Copper Signaling Axis as a Target for Prostate Cancer Therapeutics
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Abstract

Previously published reports indicate that serum copper levels are elevated in patients with prostate cancer and that increased copper uptake can be used as a means to image prostate tumors. It is unclear, however, to what extent copper is required for prostate cancer cell function as we observed only modest effects of chelation strategies on the growth of these cells in vitro. With the goal of exploiting prostate cancer cell proclivity for copper uptake, we developed a "conditional lethal" screen to identify compounds whose cytotoxic actions were manifested in a copper-dependent manner. Emerging from this screen was a series of dithiocarbamates, which, when complexed with copper, induced reactive oxygen species–dependent apoptosis of malignant, but not normal, prostate cells. One of the dithiocarbamates identified, disulfiram (DSF), is an FDA-approved drug that has previously yielded disappointing results in clinical trials in patients with recurrent prostate cancer. Similarly, in our studies, DSF alone had a minimal effect on the growth of prostate cancer tumors when propagated as xenografts. However, when DSF was coadministered with copper, a very dramatic inhibition of tumor growth in models of hormone-sensitive and of castrate-resistant disease was observed. Furthermore, we determined that prostate cancer cells express high levels of CTR1, the primary copper transporter, and additional chaperones that are required to maintain intracellular copper homeostasis. The expression levels of most of these proteins are increased further upon treatment of androgen receptor (AR)–positive prostate cancer cell lines with androgens. Not surprisingly, robust CTR1–dependent uptake of copper into prostate cancer cells was observed, an activity that was accentuated by activation of AR. Given these data linking AR to intracellular copper uptake, we believe that dithiocarbamate/copper complexes are likely to be effective for the treatment of patients with prostate cancer whose disease is resistant to classical androgen ablation therapies. Cancer Res; 74(20): 5819–31. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Patients with prostate cancer typically undergo a regimen of endocrine treatments designed to block the transcriptional activity of the androgen receptor (AR) by either decreasing the production of endogenous androgens (e.g., GnRH agonists) or competitively inhibiting the activities of androgenic ligands (e.g., bicalutamide; ref. 1). Unfortunately, these therapies are not curative, and most patients experience relapse of their disease to a hormone-refractory or castration-resistant state. In castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), the AR signaling axis remains intact, and, thus, most cancers are initially responsive to second-line endocrine therapies such as CYP17 inhibitors (Abiraterone) and next-generation AR antagonists (Enzalutamide; refs. 2–5). The therapeutic lifespan of these drugs is relatively short, and there is a growing sentiment in the field that the resolution of the problem of resistance does not lie in the development of better AR antagonists/steroid synthesis inhibitors. This position is supported by a large amount of data indicating that the current approaches to achieve androgen ablation can interrupt regulatory feedback loops leading to (i) upregulation of steroidogenesis (6, 7), (ii) increased receptor and coregulator expression (8, 9), (iii) neuroendocrine differentiation of prostate cancer cells (10, 11), and (iv) the selection for gene translocations/RNA splicing events or receptor mutations that result in the production of constitutively active AR variants (12–14). These activities have been confirmed in human tumors and hence are likely to contribute in a significant manner to treatment failure (15). Thus, although tremendous progress has been made of late in treating prostate cancer, there is clearly a need for agents that target this disease in a unique manner.

Whereas most attention has focused on AR as a therapeutic target, it is clear that there are other useful targets, the pharmaceutical exploitation of which is likely to affect prostate cancer progression. Notable in this regard are clinical studies that have highlighted the potential utility of targeting MET and SRC proto-oncogenes, AKT and several different growth factor...
receptors (16). To complement this approach, we mined the current literature on prostate cancer and available gene expression data to identify pathways and processes whose activity was restricted or particularly active in prostate cancer. In this manner, we determined that all of the major components of the processes involved in uptake and trafficking of copper were upregulated in prostate cancer. Interestingly, there is considerable data indicating that both circulating and intratumoral copper levels are elevated in patients with prostate cancer (17–20). It is not clear why prostate cancer has such a proclivity for copper uptake, although copper has been suggested to play a role in RAS/MAP kinase pathway activation, a pathway that has been shown to have a role in cancer pathogenesis (21). Whereas copper is an essential trace element required for the activity of a large number of enzymes and structural proteins, the concentrations within prostate cancer clearly exceed that required to support viability. Herein, we describe our efforts to define the mechanisms by which prostate cancer cells regulate copper homeostasis and how this can be exploited in the development of therapeutic agents that disrupt these activities.

