Introduction

The pyrimidine analog 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and its prodrug capecitabine are frequently prescribed for the treatment of aggressive cancers, particularly those of the colon and breast. Like many chemotherapy drugs, 5-FU has a narrow therapeutic index, with a very small difference between effective and toxic doses (1). 5-FU is converted to active and inactive metabolites by the uracil anabolic and catabolic pathways, respectively (2, 3). Anabolism of 5-FU generates cytotoxic metabolites that inhibit production of thymidine and uridine and are incorporated into DNA and RNA synthesis (reviewed in ref. 4). Only 1% to 3% of administered 5-FU enters the anabolic pathway; the vast majority (approximately 85%) is catabolized in the liver (3). Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD, encoded by the DPYD gene) is the initial and rate-limiting enzyme of the uracil catabolic pathway, and as such has a pivotal role in determining the clearance rate and circulating half-life of 5-FU (5, 6).

Early studies showed that DPD deficiency prolonged the half-life of 5-FU by approximately 10-fold (5, 7, 8) and correlated with adverse responses in those treated with the standard dose of the drug (8–10). Complete deficiency of DPD manifests during childhood as a rare neurologic disorder (11–13). Partial deficiency is more common and presents as a toxic response (≥ grade 3) to the standard dose of 5-FU (8, 9). These adverse responses range in severity and include diarrhea, hand-foot syndrome, stomatitis, mucositis, myelosuppression, neurotoxicity, and, in extreme cases, death (14).

Genetic variations in DPYD have been identified as a major contributor to DPD deficiency. The most studied DPYD variation, *2A (rs3918290, a G>A base change at the splice acceptor sequence for exon 14), significantly reduces the enzyme activity of the translated protein and has been shown to prolong the clearance of 5-FU leading to increased occurrence of severe toxicity and occasionally death (15, 16). In addition to *2A, 96 nonsynonymous and 2 frameshift variations have been reported for DPYD (17). For many DPYD variations, clinical studies have yielded unclear or contradicting results pertaining to their contribution to severe 5-FU toxicity (18).

The objective of the present study was to develop and validate a cellular model system that would allow rapid phenotypic assessment of DPYD variations for sensitivity to 5-FU. In this study, DPYD alleles were expressed in a human cell line, and enzyme activity of the expressed protein was directly quantified. Sensitivity to 5-FU was measured using real-time cellular analysis (RTCA) of cells expressing DPYD variations.
Using the developed system, we have functionally classified several commonly studied DPYD alleles. Of the variants studied, 2 showed significantly higher levels of enzyme activity compared with wild-type (WT). This finding suggests that individuals carrying these alleles may be protected against adverse toxicity to 5-FU at the expense of reduced drug efficacy at the standard dose.

Materials and Methods

Cells
A protein expression screen of commonly used laboratory cell lines showed that DPYD was not detectible in HEK293T clone 17 (HEK293T/c17) and HCT116 cells (data not shown). Low passage HEK293T/c17 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; culture CRL-11268) and grown using standard cell culture conditions of 37°C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator using Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% FBS (Denville Scientific), 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Mediatech). HCT116 cells were obtained from ATCC (culture CCL-247) and cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin.

ATCC routinely authenticates cell lines in their repository using short tandem repeat (STR) profiling, cell morphology monitoring, karyotyping, and cytochrome C oxidase I (COI) testing. Upon receipt from ATCC, cell lines were observed microscopically to confirm morphology, and population-doubling times were determined by daily counting of viable cells using the Trypan blue dye exclusion method. Low passage stocks of both cell lines were prepared and stored in liquid nitrogen within 2 weeks of receipt from ATCC. For experimental use, cell stocks were thawed and maintained in culture for no longer than 2 months or a total of 10 passages. Cell lines were periodically monitored for mycoplasma by Hoechst staining (Sigma-Aldrich). Culture identity and health were monitored by microscopy and by comparing the population doubling times to baseline values determined for the original cell stock received. Culture health was additionally monitored for RTCA experiments as described by Irelan and colleagues (19).

