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Abstract

The ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase adds an α2-6–linked sialic acid to the N-glycans of certain receptors. ST6Gal-I mRNA has been reported to be upregulated in human cancer, but a prior lack of antibodies has limited immunochemical analysis of the ST6Gal-I protein. Here, we show upregulated ST6Gal-I protein in several epithelial cancers, including many colon carcinomas. In normal colon, ST6Gal-I localized selectively to the base of crypts, where stem/progenitor cells are found, and the tissue staining patterns were similar to the established stem cell marker ALDH1. Similarly, ST6Gal-I expression was restricted to basal epidermal layers in skin, another stem/progenitor cell compartment. ST6Gal-I was highly expressed in induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells, with no detectable expression in the fibroblasts from which iPS cells were derived. On the basis of these observations, we investigated further an association of ST6Gal-I with cancer stem cells (CSC). Selection of irinotecan resistance in colon carcinoma cells led to a greater proportion of CSCs compared with parental cells, as measured by the CSC markers CD133 and ALDH1 activity (Aldefluor). These chemoresistant cells exhibited a corresponding upregulation of ST6Gal-I expression. Conversely, short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated attenuation of ST6Gal-I in colon carcinoma cells with elevated endogenous expression decreased the number of CD133/ALDH1-positive cells present in the cell population. Collectively, our results suggest that ST6Gal-I promotes tumorigenesis and may serve as a regulator of the stem cell phenotype in both normal and cancer cell populations. Cancer Res; 73(7): 2368–78. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Differences in the glycan profile of cancer cells as compared with normal cells are well-documented. These changes are driven by various enzymes responsible for the addition and removal of sugars, such as glycosyltransferases and glycosidases. There is a selected subset of enzymes altered in cancer, suggesting a functional role for distinct glycans in the tumor phenotype. The ST6Gal-I sialyltransferase is an example of a Golgi enzyme that adds negatively charged sugars to the termini of N-glycans. ST6Gal-I is overexpressed in many types of cancer including colon, breast, and ovarian, and upregulation correlates with increased metastatic potential and poor prognosis (reviewed in refs. 1–3). ST6Gal-I is increased in cancer as a consequence of signaling by the ras oncogene (1–3).

The mechanistic role of ST6Gal-I in tumor progression remains poorly understood. In vitro studies suggest that ST6Gal-I promotes cell migration and invasion (4, 5), and this enhanced migratory response is due, at least in part, to ST6Gal-I-mediated sialylation of the β1-integrin receptor (6–8). Animal models also implicate ST6Gal-I in tumor invasiveness. Bresalier and colleagues determined that metastatic murine cell lines were more highly sialylated than less metastatic parental lines, and neuraminidase treatment of the metastatic lines drastically decreased the amount of liver metastases after splenic injection (9). Also, Harvey and colleagues reported decreased metastasis to liver following splenic injections after blocking the transfer of sialic acid from its carrier, CMP sialic acid (10).

In conjunction with cell migration, ST6Gal-I may regulate another important aspect of tumorigenicity, the ability to evade cell death. Work from our group revealed that the Fas death receptor is a substrate for ST6Gal-I, and that α2-6 sialylation of Fas reduces apoptotic signaling by hindering internalization of Fas after ligand-induced activation (11). We similarly reported that ST6Gal-I–mediated sialylation of the TNFR1 death receptor blocks TNFα-induced apoptosis (12). Baum’s group showed that sialylation of CD45 by ST6Gal-I prevents CD45 internalization, thereby protecting T cells from
apoptosis (13), and ST6Gal-I sialylation enhances PECAM surface retention, promoting survival of endothelial cells (14). These studies highlight the capacity of ST6Gal-I to modulate the function of specific receptors, particularly through regulation of cell surface retention. However, additional evidence has established ST6Gal-I as a key negative regulator of galectin-dependent apoptosis. Galectins are galactose-binding lectins that have many functions, including induction of cell death. The addition of α2-6 sialylation to galactosides prevents galectin binding and apoptotic activity (15). For example, our studies have shown that galectin-3 binds directly to the β1-integrin and stimulates apoptosis, but only when the β1-integrin lacks α2-6 sialylation (16). Finally, sialylation of EGF receptor (EGFR) by ST6Gal-I confers resistance to the EGFR-targeted chemotherapy reagent, gefitinib (17). These diverse findings suggest that ST6Gal-I acts as a critical regulator of tumor cell survival by inhibiting a multiplicity of cell death pathways.

