terms of gene expression, DNA copy number and mutations, epidemiology, and prognosis. Although the genetic drivers of these subtypes are not fully understood, one group of tumors—representing approximately 25% of medulloblastoma cases—is characterized by activation of the sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathway. In some cases, this activation can be attributed to mutation or amplification of known pathway components, including the membrane proteins Patched (PTCH) and Smoothened (SMO), the cytoplasmic regulator Suppressor of Fused (SUFU), and the transcription factors GLI1 and GLI2; however, in many cases, the basis for SHH pathway activation remains unclear (4).

Patients with SHH-associated tumors have a variable prognosis, with a subset faring poorly despite aggressive therapy (4). The development of small-molecule inhibitors of the SHH pathway has offered some hope for these patients (5, 6). These agents represent one of the first classes of targeted therapies for medulloblastoma, but they are effective only in patients with SHH-associated tumors. Importantly, most of these compounds act on SMO and are thus unlikely to be active against
tumors driven by mutations in downstream components such as SUFU and GLI (7). Moreover, recent studies in both patients and animals have shown that PTCH- and SMO-driven tumors that initially respond to SHH antagonists quickly develop resistance (6, 8). Thus, more innovative approaches to therapy are required for SHH-associated medulloblastoma.

One approach for improving treatment of medulloblastoma may involve targeting tumor-propagating cells (TPC). TPCs, often called cancer stem cells, are operationally defined as the cells within a tumor that are capable of regenerating the tumor upon transplantation into a naïve host. TPCs have been identified in multiple tumor types, including those of the brain, breast, prostate, colon, pancreas, liver, lung, and skin, among others (9–16). The ability of TPCs to regenerate tumors has led to the notion that these cells are responsible for tumor recurrence after therapy. Indeed, TPCs have been shown to display resistance to both chemotherapy and radiation (17–19). Given this capacity for evading standard therapies and regenerating tumors, identification of therapeutic approaches to target and eliminate these cells could substantially improve patient outcomes.

We recently identified a population of TPCs in patched heterozygous mice, a widely studied mouse model of SHH-associated medulloblastoma (20). These cells, which can be identified on the basis of their expression of the cell surface carbohydrate antigen CD15/SSEA-1, are not multipotent and cannot form neurospheres, but are uniquely capable of propagating tumors following transplantation. When CD15+ cells are transplanted into the cerebella of naïve mice, 100% of recipients develop tumors, whereas CD15− cells never generate tumors. Expression profiling revealed that CD15+ cells display decreased expression of genes associated with differentiation and elevated expression of genes associated with proliferation. CD15 is also found in a subset of human medulloblastomas, and patients whose tumors express high levels of a CD15−/CD15+ space spanned by the first two Eigen vectors of the training data. The tumors were assigned molecular subgroups using a classification algorithm, prediction analysis for microarrays (PAM; ref. 23), as implemented in the pamr R package (v 1.51). The RNA expressions of subgroup-specific markers were measured by a NanoString assay (24) and subsequently used as features for class prediction. Predicted subgroups with confidence probabilities higher than established thresholds (24) were considered bona fide subgroup assignments. Principal components analyses (PCA) plots were generated by PCA on the training data. The resulting Eigen vectors were used to project the expression profiles of the classified samples onto the vector space spanned by the first two Eigen vectors of the training data. The background confidence score gradient was generated using 200 replicates of the training data with Gaussian noise and subsequently smoothed by Nadaraya-Watson normalization (fields v6.7.6 R package).

Molecular classification of human tumors

The tumors were assigned molecular subgroups using a class prediction algorithm, prediction analysis for microarrays (PAM; ref. 23), as implemented in the pamr R package (v 1.51). The RNA expressions of subgroup-specific markers were measured by a NanoString assay (24) and subsequently used as features for class prediction. Predicted subgroups with confidence probabilities higher than established thresholds (24) were considered bona fide subgroup assignments. Principal components analyses (PCA) plots were generated by PCA on the training data. The resulting Eigen vectors were used to project the expression profiles of the classified samples onto the vector space spanned by the first two Eigen vectors of the training data. The background confidence score gradient was generated using 200 replicates of the training data with Gaussian noise and subsequently smoothed by Nadaraya-Watson normalization (fields v6.7.6 R package).

Materials and Methods

Mice

All animal experiments were done according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Duke University (Durham, NC) and the Sanford-Burnham Medical Research Institute (La Jolla, CA). Germline patched heterozygous mutant mice (21) were maintained by breeding with 129 × 1/SvJ or C57BL/6 mice from The Jackson Laboratory. Conditional Math1-CreER; Ptcflox/flox mice (22) were treated with 0.8 mg of tamoxifen (T5648; Sigma) in 40 µL of corn oil at postnatal day 4 to generate tumors 10 to 16 weeks later. CD-1 Nu/Nu mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories, and NOD.Cg-Prkdcsid Il2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NOD/scid/gamma; NSG) mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory.