Vector construction
Human DPYD was cloned into the pIRE5-neo3 expression vector (Clontech) using the Rapid DNA Ligation Kit (Roche). The D2A, C29R, S534N, I543V, I560S, and V732I variant constructs were generated using the Phusion Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Finzymes) using primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. Plasmid sequences were confirmed at the Mayo Clinic Advanced Genomics Technology Center (Rochester, MN) using primers listed in Supplementary Table S2. DPYD variants were subcloned back into parental pIRE5-neo3 and reconfirmed to eliminate potential spurious mutations introduced into the vector backbone during PCR. Endotoxin-free plasmid DNA was prepared for transfections using the NucleoBond Extra Midi EF Kit (Macherey-Nagel). The pIRE5-neo3 vector was used as an "empty vector" control where indicated.

DPD enzyme activity assay
Low passage HEK293T/c17 cells were seeded at a density of 3 × 10^4 cells per 10-cm plate and transfected after 16 hours with 5 µg plasmid DNA using FuGene HD (Roche). Total protein lysates were prepared 48 hours following transfection. Cells were trypsinized (0.05% trypsin and 0.53 mmol/L EDTA; Mediatech), washed with PBS, and resuspended in buffer consisting of 35 mmol/L potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 supplemented with 2.5 mmol/L MgCl2, 0.035% 2-mercaptoethanol, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail at the concentration recommended by manufacturer (Roche). Cells were disrupted by sonication on ice and cleared of debris by centrifugation. Total protein concentration was determined using the BioRad Protein Assay. Lysates were diluted to a standard concentration and stored at −80°C.

Enzyme activity was determined using a modification of a method described earlier by our laboratory (20). Lysates were incubated with 20 µmol/L NADPH (Sigma) and 825 nmol/L [6-C14]-5-fluorouracil ([6-C14]-5-FU; Moravek Biochemicals) for 30 minutes at 37°C with constant agitation. Reactions were terminated by the addition of an equivalent volume of ice-cold 100% ethanol, and samples were then frozen at −80°C. Precipitated material was removed by centrifugation and filtering through a 0.2 µm polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) Mini-UniPrep syringeless filter (Whatman). Conversion of [6-C14]-FU to [6-C14]-5-dihydroflourouracil ([6-C14]-5-DHFU) was determined using two reverse-phase C18 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) columns (Grace) connected in serial to a PerkinElmer Radiochromatographic 625TR flow scintillation analyzer. DPD activity was calculated by measuring the percentage region of interest as the area under the curve for ([6-C14]-5-DHFU)/([6-C14]-5-FU + [6-C14]-5-DHFU) using ProFSA software. Biologic replicates were normalized by z-scores and sample groups compared using two-tailed Student t tests.

Western blotting
Protein lysates were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF-FL membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked using Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR). Blots were probed with primary antibodies against DPD and α-tubulin (both AbCam) and subsequent secondary IRDye800-conjugated goat anti-mouse and IRDye 680-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (both LI-COR). Blots were scanned and band intensities quantified using the LI-COR Odyssey Infrared Imaging system.

Cell viability assays
HEK293T/c17 cells were seeded with a target density of 1 × 10^4 cells per well of a 96-well plate. After incubation for 16 hours, cultures were transfected with the indicated expression vectors. Media was removed 24 hours after transfection and replaced with media containing serial dilutions of 5-FU ranging from 1 nmol/L to 20 mmol/L. Viable cell counts were estimated using CellTiter-Blue (Promega) 48 hours after treatment as an indicator of cell proliferation. IC50 was determined by fitting data using a logistic 4P nonlinear model (JMP, SAS Institute Inc.).
Real-time cellular analysis

Cell settlement, morphology, and proliferation were monitored in real-time using an xCELLigence RTCA system (ACEA Biosciences). This instrument uses an electrical current that is sent through gold electrodes located on the floor of each well at defined time intervals and the electrical impedances recorded. The electrical impedance readouts are expressed in terms of an arbitrary unit, the cell index, and are displayed as kinetic curves. At each time point, the cell index is calculated by \( Z_t = Z_y/Z_x \), where \( Z_x \) is the electrical impedance of the electronic sensor in a particular well containing cells and \( Z_y \) is the background impedance of medium alone in that particular well.

