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Abstract

Bit1 is a proapoptotic mitochondrial protein associated with anoikis. Upon cell detachment, Bit1 is released into the cytoplasm and triggers caspase-independent cell death. Bit1 consists of 179 amino acids; for the C-terminal, two thirds of the molecule functions as a peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase, whereas the N-terminus contains a mitochondrial localization signal. Here, we localize the cell death domain (CDD) to the N-terminal 62 amino acids of Bit1 by transfecting cells with truncated Bit1 cDNA constructs. CDD was more potent in killing cells than the full-length Bit1 protein when equivalent amounts of cDNA were transfected. To develop Bit1 CDD into a cancer therapeutic, we engineered a recombinant protein consisting of the CDD fused to iRGD, which is a tumor-specific peptide with unique tumor-penetrating and cell-internalizing properties. iRGD-CDD internalized into cultured tumor cells through a neuropilin-1-activated pathway and triggered cell death. Importantly, iRGD-CDD spread extensively within the tumor when injected intratumorally into orthotopically implanted breast tumors in mice. Repeated treatment with iRGD-CDD strongly inhibited tumor growth, resulting in an average reduction of 77% in tumor volume and eradication of some tumors. The caspase independence of Bit1-induced cell death makes CDD a potentially attractive anticancer agent, because tumor resistance to the main mechanisms of apoptosis is circumvented. Using iRGD to facilitate the spreading of a therapeutic agent throughout the tumor mass may be a useful adjunct to local therapy for tumors that are surgically inoperable or difficult to treat systemically.
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Introduction

Cell–matrix interactions are important for cell survival and failure of cells to adhere to the extracellular matrix results in anoikis (1). Bit1 is a mitochondrial peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase that causes cell death when released into the cytoplasm or experimentally expressed there (2). Bit1 release occurs upon loss of cell attachment, resulting in cell death (2). Bit1 negatively regulates extracellular signal–regulated kinase (Erk) activation, revealing a possible molecular pathway for the anoikis regulation (3, 4). Cytosolic Bit1 interacts with the Groucho family transcriptional coregulator amino-terminal enhancer of split (AES) to induce caspase-independent cell death (2). These activities and the ability of Bit1 to counteract transducin-like enhancer of split 1 (TLE1), which is an anti-apoptotic oncoprotein, suggest a tumor suppressor role for Bit1 (2, 5, 6). A unique property of Bit1 is that cell attachment through certain integrins can prevent cell death induced by cytoplasmic Bit1, whereas various antiapoptotic signaling molecules, such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, PI-3K, and Akt, can prevent the release of Bit1 from mitochondria but are unable to rescue the cell death caused by cytoplasmic Bit1 (2).

Bit1 is a 179-amino acid protein in which amino acids 63 to 179 at the C-terminus of the molecule constitute the catalytic, peptidyl-tRNA hydrolase 2 (Pth2) domain, and the N-terminus serves as a mitochondrial localization signal (2, 7). It is also known that the N-terminal domain is needed for the apoptotic activity (2, 7), but the active site has not been mapped in detail and the mechanism whereby Bit1 causes cell death is not fully understood. We undertook this study to de
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are expressed in tumor vessels and various types of other cells within tumors but not in normal tissues. Having bound to the integrins in a tumor, iRGD is proteolytically cleaved to generate a fragment with a C-terminal CendR motif that binds to NRP-1 and activates the CendR pathway (10).

Materials and Methods

Reagents, cell lines, and tumors

Mouse anti-human NRP-1 monoclonal antibody was purchased from Miltenyi Biotec Inc; rabbit anti-Ki-67 polyclonal antibody was from Abcam; mouse anti-b-actin monoclonal antibody was from Sigma-Aldrich; the secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat immunoglobulin G (IgG; Invitrogen Life Technologies). Full-length cDNA for Bit1 in the mammalian expression vector pCMV-myc were generated previously in the laboratory (2). QuikChange Mutagenesis Kit for Bit1 mutagenesis was purchased from Agilent Technologies. In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit for terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) and FuGENE6 Transfection Reagent for overexpression was purchased from Roche Applied Science. MTT for cell viability assay was from Invitrogen Life Technologies.

