Bcl2 Induces DNA Replication Stress by Inhibiting Ribonucleotide Reductase
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Abstract

DNA replication stress is an inefficient DNA synthesis process that leads replication forks to progress slowly or stall. Two main factors that cause replication stress are alterations in pools of deoxyribonucleotide (dNTP) precursors required for DNA synthesis and changes in the activity of proteins required for synthesis of dNTPs. Ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), containing regulatory hRRM1 and catalytic hRRM2 subunits, is the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of ribonucleoside diphosphates (NDP) to deoxyribonucleoside diphosphates (dNDP) and thereby provides dNTP precursors needed for the synthesis of DNA. Here, we demonstrate that either endogenous or exogenous expression of Bcl2 results in decreases in RNR activity and intracellular dNTP, retardation of DNA replication fork progression, and increased rate of fork asymmetry leading to DNA replication stress. Bcl2 colocalizes with hRRM1 and hRRM2 in the cytoplasm and directly interacts via its BH4 domain with hRRM2 but not hRRM1. Removal of the BH4 domain of Bcl2 abrogates its inhibitory effects on RNR activity, dNTP pool level, and DNA replication. Intriguingly, Bcl2 directly inhibits RNR activity by disrupting the functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complex via its BH4 domain. Our findings argue that Bcl2 reduces intracellular dNTPs by inhibiting ribonucleotide reductase activity, thereby providing insight into how Bcl2 triggers DNA replication stress. Cancer Res; 74(1): 212–23. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

High-fidelity DNA replication and its accurate segregation to daughter cells are critical for maintaining genome stability and suppressing cancer (1). DNA replication is tightly coordinated with the increased cellular mass during the cell cycle. The kinetics of DNA replication depends on two fundamental parameters: the frequency of origin activation (initiation) and the rate of DNA synthesis at the replication forks (elongation). DNA synthesis is initiated at specific sites on the chromosome termed origins of replication and proceeds bidirectionally to elongate and duplicate the chromosome. The processes of initiation and elongation determine the total rate of DNA synthesis in the cell. A multiprotein replication factory incorporates deoxyribonucleotides (dNTP) into the growing DNA chain. The enzyme ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) is responsible for the reduction of nucleoside diphosphates (NDP) to their corresponding deoxyribonucleoside diphosphates (dNDP) and plays a key role in synthesizing the necessary dNTPs (2, 3), which are then used in the synthesis of DNA during replication or DNA repair (4). RNR is composed of identical large regulatory subunits (hRRM1) and identical small catalytic subunits (hRRM2; ref. 4). The active complex is a mixture of α2β2 and α6β6 (5, 6). Interestingly, it has also been reported that the radical is stored in the hRRM2 subunits and is relocated to the substrate, and that hRRM1 can also be regarded as the catalytic subunit with redox-active thiols and substrate binding (4). hRRM2 is expressed exclusively during the late G1/early S-phase and is degraded in late S-phase (7). RNR activity plays an important role not only in regulating the kinetics of DNA replication but also in maintaining the integrity of the genome. Synthesis of dNTPs by RNR allows for DNA replication to begin. Defective RNR activity often leads to inhibition of DNA replication fork progression, cell-cycle arrest, growth retardation, high mutation rates, and carcinogenesis (8, 9).

DNA replication stress results from slow or stalled DNA replication fork progression and/or asymmetrical fork elongation. There are numerous causes of DNA replication stress and replication stress-induced DNA damage and genetic instability (10). These include an insufficient level of dNTPs and enzymes required for DNA synthesis, decreased frequency with which initiation of DNA replication occurs at origins of replication (resulting in larger replicons), hyper-DNA replication caused by the repeated activation of origins more than once per S-phase, DNA damage lesions that block replication forks, and inhibition of DNA replication by DNA-damaging agents or
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RNR inhibitors. Replication stress also occurs in regions of DNA that are intrinsically difficult to replicate due to secondary structures or that are difficult to unwind during DNA replication. Proteins bound to DNA can also cause replication forks to pause, thus causing replication stress.  