While studies of specific receptors and signaling pathways have provided insight into the function of ST6Gal-I within a cellular context, a major gap in our knowledge is that ST6Gal-I expression in normal and tumor tissues has not been well-characterized. Because of a lack of effective anti-ST6Gal-I antibodies, prior investigations relied on measurements of ST6Gal-I mRNA levels, or tissue reactivity toward SNA, a lectin specific for α2-6–linked sialic acid. However, there are limitations associated with both of these approaches. The mRNA pool isolated from tumor tissue homogenates may include mRNA from noncancerous cells such as immune or stromal cells, and SNA reactivity is not completely restricted to ST6Gal-I–mediated α2-6 sialylation, as SNA can also recognize α2-6 sialic acids added to O-glycans by the ST6GalNAC family. To address this issue, immunohistochemical and immunoblot analyses of ST6Gal-I protein were conducted in the current study using a newly validated antibody. These studies revealed a dramatic upregulation of ST6Gal-I in tumor specimens compared with pair-matched uninvolved tissues. Surprisingly, the expression of ST6Gal-I in normal epithelium appeared to localize to the stem and/or progenitor cell compartment, and moreover, high ST6Gal-I levels corresponded with the expression of the cancer stem cell (CSC) markers, CD133 and ALDH1. While many questions remain regarding ST6Gal-I function in cancer, these data suggest that ST6Gal-I activity may be involved in maintaining some aspect of stem-like cell behavior.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HD3 colon carcinoma cells (18) were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) low glucose (1 g/L) with 7% FBS and 2% antibiotic/antifungal solution containing streptomycin sulfate, penicillin G, and amphotericin B (Invitrogen). The stable ST6Gal-I knockdown cell line was established as described (8). In brief, HD3 cells were transduced with lentivirus (Sigma) expressing either short hairpin RNA (shRNA) against ST6Gal-I or an empty vector, and a pooled population of clones stably expressing shRNA was isolated by puromycin selection.

SW948 colon carcinoma cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Cells were maintained in DMEM: Liebovitz’ L-15 media in a 3:1 ratio with 10% denatured FBS and 2 mmol/L glutamine. To establish a chemoresistant subline, SW948 cells were treated with an initial dose of CPT-11 (irinotecan hydrochloride, Pharmacia & Upjohn Co.) at 4 μg/mL, which is 2-fold the determined IC50 dose. Most cells were killed by day 10. Surviving cells were grown in drug-free media for 3 days, and then CPT-11 (4 μg/mL) was added back to the media for 5 days. After a 3-day recovery period in drug-free media, cells were capable of growth in CPT-11 containing media (4 μg/mL). Dosage was then increased stepwise for a period of 185 total days reaching a maximum of 20 μg/mL. Resistant cells were cultured in DMEM:L15 media containing 20 μg/mL CPT-11 and periodically screened for drug resistance. Cells maintained CPT-11 resistance even after growth in drug-free media out to 122 days.

Sample preparation and ST6Gal-I immunoblots

Colon tumor blot. Commercially available membrane containing 3 human colon tumor samples, 1 normal colon, and 1 placental sample was purchased from Biochain Institute (Newark, CA).

Tumor and pair-matched uninvolved colon specimens. Human tissues obtained from the Tissue Procurement Facility at University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB; Birmingham, AL) were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Samples were homogenized using a polytron device in 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) with 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Bioscience). Samples were centrifuged and supernatants used for immunoblotting.

Induced pluripotent stem cells, individual transcription factor–transduced, and human foreskin fibroblast cell lysates. Frozen lysates from cells including control human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF), induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells derived from HFFs, or HFFs transduced with one of the following transcription factors, c-Myc, Klf4, Oct4, or Sox2, were obtained from Systems Biotechnologies.

Colon carcinoma cell lines. HD3 and SW948 cells were lysed in 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors. Lysates were centrifuged and supernatants collected for immunoblotting.

Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to ployvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% dried non-fat milk (NFM) in TBS containing 0.01% Tween-20 (TBST) at room temperature for 1 hour. The membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary anti-ST6Gal-I antibody (catalog # AF5924, R&D Systems), used at a concentration of 1 μg/mL and diluted into TBST containing 5% NFM. Membranes were washed in TBST and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (in 5% NFM/TBST) for 1 hour at room temperature. Blots were developed with Immobilon (Millipore). To control for protein loading, membranes were reprobed for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) or β-actin (Cell Signaling Technologies). Densitometry was conducted using ImageJ software.
SNA precipitation and Fas immunoblots

Tissues were homogenized as described above, and 500 μg of homogenate protein was incubated overnight at 4°C with 50 μL SNA-1 conjugated to agarose (EY Laboratories) with rotation. α2–6–sialylated proteins complexed with SNA were collected by centrifugation and washed. Sialylated proteins were released from complexes by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and immunoblotted for Fas (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). To evaluate total Fas protein, Fas immunoblots were conducted using aliquots of the initial tissue homogenates (not subjected to SNA precipitation).

Immunohistochemistry

Slides with paraffin-embedded pair-matched tumor and uninvolved colon tissue were obtained from Biochain Institute. Slides were rehydrated using xylene and a gradient of ETOH solutions including 100%, 95%, 80%, and 70% ETOH in DiH2O for 5 minutes each. Frozen multitissue arrays were purchased from Biochain Institute. Antigen retrieval was conducted by boiling slides in citrate buffer (Vector Labs) for 30 minutes. Slides were allowed to cool at room temperature for 60 minutes. Slides were blocked for 60 minutes in 10% normalized horse serum diluted in PBS. The following antibodies were then applied overnight at 4°C: 5 μg/mL ST6Gal-I (R&D Systems) or 2.5 μg/mL ALDH1 (BD Pharmingen), each diluted into blocking buffer. Slides were washed in PBS and secondary antibody was applied for 30 minutes at room temperature (ImmPRESS, Vector Labs). Slides were dehydrated through 70%, 85%, 95%, and 100% ETOH, and xylene and fixed with Permount (Vector Labs). Images were captured with ISCapture software.

Validation of ST6Gal-I antibody

Specificity of the ST6Gal-I antibody (R&D Systems #AF5924) was validated using 2 established cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S1). SW48 colon cancer cells and OV4 ovarian cancer cells have no endogenous ST6Gal-I, and ST6Gal-I expression was forced in these lines as reported (refs. 6, 7; note, SW48 and SW948 are distinct cell lines.) Immunoblotting (Supplementary Fig. S1) was conducted as described above, and immunofluorescent staining (Supplementary Fig. S1B) was conducted using 1 μg/mL anti-ST6Gal-I antibody, followed by Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody (Life Technologies). In addition, immunohistochemical staining was conducted on formaldehyde-fixed OV4 cell cultures (Supplementary Fig. S1C). To control for the effects of paraffin embedding and antigen retrieval, OV4 cells were detached and centrifuged, and the cell pellets were paraffin-embedded and sectioned. Antigen retrieval and immunohistochemical staining were conducted on cell pellet sections (Supplementary Fig. S1D) using the protocol described previously for tissue sections. Validation of tissue staining is shown in Supplementary Fig. S2. Frozen slides containing colon metastasis to liver were subjected to antigen retrieval and immunostaining. Samples were incubated with either primary or an isotype control antibody (Supplementary Fig. S2A). As a final control, paraffin-embedded uninvolved colon and colon tumor specimens were exposed to secondary antibody alone (no primary; Supplementary Fig. S2B).

Flow cytometry

Cells were detached from tissue culture flasks by brief trypsinization. A total of 1 × 106 cells were analyzed for ALDH1 activity using the Aldefluor assay as recommended by the manufacturer (StemCell Technologies). Samples from each cell line with inhibited Aldefluor staining were used as the gating control. CD133/1-PE antibody (AC133) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Miltenyi Biotec). Results were gated for nonspecific activity by isotype control (IgG1, Miltenyi Biotec). In addition, for experiments measuring SNA reactivity, TRITC-conjugated SNA-1 (EY Laboratories) was used according to manufacturer instructions. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with a FACS Calibur (Becton-Dickinson) at the UAB Rheumatic Diseases Core Center Analytic and Preparative Cytometry Facility. Statistical analysis of the flow cytometry results was accomplished using a χ2 test for 2 proportions. P < 0.05 is considered significant.