Human tumor isolation and propagation

Human medulloblastoma tissue for patient-derived xenografts was obtained from surgical resection of tumors at Duke University Medical Center (Durham, NC) or Rady Children’s Hospital (San Diego, CA). All procedures using human tissue were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the respective institutions. Upon retrieval, the tissue was mechanically dissociated into a single-cell suspension, then immediately injected into the cerebella of NSG mice. When the mice became symptomatic, the tumors were again dissociated into single-cell suspensions and then retransplanted back into the cerebella of naïve hosts to establish a propagated line for each patient-derived xenograft.

Targeting Aurora and Polo-like Kinases in Medulloblastoma

The Aurk inhibitors VX-680, PHA-739358, SNS-314, CYC116, AT9283, MLN8237, PHA-680602, CCT129202, ENMD-2076, and AZD1152-HQPA, the Plk inhibitors BI-2536, BI-6727, GSK461364, and ON-01910, and the chemotherapeutic agents vincristine, cisplatin, and cyclophosphamide were obtained from Selleck Chemicals. The SHH antagonist NVP-LDE225 was kindly provided by Novartis.

Tumor cell isolation and culture

Tumors were obtained from germline patched heterozygous or conditional Math1-CreER; Ptcflox/flox mice, and each experiment was done multiple times using cells isolated from each strain. The complete tumor dissociation procedure has been described previously (20, 22). Briefly, tumors were digested in a papain solution to obtain a single-cell suspension, then centrifuged through a 35% to 65% Percoll gradient. Cells from...
the 35% to 65% interface were suspended in Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS) plus 5% FBS for cell sorting or in NB-NS21 [Neurobasal with 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 2 mmol/L l-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin, and NS-21 supplement (25)] plus 1% FBS (Invitrogen) for culture. The cells were plated on Growth Factor–Reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences)–coated plates.

Cell sorting
To obtain CD15− and CD15+ cell populations, cells were stained with control mouse immunoglobulin M (IgM) or anti-CD15 (clone MMA; BD Biosciences) antibodies, followed by anti-mouse IgM–phycoerythrin (PE; Jackson ImmunoResearch). The cells were then sorted on a FACSVantage or FACSVantage SE DiVa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). After sorting, the cells were pelleted and resuspended in NB-NS21 culture media or frozen until use for expression analysis.

Real-time PCR
Real-time PCR was conducted to examine the mRNA expression levels of AurrA, AurrB, and Plk1 in the CD15+ and CD15− populations. mRNA was prepared using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Inc.), and real-time PCR was conducted using the Quantitect SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Inc.). Each reaction consisted of 10 ng of the appropriate RNA, 12.5 μL of 2× Quantitect SYBR Green RT-PCR Master Mix, 1.25 μL of a 10 μmol/L stock of the appropriate forward and reverse primers, 0.25 μL of Quantitect RT mix, and RNase-free water in a total volume of 25 μL. The following primer sequences were used: AurrA, forward: GTTCCTTCCGGTCCGAAA, reverse: AATCATTTCGAGGAGCTG; AurrB, forward: TCGAGAAGAGCCTACCC, reverse: GACTCCTCTGGGACACG-TGT; Plk1, forward: ACGTCTAGGGCTTTGCTGAC, reverse: CTCGTTCAGAAGAGTTGC; and Actin, forward: TATTTGCAACGACCGTTC, reverse: GGCCATAGGCTTCTACGG-ATGTC. Duplicate reactions were carried out without the Quantitect RT mix to confirm the absence of genomic DNA contamination. The following reaction conditions were run on a Bio-Rad C1000 Thermal Cycler and CFX96 Real-time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories): reverse transcription at 50°C for 30 minutes, HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase activation at 95°C for 15 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds. Each reaction was analyzed in triplicate using the ΔCt method to determine the level of expression of each gene in the CD15+ population relative to the CD15− population in each tumor.

BrdUrd and cell-cycle analysis
To monitor cell-cycle kinetics, tumor cells were first sorted into CD15− and CD15+ populations as described earlier. After sorting, 2 million cells per well were plated into 24-well plates in NB-NS21 culture media. The cells were pulsed with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd) for 30 minutes, then washed with media to remove any remaining BrdUrd. Cells were collected immediately after the pulse (30 minutes), or 6, 12, or 24 hours later, then fixed and stained using the fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) BrdU Flow Kit (BD Biosciences) and 7-aminooactinomycin (7-AAD) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For cell-cycle analysis of cells that were not labeled with BrdUrd, the same kit was used for fixation, permeabilization, and 7-AAD staining, but the anti-BrdUrd staining step was omitted. The analysis was conducted using a FACSscan or FACSCount flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo v.7.6.4 software (TreeStar, Inc.).