Bcl2, a major antiapoptotic and oncogenic protein, mediates inhibitory effects on the G1–S cell-cycle transition and DNA repair, leading to increased genetic instability (11, 12). Overexpression of Bcl2 results in lymphomagenesis in transgenic mice, suggesting that Bcl2, in addition to its prosurvival effect, may also promote oncogenic events (13). However, the mechanism(s) by which Bcl2 facilitates oncogenesis is not fully understood. The oncogenic effect of Bcl2 may result from its ability to influence multiple cell operational systems. Bcl2 was originally discovered as a gene product at the chromosomal breakpoint of t(14;18) (14), which may promote genetic instability and tumor development by impeding DNA repair or replication. DNA replication stress is a major mechanism through which spontaneous DNA damage and genetic instability (i.e., chromosome breaks) are generated in cells (10, 15). Our previous studies have demonstrated that Bcl2 strongly suppresses G1–S cell-cycle progression and increases chromosomal/ chromatid breaks (11, 12, 16). It is currently unknown whether and how Bcl2 affects DNA replication fork progression to induce DNA replication stress. In the present study, we demonstrate that expression of Bcl2 retards DNA replication fork progression with increased fork asymmetry, leading to DNA replication stress. The inhibitory effect of Bcl2 on DNA replication fork progression occurs through direct inhibition of RNR activity and subsequent lowering of intracellular dNTP levels.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Bcl2, hRRM1, hRRM2, and p53R2 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Mouse anti-BrdUrd clone B44 was purchased from Becton Dickinson. Rat anti-BrdUrd BU1/75(ICR1) was purchased from Novus Biologicals. Purified, recombinant WT and BH deletion Bcl2-mutant proteins were obtained from ProteinX Lab. Purified hRRM2 was purchased from Abcam. Purified hRRM1 was purchased from OriGene. Boronate resins were obtained from Thermo Scientific. QD605 goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate (red), QD705 goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate (green), Alexa Fluor 488 (green) goat anti-mouse, and Alexa Fluor (red) 555 goat anti-rat were purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies Inc. C14-CDP and dC14-CDP were obtained from Moravek Biochemicals, Inc. AMP-PNP, CDP, CMP, dCMP, and dUMP were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. TLC Silica Plate was purchased from EMD Chemicals. All of the reagents used were obtained from commercial sources unless otherwise stated.

Cell lines, plasmids, and transfections

Normal human bronchial epithelial cell line (BEAS-2B), H1299, and H460 lung cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. WT and Bcl2 BH deletion mutants were created and stably expressed in H1299 or BEAS-2B cells as previously described (12). The expression levels of exogenous Bcl2 were analyzed by Western blot analysis, and three separate clones expressing similar amounts of exogenous Bcl2 were selected for further analysis.

DNA molecular combing

DNA fiber spreads were performed as described (17, 18). Briefly, cells were first labeled with 5′ chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU, 100 μmol/L) 20 minutes. After washing, cells were then labeled with iododeoxyuridine (IdU, 100 μmol/L) for another 20 minutes. Two microliters of cell suspension (106 cells/mL) in cold PBS were spotted onto a microscope slide and mixed with 12 μL lysis buffer (0.5% SDS, 50 mmol/mL EDTA, 200 mmol/L Tris-Cl) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Samples were carefully tilted at a 15° angle to allow spreading of the genomic DNA into single molecule DNA fibers by gravity. Fibers were then fixed with methanol and acetic acid (3:1) buffer. Fibers were subsequently acid treated with HCI (2.5 mol/L) to denature the DNA fibers. Slides were neutralized and washed four times with 1× PBS (pH 8.0 PBS one time, pH 7.4 PBS three times). Slides were blocked with 10% goat serum and 0.1% Triton-X in PBS for at least 1 hour, and then incubated with primary antibodies against IdU (mouse anti-BrdUrd clone B44) and CldU (rat anti-BrdUrd BU1/75(ICR1) and secondary antibodies [Alexa Fluor 488 (green) goat anti-mouse and Alexa Fluor (red) 555 goat anti-rat] for 1 hour. Slides were mounted in Prolong with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and scanned with a Zeiss Axioplan2 upright microscope (Axioplan, Zeiss). Images were analyzed by Zeiss AxioVision software. The lengths of CldU (red) and IdU (green) labeled patches were analyzed using the ImageJ software (19). The fork rate (kb/min) was calculated from the length of fluorescent signal (kb) divided by the time of the pulse. The median replication rates, inter-origin distances, and P values derived from Mann–Whitney U test were computed with Prism v5.0. Representative images of DNA fibers were cropped from different fields of view and assembled with Adobe Photoshop, as described previously (20).

