Cetuximab Response of Lung Cancer–Derived EGF Receptor Mutants Is Associated with Asymmetric Dimerization


Abstract

Kinase domain mutations of the EGF receptor (EGFR) are common oncogenic events in lung adenocarcinoma. Here, we explore the dependency upon asymmetric dimerization of the kinase domain for activation of lung cancer–derived EGFR mutants. We show that whereas wild-type EGFR and the L858R mutant require dimerization for activation and oncogenic transformation, the exon 19 deletion, exon 20 insertion, and L858R/T790M EGFR mutants do not require dimerization. In addition, treatment with the monoclonal antibody, cetuximab, shrinks mouse lung tumors induced by the dimerization-dependent L858R mutant, but exerts only a modest effect on tumors driven by dimerization-independent EGFR mutants. These data imply that different EGFR mutants show differential requirements for dimerization and that disruption of dimerization may be among the antitumor mechanisms of cetuximab. Cancer Res; 73(22); 6770-9. ©2013 AACR

Introduction

Activation of the EGF receptor (EGFR) kinase, a member of the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases, plays a central event in cancer pathogenesis (1, 2). Somatic mutations within the kinase domain of the EGFR gene occur in lung adenocarcinoma in about 8% of patients from Europe and North America and 30% of patients from East Asia (3–6) with the L858R mutation in exon 21 and exon 19 in frame-deletions including amino acids 747 to 749 (Ex19Del) accounting for 88% of these mutations (3). These two somatic mutations are highly associated with clinical responses to treatment with the EGFR kinase inhibitors gefitinib and erlotinib (7–9). However, acquisition of a second EGFR mutation, T790M, which most commonly occurs after treatment with gefitinib or erlotinib, renders the L858R and Ex19Del mutants resistant to these drugs (10, 11). In contrast, the exon 20 insertion (Ex20Ins) EGFR mutants, which represents about 6% of the mutations found in lung adenocarcinoma, appear to be inherently resistant to gefitinib and erlotinib (12, 13).

Cetuximab (Erbitux) is a human–mouse chimeric monoclonal antibody that is U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved for treatment of patients with colorectal and head and neck cancer (14–17). Although cetuximab is effective against about 10% of colorectal carcinoma, EGFR mutations are found in less than 2% of these tumors (18, 19). Although the presence of KRAS or BRAF mutation in colorectal cancer is associated with resistance to cetuximab (20, 21), the EGFR characteristics that correlate with colon tumor sensitivity to cetuximab are less well defined. Recently, cetuximab in combination with chemotherapy has been shown to increase survival of patients with non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) compared with chemotherapy treatment alone (22) but the molecular mechanisms of cetuximab response in lung cancer are likewise undefined.

Binding of cetuximab to the extracellular domain of EGFR may act via immune responses, promoting receptor degradation and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC; ref. 23). In addition, structural studies have suggested that cetuximab may prevent receptor activation by directly blocking ligand binding and/or indirectly blocking the extracellular domain rearrangement required for receptor dimerization by interacting with subdomain III of the EGFR extracellular domain (24–26).

Recent three-dimensional structural analyses of EGFR have provided mechanistic insight into the role of extracellular, juxtamembrane and intracellular receptor dimerization in EGFR activation. First, ligand binding to EGFR...
extracellular domains I and III stabilizes an open receptor structure, enabling dimerization of extracellular domains and juxtamembrane segments (27–29). Subsequently, the EGFR kinase domain undergoes asymmetric dimerization, in which the C-lobe of the activator monomer activates the N-lobe of the receiver monomer, similar to cyclin-induced activation of cyclin-dependent kinases, activating EGF receptor signaling (Fig. 1A) (30). Substitution mutation of amino acid residues at the asymmetric dimerization interface, such as L704N (receiver-impairing mutation) in the N-lobe and I941R (activator-impairing mutation) in the C-lobe, disrupt both dimerization and activation (Fig. 1B; ref. 30). Coexpression of receiver-impaired and activator-impaired EGFR mutants can rescue receptor activation through asymmetric dimerization between the intact C-lobe and the intact N-lobe of the respective EGFR mutants (Fig. 1B).