Results

ST6Gal-I upregulation in human colon tumors

To address the lack of information about ST6Gal-I protein expression in human tissues, we screened several new commercial antibodies and identified one that reliably detects ST6Gal-I protein (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). Using this antibody, we evaluated ST6Gal-I levels in human colon cancer tissues using a commercial membrane blot containing three independent cases of human colon carcinoma, along with normal colon and normal placental specimens. As shown in Fig. 1A, higher ST6Gal-I expression was observed in the colon tumors than in normal colon and placenta. The upper band on the blots represents full-length ST6Gal-I, whereas the size of the lower band is consistent with the cleaved, secreted form of ST6Gal-I (19, 20).

We next examined ST6Gal-I expression in tumor and pair-matched uninvolved colon specimens obtained from the Tissue Procurement Shared Facility at UAB. Tissues were homogenized and immunoblotted for ST6Gal-I. Four of the 5 patient samples exhibited upregulated ST6Gal-I in the tumor compared with the cognate uninvolved specimens (Fig. 1B). Patient demographics can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

Elevated α2-6 sialylation of the Fas receptor in human colon carcinoma samples

To assess the functional consequence of ST6Gal-I upregulation in tumors, we measured levels of α2-6 sialylation on the Fas receptor. Using patient samples for which sufficient tissue homogenate was available, tumor and pair-matched uninvolved colon tissue homogenates were incubated with agaro-conjugated SNA-1 lectin. The α2–6–sialylated proteins bound by SNA agarose were isolated by centrifugation, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted for Fas (Fig. 1C, top). To measure total Fas expression, samples of the original tissue homogenates (not subjected to SNA precipitation) were immunoblotted for Fas (Fig. 1C, bottom). We found that total Fas expression was decreased in the tumors, consistent with
other studies suggesting that Fas is downregulated in colon carcinoma as a mechanism for protection against Fas-mediated apoptosis (21). However, despite Fas downregulation, the proportion of α2,6-sialylated Fas in the tumors was distinctly higher than the proportion of α2,6-sialylated Fas in uninvolved colon tissues for those cases that exhibited ST6Gal-I upregulation (patients 4 and 5, Fig. 1C). Conversely, levels of α2,6-sialylated Fas were comparable in tumor and uninvolved tissues from the patient sample that did not exhibit ST6Gal-I upregulation (patient 8). Thus, ST6Gal-I overexpression in tumors acts to hypersialylate Fas despite an overall downregulation in Fas protein. Hypersialylation of Fas, which inhibits Fas receptor internalization and apoptotic signaling (11), may constitute a second line of defense through blocking the activity of Fas receptors remaining on the tumor cell surface. The determination that Fas has enhanced α2,6 sialylation in
tumors is consistent with our prior studies showing that β1-integrins exhibit elevated O2-6 sialylation in colon tumors (6). These results indicate that upregulation of ST6Gal-I in tumors leads to elevated O2-6 sialylation of functionally important ST6Gal-I targets.

**ST6Gal-I upregulation and localization in colon tumors**

Although ST6Gal-I upregulation in tumors was observed by immunoblotting, this approach does not address protein localization. Thus, paraffin-embedded tumor and uninvolved colon tissues from seven patients were stained by immunohistochemistry to visualize ST6Gal-I protein. Shown in Fig. 2A are results from a representative patient. In the uninvolved colonic mucosa (en face section), positive ST6Gal-I staining leads to elevated O2-6 sialylation of functionally important ST6Gal-I targets.