Nucleotide pool analysis

Levels of cellular deoxynucleotides were analyzed as described (21, 22). Briefly, cells were harvested and cellular nucleotides were extracted with 0.4 N perchloric acid and neutralized with potassium hydroxide. Deoxynucleotides were separated from ribonucleotides using a boronate affinity column (21). Deoxynucleotides were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using UV absorbance at 254 and 281 nm for identification and quantification as previously described (22, 23). All data were plotted using the GraphPad Prism v 5.0 program (GraphPad software).

Ribonucleotide reductase activity assay

Ribonucleotide reductase activity was analyzed as described (24, 25). Briefly, cells were harvested and washed with 1× PBS. Low salt homogenization buffer [10 mmol/L HEPES, 2 mmol/L dithiothreitol (DTT), pH 7.2] was added to the cell pellets. After homogenization with a 27G1/2 syringe needle, cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 16,000 × g at 4°C for 20 minutes. The supernatant was passed through a Sephadex G25 spin.
column. Six hundred micrograms of protein was added to a 40 μL reaction mixture (50 mmol/L HEPES buffer, pH 7.2, 10 mmol/L DTT, 4 mmol/L AMP-PNP, 20 μmol/L FeCl₃, 2 mmol/L magnesium acetate, 50 μmol/L CTP, GTP, and 100 μmol/L C¹⁴-CTP) and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Then, 4 μL of 10 mol/L perchloric acid was added for 15 minutes on ice. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube and boiled for 20 minutes. Four microliters of a marker solution (60 mmol/L CMP, 60 mmol/L dCMP, and 60 mmol/L dUMP plus 12 μL 5 mol/L KOH) was added and then incubated on ice for 15 minutes. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The resulting supernatant containing nucleotides was spotted on a thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plate and separated by TLC. TLC plates were analyzed with quantification using the variable scanner Typhoon 9210 (GE Health; ref. 26). All data were plotted using the GraphPad Prism v 5.0 program.

RNR activity was calculated by ¹⁴C-dCDP/(¹⁴C-CDP + ¹³C-dCDP).

Statistical analysis
Significant differences between two groups were examined using a Mann Whitney test or a two-sided unpaired Student t test. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Expression of endogenous Bcl2 is associated with decreased levels of RNR activity and intracellular dNTPs
Bcl2 has been reported to delay DNA synthesis and DNA replication in vitro and in vivo (28). The mechanism of which is not fully understood. RNR is the ‘rate limiting’ enzyme in the de novo dNTP synthesis pathway, which is critical for synthesizing the necessary dNTPs (2), which are required for normal DNA replication in mammalian cells (29, 30). Bcl2 may negatively regulate RNR to alter intracellular dNTPs levels. To test this possibility, RNR activity and cellular dNTP pools were measured in human lung cancer cells that express various levels of endogenous Bcl2 as described in Materials and Methods. Although expression of endogenous Bcl2 did not affect expression levels of hRRM1 and hRRM2 (Fig. 1A), significant decreases in RNR activity and intracellular dNTPs were observed in H460, Calu-1, and H292 cells that express high levels of endogenous Bcl2 as compared with the other cell lines that express undetectable levels of Bcl2 (Fig. 1B–D). These findings suggest that expression of endogenous Bcl2 seems to be correlated with reduced levels of RNR activity and dNTPs in human lung cancer cells, which may negatively regulate DNA synthesis during DNA replication progression.