Activating mutations in the EGFR kinase domain induce an active conformation of the enzyme that is not dependent on ligand-induced dimerization (12, 31, 32). This observation raises the question of whether EGFR-directed monoclonal antibodies, which can block ligand-induced dimerization, will be effective in treatment of tumors arising from these kinase domain mutations. If the mutants are active even as monomers, antibodies directed at the “upstream” extracellular domain may be ineffective. Alternatively, if asymmetric dimerization is important even when ligand induced dimerization is not (either for trans-autophosphorylation of the receptor itself or for full catalytic activation), then antibody therapy alone or in combination with gefitinib or erlotinib may prove advantageous.

To better understand these issues, we have analyzed the requirement for dimerization in tumor-derived EGFR mutants. We have chosen the L858R, Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and T790M mutants for this study because they are common in lung adenocarcinoma. We found that the Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and T790M mutants are activated and transform cells in a dimerization-independent manner, whereas L858R mutants, as previously reported (33), are dimerization-dependent. In cellular and animal models, cetuximab inhibits tumorigenesis by the dimerization-dependent L858R EGFR mutant but not by the dimerization-independent mutants.

**Materials and Methods**

**Expression constructs**

Wild-type EGFR, L747_E749del, A750P (Ex19Del), D770_N771insNPG (Ex20Ins), L858R/T790M, and Ex19Del/T790M mutant EGFR containing vectors were prepared as previously described (12, 34). QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) was used for generating all mutants described in this study with either wild-type EGFR or the above mutant EGFR in pBabe-puro as a template. C-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) or Myc tagged versions of EGFR were cloned by PCR and ligated into pBabe-puro between SnaBI and SalI. All plasmids were confirmed by sequencing.

---

Figure 1. Dimerization disruption has differential effects on the transforming activity of mutant EGFR proteins. A and B, proposed model for ligand-mediated EGFR dimerization and activation. EGF induces extracellular dimerization and asymmetric dimerization, resulting in the activation of the receiver monomer. A single mutation at the asymmetric dimerization interface of either the receiver monomer or the activator monomer is sufficient to impair receptor dimerization and activation. Coexpression of receiver-impaired (L704N) and activator-impaired (I941R) mutants can rescue asymmetric dimerization mediated by the intact C-lobe of receiver-impaired and N-lobe of activator-impaired mutants, and thereby activates the activator-impaired receiver mutant. Adapted from Zhang and colleagues (30) and Dawson and colleagues (27). C–F, L858R mutants are dependent on asymmetric dimerization for their transforming potential, whereas Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and L858R/T790M mutants were not. NIH-3T3 cells expressing the indicated EGFR mutants with or without receiver-impairing (L704N) and/or activator-impairing (I941R) mutations were assayed for anchorage-independent growth in soft agar. The bar graph depicts the relative number of colonies in the dimerization-defective mutants normalized to the number of colonies formed by cells expressing the respective parental mutants (n = 3, mean ± SD).
Cell culture and generation of cell lines by viral transduction
All NIH-3T3 cell lines stably expressing EGFR mutants were established by retroviral infections and pooled as described previously (12). Cultures were serum-starved for 18 hours before EGF stimulation and harvesting. EGF (Biosource) stimulations were performed using 25 ng/mL for 5 minutes unless noted in the text. Ba/F3 cells were maintained as previously described (35).

Immunoblotting, immunoprecipitation, and antibodies
Cells or homogenized mouse lung tissue were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (Calbiochem) and subjected to immunoblotting. For immunoprecipitation, 200 to 500 μg of protein lysate were incubated with antibody and protein A agarose for 3 hours at 4°C. Antibody information is listed in the Supplementary Data.

Anchorage-independent growth assay
Soft agar assays were carried out in triplicate as previously described (12) with minor changes (2 × 10^5 cells were used per well). Soft agar colonies were photographed after 2 to 3 weeks and quantified using Image J software (NIH). The data were normalized to number of colonies formed by control cells (see figure legends). The data represent triplicate wells. Each assay was repeated a minimum of two times with comparable results.