Cell lines of human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T, prostate cancer PPC1, and mouse breast cancer 4T1 cell line were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS, penicillin, and streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The mouse 4T1 cell line was maintained in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium with glucose containing 10% FBS, penicillin, and streptomycin. The HEK 293T and 4T1 cells were recently purchased from the ATCC and did not require further authentication. Seventeen short tandem repeat (STR) profiling by ATCC Cell Authentication Service authenticated the MCF-10CA1a and PPC1 (PC3) cells lines. The M21 showed similarity to the HTB-129 (MDA-MB-435S) line in this analysis. The origin of these cells is not critical for our purposes because we only used these cells to represent NRP-1-deficient, αv integrin-positive cells.

To produce orthotopic tumors, 1 million of tumor cells in 100 μL of PBS were injected into the mammary fat pad of mice. Athymic nude mice (Harlan Laboratories) were used for MCF-10CA1a and PPC1 cells for 4T1 cells. All animal procedures were carried out in compliance with the guidelines approved by the Animal Research Committee at the University of California, Santa Barbara (Santa Barbara, CA).

Construction and production of recombinant CDD proteins

Recombinant CDD proteins were prepared as follows: the CDD sequence was cloned into the bacterial expression vector pRSET containing a hexahistidine tag (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Because CDD harbors a mitochondrial signal peptide, a myc-tag was placed at the N-terminus of CDD to prevent the fusion protein from localizing to mitochondria. To generate cell- and tissue-penetrating CDD proteins, oligonucleotides encoding the CendR peptides, RPARPAR (11) or iRGD (sequence: CRGDKGPDC; ref. 10) were synthesized and ligated to the downstream of oligonucleotides encoding the CDD, with a glycine–serine linker placed in between (Supplementary Fig. S1A). All construct sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) plysS strain (Novagen) after induction at 30 °C for 24 hours using MagicMedia E. coli Expression Medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The recombinant proteins were purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography under native conditions by using AKTA fast protein liquid chromatography system. The bound proteins were eluted with 20 mmol/L sodium phosphate buffer containing 300 mmol/L imidazole, pH 8.0. The eluates were dialyzed against PBS pH 7.4 containing an additional 360 mmol/L NaCl. In some experiments, the his-tag was removed using enterokinase (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Bit1 CDD proteins migrated as major bands at 13 kDa (CDD) and 16 kDa (RPARPAR-CDD and iRGD-CDD) in Coomassie blue–stained 4% to 20% SDS-PAGE. The protein identities were confirmed by immunoblotting using antibodies against his-tag or myc-tag (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Labeled recombinant proteins were prepared by conjugating with a Dylight 550 NHS ester dye (Dy550; Pierce Biotechnology) at amine groups. The labeled protein was dialyzed and filtered (0.22 μm). Absorbance measurement was used to determine the dye concentration and degree of labeling, which was somewhat less than an average of 1 dye group per protein molecule.

Cell internalization of the recombinant proteins

Subconfluent tumor cells on chamber slides (Nalge Nunc International) were incubated with 3 μmol/L Dy550-labeled protein between 30 minutes and 24 hours. The cells were then washed 3 times with PBS and fixed with ice-cold methanol for 10 minutes. The specimens were mounted with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-containing Vectashield media (Vector Laboratories) and analyzed under a confocal microscope, Olympus Fluoview 500.

Peptide-conjugated dextran was used to inhibit peptide-CDD protein for cell internalization. A thiol-reactive dextran conjugate was prepared by modifying amino-dextran 10 kDa (5.1 amines per strand, Invitrogen Life Technologies) with N-succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridyldithio)-propionate (SPDP), and diazoyed using Slide-A-Lyzer Dialysis Cassettes 3,500 molecular weight cut off (Pierce Biotechnology). To the SPDP-dextran, an excess Cys-peptide was added, followed by extensive dialysis. Each dextran molecule contained, on average, 5 copies of peptide. Inhibition assays were carried out by incubating 3 μmol/L dextran-conjugated peptide and 3 μmol/L Dy550-labeled CDD protein with PPC1 cells for 1 hour at 37 °C. The cells were then washed, fixed, and analyzed by confocal microscopy as described earlier.
Tumor tissue penetration ex vivo and in vivo