CFSE analysis
As an alternative approach to measure the timing of cell divisions in CD15− and CD15+ populations, patched mutant tumor cells were sorted, then labeled with 1 μmol/L carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFSE) at a density of 1 × 10^6 cells/mL using the CellTrace CFSE Cell Proliferation Kit (Invitrogen). The cells were then cultured for 48, 72, or 96 hours, fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and CFSE fluorescence was analyzed using a FACSCount flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo v.7.6.4 software (TreeStar, Inc.).

Western blotting
To assess the levels of histone H3 phosphorylation following treatment with inhibitors, cells were cultured in 24-well plates at a density of 2.5 million cells per well in the presence of the indicated concentrations of DMSO, VX-680, or BI-2536. Cells were then lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Millipore) containing 1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, 2 mmol/L sodium fluoride (both from Sigma), and complete, mini, EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets (Roche Applied Science). Proteins (30 μg) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen), which were then probed with antibodies against phospho-histone H3 (Ser 10; Millipore), total histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology), actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Cell Signaling Technology), followed by goat anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to IRdye 680 (Rockland). Proteins were detected using the Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR).

Proliferation and apoptosis assays
To examine the effects of inhibitors on proliferation, tumor cells from patched mutant mice or patient-derived xenografts were isolated as described earlier and plated in 96-well plates at a density of 0.2 million cells per well. Cells were cultured in the presence of the indicated concentrations of inhibitors for 48 hours in triplicate wells, then pulsed with [methyl-3H]thymidine (Amersham/GE Healthcare) and cultured for an additional 16 to 18 hours. Cells were harvested onto filters using a Mach IIM Manual Harvester 96 (Tomtec), and incorporated radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation spectrophotometry on a Wallac MicroBeta scintillation counter (PerkinElmer).

To measure the effects of inhibitors in combination with radiation, patched mutant tumor cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 0.2 million cells per well and cultured in the presence of DMSO, 10 nmol/L BI-2536, or 30 nmol/L VX-680. After 24 hours, cells were subjected to 0, 0.25, 0.5, or 1 Gy radiation using a Gammacell 40 Exactor (low-dose cesium 137 irradiator; Best Theratronics Ltd.). The cells were then cultured for an additional 24 hours, and [methyl-3H]thymidine assays were conducted as described earlier.
To determine whether Aurk or Plk inhibitors induce apoptosis in vitro, tumor cells from patched mutant mice were isolated as described earlier and plated in 48-well plates at a density of 0.5 million cells per well. Cells were cultured in the presence of the indicated concentrations of inhibitors for 48 hours, then collected and suspended in 100 μL of Annexin-binding buffer containing 5 μL of Annexin V–FITC (BD Biosciences). The cells were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. 400 μL of Annexin-binding buffer was added, and the percentage of Annexin V–FITC–bound cells was analyzed using a FACSCanto flow cytometer.

In vivo drug administration

To assess the effects of Aurk or Plk inhibition on tumor growth, 8 million cells from patched mutant mice were suspended in 50% NB-NS21/50% Growth Factor–Reduced Matrigel and subcutaneously injected in a total volume of 100 μL into the flanks of CD-1 Nu/Nu mice. Tumors were measured using calipers, and tumor volumes were calculated using the formula volume = 0.52 × length × width3 (26). Drug treatment was initiated when tumors reached a volume of approximately 150 mm3. Animals were treated with 50 mg/kg BI-2536 (suspended in 0.1 N HCl, then diluted in saline) twice weekly via dextrose) were injected intraperitoneally twice daily. The animals were sacrificed when the tumor volume exceeded 2 cm3. After sacrifice, animals were perfused with 50% NB-NS21/50% Growth Factor–Reduced Matrigel (Leica Microsystems, Inc.). For immunostaining, tumor sections were embedded in Tissue-Tek optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Finetek USA, Inc.). Samples were then stored at −80°C until sectioning (12 μm) on a Leica CM3050S Cryostat (Leica Microsystems, Inc.). For immunostaining, tumor sections were blocked and permeabilized for 1 hour with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% normal goat serum, stained with anti-cleaved caspase-3 (anti-CC3) antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C, and incubated with Alexa Fluor-594 anti-rabbit IgG (1:200) secondary antibodies for 45 minutes at room temperature. Sections were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen) and mounted with Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC). Quantitation of apoptosis was conducted by acquiring images of six representative regions from four tumors from vehicle-treated mice and four tumors from BI-2536–treated mice and analyzing the number of CC3-positive (CC3+) cells relative to the DAPI-occupied area within each image using Image Pro-Plus 7.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc.).