Before seeding cells, 50 μL media was added to each RTCA plate well and the plates scanned on the xCELLigence to generate a background reading. HEK293T/c17 cells were seeded with a target density of \( 5 \times 10^3 \) cells in 150 μL media per well. Cells were incubated on the xCELLigence in standard cell culture conditions, and cell index recorded at 15 minute intervals. After 20 to 24 hours of culture, cells were transfected with the indicated plasmid amounts in a 1:3 ratio using FuGene HD (Roche). Following transfection, cell index was recorded every 2 minutes for 8 hours and then every 15 minutes thereafter. Approximately, 20 to 24 hours after transfection, cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of 5-FU (Sigma) or vehicle. Cell index was recorded every 2 minutes for 8 hours following treatment and every 15 minutes thereafter.

Objective determination of analysis time points from cell index data

Cell index profiles were smoothed using a spline smoothing algorithm with \( \lambda = 1,000 \). The \( \lambda \) coefficient was empirically determined to adequately remove noise from data without altering the overall shape of cell index profiles. The slope was subsequently calculated between adjacent data points along the smoothed cell index profile and the resultant first-order derivative approximation profile was plotted. The change in slope (second derivative of the cell index profile) was calculated and used to define statistical endpoints for the slope profile. Time points were established following the initial surge in cell index that occurred directly following the media change at the time of 5-FU treatment. The "toxicity" time point was defined as the time when the second derivative of the cell index reached or approached 0. In cases where the second derivative did not reach 0, this time point was observed as a peak on the second derivative curve. The "recovery" time point was similarly defined as the next time when the second derivative of the cell index reached or approached 0. All data analyses and transformations were conducted using JMP (SAS Institute Inc.).

Endpoint quantitation at the defined time points

The cell index was determined for a given time point using the first-order derivative approximation of the smoothed cell index profile. Data were assessed using a 3-effect model to compare the relative contributions of replicate, DPD variation and 5-FU treatment level (grouped by DPD variation) to the observed variability within the overall dataset. In cases where replicate was shown to significantly contribute to model error \( (P < 0.05) \), data were normalized relative to that for the untreated culture expressing the same DPD variation within the replicate. In all cases where normalization was deemed necessary, following normalization, replicate no longer contributed to the model variability. All data transformations and analyses were conducted using JMP (SAS Institute Inc.).

Statistical tests

Specific statistical tests used for a given experiment are described in the relevant methods subsection earlier. All data analyses and transformations were conducted using JMP (SAS Institute Inc.), unless otherwise noted.

Results

Development of an in vitro system to measure DPD enzymatic activity

Expression constructs were generated to encode DPD and a mimic of the "2A splice product lacking the sequence corresponding to exon 14 (Fig. 1A). Constructs were transfected into low passage HEK293T/c17 cells, and crude protein lysates were harvested by sonication after 48 hours of culture. The total protein concentrations of lysates were determined, and samples were diluted to a standard concentration for subsequent analyses. The ability of lysates to catalyze the conversion of radiolabeled 5-FU to DHFU was measured by HPLC as an indicator of DPD enzyme activity (Fig. 1B). Lysates from cells expressing WT DPD were able to efficiently reduce 5-FU to DHFU, whereas lysates from cells transfected with either the empty vector control or the "2A variant did not show any 5-FU conversion to DHFU (Fig. 1B). Expression of WT DPD protein was similar to that of the "2A variant, and no endogenous DPD expression as noted for cell lysates from cells transfected with empty vector (Fig. 1C). Extending the reaction times to 24 hours did not result in any detectible conversion of 5-FU for untransfected cells or for those expressing either the empty vector or "2A (data not shown), further suggesting that HEK293T/c17 cells lack detectible endogenous DPD enzyme activity.

To confirm that the transgenically expressed DPD was catalytically active in vivo, IC_{50} for 5-FU was determined (Fig. 1D). Cells transfected with WT DPD showed significantly higher resistance to 5-FU than untransfected cells (IC_{50} = 8.4 and 3.0 μmol/L, respectively; \( P = 0.0070 \)). The IC_{50} for cells transfected with the empty vector and "2A were 1.7 and 3.6 μmol/L 5-FU, respectively. These cells were significantly more sensitive to 5-FU than those expressing WT DPD (\( P = 0.0028 \) and \( P = 0.0079 \)). IC_{50} values were not significantly different between empty vector-expressing cells, "2A-expressing cells, and nontransfected cells.