Materials and Methods

**Chemicals**

Methyltrienolone (R1881) was purchased from PerkinElmer and dissolved in DMSO. Tetramethyldisulfide (TTM), disulfiram (DSF), pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC), bathocuproine disulfonate (BCS), CuSO₄, N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC), and L-Glutathione reduced (LGR) were obtained from Sigma. TTM, DSF, and PDTC were dissolved in DMSO, whereas BCS, CuSO₄, NAC, and LGR in water. EUK118 was from Cayman Chemical. Copper-64 was prepared at Washington University (St. Louis, MO).

**Cell culture**

VCaP, LNCaP, 22RV1, PC3, and RWPE-1 parental cell lines were obtained from the ATCC, which authenticates cell lines by short tandem repeat profiling and passaged for less than 6 months. VCaP cells were cultured in DMEM, whereas LNCaP, 22RV1, and PC3 cells in RPMI-1640 (Life Technologies). All media were supplemented with 8% FBS (Sigma), 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, and 0.1 mmol/L nonessential amino acids (Life Technologies), and cells were maintained in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. For androgen treatment experiments, cells were plated in the same media lacking phenol red and supplemented with 8% charcoal-stripped FBS.

**Proliferation assay**

VCaP (10,000 cells/well) or LNCaP, 22RV1, PC3, RWPE-1 (5,000 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates containing regular FBS-containing media. The following day, the cells were treated as indicated for an additional 72 hours. Cell proliferation was measured using a fluorometric resazurin reduction method (Cell Titer-Blue; Promega). Each sample was performed in triplicate, and the results from a representative experiment are shown. Results are expressed as relative fluorescence ± SE (n = 3).

**Apoptosis assay**

VCaP cells were collected and double stained with Alexa Fluor 488 Annexin V and Sytox (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Annexin V–positive cells were considered apoptotic, and their percentage of the total number of cells was calculated. Ten thousand events were collected for each sample using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD), and data were analyzed using the CFlow Plus program software (BD).

**ROS generation assay**

Treated cells were stained with the oxidation-sensitive dye H₂DCFDA (5 μmol/L) for 60 minutes at 37°C, and the treatment was terminated by ice-cold PBS. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation was determined by FACS and quantified as the geometric mean fluorescence of a representative experiment. The increase in fluorescence of treated versus untreated samples is shown.

**Xenograft study**

Five-week-old NOD.SCID.gamma male mice were obtained from the Cancer Center Isolation Facility (Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC). All experiments were performed according to local animal experimental ethics committee guidelines and were approved by an institutional review committee (Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee). VCaP (2 × 10⁶) cells in 50% Matrigel (BD Matrigel Matrix; BD Biosciences) were injected subcutaneously into the mouse right flank. Tumor size was measured with calipers, and tumor volume was calculated using the formula volume = (width² × length)/2. When tumor size reached 200 mm³, mice bearing tumors were randomized into four groups (n = 12). Each group received two separate daily i.p. injections of the following treatments: (i) Control group (vehicle, vehicle) received 100 μL DMSO-Corn oil and 100 μL of physiological saline (0.9%). (ii) The copper-treated group received 100 μL DMSO-Corn oil and 100 μL of CuSO₄ in physiologic saline (0.5 mg/kg). (iii) The DSF-treated group received 100 μL of DSF (30 mg/kg in DMSO-Corn oil) and 100 μL of physiologic saline. (iv) The DSF/Copper group received 100 μL of DSF (30 mg/kg in DMSO-Corn oil) and 100 μL of CuSO₄ (0.5 mg/kg) physiologic saline. For 22RV1 xenograft study, 1 × 10⁶ cells were injected subcutaneously into the mouse right flank. When tumor size reached approximately 150 mm³, mice bearing tumors were randomized into two groups (n = 5) to receive daily i.p. injections of the control [100 μL DMSO-Corn oil and 100 μL of physiologic saline (0.9%)] or DSF/Copper [100 μL of DSF (30 mg/kg in DMSO-Corn oil) and 100 μL of CuSO₄ (0.5 mg/kg)]. The study was terminated and mice were humanely euthanized when tumor volume reached approximately 1,000 mm³ (VCAP) or after 16 days of treatment (22RV1). Tumor growth up to day 16 was analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni multiple comparison test.