Results

CD15+ cells display elevated expression of G2–M regulators

To gain insight into the mechanisms underlying tumor propagation by CD15+ cells, we previously compared their gene expression profiles to those of CD15− cells from the same tumors (20). Our analysis revealed that CD15+ cells express elevated levels of cell-cycle regulators, and in particular, regulators of G2–M. To validate these data, we analyzed expression of several of these regulators by real-time reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR. As shown in Fig. 1A–C, expression of AurkA, AurkB, and Plk1 was significantly higher in the CD15+ population compared with the CD15− population in each tumor examined (n = 3). These results suggest that CD15+ and CD15− cells can be distinguished on the basis of their expression of G2–M regulators.

CD15+ cells are enriched in G2–M

The differential expression of G2–M regulators in CD15+ and CD15− cells suggested that these populations might differ in terms of cell-cycle distribution. To examine this, we conducted cell-cycle analysis on freshly isolated CD15+ and CD15− cells. Analysis of multiple tumors (n = 6) indicated that compared with the CD15− population, the CD15+ population contains a significantly higher proportion of cells in G2–M phase; approximately 20% of CD15+ cells reside in G2–M, compared with approximately 5% of CD15− cells (Fig. 1D and E). A similar, but less pronounced, enrichment was seen in the proportion of CD15− cells in S-phase (data not shown). These data suggest that the elevated expression of G2–M regulators correlates with an increased proportion of CD15− cells in G2–M phase.

CD15+ cells progress more rapidly through the cell cycle than CD15− cells

The increased proportion of CD15+ cells in G2–M phase could be explained by differences in cell-cycle kinetics between the CD15+ and CD15− populations. To address this possibility, we pulse-labeled cells with BrdUrd and followed their progression through the cell cycle. CD15+ and CD15− cells from patched mutant tumors were cultured in the presence of BrdUrd for 30 minutes, and then washed and collected immediately for cell-cycle analysis or cultured for an additional 6, 12, or 24 hours. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, BrdUrd was incorporated into both CD15+ and CD15− cells; approximately 27% of the CD15− cells incorporated the BrdUrd label, whereas only 7% of the CD15− cells were labeled. These data suggest that the over-representation of CD15+ cells in G2–M phase may result, in part, from an increased percentage of cells transiting through the cycle.

To assess the kinetics with which each population proceeds through S-phase and into G2–M, we examined the ratio of BrdUrd− cells with 4N DNA (G2–M phase) to those with DNA content ≥2N and ≤4N (S-phase) at each time point (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1E). Thirty minutes after the BrdUrd pulse, the CD15+ and CD15− populations included similar proportions of cells in S and G2–M (G2–M:S ratios = 1.05 and 1.07, respectively). However, as early as 6 hours after the BrdUrd pulse, CD15+ and CD15− cells began to exhibit differences in cell-cycle distribution. In the CD15+ population, the G2–M:S ratio increased slowly to 1.24 at 6 hours, 1.45 at 12 hours, and 1.89 at 24 hours. In contrast, this ratio increased much more rapidly in the CD15− population, reaching 1.92 at 6 hours and 2.42 at 12 hours. At 24 hours, the G2–M:S ratio in the...
CD15\textsuperscript{+} population dropped sharply to 1.3, as many CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells exited G\textsubscript{2}–M and reentered G\textsubscript{1}. Thus, CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells require 24 hours to accumulate in G\textsubscript{2}–M phase at levels similar to those reached by the CD15\textsuperscript{−} cells within 6 hours. On the basis of these results, we conclude that CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells move through the cell cycle more rapidly than CD15\textsuperscript{−} cells and that this rapid progression may also contribute to the over-representation of CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells in G\textsubscript{2}–M phase.