Selection of additional DPD variants for further functional analyses

We selected 5 additional DPD variants for further study. For each of these variants, allele frequencies in the HapMap and 1,000 genomes populations are presented in Table 1 along with a summary of references that are relevant to the previously reported contribution of each variation to 5-FU toxicity. For ease of data interpretation, genetic variants are referred to in this article by the amino acid change for which they encode. Of the 5 selected variants, 1 (I560S, rs5886062) previously...
referred to as DPYD (13) has consistently contributed to 5-FU toxicity in clinical reports (21–24). The roles of the additional 4 selected variants in 5-FU–related toxicities are less clear. The I543V variant (rs1801159, previously referred to as DPYD/C3 5) is widely considered to be a common polymorphism that has not shown association with 5-FU toxicity (25–27), however, 2 reports suggest otherwise (28, 29). The S534N variant (rs1801158, previously referred to as DPYD/C3 4) has an unclear association with 5-FU toxicity. While earlier studies suggested this allele was linked to drug sensitivity (30–33), more recent studies using large clinical cohorts have contradicted this finding (18, 25–27). V732I (rs1801160, previously referred to as DPYD/C3 6) has been shown to contribute to 5-FU toxicity only when the M166V variation (rs2297595) was not present (18). Several other reports have shown no association with toxicity for V732I (25–27). Finally, C29R (rs1801265, previously referred to as DPYD/C3 9A) was identified in a DPD-deficient patient and shown to be catalytically inactive (34, 35); however, clinical studies have failed to establish a link between the variation and 5-FU sensitivity (25–27). Additional studies have suggested that C29R may in fact serve as a protective allele against 5-FU toxicity (18, 32).

Figure 1. Measurement of DPD enzyme activity in cells transfected with DPYD expression constructs. A, the human DPYD gene (WT) and the ‘2A variant lacking sequence corresponding to exon 14 (‘2A) were engineered into the pIRES-neo3 expression vector (EV corresponds to the empty parental vector). B, lysates prepared from transfected cells were assayed for DPD-dependent conversion of radiolabeled 5-FU to DHFU as measured by HPLC. DPM, disintegrations per minute. C, DPD expression levels were measured by immunoblot of DPD and β-tubulin. D, the concentration of 5-FU that inhibits cell growth by 50% (IC50) was determined by treating transfected and nontransfected (NT) cells with a dilution series of 5-FU and measuring cell viability 48 hours after 5-FU treatment. Each individual data point (*) constitutes the IC50 calculated as the mean of three technical replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
<th>‘Star’ allele</th>
<th>dbSNP identifier</th>
<th>CEU</th>
<th>YRI</th>
<th>HCB</th>
<th>JPT</th>
<th>1,000 genomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Del e14</td>
<td>‘2A’</td>
<td>rs3918290</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I560S</td>
<td>‘13’</td>
<td>rs55886062</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I543V</td>
<td>‘5’</td>
<td>rs1801159</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S534N</td>
<td>‘4’</td>
<td>rs1801158</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V732I</td>
<td>‘6’</td>
<td>rs1801160</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C29R</td>
<td>‘9A’</td>
<td>rs1801265</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: dbSNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism database; nr, not reported.
Relative DPD enzyme activity produced by each of the selected DPD variants

Each selected variant was transiently expressed and assayed for DPD enzyme activity relative to that of WT and the catalytically inactive 2A variation (Fig. 2A). The most striking result was obtained for S534N, which was 36% more active than WT ($P = 3.4 \times 10^{-7}$). C29R was also significantly hyper-active ($P = 0.0013$), exhibiting 13% higher activity than WT. The amino acid substitutions I543V and V732I did not significantly affect enzyme activity. Consistent with previous reports, the I560S substitution impaired DPD enzyme function, showing a 75% reduction in activity relative to WT ($P = 5.2 \times 10^{-7}$). Each variant was expressed at a level similar to that of WT DPD (Fig. 2B).

To confirm that the observed results were not due to saturation of the enzymatic reaction, varying amounts of cellular lysate from cells transfected with empty vector, WT, 2A, I560S, and S534N were assayed for DPD activity (Fig. 2C). For all concentrations except the lowest tested, S534N showed significantly higher enzyme activity than that of WT (minimum $P = 7.1 \times 10^{-7}$). The activity of I560S was intermediate between WT and 2A (minimum $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-7}$ and $P = 8.3 \times 10^{-7}$, respectively). Enzyme activity in lysate from cells expressing the 2A variant showed no difference in activity compared with lysate from cells transfected with empty vector. All variants were equally expressed (Fig. 2D). In addition, the rank order of variations was not altered by changing the amount of DNA transfected (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B) or when expressed in a second cell line (HCT116 cells, data not shown).