**Gene expression analysis**

Total RNA was isolated using the Aurum Total RNA Mini-Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad). Total RNA (1 μg) was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). The resulting cDNA was diluted...
1:20 with water to use in qPCR analysis. qPCR was performed using the Bio-Rad SYBR green supermix with 0.2 μmol/L of each forward and reverse primer and 2.5 or 1.25 μL of diluted cDNA in a total reaction volume of 6.5 or 3.25 μL. PCR amplification was carried out using the Bio-Rad iQ4 or the CFX384 qPCR system. All primers used in the study were tested to have PCR efficiency between 100% ± 10% and span intron/exon boundaries when possible. Fold induction was calculated using the 2-ΔΔCt method, and GAPDH was used as the normalization control. Data shown are representative of at least three independent experiments. Gene-specific primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Whole-cell extracts were prepared using RIPA buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.05% SDS, 1 mmol/L EDTA, protease inhibitors (Sigma)]. Lysates were separated on SDS-PAGE (7% polyacrylamide gels), transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and detected using the following antibodies: CTR1 (22) or GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**RNA silencing (siRNA transfection)**

Synthetic siRNA to knock down endogenous AR, CTR1, and nonspecific siRNA-control (siCTRL) were synthesized by Invitrogen (Life Technologies). VCaP cells were plated at equal densities in six-well plates (3.3 x 10^5 per well) overnight. Subsequently, cells were transfected with 50 nmol/L siCTRL or siRNA against AR or CTR1 using DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Dharmacon). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were treated overnight with 10 nmol/L R1881 and harvested for qPCR analysis or copper uptake studies.

**^64Cu uptake assay**

VCap cells were treated with ^64Cu (5 μCi/mL as CuCl₂) at 37°C in serum-free medium and incubated for different time periods. After incubation, cells were washed three times with cold PBS, treated with Cell Lysis Reagent (Promega), and the resulting cell lysates were transferred to separate micro-centrifuge tubes for gamma counting. The radioactivity in cell lysates as well as corresponding supernatants was determined using a gamma counter (Wallac Wizard 1480; Perkin-Elmer), and the values were normalized to the total protein content of cells for each well as determined by the Bradford assay.

**Results**

**Disruption of intracellular copper homeostasis as a means to inhibit prostate cancer cell growth**

Previous studies have shown that human prostate cancer xenografts propagated in mice have the ability to take up copper (23, 24). However, the utility of manipulating copper uptake or intracellular copper biology has not been explored as a therapeutic modality in prostate cancer. Thus, as a first step, we undertook a study to evaluate the impact of copper chelation on prostate cancer cell proliferation in vitro. As shown in Fig. 1A, treatment with the copper chelator TTM efficiently inhibited the growth of AR-expressing LNCaP cells.

Further, TTM also inhibited the growth of two different cellular models of CRPC: VCap cells in which AR is overexpressed (Fig. 1B) and 22RV1 cells that express a constitutively active AR-splice variant (Fig. 1C). However, the effects of TTM in these cell lines were not as dramatic as that observed in LNCaP cells and could only be achieved when high concentrations of the chelator were used. The most disappointing finding, however, was that TTM treatment also inhibited the growth of transformed normal epithelial RWPE-1 cells (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the therapeutic window for copper chelation therapy was extremely small. It was concluded therefore that copper chelation in and of itself was not likely to be a viable therapeutic option for prostate cancer.

The uptake and regulation of intracellular copper levels are tightly regulated, reflecting the balance between the requirement for copper as a cofactor for many enzymes and structural proteins and the need to prevent the oxidative damage that is associated with the redox cycling of elemental copper within cells (25). We reasoned, therefore, that it might be possible to employ a synthetic lethality approach to identify drugs whose cytostatic/cytocidal activity would capitalize on the ability of prostate cancer cells to take up significant amounts of copper. Thus, we screened for small molecules that would inhibit the growth or induce apoptosis of VCap cells and whose activity was attenuated by the coadministration of a sub-toxic dose of the copper chelator TTM. Using this approach, we identified two chemically distinct drugs that are members of the dithiocarbamate family of metal-binding compounds (Fig. 2A). One of the compounds identified, DSF (tetraethylthiuram disulfide), has previously been identified in high-content screens for prostate cancer therapeutics (26). It is an orally administered drug that is approved for use in alcohol aversion therapy (27, 28). The second drug identified, ammonium PDTC, is a known copper-binding compound and has only been tested in vitro for anticancer activity (29). Importantly, follow-up studies confirmed that when tested over a broad range of concentrations, the growth inhibitory activity of these drugs in VCap cells could be reversed by coadministration of a subtoxic dose of the copper chelators TTM or BCS (Fig. 2B and C). Similarly, DSF dramatically inhibits the growth of 22RV1 cells, and this activity can be reversed with BCS (Fig. 2D). This result is particularly significant as this cell line expresses a truncated constitutively active AR variant that renders the cells resistant to all of the currently available androgen synthesis inhibitors and antiandrogens (Fig. 2E) and is a well-validated model of advanced disease. DSF also has a profound copper-dependent effect on the growth of the AR-negative PC3 prostate cancer cell line (Fig. 2F). Thus, the cytotoxic effect of DSF is not restricted to AR-positive prostate cancer cells. Finally, it was shown that DSF had no effect on the growth of normal RWPE-1 cells at the concentrations tested (Fig. 2G). We conclude from these studies that DSF and PDTC inhibit prostate cancer cell growth in a manner that likely capitalizes on the ability of these cells to accumulate copper. Defining the specific role for copper in dithiocarbamate activity was the goal of our next series of studies.
The growth inhibitory activity of DSF is absolutely dependent on copper