As an alternative approach for comparing the cell-cycle kinetics of CD15\textsuperscript{+} and CD15\textsuperscript{−} populations, we followed cells after labeling with CFSE. CFSE is equally distributed between daughter cells during division, such that a 50% reduction in fluorescence corresponds to one cell division. Thus, the number of divisions a population of cells has undergone can be determined by counting the number of CFSE fluorescence peaks. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S1F, CD15\textsuperscript{−} and CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells incorporated similar levels of the CFSE label at \( t = 0 \). By comparing the median fluorescence of the last peak (lowest fluorescence) in the CD15\textsuperscript{−} and CD15\textsuperscript{+} populations to the peak with the highest fluorescence in the CD15\textsuperscript{+} population (representing undivided cells) at 48, 72, and 96 hours, we found that the majority of the CD15\textsuperscript{+} population had undergone more divisions than the CD15\textsuperscript{+} population at each time point (Supplementary Fig. S1G–S1I). Furthermore, closer inspection of the CFSE fluorescence at 96 hours after labeling revealed that approximately 86% of the CD15\textsuperscript{−} population had undergone four cell divisions, suggesting an average cell-cycle time of 24 hours (Supplementary Fig. S1J). In contrast, only 5% of the CD15\textsuperscript{+} population had undergone four divisions, whereas the remaining cycling cells were relatively equally distributed between one, two, and three divisions, suggesting an average cell-cycle time of 60 hours. Therefore, these data further support the conclusion that the CD15\textsuperscript{+} population from patched mutant tumors contain a greater proportion of cycling cells and that these cells progress through the cell cycle more rapidly than CD15\textsuperscript{−} cells.

**Targeting G\textsubscript{2}–M regulators blocks progression through the cell cycle, inhibits proliferation, and induces apoptosis**

The increased proportion of CD15\textsuperscript{+} cells in G\textsubscript{2}–M phase led us to hypothesize that patched mutant tumors might be sensitive to inhibitors of regulators of G\textsubscript{2}–M progression. To address this possibility, we treated tumor cells with the Aurk inhibitor VX-680 (tozasertib) and the Plk inhibitor BI-2536 and examined phosphorylation of histone H3 on serine 10. This residue is a direct target of AurkB (27, 28), and as shown in Fig. 3A, the Aurk inhibitor VX-680 potently blocked its phosphorylation. Paradoxically, inhibitors of Plk1 have been reported to promote increased phosphorylation of histone 3 on serine 10 (29, 30); consistent with this, we observed increased levels of phospho-H3\textsuperscript{(Ser10)} and decreased levels of serine 46 phosphorylation of TCTP (translationally controlled tumor protein, a direct Plk1 substrate) upon treatment with BI-2536 (Fig. 3B and data not shown). These data suggest that inhibitors of Aurk and Plk are active in patched mutant tumor cells.
To determine the effects of Aurk and Plk inhibitors on proliferation, we conducted 3H-thymidine incorporation assays. As shown in Fig. 3C, treatment with 100 or 500 nmol/L VX-680 or BI-2536 caused nearly complete inhibition of proliferation. To define the IC50 values for VX-680 and BI-2536, we treated cells with increasing concentrations of these compounds (0.15 nmol/L to 1.5 μmol/L) and measured 3H-thymidine incorporation. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B, the IC50 values for VX-680 and BI-2536 were 23 and 4.5 nmol/L, respectively. These values are consistent with previously reported IC50 values for these drugs in other types of tumor cells (28, 29). To further validate the antiproliferative effects of Aurk and Plk inhibition, we assessed the sensitivity of patched mutant tumor cells to additional Aurk or Plk inhibitors. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S2C and S2D, multiple Aurk and Plk inhibitors displayed potent antiproliferative effects. These data confirm that patched mutant tumor cells are vulnerable to small molecule–mediated inhibition of Aurk or Plk activity.

To assess the effects of these inhibitors on cell-cycle progression, we treated patched mutant tumor cells with VX-680 or BI-2536 for 24 or 48 hours. For comparison, we also treated cells with the SHH antagonist NVP-LDE225 (LDE-225), which is currently in clinical trials for the treatment of SHH-associated medulloblastoma (31). As shown in Fig. 3D and E, exposure to LDE-225 caused a progressive decrease in the number of cells in G1–M and a concomitant accumulation of cells in G2. In contrast, both VX-680 and BI-2536 markedly increased the number of cells in G1–M, and at the same time, decreased the G1 population (Fig. 3D, F, and G). Treatment with each of these inhibitors also caused an increase in the proportion of cells with <2N DNA, most likely representing apoptotic cells. Consistent with this, VX-680 and BI-2536 each increased the percentage of Annexin V–labeled tumor cells (Fig. 3H). These data suggest that patched mutant tumor cells are sensitive to Aurk or Plk inhibition and that the effects of these inhibitors on the cell cycle are distinct from those induced by inhibitors of the SHH pathway.