DPD variants determine 5-FU sensitivity

DPD variant-expressing cells were continuously monitored for changes in cell proliferation using RTCA to closely measure the cellular responses following 5-FU treatment. The culture plates that are used for RTCA are lined with gold electrodes (Fig. 3A). A small electric current is passed through the culture and the impedance recorded. These data are expressed in cell index units. Changes in cell index can indicate a change in cell number, morphology, and/or attachment. Cell death reduces the impedance between electrodes and results in an overall downward trend in the cell index profile (Fig. 3B). Conversely, cell proliferation is indicated by an increase in cell index.

To determine an appropriate number of cells to use in subsequent experiments, various quantities of HEK293T/c17 cells were seeded into the wells of an RTCA plate (Fig. 3C). A greater starting density of cells resulted in an earlier cell index plateau, indicative of culture confluency and/or nutrient limitation. On the basis of these results, a seeding density of 5,000 cells per well was chosen for subsequent experiments. To determine the amount of vector that could be transfected without inducing a change in the cell index profile, varying amounts of empty pIRES-neo3 vector were transfected in parallel (Fig. 3D). Cells receiving 100 ng, and to a lesser extent 50 ng, showed altered cell index profiles relative to cells transfected with either 25 or 12.5 ng plasmid (Fig. 3D). On the
basis of these results, subsequent experiments were carried out by transfecting 25 ng of plasmid per well. Using the optimized parameters detailed earlier, cells were transfected with varying amounts of plasmid DNA (empty pIREs-neo3 vector) using a 3:1 ratio of FuGene HD to plasmid. Cells were cultured on RTCA plates for 20 hours and transfected with vectors encoding WT DPYD (WT; E) and the catalytically inactive "2A variant (F). Twenty hours after transfection, cells were treated with the concentrations of 5-FU indicated (G). H, area under the curve was determined for each cell index profile using an analysis window defined as 8 hours to 96 hours after 5-FU treatment and IC\textsubscript{50} of 5-FU was calculated. Data were rescaled relative to the maximum and minimum asymptotes for ease of visualization and are presented ± SD of three technical replicates. Cell index profiles in C–F represent the average of three technical replicates. Data in E and F were normalized to 8 hours post-5-FU treatment using the Δ method.

Figure 3. RTCA of variant-expressing cells treated with 5-FU. A, the surface area of RTCA plates are approximately 80% covered with gold microelectrodes used for measuring impedance. B, cells attached to the plate surface disrupt conductivity at the electrode–solution interface enabling the kinetic monitoring of changes in the cell population. C, HEK293T/c17 cells were seeded at varying densities and cell index monitored. D, cells were transfected with varying amounts of plasmid DNA (empty pIREs-neo3 vector) using a 3:1 ratio of FuGene HD to plasmid. Cells were cultured on RTCA plates for 20 hours and transfected with vectors encoding WT DPYD (WT; E) and the catalytically inactive "2A variant (F). Twenty hours after transfection, cells were treated with the concentrations of 5-FU indicated (G). H, area under the curve was determined for each cell index profile using an analysis window defined as 8 hours to 96 hours after 5-FU treatment and IC\textsubscript{50} of 5-FU was calculated. Data were rescaled relative to the maximum and minimum asymptotes for ease of visualization and are presented ± SD of three technical replicates. Cell index profiles in C–F represent the average of three technical replicates. Data in E and F were normalized to 8 hours post-5-FU treatment using the Δ method.
RTCA classification of selected DPYD variants by 5-FU sensitivity

Given the unexpected hyperactivity that resulted from changing amino acid 534 of DPY from serine to asparagine, we decided to more closely study this variation using RTCA. HEK293T/c17 cells were again plated on RTCA plates and transfected as described for Fig. 3, except in addition to WT DPYD and ‘2A, vectors encoding S534N and I560S were also transfected in parallel (Fig. 4A). On the basis of the IC₅₀ values determined in Fig. 3, cells were treated with 3 concentrations of 5-FU (5, 10, and 20 μmol/L) and monitored for an extended period of time (Fig. 4A). For each variant, an untreated control was included. Experiments were carried out as 3 independent biologic replicates, each consisting of 3 technical replicates. A representative biologic replicate is presented in Fig. 4A. Relative to untreated cells, the cell index profiles for S534N-expressing cells were higher than those for WT, ‘2A, or I560S at each 5-FU treatment concentration (Fig. 4A). Cells expressing ‘2A showed the greatest reduction in cell index following 5-FU treatment relative to untreated. Overall, cell index profiles for WT and I560S were similar, although cell index values tended to be slightly higher for WT following treatment with 5, 10, or 20 μmol/L 5-FU.