Cell growth inhibition assay
For growth inhibition assays, Ba/F3 cells (10,000 cells), NCI-H3255 cells (4,000 cells), or NCI-H3255GR cells (4,000 cells) were plated in 180 μl media in 96-well flat-bottom plates (Corning). Twenty-four hours after plating, cells were treated with either cetuximab or erlotinib at the indicated concentrations and incubated for another 72 hours or 7 days. Viable cell numbers were measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 solution (Dojindo). Data are expressed as percentage of growth relative to that of untreated control cells. A more detailed description of the method can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods section of the Supplementary Data.

Generation of transgenic mice, cetuximab treatment, and MRI imaging
L858R, Ex19Del, LTM, and DTM bisetragenic mice were previously characterized (36–38). The generation of Ex20Ins mice is described in Supplementary Data. All mice were housed in a pathogen-free environment at the Harvard School of Public Health (Boston, MA) and were handled in strict accordance with Good Animal Practice as defined by the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare, and all animal work was done with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval. Mice were fed a doxycycline diet and housed in a pathogen-free environment at the Harvard School of Public Health. Exclusion of pathological features was confirmed by MRI imaging carried out after 2 weeks of treatment as previously described (36). Tumor burden was documented through MRI imaging when they reached 4 weeks of age. For cetuximab experiments, 1 mg per dose was injected intraperitoneally daily and MRI was performed when they reached 4 weeks of age. For cetuximab experiments, 1 mg per dose was injected intraperitoneally daily and MRI was performed when they reached 4 weeks of age. For cetuximab experiments, 1 mg per dose was injected intraperitoneally daily and MRI was performed when they reached 4 weeks of age.

Immunochemical analysis was performed as previously described (36). Anti-EGFR and anti-phospho-EGFR (pY1066) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

Results
Asymmetric dimerization is required for oncogenic activity of the L858R mutant and ligand-stimulated wild-type EGFR, but is dispensable for Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and L858R/T790M mutants
Given that EGFR becomes activated through formation of asymmetric dimers between the N-lobe and the C-lobe of its kinase domain, we sought to determine whether this dimerization is essential for enzymatic activation of and consequently cellular transformation by EGFR mutants found in lung cancer. To this end, we generated epitope-tagged EGFR expression constructs that combined a receiver-impairing mutation (L704N) and/or an activator-impairing mutation (I941R) with oncogenic Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, L858R, L858R/T790M (LTM) mutants, or wild-type EGFR. The single or compound EGFR mutants were expressed in NIH-3T3 cells, which express low to undetectable levels of endogenous ErbB family proteins including EGFR, by retroviral transduction. These EGFR mutant-expressing cells were assayed for their ability to grow in soft agar (12, 39). In this system, the transforming ability of dimerization-dependent mutants is predicted to be abolished by cis mutation of L704 or I941. Furthermore, coexpression of the L704N and I941R mutants, in contrast, is predicted to restore transforming ability that is dimerization-dependent, because the two mutant forms can heterodimerize (Fig. 1B). Therefore, this experiment allows us to test whether specific EGFR kinase domain mutants can induce cellular transformation in a dimerization-dependent or -independent fashion.

As a control to assess whether cis mutation of L704N or I941R could disrupt biochemical dimerization in various mutant EGFR backgrounds, we performed non-denaturing gel electrophoresis and anti-EGFR immunoblotting of lysates from NIH-3T3 cells expressing the Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and L858R mutants. As predicted, the abundance of a higher molecular weight isoform in the kinase domain mutants was diminished by cis mutation of L704N or I941R but restored by coexpression of both mutants (Supplementary Fig. S1), confirming that the L704N and I941R mutants specifically disrupt dimerization.