**Figure 2.** ST6Gal-I upregulation and localization in human colon tumors. A, representative sample of pair-matched tissues stained for ST6Gal-I protein expression. Paraffin-embedded specimens of uninvolved colon tissue and tumor tissues were immunohistologically stained for ST6Gal-I (brown) and counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). ST6Gal-I was highly upregulated in tumor tissue, whereas in uninvolved colon tissues expression was restricted to a very few cells within each crypt structure. B, longitudinal view of a crypt from uninvolved tissue. ST6Gal-I staining was restricted to the base of the crypt (black arrow). Inset shows enlarged view with ST6Gal-I stain in cells at the base of the crypt. C, ST6Gal-I staining in a patient sample showed gradient expression based on proximity to tumor. Upregulated expression of ST6Gal-I in malignant tissue (a), aberrant expression in morphologically normal crypt structures directly adjacent to tumor (b), and low expression in crypts distal to the tumor (c).

Along with increased ST6Gal-I expression, we observed an interesting pattern within several tumor samples: ST6Gal-I levels were elevated in normal appearing crypts immediately adjacent to the tumor. Within the malignant region of the tissue section (Fig. 2C, a), the crypt structure was highly disrupted and ST6Gal-I was upregulated, as in Fig. 2A. However, in the morphologically normal-appearing crypts next to the tumor (Fig. 2C, b), ST6Gal-I staining was increased and distributed in a punctate pattern, similar to staining in cancer cells. In the crypts more distal to the malignant tissue (Fig. 2C, c), ST6Gal-I expression was very low or undetectable, similar to the uninvolved pair-matched specimens. The upregulation of ST6Gal-I in crypts that appear morphologically intact is reminiscent of a "field effect" in which normal-appearing epithelium is in fact the product of expansion of a genetically abnormal clone (24).

**ST6Gal-I overexpression in multiple epithelial, but not nonepithelial, tumors**

In addition to colon carcinoma, we examined ST6Gal-I protein expression in several other types of tumors. As shown in Fig. 3A, immunohistochemical staining conducted on a multitissue array revealed ST6Gal-I upregulation in ovarian, stomach, pancreatic, and prostate tumors compared with uninvolved tissues. In contrast, ST6Gal-I levels were low or undetectable in malignant and uninvolved tissues from brain and skeletal muscle (Fig. 3B).

**ST6Gal-I expression localizes to the stem or progenitor cell compartment in epithelia**

The localization of ST6Gal-I within the base of crypts in nonmalignant colon epithelium suggested that ST6Gal-I may be selectively expressed in the stem or progenitor compartment. It is well established that stem and progenitor cells reside in the base of the crypt of normal colon (25). In addition, ST6Gal-I staining was very similar to what has been reported for the ALDH1 stem cell marker in normal colon (26). We therefore stained sections of normal human colon (cancer-free patients) for either ALDH1 or ST6Gal-I. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, both the ST6Gal-I and ALDH1 staining were in the base of the crypt, isolated to only a few cells within each crypt. No detectable staining of ST6Gal-I was observed in the differentiated colonocytes at the apical epithelial surface.

We next examined ST6Gal-I expression in the epidermis, which has clearly defined stem cell compartments (27). One of the compartments for epidermal stem/progenitor cells is the...
basal epidermal cell layer, immediately adjacent to the basement membrane. As basal epidermal cells differentiate, they migrate apically and lose the capacity for proliferation. As shown in Fig. 4C, ST6Gal-I expression was restricted to this basal layer, consistent with the concept that ST6Gal-I may be enriched in stem and/or progenitor cells.

**ST6Gal-I is highly expressed in human iPS cells**

To further explore a link between ST6Gal-I and stem cells, ST6Gal-I levels were evaluated in iPS cells, as well as in the HFF population from which iPS cells were derived. Immunobots revealed that ST6Gal-I was highly expressed in iPS cells, with no detectable expression in HFFs (Fig. 4D). In addition, ST6Gal-I expression was assessed in HFFs transduced with only 1 of each of the 4 individual transcription factors used in combination to derive iPS cells (c-Myc, Klf4, Oct4, Sox2). As shown in Fig. 4E, ST6Gal-I upregulation was only observed in cells with simultaneous transduction of all 4 transcription factors (c-Myc, Klf4, Oct4, Sox2). ST6Gal-I expression was assessed in HFFs transduced with only 1 of each of the 4 individual transcription factors used in combination to derive iPS cells (c-Myc, Klf4, Oct4, Sox2). As shown in Fig. 4E, ST6Gal-I upregulation was only observed in cells with simultaneous transduction of all 4 transcription factors (c-Myc, Klf4, Oct4, Sox2).