Considering the copper-binding specificity of the two chelators (TTM and BCS) used in our studies, it was likely that the growth inhibitory activity of DSF required its interaction with copper. We therefore asked whether copper supplementation could enhance the growth inhibitory activity of DSF. To this end, VCaP cells were treated with increasing concentrations of DSF alone or with copper supplementation. In this manner, we showed that copper supplementation enhances the cytotoxic effect of DSF (Fig. 3A). Thus, as opposed to DSF, the ability of TTM to inhibit prostate cancer cell proliferation was reversed by the coadministration of copper, highlighting the distinct mechanisms of action of the two drugs (Fig. 3B). The ability of copper to enhance DSF cytotoxicity was confirmed in the AR-positive prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP and 22RV1, as well as in the AR-negative PC3 cells (Fig. 3C–E). As expected, the treatment with the copper chelator BCS abrogated the cytotoxic effect of DSF in the presence of additional copper in all cells. Together, these results suggest that although the available copper in the media used in these studies is sufficient for DSF action, the efficacy of the drug can be enhanced significantly by additional copper supplementation.

Reduction of copper from Cu(II) to Cu(I) by the metalloreductases is an obligate step for efficient transport across the plasma membrane by the high-affinity transporter CTR1 (30, 31). Indeed, it has been shown previously that divalent metal ions do not inhibit copper uptake by CTR1, whereas an excess of silver ions that are isoelectronic and of similar size to Cu(I) block the CTR1-mediated copper uptake (32). We therefore assessed the ability of Ag(I) to block DSF-mediated inhibition of cell growth. To this end, VCaP cells were treated with increasing concentrations of DSF alone or in the presence of additional copper to enhance the antiproliferative effect of DSF. Interestingly, coadministration of excess silver ions abolishes the cytotoxicity induced by both DSF alone or DSF in the presence of copper (Fig. 3F). This result is consistent with a role for CTR1-mediated uptake of copper in regulating the cytotoxic actions of DSF. In previous studies using cellular models of melanoma it has been shown that DSF: Au and DSF: Ag complexes are growth inhibitory (33). However, the ability to reverse DSF: Cu activity with Ag suggests that the DSF: Cu complex is functioning in a different manner in prostate cancer cells. These data also suggest that tumors with high intrinsic copper levels, or those that can be manipulated to uptake copper, are likely to exhibit the greatest therapeutic response to DSF.

DSF induces prostate cancer cell apoptosis

The impact of DSF and DSF: Cu on prostate cancer cell apoptosis was evaluated by measuring Annexin V staining using flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S1, treatment of VCaP cells for 24 hours with DSF alone increased the Annexin V-positive cell population (5.1% of
Annexin V+/Sytox− and 2.7% of Annexin V+/Sytox+ as compared with control-treated cells (1.3% of Annexin V+/Sytox− and 1.3% of Annexin V−/Sytox+). Even more pronounced effects of DSF on VCaP cells were observed at later time points (Supplementary Fig. S2; 72 hours time point). As expected, the proapoptotic activity of DSF was dramatically enhanced in the presence of copper (DSF alone: 5.1% of Annexin V+/Sytox− and 2.7% of Annexin V+/Sytox+; DSF + Cu: 38.8% of Annexin V+/Sytox− and 22.3% of Annexin V−/Sytox−; Fig. 4). Addition of copper alone was without effect. In all cases, DSF-dependent