Dimerization-impairing cis mutations in EGFR, L704N, and I941R, significantly reduced the ability of L858R EGFR mutant to promote colony formation of NIH-3T3 cells upon retroviral transduction (Fig. 1C, L704N or I941R); colony-forming activity was partially or completely restored by coexpression of the L858R/L704N and L858R/I941R mutants in trans (Fig. 1C, L704N&I941R). Consistent with these results, tyrosine-phosphorylation of dimerization-impaired L858R mutants was restored by coexpression of L858R/L704N and L858R/I941R mutants (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Similar findings were obtained for EGF-stimulated NIH-3T3 cells expressing wild-type EGFR (Supplementary Figs. S2B, S2C, and S3A).
In contrast, introduction of dimerization-impairing mutations into Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and LTM mutants had little significant effect on their ability to induce colony formation in NIH-3T3 cells (Fig. 1D, E and F), suggesting that these mutants can induce cellular transformation without dimerization. EGFR tyrosine-phosphorylation of the L704N compound mutants was not detectable (Supplementary Figs. S4A–S4C, lane 4), but the I941R compound mutants still display constitutive tyrosine-phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. S4A–S4C, lanes 4 and 5). Furthermore, introduction of additional dimerization-disrupting mutants, the receiver-impairing P699G mutant, and activator-impairing M952R mutant, into the Ex20Ins background (30) did not significantly reduce colony formation by Ex20Ins mutants (Supplementary Fig. S3B) but did abolish colony formation by wild-type EGFR with exogenous EGF (Supplementary Fig. S3C). As predicted, constitutive or EGF-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of the dimerization-impaired mutants were significantly diminished (Supplementary Figs. S2C and S4D).

The lung-cancer derived Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, L858R, and LTM mutants as well as their cis dimerization-impaired mutants are equivalently expressed on cell surface of NIH-3T3 cells as assayed by immunofluorescence as well as flow cytometry assays (Supplementary Figs. S5A, S5B, S5C, and S5D), suggesting that the differential oncogenic activity of dimerization-competent versus dimerization-impaired mutants is unlikely to be due to alterations in EGFR protein expression in cells.

Taken together, these data suggest that Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and LTM mutants are oncogenic in the absence of asymmetric dimerization, whereas the L858R mutant as well as the wild-type EGFR acquire their oncogenic potentials following constitutive "inside-out" or ligand-dependent "outside-in" asymmetric dimerization, respectively, which is consistent with recent reports showing that oncogenic activity of L858R mutant may arise by promoting high receptor dimerization (28, 40, 41).

**Dimerization-independent EGFR mutants are active in either the receiver or the monomer conformation**

As dimerization-dependent activation of EGFR is well-described but dimerization-independent activation has not been reported to our knowledge, we further assessed the ability of EGFR mutants to be activated biochemically without asymmetric dimerization. To do so, we coexpressed dimerization-impaired activating EGFR mutants in which only one heterodimeric partner could be an active kinase, by introducing a kinase-inactivating D837A mutation together with a Myc tag into either a receiver-impaired, obligate activator L704N mutant (Fig. 2A and B, lanes 1, 3, and 5) or into an activator-impaired, obligate receiver I941R mutant (Fig. 2A and B, lanes 1, 3, and 5).
Differential effects of cetuximab on Ba/F3 cells that express dimerization-dependent L858R mutant EGFR compared with dimerization-independent mutants including L858R/T790M

The identification of dimerization-dependent and independent EGFR mutants raises the question whether the potency of cetuximab against distinct EGFR mutants is correlated with dimerization, given that inhibition of dimerization is one proposed mechanism of action for cetuximab (24). Ba/F3 cells can be engineered so that their survival without exogenous growth factors depends on different EGFR mutants (34, 35). Consistent with our previous results (34, 35), gefitinib inhibited the growth of Ba/F3 cells expressing either the L858R or the Ex19Del mutants, but did not show any effect on the cells transformed by either L858R/T790M (LTM), Ex19Del/T790M (DTM), or Ex20Ins mutants (Supplementary Fig. S7A). Because Ba/F3 cells expressing wild-type EGFR does not transform the cells, we did not include these cells for the assay.