**ST6Gal-I expression correlates with stem cell enrichment in colon carcinoma cell lines**

On the basis of the ST6Gal-I localization in normal and tumor tissues, we hypothesized that ST6Gal-I might be a marker for CSCs. ALDH1 is one of the well-studied markers for both normal and CSCs (26), and furthermore, immunohistochemical analyses revealed a similar staining pattern for ALDH1 and ST6Gal-I (Fig. 4). Hence, we examined whether ST6Gal-I expression was associated with the level of stem cell enrichment in colon cancer cell lines. Our group has generated the human colon carcinoma cell line, HD3, which overexpresses ST6Gal-I secondary to forced oncogenic ras expression (18). This line was previously transduced with shRNA to obtain a cell population with stable ST6Gal-I knockdown (8). Parental and ST6Gal-I knockdown cells were analyzed for CSC enrichment by flow cytometry using the ALDH1 activity assay, Aldefluor. As shown in Fig. 5A, in 3 independent experiments cells with high ST6Gal-I expression (HD3.par) exhibited significantly greater CSC enrichment than cells in which ST6Gal-I had been knocked down (HD3.sh). Figure 5B shows a representative dot plot (Run #1, Fig. 5A). In addition, cells were double-labeled with Aldefluor and TRITC-conjugated SNA to detect cell surface α2-6 sialylation to examine the correlation between ST6Gal-I activity and stem cell enrichment (Fig. 5C). Cells with ST6Gal-I knockdown exhibited a decrease in the fluorescent intensity of SNA labeling, indicating reduced α2-6 sialylation, and this was associated with diminished ALDH1 activity (note that there is variation in the level of α2-6 sialylation due to the polyclonal nature of the HD3.sh population). To more stringently assay for stem cell enrichment, cells were double-labeled for ALDH1 and an additional CSC marker, CD133. As shown in Fig. 5D, cells with high endogenous ST6Gal-I expression had significantly greater numbers of cells positive for CD133/ALDH1. This suggests that forced downregulation of ST6Gal-I significantly decreases the number of CSCs within cancer cell populations.

One important characteristic of CSCs is the capacity to survive chemotherapy treatment. To study this cellular behavior, we established a cell line with acquired resistance to the camptothecin analog, irinotecan (CPT-11), a drug used to treat colorectal carcinoma. SW948 colon carcinoma cells were treated serially with CPT-11 to obtain a stable cell line resistant to greater than 10-fold the IC50 dosage of parental cells. The parental (SW948.par) and CPT-11-resistant (SW948.CPT) lines were then assayed for ALDH1 activity. As shown in Fig. 6A, 3 independent experiments showed significant enrichment of ALDH1 in the chemoresistant cells. Figure 6B is a representative dot plot (Run #1, Fig. 6A). Stem cell enrichment was further evaluated by double-labeling cells with anti-CD133 and Aldefluor, which revealed significantly greater numbers of...
CD133+/ALDH1+ cells in the SW948.CPT cells than SW948.par cells (Fig. 6C). We next evaluated ST6Gal-I expression in SW948.par and SW948.CPT cells by immunoblotting. Figure 6D shows an acquired ST6Gal-I expression in the established chemoresistant cells. The chemoresistant cells also exhibit elevated ST6Gal-I activity indicated by increased intensity of SNA-TRITC labeling (Fig. 6E). Taken together, these data show a correlation between CSC enrichment and ST6Gal-I expression in 2 independent cell model systems. Forced ST6Gal-I downregulation decreases CSC number, whereas acquired chemoresistance yields higher CSC numbers with a corresponding increase in ST6Gal-I expression and activity.