Protein penetration in tumors was studied ex vivo using fresh explants of MCF-10CA1a tumors. Excised tumors were cut into pieces and incubated at 37°C with 20 μmol/L Dy550-labeled proteins in DMEM containing 1% bovine serum albumin. Binding and entry of proteins to the cut surface were examined by confocal microscopy (Olympus Fluoview 500). In vivo protein penetration was analyzed using orthotopic MCF-10CA1a tumor xenografts in mice. Dy550-labeled protein (20 μL of 35 μmol/L solution; approximately 10 μg protein per tumor) was injected into the center of tumor (60-80 mm³) with spheroid shape using 31-gauge needle, and 4 hours later, entire tumors were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Five-μm serial sections from entire tumors were stained with DAPI and scanned using ScanScope FL 6114 (Aperio Technologies, Inc).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by Student t test, one-way ANOVA, and two-way ANOVA followed by a suitable post hoc test using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software).

Results

Localization of Bit 1 cell death domain

Forced expression in the cytoplasm of full-length Bit1 has been shown to induce caspase-independent cell death, whereas the C-terminal catalytic domain lacks this activity (2). Hence, we focused our analysis on the N-terminal domain. To identify the CDD, we expressed a panel of N-terminally myc-tagged Bit1, its N-terminal fragment F1-62, and C-terminal fragment Pth2 using FuGEN6 Transfection Reagent according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The vector without Bit1 fragment served as a control. The percentage of cell viability was determined 24 hours posttransfection by MTT assay and normalized to vector control. Error bars denote mean ± SEM of 3 separate experiments carried out in triplicate of each Bit1 fragment indicated. One-way ANOVA and Student t test were used for statistical analysis. The comparison shown is to the vector control, or between Bit1 and F1-62. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ns, not significant.

The Bit1 CDD includes a conserved transmembrane segment at residues 14 to 33 (PSTLGLAVGVACGMCLGWSL) in the human Bit1 sequence (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Deleting these residues (Δ14–33) resulted in cytosolic and nuclear expression, whereas the first 13 residues were not required for the mitochondrial localization (Supplementary Fig. S2C). These findings are consistent with the enzymatic Pth2 domain exposed to the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. S2D and S2E).

Internalization of CendR-modified Bit1 CDD protein into cells

To deliver Bit1 CDD into cells and potentially into their cytoplasm, we fused CDD to a tumor-penetrating peptide iRGD. For comparison, we also used RPARPAR, a peptide in which the CendR motif is constitutively active. Bit1 CDD with no CendR peptide showed negligible binding to PPC1 cells, a cell line that expresses high levels of NRP-1 (Fig. 2A, a). In contrast, both RPARPAR-CDD and iRGD-CDD effectively bound to and were taken up into these cells (Fig. 2A, b and c). CDD and RPARPAR-CDD did not bind to or internalize into the NRP-1–deficient M21 cells (ref. 11; Fig 2A, d and e). iRGD-CDD bound to the surface of the M21 cells but was only weakly internalized (Fig. 2A, e), consistent with M21 cells expressing high levels of the relevant integrins (13). Cell entry of RPARPAR-CDD was rapid; after 30-minute incubation, the protein was detectable in PPC1 cells, colocalizing with NRP-1 (Fig. 2B). The amount of internalized RPARPAR-CDD peaked around 3 hours and much of the protein was found in perinuclear vesicles. Consistent with the 3-step cell entry process, internalization of iRGD-CDD was slower than...
that of RPARPAR-CDD (Fig. 2C). Twenty-four hours after these proteins were introduced into the cultures, the cells rounded up, shrank, and partially detached, indicating cell death (Fig. 2B and C). Dextran-conjugated RPARPAR and iRGD inhibited the internalization of RPARPAR-CDD and iRGD-CDD protein, respectively, whereas dextran alone or dextran conjugated with iRGD inhibited the internalization of RPARPAR-CDD and iRGD-CDD protein, respectively.
CRGEKGPDC), which does not bind to integrins showed no inhibition of the iRGD-CDD interaction with cells (Fig. 2D). These controls clearly establish the specificity of the CendR peptide-modified CDDs.