Bcl2 reduces intracellular dNTP levels by direct inhibition of RNR activity, leading to retardation of DNA replication fork progression with increased fork asymmetry
To further test the role of Bcl2 in regulating RNR activity and dNTP pool size, exogenous Bcl2 was stably overexpressed in H1299 cells (Fig. 2A). Overexpression of Bcl2 in H1299 resulted in a dramatic 4-fold decrease in RNR activity compared with vector-only control cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B). To test whether Bcl2 directly inhibits RNR activity, purified recombinant Bcl2 protein was added directly to a reaction mixture containing RNR and substrates. Intriguingly, Bcl2 directly inhibited RNR activity in a dose-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S1C and S1D). HPLC analysis of cellular dNTP pools revealed that exogenous overexpression of Bcl2 in H1299 cells resulted in decreased levels of all four dNTPs (Supplementary Fig. S1E), indicating that the dNTP synthesis pathway is disturbed by Bcl2 overexpression. These findings proposed that Bcl2-mediated reduction of intracellular dNTPs may occur through direct suppression of RNR activity.

Since the dNTP pool size determines fork progression and origin usage under replication stress (22, 29), Bcl2-mediated reduction in RNR activity and dNTP pools may affect DNA replication fork progression. To test this possibility, we examined replication dynamics using single-molecule DNA fiber analysis in H1299 cells stably expressing Bcl2 or vector-only control as shown in Fig. 2. This technique measures the speed of individual replication fork progression as well as the frequency of replication initiation events. The rate of individual replication fork progression was calculated by dividing the length of each fluorescent segment (1 μm = 2.59 kb) by the time of pulse (20 minutes), as previously described (Fig. 2B; ref. 31). Newly synthesized DNA labeled with CldU (red) and IdU (green) was detected with fluorescent antibodies (Fig. 2C). A pronounced and reproducible decrease in the mean replication fork progression rate was observed in H1299 cells expressing Bcl2 (0.77 ± 0.23 kb/min, n = 137) when compared with the vector-only control H1299 cells (1.11 ± 0.27 kb/min, n = 165; P < 0.0001; Fig. 2C and D). A dramatic increase in the percentage of slowly progressing forks was observed in the Bcl2-expressing cells (Fig. 2D). These results reveal that expression of Bcl2 significantly reduces the rate of DNA-replication fork progression.

To test the effect of Bcl2 on the origin density, we measured the distance between two adjacent origins as described (22). These experiments revealed that the mean origin distance in vector-only control cells was 103.6 ± 23.4 kb (n = 85), whereas in the Bcl2-expressing cells, it was significantly shorter, only 79.3 ± 24.8 kb (n = 67; P < 0.0001; Fig. 2E). This decrease is expected from the observed decreased fork rate, as fork rate and inter-origin distances show a linear correlation (31, 32). A
dramatically increased population with short origin distance was observed in the Bcl2-expressing cells (Fig. 2E). These results indicate that Bcl2 expression leads to activation of an increased number of origins.

It is known that the two replication forks emanating from the same origin should exhibit the same replication rate (31). However, under DNA replication stress, one fork may continue to replicate, whereas the other fork may slow down or stall. Thus, asymmetry between the left and right arms may occur. To test whether Bcl2 induces asymmetric progression of the outgoing forks, we compared the progression of the left and the right forks emerging from the same origin in the Bcl2-expressing cells and vector-only control cells (Fig. 2F). Only 22.8% of replication forks displayed asymmetric dynamics in the vector-only control cells. In contrast, 42.3% of the origins showed asymmetric replication progression in H1299 cells expressing Bcl2 (Fig. 2F and G). Collectively, these replication dynamics results reveal that DNA replication is significantly perturbed in the Bcl2-expressing cells. Similar results were also obtained using a normal human bronchial epithelial cell line (i.e., BEAS-2B; Supplementary Fig. S2), indicating that the role of Bcl2 in regulating RNR activity, dNTPs, DNA replication rate, origin distance, and fork asymmetry is not a cell type-specific phenomenon.