Figure 2. Identification of compounds that rely on copper to inhibit the growth of prostate cancer cells. A, chemical structure of the compounds identified. B and C, VCaP cells were treated for 72 hours with increasing concentrations of DSF alone or in combination with sub-toxic doses of the copper chelators TTM or BCS (B) or with PDTC alone or in combination with BCS (C). D, 22RV1 cells were treated for 72 hours with increasing concentrations of DSF alone or in combination with BCS. E, 22RV1 cells were treated for 72 hours with increasing concentrations of MDV3100 alone or in combination with R1881. F and G, the AR-negative PC3 (F) or the normal immortalized prostate RWPE-1 (G) cell lines were treated for 72 hours with increasing concentrations of DSF alone or in the presence of the copper chelator BCS. The results from representative experiments are shown and are expressed as relative fluorescence ± SE (n = 3).
apoptosis was abrogated by the copper chelator BCS (Fig. 4). Together, these results indicate that DSF-mediated inhibition of prostate cancer cell growth can be attributed in large part to its ability to induce apoptosis in a copper-dependent manner.

**ROS as a mediator of the copper-dependent cytotoxic effects of DSF**

Copper, a transition metal with multiple oxidation states, can participate in single-electron transfer reactions. Therefore, given the chemistry of the interaction between copper and DSF, we hypothesized that the inherent redox shuttling of copper [Cu(I)/Cu(II)/Cu(III)] within Cu–dithiocarbamate complexes could generate free radicals that would facilitate ROS formation (34–36). It was of significance, therefore, that treatment of cells with the free-radical scavenger NAC blocked cell death induced by DSF:Cu. Similar results were obtained when prostate cancer cells were treated with the free-radical scavenger, LGR, in the presence of DSF alone or in combination with supplementary copper (Fig. 4). These antioxidants also blocked the cytotoxic actions of DSF:Cu in other prostate cancer cells (Supplementary Fig. S2, data not shown).

Both of the antioxidants NAC and LGR reversed the antiproliferative effect of DSF in the presence or absence of added copper (Fig. 5A). Further, cotreatment of the VCaP cells with EUK118, a synthetic catalytic scavenger of ROS with superoxide dismutase and catalase mimetic activity (37), blocked DSF-mediated inhibition of cell growth (Fig. 5B). Together, these results implicate ROS in DSF-mediated cell death. Thus, we
directly measured intracellular free-radical production in DSF-treated cells using the cell-permeable oxidation-sensitive dye CM-H2DCFDA. For this assay, VCaP cells treated for 2 days with H2O2 were used as positive controls. Using this approach, we were able to establish that addition of DSF resulted in robust CM-H2DCFDA oxidation when compared with control-treated cells (Fig. 5C). To analyze whether elevation of intracellular copper is a prerequisite for the induction of oxidative stress, VCaP cells were coincubated with the copper chelator BCS. As seen in Fig. 5C, cotreatment with the copper chelator BCS blocked both DSF-dependent generation of ROS and apoptosis. These data are consistent with the idea that DSF:Cu induces prostate cancer cell apoptosis secondary to its ability to induce intracellular ROS production.

**Copper enhances the growth inhibitory activity of DSF in xenograft models of prostate cancer**

In agreement with our *in vitro* data, it has been demonstrated, using PET imaging, that human prostate cancer xenografts propagated as tumors in mice have a high capacity to uptake and accumulate copper (23, 24). We therefore asked whether the therapeutic activity of DSF could be enhanced using copper supplementation to increase intratumoral copper within VCaP cells propagated as xenografts in immunodeficient mice. To this end, the effect of DSF alone or in combination with copper treatment was evaluated. For comparative purposes, a vehicle control group and a copper alone group were also included in this study. In this manner, it was shown that although DSF alone had only marginal effects on tumor growth, treatment with a combination of DSF and copper significantly decreased tumor growth (Fig. 6A). Subsequently, the effect of DSF and copper in a well-validated model of CRPC was evaluated. To this end, 22RV1 cells, which express a constitutively active AR-splice variant, were propagated as xenografts in castrated, immunodeficient mice. Similar to what we observed in VCaP cells, DSF:Cu efficiently inhibited the growth of 22RV1 tumors when compared with vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 6B). Taken together, the *in vivo* data are consistent with the *in vitro* data and reinforce the concept that the combined treatment of DSF and copper has superior activity in targeting prostate cancer cells than either agent alone with no observable increase in animal toxicity or weight loss.