**Reduction of cell viability by CendR-modified CDD proteins**

Treatment of cultured breast cancer cells MCF-10CA1a and 4T1 with iRGD-CDD or RPARPAR-CDD significantly reduced cell viability, whereas nonmodified CDD protein had a modest effect at the highest concentrations or no effect at all (Fig. 3A). RPARPAR-CDD was no more cytotoxic to NRP-1–deficient M21 cells than unmodified CDD protein at the highest concentration used (3 μmol/L), indicating that the marginal toxicity at this concentration was not related to the CendR targeting. iRGD-CDD decreased M21 cell viability more than the other 2 CDD proteins, possibly because of integrin-mediated internalization, but the difference to RPARPAR-CDD and CDD was not statistically significant (Fig. 3A). These results show that the expression NRP-1, in addition to αv integrins, is needed for the effect of full cytotoxic effect of iRGD-CDD. Because cells in normal tissues do not express αv integrins, and express lower levels of NRP-1 than most tumors (14), iRGD-CDD is expected to selectively accumulate in tumors in vivo.

Histidine has been shown to enhance endosomal escape, explained in part by a cationic transition at low pH (15–18). Enterokinase cleaves fusion proteins containing the (Asp)4-Lys recognition sequence (19), which is positioned in our construct between the his-tag and the CDD domain. The his-tag in iRGD-CDD was cleaved and removed using enterokinase and nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography purification. The removal of the his-tag in the resulting iRGD-CDD was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3B). Cytotoxicity tests in MCF-10CA1a cells showed that the his-tag effect on construct toxicity was not significant (Fig. 3C). Thus, the his-tag plays only a minor role, if any, in the endosomal escape of the iRGD-CDD protein.

**Penetration of iRGD-CDD into tumor tissue**

The iRGD peptide extravasates and penetrates into extravascular tumor tissue when intravenously injected into tumor-bearing mice (10). We analyzed the penetration ability of the CDD proteins by using fresh MCF-10CA1a tumor explants. CDD showed modest binding to the cut surface of tumor tissue. iRGD-CDD, however, bound strongly and even penetrated several cell layers into the tumor tissue (Fig. 4A).

The tumor penetration activity was also apparent when fluorescently labeled iRGD-CDD was intratumorally injected into MCF-10CA1a tumors in mice. The strong iRGD-CDD signal was detectable in all sections from an entire tumor (Fig. 4B). It exhibited a web-like pattern resembling fibrotic stromal morphology (Fig. 4B, panel 5, inset a). Fibrosis is one of the major barriers that prevent drug distribution within tumor tissue. The ability of iRGD to penetrate fibrotic areas in tumors should help overcome this issue and improve local chemotherapy. The wide positive areas along the tumor periphery were presumably caused by outside-in penetration of iRGD-CDD that overflowed during the injection and the signals were not detectable outside of tumor rim (Fig. 4B).

![Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of CDD proteins in tumor cell lines. A, MCF-10CA1a, 4T1, and M21 cells were incubated for 48 hours with the recombinant CDD proteins at various concentrations and cell viability was measured by MTT assay. B, iRGD-CDD proteins with or without hexahistidine tag were separated on a gradient SDS-PAGE gel and visualized using Coomassie blue staining. C, MCF-10CA1a cells were incubated for 48 hours with the iRGD-CDD protein with or without a his-tag. Error bars denote mean ± SEM of 3 separate experiments carried out in quadruplicate at each concentration of the proteins. Two-way ANOVA was applied for statistical analysis. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ns, not significant.](image-url)
Sporadic localized iRGD-CDD signals were detectable throughout the tumor suggesting protein penetration by active transport (Fig. 4B, panel 7, inset). When CDD was injected, significant intratumoral signals were only present near the injection site (Fig. 4C, panels 3 and 4). The CDD protein that overflowed during the injection remained outside the tumor rim (Fig. 4C, panels 1–6, and inset), and showed minimal penetration into the tumor tissue (Fig. 4C). The total signal of the CDD protein was lower than that of the iRGD-CDD, possibly because there is no ligand for CDD protein on tumor cells, allowing the protein to be exported from the tumor.

These data support the notion that iRGD mediates active tumor penetration of the CDD protein using the NRP-1–dependent CendR pathway.