**Bcl2 colocalizes with hRRM1 and hRRM2 and directly interacts with hRRM2 via the BH4 domain**

To elucidate the mechanism by which Bcl2 inhibits RNR activity, first, colocalization between Bcl2 and hRRM1 or hRRM2 was measured by quantum dot (QD)-based immunofluorescence (QD-IF) analysis in H460 cells that express high levels of endogenous Bcl2. QDs combined with an interrelated imaging and quantification system can be used to quantify the localization and/or colocalization of multiple proteins in the same sample. QD-based image analysis showed that Bcl2 is colocalized with hRRM1 (51.5%) and hRRM2 (49.3%) in the
cytoplasm (Fig. 3A and B). To further test whether Bcl2 directly interacts with hRRM1 or hRRM2, purified recombinant Bcl2 protein was incubated with purified recombinant hRRM1 or hRRM2, respectively, in 1% CHAPS lysis buffer at 4°C for 2 hours. A coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) was performed using Bcl2, hRRM1, or hRRM2 antibody, respectively. IgG was used as IP control. The co-IP results revealed that Bcl2 preferentially interacts with hRRM2, but not hRRM1 (Fig. 4A).

Bcl2 family members share homology in the Bcl2 homology (BH) domains, including BH1, BH2, BH3, and BH4 (33). To determine the hRRM2 binding site on Bcl2 protein, co-IP experiments were performed using purified hRRM2 and purified recombinant WT Bcl2 or a series of Bcl2 deletion mutants lacking each of the BH domains (i.e., ΔBH1, ΔBH2, ΔBH3, and ΔBH4). Since hRRM2 but not hRRM1 was able to associate with WT, ΔBH1, ΔBH2, and ΔBH3 Bcl2, but not with the ΔBH4 Bcl2-mutant protein (Fig. 4B and C), this indicates that deletion of the BH4 domain ablated Bcl2’s ability to associate with hRRM2. On the basis of these findings, we conclude that the BH4 domain is the hRRM2 binding site on Bcl2.

p53R2 has been identified as a second radical-providing small subunit in mammalian cells (34). The major role of p53R2 is involved in regulating synthesis, replication and repair of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in quiescent cells (35, 36). Interestingly, co-IP experiments show that Bcl2 can also interact with p53R2 in H1299 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3). Bcl2 disrupts the functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complex in vitro and in vivo, which requires the hRRM2-binding site on Bcl2

It is known that hRRM1 subunits are bound to hRRM2 subunits, leading to formation of the functional RNR complex.
Since Bcl2 can directly interact with hRRM2 via its BH4 domain (Fig. 4), Bcl2 may affect the functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complex by binding to hRRM2. To directly test this, the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex was coimmunoprecipitated from H1299 parental cells. The immune complex was incubated with increasing concentrations of purified, recombinant Bcl2 at 4°C for 1 to 2 hours, and proteins released from the complex were identified in the supernatant after centrifugation. Interestingly, addition of purified Bcl2 to the hRRM1 co-IP complex resulted in decreased levels of bound hRRM2 on beads and increased levels of nonbound hRRM2 in the supernatant in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A). This indicates that Bcl2 is able to dissociate hRRM2 from the hRRM1 co-IP complex. Similarly, Bcl2 dissociates hRRM1 from the RRM2 co-IP complex (Fig. 5B). These findings provide insight into the mechanism by which Bcl2 inhibits RNR activity (Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1D). To further test whether the hRRM2 binding site on Bcl2 is required for Bcl2 disruption of the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex, WT or each of the BH deletion Bcl2 mutants were subjected to similar experiments. Intriguingly, WT, ΔBH1, ΔBH2, or ΔBH3, but not the ΔBH4 Bcl2-mutant protein could disrupt the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex (Fig. 5C and D). To test whether overexpression of Bcl2 also affects functional hRRM1/hRRM2 association in cells, co-IP experiments using hRRM1 or hRRM2 antibody, respectively, were performed in lysate isolated from H1299 cells expressing WT or each of the BH deletion Bcl2 mutants. Overexpression of Bcl2 did not affect expression levels of either hRRM1 or hRRM2 (Supplementary Fig. S4A), but significantly inhibited functional hRRM1/hRRM2 association in cells (Supplementary Fig. S4B and C). Similarly, the disruptive effect of Bcl2 on the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex in cells requires the BH4 domain (i.e., the hRRM2 binding site on Bcl2).