Plk inhibition cooperates with SHH antagonists and conventional chemotherapy and radiation

Given the distinct effects of LDE-225 and VX-680 or BI-2536 on cell-cycle progression, we hypothesized that these drugs might exert complementary or cooperative effects. To address this possibility, we treated tumor cells with increasing concentrations (0.15–1,500 nmol/L) of LDE-225 either with or without 10 nmol/L BI-2536, a concentration of BI-2536 that caused minimal inhibition of proliferation on its own. As shown in Fig. 4A, treatment with LDE-225 alone inhibited proliferation at concentrations above 15 nmol/L, with an IC50 of approximately 9 nmol/L. However, concomitant treatment with 10 nmol/L BI-2536 enhanced the inhibition of proliferation at all concentrations of LDE-225 and caused the IC50 for LDE-225 to shift to approximately 2 nmol/L, suggesting that BI-2536 cooperates with LDE-225 to inhibit proliferation. Similar cooperation was observed between VX-680 and LDE-225 (Supplementary Fig. S3A).
Figure 3. Aurk and Plk inhibitors block proliferation and cell-cycle progression and induce apoptosis. Cells from conditional patched mutant tumors were cultured in the presence of the indicated concentrations of VX-680, BI-2536, or the corresponding percentages of DMSO. (Continued on the following page.)
To determine whether G2–M inhibitors can also cooperate with conventional chemotherapeutic agents, we treated patched mutant tumor cells with 10 nmol/L BI-2536 in combination with vincristine, cisplatin, and cyclophosphamide, chemotherapeutic agents that are currently being used to treat human medulloblastoma (7). Addition of BI-2536 dramatically increased the sensitivity of the tumor cells to vincristine; while the IC50 value for vincristine alone was approximately 5 nmol/L, the IC50 value for vincristine combined with BI-2536 was approximately 0.1 nmol/L (Fig. 4B). Similar, but less dramatic, cooperation was observed with cisplatin (IC50 = 44 nmol/L for cisplatin alone and 34 nmol/L for cisplatin + BI-2536) and with cyclophosphamide (IC50 = 10 μmol/L for cyclophosphamide alone and 8 μmol/L for cyclophosphamide + BI-2536; Fig. 4C and D). VX-680 also cooperated with vincristine and cyclophosphamide (Supplementary Fig. S3B–S3D).

To determine whether the G2–M inhibitors can cooperate with radiotherapy (another component of standard medulloblastoma therapy that is associated with substantial toxicity), we treated patched mutant tumor cells with 10 nmol/L BI-2536 or 30 nmol/L VX-680 in combination with increasing doses (0, 0.25, 0.5, and 1 Gy) of radiation. Both BI-2536 and VX-680 significantly enhanced the sensitivity of the cells to radiation (Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B). These data suggest that the addition of BI-2536 or VX-680 can lower the concentrations of chemotherapeutic agents required for effective inhibition of tumor cell proliferation.

Inhibition of G2–M regulators blocks tumor growth in vivo

Given the strong antiproliferative effects of the Aurk and Plk inhibitors in vitro, we next questioned whether inhibition of G2–M regulators could affect tumor growth in vivo. Because the Plk inhibitor BI-2536 has shown promising results in clinical trials completed thus far (32–34), we prioritized this compound for our in vivo studies. patched mutant tumor cells were

(Continued.) A and B, Western blot analysis of the levels of phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) after 6 hours of treatment with VX-680 (A) or BI-2536 (B). Note that Aurk inhibition decreases phosphorylation, whereas Plk inhibition increases it. C, effects of Aurk and Plk inhibitors on proliferation. Cells were cultured with DMSO, VX-680, or BI-2536, pulsed with tritiated thymidine (3H-Td) at 48 hours, and harvested at 66 hours for analysis of 3H-Td incorporation. Data represent means of triplicate wells ± SEM.

Graphs represent percentage of Annexin V-positive cells under each condition in a representative experiment.
implanted subcutaneously into the flanks of Nu/Nu mice, and 2 weeks later, mice were treated with either vehicle or BI-2536 (50 mg/kg via tail vein). Tumors were harvested 24 hours later, and sections from four independent tumors per condition were stained with antibodies specific for CC3. As shown in Fig. 5A–I, tumors from BI-2536–treated animals contained significantly more CC3+ cells relative to vehicle-treated tumors ($P = 0.0038$; paired two-tailed $t$ test). J and K, tumor volume (mm$^3$) was measured using calipers. Arrow indicates start of treatment, and each line represents an individual mouse. L, images of tumors. M, tumor weights. Each point represents a single tumor, and gray lines represent mean tumor weights, which were significantly different between vehicle- and BI-2536–treated mice ($P < 0.05$, based on paired two-tailed $t$ test).

To assess the effects of Plk inhibition on tumor growth, tumor-bearing mice were treated twice weekly with vehicle or BI-2536 for 2 weeks. As shown in Fig. 5J and K, BI-2536 dramatically inhibited tumor growth, as measured by tumor volume over time. Upon harvesting the tumors (~2.5 weeks after starting treatment), marked differences in tumor size and weight were observed (Fig. 5L and M). Overall, tumors from the BI-2536–treated mice were significantly smaller and weighed less than tumors from the vehicle-treated mice. The Aurk inhibitor PHA-739358 also blocked tumor growth and led to...
a reduction in tumor size and weight (Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5C). Collectively, these data suggest that inhibition of G2–M regulators can effectively block tumor progression in vivo.