Inhibitory effect of iRGD-CDD on tumor growth in mice

Two aggressive breast tumor models MCFA-10CA1a and 4T1 were used for evaluation of antitumor effect by the iRGD-CDD protein. Etoposide, a topoisomerase inhibitor that induces caspase-dependent apoptosis in cancer cells (20), was capable of activating caspase-3 in 4T1 cells but failed in MCF-10CA1a cells, suggesting an impaired caspase cascade signaling in the MCF-10CA1a cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). This lack of correlation between CDD activity and caspase independence agrees with the previously documented caspase independence of cell death induced by the full length of Bit1 protein.

We next sought to determine whether tumor-targeted Bit1 CDD could be used as a therapeutic agent. We initially...
administered iRGD-CDD or CDD intravenously at 10 mg/kg of mouse body weight every other day. The initial results suggested an antitumor effect by iRGD-CDD that was not seen with CDD, but symptom of the severe toxicity required euthanasia intervention (Supplementary Fig. S4). Thus, we turned our attention to local treatment. The MCF-10CA1a tumors grew rapidly in mice treated with intratumoral injections of PBS or CDD. In the iRGD-CDD treated mice, inhibition of tumor growth was evident as early as 3 days after the treatment began. At the end of treatment on day 12, the tumor volume was reduced by about 77% compared with the PBS and CDD controls (Fig. 5A). Tumor weights at the end of the experiments were in agreement with the size measurements; the mean weight of the tumors in the iRGD-CDD group was 30% of that in the control groups (Fig. 5B). None of the mice locally treated with iRGD-CDD or CDD alone showed any body weight loss. Notably, 2 of 8 mice (25%) in the iRGD-CDD–treated group had too small tumors to be excised after 12 days of treatment. The small nodules at the tumor inoculation site in these 2 mice disappeared during the following several weeks without any further treatment. No skin abnormalities were observed around the injection sites, suggesting the tumor-specific penetration of iRGD-CDD (Fig. 5C). The mice were healthy and had no signs of tumor metastasis during 6 months of observation.

The treatment results in the 4T1 tumor model were also positive but reflected the greater aggressiveness of these tumors than the MCF-10CA1a tumors. Tumor volume in iRGD-CDD group was about 40% and tumor weight about 50% smaller than in the PBS and CDD control groups (Fig. 5D and E). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)–stained tumor sections did not show differences among tumors treated with iRGD-CDD, CDD, or PBS (Fig. 5B). None of the mice locally treated with iRGD-CDD or CDD alone showed any body weight loss. Notably, 2 of 8 mice (25%) in the iRGD-CDD–treated group had too small tumors to be excised after 12 days of treatment. The small nodules at the tumor inoculation site in these 2 mice disappeared during the following several weeks without any further treatment. No skin abnormalities were observed around the injection sites, suggesting the tumor-specific penetration of iRGD-CDD (Fig. 5C). The mice were healthy and had no signs of tumor metastasis during 6 months of observation.
with the TUNEL result, staining for the Ki-67 marker of cell proliferation was significantly lower in the iRGD-CDD–treated tumors than in the PBS or CDD controls (Fig. 6C).

Discussion

In this work, we define the Bit1 CDD, localize it to the presumed membrane-embedded domain of the protein and show that CDD is more potent than full-length Bit1 in causing cell death when tested by cDNA transfection. We also show that the CDD protein is highly active when introduced into tumor cells using protein transduction mediated by the tumor-penetrating and cell-internalizing peptide iRGD, and that the fusion protein is a promising antitumor agent capable of spreading within tumor tissue from an intratumoral injection.

Our results place the CDD of Bit1 in the N-terminal domain, which contains the 20-amino acid hydrophobic sequence thought to anchor Bit1 to the mitochondrial outer membrane. Targeting of polypeptides encoded in the nucleus to mitochondria requires the presence of a signal sequence for mitochondrial localization. Most of these sequences contain positively charged amphipathic α-helical stretch at the N-terminus, followed by a stretch of hydrophobic residues (21). The Bit1 N-terminus fulfills these criteria (Supplementary Fig. S2A). The mitochondrial signal sequences can direct a protein through both of the mitochondrial membranes to the interior of mitochondria, to the space between the outer and inner membranes, or anchor a protein to the outer membrane (22–24). Our results show that Bit1 is available for protease...
digestion at the surface of intact mitochondria (Supplementary Fig. S2D). Thus, Bit1 membrane-embedded domain likely attaches the protein to the outer mitochondrial membrane without mediating translocation through the membrane.