The BH4 domain is essential for the inhibitory effects of Bcl2 on RNR activity, intracellular dNTPs, and DNA replication dynamics

To test the functional role of the Bcl2/hRRM2 interaction, RNR activity, intracellular dNTP levels, and DNA replication dynamics were measured in H1299 cells expressing similar levels of WT or each of the BH deletion Bcl2 mutants. These experiments revealed that removal of the BH4 domain from Bcl2 eliminates its inhibitory effect on RNR activity, intracellular dNTP levels, and DNA replication fork progression (Fig. 6). In contrast, deletion of the BH1, BH2, or BH3 domain did not affect the ability of Bcl2 to suppress RNR activity, synthesis of dNTPs, and DNA replication fork progression (Fig. 6). In addition, deletion of the BH4 domain resulted in a decrease in the population of asymmetrical replication forks as compared with that with WT or other Bcl2 BH domain deletion.
mutants (Supplementary Fig. S5). This supports the concept that the binding of Bcl2 to hRRM2 via the BH4 domain is required for the inhibitory effects of Bcl2 on RNR activity, dNTP levels, and DNA replication dynamics.

To uncover a functional relationship between the antiapoptotic activity of Bcl2 and its role in inducing DNA replication stress, the effect of WT or each of the BH deletion Bcl2 mutants on survival was analyzed after VP-16 treatment. Interestingly, all H1299 cells expressing the ΔBH1, ΔBH2, ΔBH3, or ΔBH4 Bcl2 mutant displayed a lower level of viability than WT Bcl2-expressing cells (Supplementary Fig. S6). These results indicate that all of the BH domains in Bcl2 are required for Bcl2’s full and potent antiapoptotic function, which is consistent with previous reports (12, 37, 38). However, only the BH4 domain is essential for the effect of Bcl2 on RNR activity and DNA replication (Fig. 6), suggesting that the antiapoptotic function of Bcl2 may not be required for Bcl2-mediated DNA replication stress.

Knockdown of endogenous Bcl2 leads to enhanced RNR activity and accelerated DNA replication fork progression

To test the role of endogenous Bcl2 in regulating RNR activity and DNA replication dynamics, Bcl2 was depleted by
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RNA interference (RNAi), using Bcl2 shRNA, from H460 cells that express elevated levels of endogenous Bcl2. Stable expression of Bcl2 shRNA significantly reduced the expression level of endogenous Bcl2 by more than 99% in H460 cells (Fig. 7A). This effect of shRNA on Bcl2 expression was highly specific because the control shRNA had no effect. Importantly, depletion of endogenous Bcl2 by RNAi from H460 cells enhanced the formation of functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complexes in association with increased RNR activity, leading to elevated levels of intracellular dNTPs and accelerated DNA replication fork progression with decreased fork asymmetry (Fig. 7B–I). These results reveal a physiologic role for Bcl2 in suppressing DNA replication fork progression through a mechanism involving RNR-mediated dNTP synthesis.