Discussion

Studies over the last 2 decades have reported increased ST6Gal-I mRNA in many human cancers (1, 2), and more recent gene expression profiling technologies confirm tumor-associated ST6Gal-I upregulation (30–32). Microarray conducted on colon cancer cells isolated by laser capture microdissection revealed higher ST6Gal-I mRNA in tumors with high versus low risk of recurrence (and cells from both tumor types had higher ST6Gal-I than normal colonocytes; ref. 33). Additional microarray studies indicate that ST6Gal-I is overexpressed in cervical (30), testicular (31), and pancreatic (32) cancers, and ST6Gal-I levels are higher in metastatic versus primary prostate cancer (34). As well, ST6Gal-I is one of the genes downregulated by the metastasis suppressor, BRMS1 (35). However, few investigations have characterized ST6Gal-I protein expression in either cancer or normal tissues due to the prior lack of anti-ST6Gal-I antibodies. In one study, using a privately generated antibody, ST6Gal-I was found to be upregulated in the majority of human colon tumors (36). In the present investigation, we screened multiple new commercial antibodies and identified a reagent with high specificity for ST6Gal-I. Using this antibody, we observed extensive staining for ST6Gal-I in all of the human tumor tissues evaluated by immunohistochemistry and markedly elevated ST6Gal-I expression in 7 of 8 colon tumor samples examined by immunoblotting. Interestingly, the localization of ST6Gal-I in normal tissues was distinctly different from that of tumor tissues. Specifically, ST6Gal-I expression was found within a few cells in the base of the colonic crypts, with no detectable expression in the differentiated epithelial cells. Furthermore, ST6Gal-I expression was high in the basal, proliferative compartment of the epidermis, and high in iPS cells, but undetectable in the somatic cell population from which iPS cells were derived.

Given that ST6Gal-I expression in normal tissues appeared to associate with stem/progenitor cell populations, we evaluated whether ST6Gal-I levels might be elevated in CSCs. CSCs (alternately referred to as "tumor-initiating cells") are posited to represent a subset of cells within the heterogeneous tumor that has a more aggressive and chemoresistant phenotype (37, 38). The level of CSC enrichment within a cancer cell population is identified by a variety of markers, including ALDH1 and CD133, which have been validated in colon carcinoma (26, 39, 40). CSCs are considered to be a driving force behind tumor recurrence due to the self-renewal properties of these cells and resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs. This has been shown in a number of cancer types including breast, ovarian, and colon carcinomas. In this study, we found that high ST6Gal-I expression consistently correlated with ALDH1 and CD133 expression, and forced ST6Gal-I downregulation reduced the percentage of CSCs within a heterogeneous cell population. As well, when SW948 colon cancer cells, which do not usually express ST6Gal-I, were treated serially with increasing concentrations of irinotecan (CPT-11), the stem cell population was selectively protected, evidenced by an increase in ALDH1/CD133-positive cells, and correspondingly, ST6Gal-I expression and activity were markedly increased. Notably, microarray studies comparing gene expression in...
CD133\(^+\) versus CD133\(^-\) colon cancer cells identified ST6Gal-I as one of the 39 genes with the highest selective expression in CD133\(^+\) cells, and ST6Gal-I was the only glycosylation-related gene in this pool (41). While further studies are needed, these results suggest that ST6Gal-I may represent a new marker for CSCs.

There are several hypotheses concerning the origin of CSCs. It is widely debated as to whether CSCs are derived from mutated normal stem cells, progenitor cells, or more differentiated cells (that subsequently revert to a less differentiated phenotype). In colon tumorigenesis, it has been suggested that a tumor would more likely arise from a mutated stem or progenitor cell, due to the short half-life of differentiated colonocytes, as well as the clonal nature of crypt development, where the entire crypt is thought to be derived from a single stem cell or stem cell compartment located at the base of the crypt (25, 42). Interestingly, some of the fundamental evidence supporting the clonal crypt hypothesis was obtained from studies of a sialic acid variant, 9-\(\alpha\)-O-acetylated sialic acid, which is generated by the enzyme, sialate-\(\alpha\)-O-acetyltransferase (OAT). LOH in stem cells of humans heterozygous for the OAT gene causes complete repopulation of the crypt by the progeny of the mutant stem cells (43). While the relationship between 9-\(\alpha\)-acyetylated sialic acids and ST6Gal-I activity is unclear, these studies are consistent with the concept that specific types of sialylation may be very important in maintaining some aspect of the stem cell phenotype. This hypothesis is further supported by the recent finding that sialic acids on iPS cells are exclusively \(\alpha\)2-6-linked, in contrast to somatic cells, which express a mixture of \(\alpha\)2-3 and \(\alpha\)2-6 sialylation, with \(\alpha\)2-3 predominating (29).