Recent results provide clues to the mechanisms underlying Bit1 and Bit1 CDD-mediated cell death. Bit 1, when translocated to the cytoplasm from the mitochondria, interacts with the Groucho/TLE family member AES, suggesting that Bit1 may regulate the activities of the antiapoptotic and oncogenic TLE proteins (2, 6, 25). Other results show that disrupting Bit1 expression with short hairpin RNA confers weakly malignant MCF7 cells enhanced anoikis resistance and increased migratory potential. These changes correlated with an increase in active Erk levels and a decrease in Erk-directed phosphatase activity (26), providing another possible mechanism for the reduced viability of cells with increased Bit1 activity.

We showed the CDD activity and specificity using 2 methods, cDNA transfection and protein transduction. As CDD is thought to act in the cytoplasm and the effects of the protein transduction and cDNA transfection were similar, we infer that the CendR peptides delivered CDD into the cytoplasm. This is the first demonstration that peptides using the CendR pathway can be active in protein transduction.

Traditional cell-penetrating peptides have been used to deliver recombinant proteins to mammalian cells (27, 28), but these peptides are nonselective; they promote entry into all cells. The prototype of these peptides, Tat, resembles our peptides in that it contains several CendR (R/KXXR/K) motifs (11). However, Tat is active whether fused to the N- or C-terminus of a protein, whereas the activity of CendR peptides is position-dependent in that the CendR motif must be exposed at the C-terminus to be active (11). Hence, activation of peptides with cryptic CendR motifs in a target tissue can be used in tissue-specific delivery of payloads. Indeed, our tumor-homing CendR peptides, such as iRGD and LyP-1 are specific for tumors, whereas the Tat-type peptides are not specific for any cell type or tissue (10, 29). Thus, the tumor-specific CendR peptides offer new opportunities for cell type–specific and tissue-specific protein transduction.

The iRGD peptide selectively activates the CendR trans-tissue transport pathway in tumor, taking payloads as large as nanoparticles with it (10, 14, 30, 31). Here, we show that these peptides can provide a protein with an anticancer activity and the ability to penetrate into tumor tissue from a local site without affecting the surrounding normal tissue.

We made use of the tumor penetration activity of iRGD-CDD in a new anticancer treatment. Treating-tumor bearing mice with iRGD-CDD effectively inhibited tumor growth in vivo, causing nearly 80% reduction in tumor volume of the MCF-10CA1a tumors and 40% reduction in 4T1 tumors. Importantly, 25% of the MCF-10CA1a tumors were eradicated by the iRGD-CDD treatment. Targeting caspase independency for tumor therapeutics is a promising strategy to eliminate cancer cells because tumor cells often develop resistance to antitumor agents by developing defects in caspase activation. Activating a caspase-independent cell death pathway with Bit1 CDD may be an effective way of attacking tumor cells resistant to apoptosis. Caspase activation is blocked in the MCF-10CA1a cells, whereas this pathway is intact in the 4T1 cells. The greater inhibitory effect of Bit1 CDD in the MCF-10CA1a tumor than in the 4T1 tumor suggests an inverse correlation between the activities of the caspase-dependent cell death pathways (32).

Intratumoral treatment may be particularly useful for tumors that are difficult to remove surgically or treated systemically. Advanced tumors in vital organs can be unresectable, and some tumors are protected from systemic chemotherapy by the blood–brain barrier and fibrotic stroma. Indeed, adjuvant local chemotherapy has been applied to malignant glioma and tested in advanced tumors localizing in the lungs, pancreas, and esophagus (33–37). However, poor drug spreading within the tumor tissue has been a limiting factor for successful local tumor therapies (38, 39). iRGD-CDD, the novel tumor-penetrating cell death protein, may significantly advance local tumor therapies and offer new treatment options to cancer with locally advanced tumors.
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