Discussion

DNA replication stress can cause DNA damage and genetic instability. Insufficient levels of dNTPs and RNR activity required for DNA synthesis are major factors in the induction of DNA replication stress (10). RNR is the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of NDPs to dNDPs, and phosphorylation of dNDPs by NDP kinase then yields dNTPs (2, 39, 40). The dNTPs, in turn, are used in the synthesis of DNA. The dynamics and fidelity of DNA replication are mainly dependent on the rate of RNR-mediated DNA synthesis at the replication forks (2). Here, we demonstrate that Bcl2, a founding antiapoptotic member of the Bcl2 family and an oncoprotein, strongly suppresses RNR activity, resulting in reduction of intracellular dNTP levels, decreased DNA replication fork progression, and increased rate of fork asymmetry, leading to DNA replication stress (Figs. 1 and 2 and Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2). These findings provide the basis for a model of oncoprotein-induced DNA replication stress. Because DNA replication stress can enhance DNA damage and genetic instability under certain conditions, the effect of Bcl2 on RNR and dNTP pool may be an early event of oncogenesis. Thus, our results reveal a new mechanism by which Bcl2 promotes early stage of cancer development (13).
It is known that a low nucleotide pool level in cells can result in DNA replication stress (22). RNR is the "rate limiting" enzyme in the de novo dNTP synthesis pathway, which is critical in regulating intracellular dNTP levels. Because expression of endogenous or exogenous Bcl2 in cells or addition of purified Bcl2 protein can directly inhibit RNR activity (Figs. 1 and 2 and Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2), Bcl2 reduction of intracellular dNTP levels is likely to occur through inhibition of RNR activity. Endogenous Bcl2-induced reduction of RNR activity may slow down but not totally block DNA replication. A compensatory mechanism may also exist by regulating cytosolic deoxycytidine kinase or thymidine kinase 1 to ensure a basic rate of DNA replication in cells expressing endogenous Bcl2, as described (41). It is known that both hRRM1 and hRRM2 are localized in the cytoplasm (42). Ribonucleotide reduction by the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex takes place in the cytoplasm in mammalian cells. The resulting dNTPs diffuse into the nucleus or are transported into mitochondria for DNA synthesis to support DNA replication (42). Although Bcl2 was found to partially colocalize with hRRM1 (51.5%) and hRRM2 (49.3%) in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3), Bcl2 interacts selectively only with hRRM2 (Fig. 4). Co-IP experiments employing Bcl2-mutant proteins deleted in the BH domains revealed that Bcl2 directly interacts with hRRM2 via its BH4 domain but not the BH1, BH2, or BH3 domain (Fig. 4B and C), indicating that the BH4 domain is the hRRM2 binding site on the Bcl2 protein. It is known that formation of the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex is required for RNR activity in the reduction of NDPs to dNDPs (4). Our results further demonstrate that Bcl2 directly disrupts the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex in vitro (Fig. 5), and reduces the

Figure 7. Knockdown of endogenous Bcl2 upregulates RNR activity and intracellular levels of dNTPs, and accelerates DNA replication fork progression. A, Bcl2 shRNA or control shRNA was stably transfected in H460 cells. Expression levels of Bcl2, hRRM1, and hRRM2 were analyzed by Western blot analysis. B, H460 cells expressing Bcl2 shRNA or control shRNA were disrupted in 1% CHAPS lysis buffer. Co-IP was performed using hRRM1 or hRRM2 antibody, respectively. The hRRM1-associated hRRM2 and hRRM2-associated hRRM1 were analyzed by Western blot analysis. C–E, RNR activity and dNTP pool in H460 cells expressing Bcl2 shRNA or control shRNA were analyzed as described in the legend of Fig. 1. Error bars represent ±SD of three repeated determinations. F, representative pairs of sister replication forks from H460 cells expressing Bcl2 shRNA or control shRNA are shown. G–I, distributions of fork rate (kb/min), inter-origin distance or asymmetry in H460 cells expressing Bcl2 shRNA or control shRNA were analyzed as described in the legend of Fig. 2.
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formation of the hRRM1/hRRM2 complex in cells (Supplementary Fig. S4). Intriguingly, the hRRM2 binding site on Bcl2 (i.e., BH4) is required for Bcl2 to disrupt the functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complex both in vitro and in cells (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. S4). These results provide insight into the mechanism by which Bcl2 suppresses RNR activity and reduces dNTP pool size.

The BH4 domain is a known survival domain in Bcl2, as evidenced by the fact that its caspase-mediated cleavage or mutagenic removal abolishes the antiapoptotic activity of Bcl2 (38, 43). The BH1, BH2, and BH3 domains form the surface binding pocket of Bcl2 (37), and are also necessary for the full and potent antiapoptotic function of Bcl2 (12, 37, 38). Because removal of the BH1, BH2, or BH3 domain does not affect the inhibitory effects of Bcl2 on RNR activity, intracellular dNTP levels and DNA replication fork progression (Fig. 6), but significantly attenuates its antiapoptotic activity (Supplementary Fig. S6), we propose that the antiapoptotic function of Bcl2 is not required for its role in inducing DNA replication stress. The ability of Bcl2 to induce DNA replication stress is dependent on its hRRM2 binding, which requires the BH4 domain (Fig. 6). Bcl2 knockdown experiments further confirm a physiologic role of Bcl2 in regulating RNR activity, dNTP pool size, and DNA replication dynamics (Fig. 7).