Interestingly, cetuximab was able to suppress the growth of Ba/F3 cells expressing the L858R mutant in a dose-dependent manner, with an IC50 of 0.31 μg/mL, but had no effect on Ba/F3 cells expressing Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, LTM, or DTM mutants (Fig. 3A). The lack of response to cetuximab was not due to the impaired cell surface expression of the mutant EGFR, as each mutant was expressed at high levels (Supplementary Fig. S8). However, in Ba/F3 cells, the Ex20Ins and T790M mutants are expressed at somewhat reduced levels compared with the L858R or Ex19Del mutants. To further characterize the biochemical effects of cetuximab on mutant EGFR, we performed immunoblotting of EGFR-expressing Ba/F3 cell lysates with either anti-EGFR or anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies before or after cetuximab treatment. Consistent with previous reports (42, 43), we observed that cetuximab induced EGF receptor degradation and downregulation of phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3B). This effect occurred not only in the cetuximab-sensitive L858R mutant, but also in the cetuximab-resistant Ex19Del and Ex20Ins mutants, indicating that receptor degradation may not be sufficient for an antiproliferative effect in EGFR-expressing Ba/F3 cells. Our results suggest that dimerization dependency and cetuximab sensitivity in Ba/F3 cells are correlated: the dimerization-dependent L858R mutant is cetuximab-sensitive whereas the dimerization-independent Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and LTM mutants are not.

To determine whether similar patterns of cetuximab response are observed in lung cancer-derived cell lines, recognizing of course that these cell lines have complex and divergent genetic backgrounds, we tested the effects of cetuximab on NCI-H3255, NCI-H3255GR, and PC9 cells, harboring L858R, LTM, or Ex19Del EGFR mutations, respectively. Consistent with previous reports (44–46), the growth of NCI-H3255 and PC9 cells, but not NCI-H3255GR cells, was inhibited by gefitinib in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3C and Supplementary Fig. S7B). In contrast, cetuximab had different effects on the growth of these cell lines. The proliferation of NCI-H3255 cells bearing L858R mutant EGFR, but not of NCI-H3255GR nor of PC9 cells, was suppressed by cetuximab although the inhibition of NCI-H3255 cell proliferation is not as potent as for Ba/F3 cells transformed with L858R mutant EGFR (Fig. 3D and Supplementary Fig. S7C).

Dimerization-independent EGFR mutants promote lung tumorigenesis in vivo despite cetuximab treatment that blocks autophosphorylation

To further investigate the relationship between dimerization dependency and cetuximab response among oncogenic mutants of EGFR, we expanded our studies to include transgenic mouse models using tetracycline-inducible expression of L858R, LTM, Ex19Del, DTM, or Ex20Ins mutant EGFR, which develop poorly differentiated lung adenocarcinomas with bronchioloalveolar carcinoma features after induction with doxycycline for 6 to 8 weeks as previously described (Supplementary Fig. S9; ref. 36). Cetuximab was administered to these tumor-bearing mice by daily intraperitoneal injection. After 2 weeks, changes in tumor burden in the mice were documented with magnetic resonance imaging and compared with images taken before cetuximab treatment. The findings were consistent with previous experiments (36) showing that L858R-driven lung cancers responded to cetuximab treatment, with approximately 15% of the tumor remaining after the 2-week...
treatment (Fig. 4A, L858R). A partial response to cetuximab was also observed in the transgenic mice expressing the Ex19Del mutant, which is somewhat different from what we observed in vitro with this mutant (Fig. 4A, Ex19Del). In contrast, the size of the overall tumors driven by either the LTM, the DTM, or the Ex20Ins mutations were only slightly decreased or even increased after cetuximab treatment, showing that cetuximab is no longer effective against these three mutants in vivo (Fig. 4A, LTM, DTM, and Ex20Ins). Taken together, these data show that cetuximab has differential efficacy against mutant EGFR in vivo as well as in vitro.

Given that several lung cancer-derived mutants of EGFR are resistant to cetuximab, we asked whether their cetuximab resistance is due to the inability of cetuximab to block receptor autophosphorylation. However, immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry show that cetuximab treatment of lung cancers driven by cetuximab-resistant Ex20Ins and T790M double mutants of EGFR leads to vastly reduced EGFR autophosphorylation (Fig. 4B and C and Supplementary Fig. S10). This reduced phosphorylation phenotype cannot be measured with the cetuximab-sensitive L858R mutant as tumors are completely ablated (Fig. 4B, lane 2). Taken together, these results suggest that cetuximab can block receptor autophosphorylation of dimerization-independent EGFR mutants, and that these mutants are cetuximab resistant because they are still active despite such blockade.