The separation between cell index profiles was not always evident when using a single window of analysis defined by the kinetics of the untreated samples (Supplementary Fig. S2). To better use the time-course data generated during RTCA, we developed a series of data analysis methods in which relevant measurement times were objectively determined for each sample using defined characteristics of the cell index profile. The developed methods are detailed in the materials and methods section and Supplementary Fig. S3. Briefly, a smoothing algorithm was used to remove irregularities between adjacent points within a cell index profile without altering the overall shape of a cell index profile (Fig. 4B). The slope of the smoothed cell index profile at each time point collected was plotted and yielded 2 features common to all profiles following drug treatment (Fig. 4B). On the basis of the location along the cell index profile for these 2 features, they were termed ‘toxicity’ and ‘recovery’ time points. The slope of the cell index profile at these time points was measured as a statistical endpoint.

The greatest differences in cell index slopes between treatments were noted for the analysis of the ‘toxicity’ time point (Supplementary Fig. S4, summarized in Fig. 4C). When treated with 5 μmol/L 5-FU, cells expressing S534N had a significantly higher slope than those expressing WT, I560S, or ‘2A (Fig. 4C and D). For cells treated with 10 μmol/L 5-FU, the toxicity slope for S534N remained significantly higher than that of WT, which in turn was significantly greater than that of I560S or ‘2A. Cells treated with 20 μmol/L 5-FU showed largely similar responses for this endpoint regardless of the DPYD variant expressed.

Comparing the cell index slope at the ‘recovery’ time point, significant differences were also noted for drug treatment levels and DPYD variants (Supplementary Fig. S5, summarized in Fig. 4E and F). At the 5 μmol/L 5-FU treatment level, the recovery slope for S534N was significantly higher than that for WT. WT was not significantly different than I560S; however, the slope was significantly higher than that of ‘2A. For cultures treated with 10 μmol/L 5-FU, WT and S534N were not significantly different from each other; however, both slopes were significantly higher than those for I560S and ‘2A. The recovery slopes were similar for S534N, WT, and I560S when treated with 20 μmol/L 5-FU. For each of the 3 treatments with 5-FU, the recovery slope for ‘2A-expressing cells was significantly lower than that for any other constructs tested.

Evaluating variants expressed as heterozygous alleles

On the basis of the reported allele frequencies, all of the variants studied are most often detected as heterozygotes. Therefore, we sought to determine if the developed system could be used to determine if variants significantly altered cellular sensitivity to 5-FU when coexpressed with WT DPYD to mimic the heterozygous state. Cotransfection of plasmids encoding WT and S534N resulted in enzyme activity mid way between single transfections (Fig. 5A and B). Similar results were obtained for cellular sensitivity to 5-FU by RTCA (Fig. 5C–F).

Discussion

In this report, we show a robust phenotypic assay to functionally classify nonsynonymous coding variations in the context of chemotherapy drug toxicity. The most striking result in this study was obtained for the S534N variant, which possessed greater enzymatic activity than WT in vitro and was more protective against 5-FU toxicity when expressed in cells. To a lesser extent, the more common C29R variation also exhibited increased enzymatic activity. These findings support a model in which hyperactive forms of DPD reduce mean circulating levels of 5-FU by increased drug catabolism. Circulating levels of 5-FU have been correlated with clinical toxicity (36, 37). Consistent with our model, clinical studies by Seck and colleagues (32) and Kleibl and colleagues (18) have suggested that C29R is protective against 5-FU toxicity. To our knowledge, the less common S534N variant has not been specifically evaluated as a hyperactive allele in clinical trials; however, based on our results, we speculate that S534N may also be protective against 5-FU toxicity. As a consequence of increased drug clearance, hyperactive alleles may also reduce the effectiveness of 5-FU as an antitumor drug.