The dNTP supply plays an essential role in maintaining genome integrity in normal eukaryotic cells (44). Appropriate levels of RNR activity are necessary to provide the appropriate amount of dNTPs for normal DNA replication and repair in cells (4). Optimization of intracellular concentrations of dNTPs is critical for the fidelity of DNA synthesis during DNA replication and repair because levels that are too high or too low can easily lead to increased rates of mutagenesis and promotion of cancer development (44). Consequently, overexpression of RNR is also associated with cancer progression (45). That reduced RNR activity can cause an insufficient dNTP pool leading to DNA replication stress (44) helps to explain our findings that Bcl2-mediated reduction of RNR activity causes decreased levels of intracellular dNTPs and disturbed DNA replication (Figs. 1, 2 and S1 and S2). Thus, maintaining intracellular dNTPs at appropriate levels (not too high or too low) by manipulating RNR activity is the key to maintain high-fidelity DNA replication and prevent cancer formation.

Bcl2 is ubiquitously expressed in various types of cells, including normal and cancer cells, but its expression levels vary (46, 47). Overexpression of Bcl2 has been considered as a biomarker of resistance to chemotherapy or radiotherapy in various types of cancer (48, 49). The functional consequences of Bcl2 regulation of RNR activity should be different in resting and S-phase cells. In addition to hRRM2, p53R2 has been identified as a second radical-providing small subunit in mammalian cells (34). The main catalytically active enzyme is hRRM1/hRRM2 and not the hRRM1/p53R2 complex in cycling cells (35). However, p53R2 can substitute for hRRM2 as a subunit of ribonucleotide reductase in post-mitotic resting cells (35). p53R2 is primarily involved in regulating synthesis, replication, and repair of mtDNA (35, 36). Our findings indicate that, in addition to hRRM2, Bcl2 can also interact with p53R2 (Supplementary Fig. S5). It is possible that Bcl2 not only negatively regulates DNA replication via interaction with hRRM2 in cycling cells (i.e., the late G1-early S-phase) but may also affect synthesis, replication, and repair of mtDNA by regulating RNR activity via its p53R2 binding in quiescent cells.

It has been recently reported that specific knockdown of hRRM2 by systemic delivery of a nanoparticle carrying hRRM2-specific siRNA resulted in downregulation of Bcl2 in Tu212 and A549 cells (50). Knockdown of hRRM2 leads to Bcl2 degradation through the proteosome pathway (50). Thus, hRRM2 also contributes to stabilization of Bcl2 (50). On the basis of our findings and recent report, we propose that Bcl2 and hRRM2 regulate each other. It is possible that direct interaction between Bcl2 and hRRM2 not only benefits the stabilization of Bcl2 by hRRM2, but may also be the mechanism by which Bcl2 suppresses RNR activity.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that Bcl2 can induce DNA replication stress by retarding DNA replication fork progression with fork asymmetry via suppression of RNR activity and synthesis of dNTPs. This action of Bcl2 occurs through direct interaction of its BH4 domain with hRRM2, leading to disruption of the functional hRRM1/hRRM2 complex. The effect of Bcl2 on RNR and DNA replication dynamics is independent of its antiapoptotic function, but depends on its hRRM2 binding capability. On the basis of our findings, we propose that the inhibitory effects of Bcl2 on RNR activity, intracellular dNTP pools and DNA replication fork progression may lead to DNA replication stress, enhance genetic instability and eventually contribute to the development of various types of cancer. Thus, Bcl2, in addition to its antiapoptotic activity, may also function as a pro-oncogenic molecule that produces DNA replication stress through inhibition of RNR.
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