**AR upregulates the expression of key proteins required for cellular copper homeostasis**

Whereas the antiproliferative activities of DSF observed were not restricted to AR-positive prostate cancer cells, we were intrigued by the observation that the expression of several proteins is induced by DSF. The upregulation of these proteins reflects the increased copper content of DSF-treated cells and suggests that AR plays a role in copper homeostasis. As copper is a central regulator of cellular oxidative stress, alterations in copper homeostasis could contribute to the antiproliferative effects exhibited by DSF. The identification of these proteins provides new insights into the mechanisms by which AR regulates cellular copper homeostasis and suggests potential targets for therapeutic intervention.
proteins involved in the uptake and trafficking of copper was upregulated by androgens in VCaP cells. Specifically, using qPCR, we determined that the synthetic androgen R1881 increased the transcript levels of CTR1 (copper uptake), ATP7B (copper trafficking), and STEAP4 (metallo/copper reductase; Fig. 7A). Furthermore, siRNAs targeting AR dramatically reduced the androgen-mediated upregulation of these transcripts (Fig. 7B). Importantly, androgens also increased CTR1 protein levels, an effect that was also dependent on AR (Fig. 7C). In addition, at a concentration that inhibits the expression of secondary androgen responsive target genes (e.g., INSIG1), cycloheximide treatment did not block the R1881-mediated increase in CTR1, ATP7B, or STEAP4 mRNA levels (Supplementary Fig. S4). In LNCaP cells, only STEAP4 was shown to be upregulated by androgens (Supplementary Fig. S5). This may relate to the differences in AR expression in the two models with VCaP cells exhibiting AR overexpression, and thus a good model of late-stage CRPC, and LNCaP expressing less AR, modeling an earlier stage in the disease. This finding is significant as AR expression has been found to be consistently upregulated during tumor progression, and that a 2- to 3-fold increase in AR protein levels is sufficient to facilitate the transition of prostate cancer cells from a hormone-sensitive to a hormone-refractory state in experimental animal models (8). Thus, we engineered LNCaP cells to express higher levels of AR and found that this resulted in significantly higher levels of CTR1 and ATP7B expression in response to androgen treatment (Supplementary Fig. S5). Interestingly, similar results were obtained when the immortalized RWPE-1 prostate epithelial cell line was engineered to express high levels of AR (Supplementary Fig. S5). Collectively, these findings validate CTR1, ATP7B, and STEAP4 as bona fide AR target genes in prostate cancer cells. However, the insensitivity of RWPE-1-AR cells to DSF indicates that although androgens can increase the expression of proteins involved in copper homeostasis, this activity alone is not sufficient to confer sensitivity to these agents. Although it does suggest that cells have an inherent sensitivity to DSF, the upregulation of AR-target gene expression as occurs in late-stage disease may sensitize cells to DSF:Cu.

**Androgens increase cellular copper uptake**

CTR1 is the primary copper transporter in mammalian cells, and we have shown that it is significantly expressed in prostate cancer cells and that androgens can further increase its expression. Given this observation, we sought to determine the importance of CTR1 on cellular copper uptake in prostate cancer cells using radioactive copper (64Cu). As expected,
siRNA-mediated CTR1 knockdown resulted in a significant decrease in $^{64}$Cu uptake (Fig. 7E), indicating that copper uptake into prostate cancer cells is largely dependent on CTR1 expression. Next, we investigated the effect of androgen treatment on cellular copper uptake. As expected, there is a significant time-dependent increase in $^{64}$Cu uptake into prostate cancer cells in the basal state, and this uptake is accentuated when cells are pretreated with an androgen agonist (Fig. 7G). The uptake of $^{64}$Cu was quantitatively inhibited by coincubation with a 100-fold molar excess of nonradioactive copper, a result that supports the involvement of a specific, saturable transporter in regulating copper uptake (Fig. 7G). We have also found that addition of DSF to the incubation media further increases the copper accumulation in cells (Supplementary Fig. S6). However, it is unclear whether this is a consequence of DSF trapping copper within cells or if it is related to the reported metal ionophore activity of this compound (38, 39). Regardless, these results suggest that the increased accumulation of copper in prostate cancer cells can be attributed to a significant expression of CTR1, and that the expression and functional activity of this transporter can be upregulated by androgens. The latter finding is of particular significance, as it suggests that CRPC cells take up a significantly higher level of copper and would by inference exhibit increased sensitivity to DSF.