Discussion

We have shown that wild-type EGFR and the L858R mutant require asymmetric dimerization for activation and oncogenic transformation, but the Ex19Del, Ex20Ins, and T790M mutants are dimerization-independent, although we cannot formally exclude the formation of transient dimers that are not detected in steady-state cross-linking experiments.

On the basis of our findings, we propose a model of wild-type and mutant EGFR activation (Fig. 5). Wild-type EGFR is activated by ligand binding, which induces extracellular dimerization of the receptor, which in turn triggers "outside-in" asymmetric dimerization of the N- and C-lobes in the kinase domain, resulting in enzymatic activation of the receiver monomer and subsequent autophosphorylation on the C-terminal tail of the activator monomer (Fig. 5A). Thus the wild-type receptor requires ligand binding, dimerization, and autophosphorylation for its activity.

The L858R EGFR mutant is able to undergo constitutive asymmetric dimerization in the absence of ligand, which in turn promotes "inside-out" extracellular dimerization (Fig. 5B);
ligand increases dimerization but is not required. Prevention of dimerization impairs the oncogenic activity of the L858R mutant because the receiver monomer is no longer active. The Ex19Del and Ex20Ins mutants and the LTM double mutants similarly dimerize in the absence of ligand, but do not require dimerization for their activity (Fig. 5C). These mutant forms of EGFR are dimerization-independent. Because several of the lung cancer-derived EGFR mutants do not require asymmetric dimerization for activation, disruption of dimerization is not effective in blocking their transforming activity. The therapeutic monoclonal antibody, cetuximab, potently inhibited the oncogenic activity of the dimerization-dependent L858R mutant, but had no effect on the dimerization-independent oncogenic activity of the Ex20Ins mutant or T790M double mutants, and a partial effect on the Ex19Del mutant. Thus, dependency of cellular transformation on receptor dimerization is correlated with cetuximab sensitivity to the mutant EGFR, consistent with a previously proposed model, suggesting that inhibition of receptor dimerization is the primary mechanism for cetuximab activity (24). However, we were not able to demonstrate the disruption of EGFR dimerization by cetuximab directly, as direct binding of cetuximab to the EGFR renders cross-linking experiments uninformative. One alternative hypothesis to explain why dimerization-independent oncogenic mutants are cetuximab insensitive is that these EGFR mutants are not significantly expressed on the cell surface due to differential cellular localization and therefore inaccessible to cetuximab. However, several lines of evidence argue against the cell surface expression hypothesis, most notably the fact that autophosphorylation of the Ex20Ins mutant in mouse tumors is completely blocked by cetuximab treatment (Fig. 4B and C) with no apparent physiologic consequence given that autophosphorylation is not required for signaling, which is consistent with recent reports (47–49). In addition, the levels of total and phosphorylated mutant EGFR in Ba/F3 cells are downregulated by cetuximab in a
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Figure 5. Proposed model of cellular transformation by wild-type EGFR and oncogenic mutants.

Although we observed a correlation of cetuximab sensitivity with the requirement of EGFR mutants for dimerization in cellular and animal model systems, the picture in lung cancer-derived cell lines is more complex. In many ways, this is reminiscent of gefitinib sensitivity, for which there are cells and tumors with gefitinib-sensitive EGFR mutations that are nevertheless resistant to the drug, due to additional genomic events such as the T790M mutation (10, 11), PTEN deletion (50), and MET amplification (51). In addition, the experimental conditions used for the various assays using NSCLC cell lines may likely account for the different results in regards to sensitivity to cetuximab (42, 45). Indeed, we observed a partial cell growth inhibition in this study (Fig. 3D) only when NCI-H3255 cells were treated with cetuximab for longer periods of time than those reported by Mukohara and colleagues (45). Moreover, as previously proposed in mouse xenograft studies with NSCLC cell lines, receptor degradation induced by cetuximab may contribute to the antitumor effect of the drug against mutant EGFR (42, 43, 52).

Given that tumor models of the cetuximab-resistant, erlotinib-resistant, and dimerization-independent L858R/T790M compound EGFR mutant can be treated effectively with the simultaneous administration of cetuximab and an irreversible EGFR inhibitor, BBW 2992, or afatinib (53, 54), a next step in research is to test the hypothesis that afatinib may render EGFR mutants dimerization-dependent.
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