**Aurk and Plk inhibitors suppress growth of human SHH-associated medulloblastoma**

The studies above focused on tumors from patched mutant mice. To determine whether G2–M inhibitors might also be effective against human medulloblastoma, we used cells from patient-derived xenografts that were molecularly classified as SHH-associated medulloblastoma (Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B). As shown in Fig. 6A, treatment of cells from the human SHH-associated medulloblastoma xenograft DMB-012 with BI-2536 caused a marked inhibition of proliferation, comparable with that seen with the SHH antagonist LDE-225. In addition, RCMB-018 cells, derived from a SHH-associated medulloblastoma xenograft that is insensitive to LDE-225 (due to amplification of SHH pathway components downstream of SMO), were also inhibited by BI-2536 (Fig. 6B). These data suggest that G2–M inhibitors might be useful for treating human-associated tumors, including those that display resistance to SHH antagonists.

To address whether Aurk inhibition and SHH pathway antagonism cooperate in human medulloblastoma, we treated DMB-012 cells with intermediate concentrations of the Aurk inhibitor PHA-739358 and the SHH antagonist LDE-225. As shown in Fig. 6C, treatment with 100 nmol/L LDE-225 alone or 100 nmol/L PHA-739358 alone caused inhibition of proliferation, as expected. However, treatment with 100 nmol/L LDE-225 together with 100 nmol/L PHA-739358 further inhibited proliferation beyond that of either compound alone. These data suggest that, similar to the results observed in cells from patched mutant tumors, inhibition of G2–M regulators can cooperate with SHH pathway antagonism to block the growth of human SHH-associated medulloblastoma.

**Discussion**

Although treatment of medulloblastoma has significantly improved survival in recent years, patients often suffer severe side effects, and better treatment strategies are still required. Targeting medulloblastoma TPCs represents one approach to improving treatment. Using the patched mutant mouse (a robust model of SHH-associated medulloblastoma), we have shown that CD157 TPCs disproportionately reside in G2–M phase of the cell cycle and that inhibition of Aurk or Plk using clinically relevant agents can inhibit tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. In addition, we have shown that these inhibitors can block the growth of cells from patient-derived xenografts of human SHH-associated medulloblastoma, including those that are resistant to SHH antagonists. Our data suggest that incorporating Aurk or Plk inhibitors into medulloblastoma therapy could lead to improvements in treatment outcome for patients with SHH-associated tumors.
Our previous studies indicated that CD15+ TPCs from patched mutant tumors display elevated expression of G2- and M-phase cell-cycle regulators (20). Here, we confirmed increased expression of Aurka, Aurkb, and Plk1. Each of these serine/threonine kinases plays a distinct role in G2-M phase progression (35). Aurka is involved in centrosome duplication, bipolar spindle assembly, and entry into mitosis, whereas Aurkb functions in chromatin modification, microtubule–kinetochore attachment, spindle assembly checkpoint activation, and cytokinesis. Plk1 is involved in centrosome separation, spindle assembly and maturation, cytokinesis, and exit from mitosis. Overexpression of each of these kinases has been associated with poor prognosis in multiple tumor types, leading to the notion that elevated expression of these proteins might promote tumor growth (36–42). However, given the elevated expression of multiple G2–M regulators in CD15+ cells, we hypothesized that the expression profile reflected a general property of the CD15+ population, rather than a reliance on elevated expression of a single kinase to drive tumorigenicity. Cell-cycle analysis of CD15+ and CD15− populations from multiple tumors demonstrated an increased percentage of the CD15+ population residing in G2–M phase compared with the CD15− population, suggesting that the elevated expression of G2–M regulators in the CD15+ population is likely a result, rather than a cause, of the increased percentage of cells residing in G2–M.

Multiple factors could contribute to the accumulation of CD15+ cells in G2–M. One explanation is that a greater overall percentage of CD15+ cells transit through the cell cycle, whereas CD15− cells remain largely stationary in G1–G2 phase. This notion is supported by the observation that the CD15+ population also contains a greater fraction of cells in S-phase than the CD15− population. Another possible explanation for the accumulation in G2–M could be that the CD15+ cells arrest in these phases of the cell cycle. To address this possibility, we performed BrdUrd labeling and cell-cycle analysis to monitor the position of the BrdUrd-labeled cells in the cell cycle over time. We observed greater incorporation of the BrdUrd label in CD15+ cells, which again suggested that greater numbers of CD15+ cells transit through the cell cycle. However, examination of the BrdUrd-labeled cells over time indicated that CD15+ cells do not arrest in G2–M, but actually progress more rapidly through the cell cycle than CD15− cells. Similar results were observed using CFSE cell division analysis. Although these data do not exclude the possibility of transient checkpoint activation and/or cell-cycle arrest, they indicate that cell-cycle arrest is not a primary contributor to the over-representation of CD15+ cells in G2–M phase. Furthermore, our data suggest that both increased numbers of cycling cells and an increased pace of progression through the cell cycle contribute to the over-representation of CD15+ cells in G2–M phase.