The mildly hyperactive phenotype we observed for C29R contradicts previous reports that have suggested that the variant is catalytically inactive when expressed in Escherichia coli (35, 38, 39). Bacteria lack many factors necessary for efficient folding and posttranslational modification of large multi-domain proteins such as DPD. In addition, eukaryotic proteins produced in high levels in E. coli have been shown to be subject to inactivation through aggregation into inclusion bodies (40). The lack of enzyme activity for C29R previously reported may have been an artifact of the bacterial expression system used (35, 38, 39).

Structurally, amino acids 534 and 543 of DPD lie at opposite ends of the loop-structure that covers the opening of the 5-FU catalytic domain (Supplementary Fig. S6 and ref. 41). This domain is a member of the dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (PyrD) conserved domain family (42). On the basis of the...
Figure 4. Classification of functional variants using RTCA to measure relative sensitivity to 5-FU. A, HEK293T/c17 cells were plated on RTCA plates, cultured for 20 hours, and transfected with expression plasmids encoding WT DPYD (WT), *2A, S534N, or I560S. After 20 hours, media was replaced and cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of 5-FU. Experiments were conducted as three independent biological replicates, each consisting of three technical replicates. A representative biological replicate is presented in A. B, to objectively analyze the kinetic data produced, profiles were smoothed and the cell index slope was determined for each data point collected. The relative minimum and maximum cell index slopes, following the initial increase due to changing of the culture media, were determined as detailed in Supplementary Fig. S3 and were called the “toxicity” (†) and “recovery” (‡) timepoints, respectively. C, a summary of cell index slopes at the toxicity time point is presented normalized to the untreated data for a given variant. Error bars represent the SD of three biological replicates. D, P values comparing differences between individual samples were determined using the least squares means differences Student t test and are presented as a heat map. E, the recovery slope was compared for different treatments. Data were normalized and results are presented in the same manner as for C. F, a heat map of P values determined for E is presented.
conservation at the position corresponding to S534N within the PyrD family, this variation is predicted to impact enzyme function. The PolyPhen-2 (43) prediction for this amino acid change is “probably damaging” with a dScore of +2.13 based on alignment with 106 homologs. The S534N substitution may alter the localized protein structure through hydrogen bond interactions between the asparagine side chain and the peptide backbone. In contrast, the I543V variation is not predicted to impact function as isoleucine and valine are chemically and structurally similar. Given the location within the DPD structure and the increased rate of 5-FU catabolism for the S534N variant, we postulate that this variation affects the structure of the loop at the active site opening, and as a consequence, increases substrate turnover.

To develop this phenotypic assay as a useful tool for genotype/phenotype analysis, we studied 2 DPD variations known to be clinically associated with severe toxicity to 5-FU, *2A and I560S (8). In our study, cells expressing either of these variants showed significantly reduced proliferation following treatment with 5-FU. These results are consistent with the numerous clinical reports showing that *2A is a null allele (15, 20, 44). The less common I560S variant has only been reported in a few published cases (21, 22). In one case, I560S was present in a compound heterozygous state with *2A, and resulted in markedly reduced DPD activity and severe toxicity to 5-FU (22). Enzyme function was also severely reduced in a family member carrying I560S but not *2A. Morel and colleagues (21) detected I560S in a single patient who had experienced grade 3 to 4 toxicity following chemotherapy containing 5-FU. Taken together with these previous reports, our data suggest that dose reduction, or avoiding the use of 5-FU in favor of other treatment options, may be warranted for carriers of either *2A or I560S.

It has long been recognized that genetics have a major role in the variability of drug metabolism and hence contribute greatly to the drugs efficacy and toxicity. Personalized medicine initiatives strive to tailor individual treatment regimens based on a number of factors, including genetic factors. The results presented in this article support 5-FU dose reduction in patients that carry either the *2A or I560S variants to minimize risk of severe adverse toxicity. Our findings do not suggest that C29R, S534N, I543V, or V732I contribute to DPD deficiency individually. In addition, the *in vivo* cellular model presented in this article could be used to rapidly identify functional alleles of interest in a given pathway and use that information to better individualize therapies. Additional studies currently underway suggest that this model can be expanded to evaluate multiple variations within a gene present in both *cis* and *trans* combinations.
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