ST6Gal-I–mediated receptor sialylation has been previously correlated with an undifferentiated or immature cell state, particularly in certain immune cell types. We reported that ST6Gal-I expression is decreased as monocytic cells differentiate down the macrophage lineage (19, 44). Others have shown that ST6Gal-I activity is initially important for monocyte-derived dendritic cell generation, but that maturation of dendritic cells is associated with a loss in ST6Gal-I (45). As well, removal of sialic acids via neuraminidase treatment stimulated dendritic cell differentiation, and dendritic cells from ST6Gal-I null mice have a more mature status than cells from wild-type mice (46). ST6Gal-I is also markedly downregulated upon activation of murine CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T lymphocytes (47). Fewer studies have addressed ST6Gal-I expression in epithelial cell differentiation; however, SNA labeling of epidermis is inversely correlated with cell differentiation status (48).

Finally, Varki and colleagues investigated the role of ST6Gal-I in the PyMT spontaneous mammary tumorigenesis model and found that tumors from ST6Gal-I null mice were more differentiated than tumors from wild-type mice (49).

Figure 5. ST6Gal-I expression correlated with CSC enrichment. A, colon carcinoma cells HD3.par and HD3.sh were assayed for ALDH1 activity (Aldefluor) by flow cytometry. Enrichment of ALDH1 staining was significantly higher in HD3.par than in HD3.sh in 3 independent runs. B, representative dot plot (run #1, 5A) showing ALDH1 staining. C, Aldefluor and SNA-TRITC double labeling shows knockdown decreases \(\alpha\)2-6 surface sialylation along with stem cell enrichment. D, double labeling for stem cell enrichment of HD3.par and HD3.sh cells with ALDH1 and CD133 by flow cytometry revealed that knockdown of ST6Gal-I leads to significantly decreased enrichment in 3 independent runs. E, immunoblot of HD3.par and HD3.sh cells showed that shRNA transduction reduced ST6Gal-I expression. Densitometry completed by normalizing to respective \(\beta\)-actin and then comparing HD3.sh with HD3.par. * \(P < 0.001\).
The functional contribution of ST6Gal-I to an immature or undifferentiated cell phenotype has yet to be elucidated; however, resistance to apoptosis may play a prominent role. Accumulating evidence points to ST6Gal-I as a major inhibitor of cell death pathways initiated by Fas, TNFR1, and galectins (2, 11, 12). Lee group also showed that ST6Gal-I confers radiation resistance in colon cancer cell lines (50). In the aggregate, these studies are consistent with the general concept that ST6Gal-I activity might underlie the survival or self-renewal characteristics of stem/progenitor cells and/or selected cancer cell populations. A corollary hypothesis is that downregulation of ST6Gal-I in differentiated cells may sensitize cells to multiple apoptotic stimuli, thus limiting cell lifespan. Clearly, there is a need for further investigation of ST6Gal-I function; however, the current study provides important new insight into the localization of ST6Gal-I expression in normal and tumor epithelium and also implicates ST6Gal-I as a potential new marker for CSCs.
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Figure 6. A, ALDH1 activity was assayed by flow cytometry in colon carcinoma cell line SW948. SW948.CPT chemoresistant line had significant enrichment for ALDH1 staining in 3 independent runs as compared with SW948.par. B, representative dot plot of ALDH1 staining (run #1, 6A). C, double labeling of SW948.par and SW948.CPT with ALDH1 and CD133 showed significant increase in stem cell markers in the chemoresistant line (SW948.CPT) in 3 independent runs. D, immunoblots of SW948.par and SW948.CPT shows ST6Gal-I expression was upregulated in the SW948.CPT line. Densitometry completed by normalizing to respective β-actin and then comparing SW948.CPT to SW948.par. E, double labeling with Aldefluor and SNA-TRITC shows chemoresistant line has increased stem cell enrichment as well as increased surface α2-6 sialylation. ∗, P < 0.001.
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