We speculated that the increased residency of CD15+ cells in G2–M phase could represent a vulnerability of these cells that could be targeted through inhibition of G2–M regulators. Because small-molecule inhibitors of the Aurk and Plk have shown promising efficacy in phase I and II clinical trials for other tumor types, we selected the Aurk inhibitor VX-680 and the Plk inhibitor BI-2536 for evaluation in our studies (32, 33, 43–45). Our data show that patched mutant tumors are indeed sensitive to Aurk or Plk inhibition; both VX-680 and BI-2536 effectively blocked proliferation in vitro.

One principal aim of this study was to identify approaches that might enhance current medulloblastoma therapy. SHH antagonists have recently been developed for treatment of human SHH-associated medulloblastoma (5). Both patients and mice who receive these antagonists initially respond to treatment, but they quickly develop resistance (6, 8, 46). Previous studies have shown that SHH signaling regulates the transition between the G1 and S phases of the cell cycle (47–50); consistent with these observations, our data indicate that the SHH antagonist LDE-225 causes accumulation of cells in G1. In contrast, the Aurk and Plk inhibitors cause accumulation in G2–M phase. Given these distinct mechanisms of cell-cycle inhibition, we speculated that blocking G2–M progression might represent an additional point of intervention to target the cells that escape sensitivity to SHH antagonists. Our data demonstrate that the combination of LDE-225 plus BI-2536 or VX-680 has a greater inhibitory effect than treatment with any of these compounds alone. These data suggest that clinical combination of SHH antagonists plus Aurk or Plk inhibitors might enhance the efficacy of therapy and prevent the acquired resistance to SHH antagonists.

Although the development of SHH antagonists has provided additional options for therapy, most patients with medulloblastoma are still treated with conventional chemotherapy and radiation. However, these treatments are extremely toxic and cause significant side effects (3). We questioned whether addition of Aurk or Plk inhibitors might allow for a reduction in the dose of chemo- or radiotherapy, while maintaining the efficacy of treatment. Our data show that the combination of BI-2536 or VX-680 with chemotherapeutic agents (vincristine, cisplatin, or cyclophosphamide) or radiation is more effective than chemo- or radiotherapy alone. Previous studies have shown similar effects of combined treatment of established medulloblastoma cell lines with chemo- or radiotherapy plus Aurk or Plk inhibitors (51–53). Together, these studies suggest that incorporating Aurk or Plk inhibitors into medulloblastoma therapy might enable a reduction in the doses of chemotherapy/radiotherapy and thereby reduce the long-term side-effects associated with these treatments.

The antiproliferative effects of the Aurk and Plk inhibitors in vitro prompted us to examine the effects of the inhibitors in vivo. Our data indicate that treatment of mice harboring subcutaneous allografts of patched mutant tumors with BI-2536 promotes apoptosis and blocks tumor growth in vivo. These data validate the notion that targeting a vulnerability of the TPC population using inhibitors of G2–M regulators can block in vivo growth of SHH-associated tumors and suggest that inhibition of Plk may represent a viable approach for medulloblastoma treatment.

By examining the TPC population in the patched mutant mouse model of medulloblastoma, we have identified an opportunity for therapeutic intervention. Our data indicate that cells from patient-derived xenografts of human SHH-associated medulloblastoma are also sensitive to Aurk or Plk
inhibition. Importantly, tumor cells that are insensitive to SHH antagonists maintain sensitivity to BI-2536, validating the notion that treatment with the Plk inhibitor may represent an approach to overcome therapeutic resistance to SHH antagonist therapy. In addition, similar to the patched mutant tumor cells, treatment of human tumor cells with an Aurk inhibitor plus LDE-225 blocks proliferation more effectively than either compound alone. These data suggest that mouse and human SHH-associated medulloblastoma are sensitive to Aurk or Plk inhibition and that targeting these G2–M regulators may represent an approach to prevent or overcome resistance to SHH antagonists. Therefore, our data strongly support the notion that incorporating Aurk or Plk inhibitors into therapeutic strategies may improve the outcome of treatment for patients with SHH-associated medulloblastoma.
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