Discussion

A common objective in the development of chemotherapeutics is to identify compounds whose cytostatic/cytotoxic actions require, or are reinforced by, proteins or processes that are selectively expressed in malignant cells. It was of considerable importance, therefore, that several investigators noted that prostate cancer has a particular proclivity for copper uptake that was not apparent in normal prostate (17–19). These findings, together with the observation that copper was required for angiogenesis in prostate cancer, led to the initiation of several clinical trials that evaluated the impact of copper chelation in patients with CRPC. Unfortunately, no significant impact on clinical outcomes in prostate cancer was observed using this therapeutic strategy (40, 41). Copper chelation using TTM is currently being evaluated in several clinical trials, alone or in combination with chemotherapy/radiation, in patients with advanced solid tumors, including breast, lung, esophageal, colorectal, and hepatocellular carcinoma (www.clinicaltrials.gov). It will be of interest to see whether this strategy works in other cancers and if information can be drawn from these studies that would inform better approaches to use chelation therapy in prostate cancer. However, considering the narrow therapeutic window we observed in the sensitivity of normal versus malignant prostate cancer cells to copper chelators in vitro, it is unlikely that copper chelation, as currently contemplated, will play a significant role in the management of prostate cancer.

Recently, we made the unexpected finding that both AR-positive and AR-negative prostate cancer cells overexpress many of the proteins required for copper uptake and trafficking and that in AR-positive prostate cancer cells, the expression of these proteins can be accentuated by androgens. Further, a robust uptake of copper into prostate cancer cells was observed, an activity that was increased further by androgens. With a view to exploiting this propensity for copper uptake, we developed a “conditional lethal” screen to identify compounds whose cytotoxic activities required copper. Using this approach, two drugs, both members of the dithiocarbamate family of metal-binding compounds, were identified. The actions of both of these drugs were inhibited by classical copper chelators, a finding that highlights their unique mechanism of action. One of the compounds identified, DSF, an FDA-approved drug, has extensive clinical and pharmacologic experience and is used for the treatment of alcohol abuse (27, 28, 42, 43). Interestingly, this drug was identified previously in a screen for prostate cancer therapeutics and was subsequently evaluated in a multicenter phase II clinical study in men with...
Figure 7. Androgen upregulates the expression of genes required for copper uptake and the maintenance of intracellular copper homeostasis. A, VCaP cells were treated for 12 hours with either vehicle or increasing concentrations of R1881, and the mRNA expression of CTR1, ATP7B, or STEAP4 was evaluated using qPCR. Results are expressed as fold induction over vehicle-treated cells ±SE (n = 3). The data shown are representative of three independent experiments. B, VCaP cells were transiently transfected with a Stealth siRNA-control targeting a negative control (siCTRL) or AR (siAR). Two days later, cells were treated overnight with either vehicle (white bars) or 10 nmol/L R1881 (black bars). The mRNA expression of CTR1, ATP7B, or STEAP4 was assessed using qPCR. C, VCaP cells were transfected as described in B with mock, siCTRL, or siAR and treated for 24 hours. Whole-cell extracts were subjected to Western immunoblot analysis using antibodies directed against CTR1 or GAPDH (loading control). D, VCaP cells were transiently transfected with a nonspecific siCTRL or a siRNA targeting CTR1 (siCTRL-B; siCTRL-C). qPCR analysis confirming siRNA CTR1 knockdown at 72 hours after transfection is represented. E, VCaP cells, transiently transfected with a nonspecific siCTRL or a siRNA targeting CTR1 (siCTRL-B; siCTRL-C), were incubated with 5 μCi/mL 64CuCl2 for 2 hours. 64Cu accumulation was measured using a γ-counter and normalized to the protein concentration of the cell lysates. F, VCaP cells were treated for 24 hours with either vehicle or 10 nmol/L R1881 and incubated with 5 μCi/mL 64CuCl2 for 30 to 240 minutes at 37°C. 64Cu uptake was quantified and normalized to protein concentrations of cell lysates. G, 100-fold molar excess of nonradioactive copper (CuSO4) was added to the media with 5 μCi/mL 64CuCl2 and incubated for 2 hours. 64Cu uptake was measured and compared with control cells incubated in the absence of cold copper and presented as mean ± SE, n = 3.
nonmetastatic recurrent prostate cancer after local therapy. The results of this trial were disappointing, and no significant benefit of the drug was observed (44). However, in studies performed both in vitro and in vivo, we have found that the activity of DSF absolutely requires copper. Using PET imaging and 64Cu as an imaging agent, it was observed by others that prostate cancer tumors propagated as xenografts have a particularly high capacity to accumulate copper (23, 24). However, notwithstanding this ability to accumulate copper, we demonstrated that DSF has a minimal impact on tumor growth unless animals were supplemented with copper. Thus, although prostate cancer cells express the transporters that enable them to uptake copper, it appears as if the available copper in the blood of unsupplemented animals, and by inference humans, is not sufficient to confer sensitivity to DSF. This has important implications with respect to the interpretation of the recently completed clinical trials of DSF as it brings into question whether or not the treated tumors had copper levels sufficient to confer sensitivity to the drug. To address this issue, we have designed, and will soon enroll into, a clinical trial (FDA approval IND 116012) to examine the antitumor activity of DSF in the setting of parenteral copper supplementation. The primary goal of this trial is to evaluate the feasibility of manipulating intratumoral copper levels in patients with prostate cancer as a means to sensitize the tumors to DSF.

In preclinical studies, several mechanisms of action underlying the antiproliferative effect of DSF have been reported, including generation of ROS, inhibition of DNA methyltransferase and ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, activities that are potentiated by copper supplementation (45–50). However, these actions were only observed using drug concentrations far exceeding that which we demonstrated to effectively inhibit prostate cancer cell growth. In our studies, it was demonstrated that intracellular ROS production is dramatically elevated in cells upon cotreatment with DSF and copper. This activity is likely to be important for therapeutic efficacy as prostate cancer cell apoptosis induced by DSF:Cu can be inhibited by blocking ROS production using free-radical scavengers. Although the mechanisms underlying ROS production were not addressed in our study, it is likely due to the free-radical formation that results from the inherent redox shuffling of copper (Cu(I)/Cu(II)) within Cu–dithiocarbamate complexes (34, 35, 38). These highly reactive species target a variety of biologic molecules, including DNA, RNA, cholesterol, lipids, carbohydrates, and proteins. In normal cells, free-radical generation is balanced by processes that facilitate antioxidant defense. However, this equilibrium is upset in prostate cancer cells, favoring oxidation and increased ROS production. A question that remains unanswered is why the DSF:Cu complex is selectively toxic to malignant versus nonmalignant prostate cancer cells. The ability of prostate cancer to accumulate copper is certainly important; however, it is also likely that the highly oxidative environment within cancer cells predisposes them to ROS generation (51–55). Understanding the mechanism(s) underlying this specificity will likely inform the optimal use of this drug or a related drug: metal conjugate.

The mechanism(s) by which copper is taken up into cells has been elucidated in detail. In blood, copper (Cu(II)) is highly protein bound being associated with ceruloplasmin or albumin. At the cell surface, metalloreductases (STEAP family members) convert Cu(II) to Cu(I), allowing it to be transported into cells by the CTR1 (16). Once inside the cell, carrier cytoplasmic metallochaperones deliver copper to specific cellular targets and compartments. One of the surprising findings of our study is that the expression of all of the key regulators of copper homeostasis (CTR1, STEAP4, ATP7B, and ATP7A) is either expressed at a high basal level and/or can be induced upon AR activation. Not surprisingly, we have shown that copper uptake into prostate cancer cells can be increased by androgens, although it is important to note that the basal expression of these proteins enables the uptake of enough copper to sensitize cells to DSF. Interestingly, we have shown that DSF treatment increases overall copper accumulation into prostate cancer cells. However, it is unclear whether this reflects an ability of DSF to trap copper within cells or if DSF can facilitate copper uptake by functioning as an ionophore (38, 39).

In summary, we have demonstrated that prostate cancer cells accumulate copper and that this can be exploited to sensitize cells to the cytotoxic actions of dithiocarbamates. The absolute requirement of copper supplementation for the positive therapeutic action of DSF in animals has informed a clinical trial that will explore the impact of copper supplementation on DSF efficacy in patients with advanced prostate cancer.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors’ Contributions


Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): R. Safi, E.R. Nelson, S.K. Chitneni

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): R. Safi, E.R. Nelson, S.K. Chitneni, D.J. George, D.P. McDonnell

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: R. Safi, E.R. Nelson, D.J. George, M.R. Zalutsky, D.P. McDonnell

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): R. Safi, D.J. George

Study supervision: R. Safi, D.P. McDonnell

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Dennis J. Thiele for the gift of CTR1 antibody and for helpful scientific input and members of the McDonnell lab for their useful discussions and critical reading of the article.

Grant Support

This work was supported by the NIH grants CA139818 (D.P. McDonnell), CA42324 (M.R. Zalutsky), and BR1GM084176 (K.J. Franz).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received December 12, 2013; revised July 2, 2014; accepted July 19, 2014; published online October 15, 2014.
References


Copper Signaling Axis as a Target for Prostate Cancer Therapeutics


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/74/20/5819

Cited articles
This article cites 55 articles, 19 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/74/20/5819.full.html#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/74/20/5819.full.html#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.