Chemotherapeutic Agents Subvert Tumor Immunity by Generating Agonists of Platelet-Activating Factor
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Abstract

Oxidative stress suppresses host immunity by generating oxidized lipid agonists of the platelet-activating factor receptor (PAF-R). Because many classical chemotherapeutic drugs induce reactive oxygen species (ROS), we investigated whether these drugs might subvert host immunity by activating PAF-R. Here, we show that PAF-R agonists are produced in melanoma cells by chemotherapy that is administered in vitro, in vivo, or in human subjects. Structural characterization of the PAF-R agonists induced revealed multiple oxidized glycerophosphocholines that are generated nonenzymatically. In a murine model of melanoma, chemotherapeutic administration could augment tumor growth by a PAF-R–dependent process that could be blocked by treatment with antioxidants or COX-2 inhibitors or by depletion of regulatory T cells. Our findings reveal how PAF-R agonists induced by chemotherapy treatment can promote treatment failure. Furthermore, they offer new insights into how to improve the efficacy of chemotherapy by blocking its heretofore unknown impact on PAF-R activation.
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Introduction

Though not the most common type of skin cancer, malignant melanoma is one of the most lethal cancers (1). The American Cancer Society estimates over 76,000 new patients were diagnosed with melanoma of the skin, and 9,480 died from malignant melanoma in 2013 (www.cancer.org/statistics). The treatment for early-stage (nonmetastatic) melanoma is surgical excision. The treatment of metastatic melanoma is unsatisfactory as this tumor type is relatively resistant to chemotherapy. The treatment of metastatic melanoma is unsatisfactory as this tumor type is relatively resistant to chemotherapy or radiotherapy, possibly in part through cellular resistance to these agents (2, 3). However, regional chemotherapy such as isolated limb chemoperfusion (ILP) with high-dose melphalan (10 times more than standard chemotherapy) for localized disease has proven efficacious, with complete responses in up to 50% and overall response rates approaching 90% (4–6). Yet, most of these responses are short-lived. Immunotherapy strategies appear to have the most promise for cure as the immune response is critical for the eradication of this tumor type (7, 8).

Chemotherapeutic agents are designed to selectively kill tumor cells, and spare their nonneoplastic counterparts. Several targets for chemotherapeutic agents exist, including DNA and/or DNA replication/repair machinery (9). One of the consequences of many chemotherapeutic agents is the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (10–13). Several groups have demonstrated suppression of host immunity in the presence of various prooxidative stressors through a mechanism involving platelet-activating factor (1-alkyl-2-acetyl-glycerophosphocholine; PAF). Prooxidative stressors including aromatic hydrocarbons found in jet fuel, cigarette smoke, and ultraviolet B radiation (UVB; refs. 14–18) generate ROS that can act directly on glycerophosphocholines (GPC) to produce oxidized GPC (Ox-GPC), which are potent PAF receptor (PAF-R) agonists (18–21). Structural studies using mass spectrometry have identified more than a dozen Ox-GPC, including native PAF itself (20–24). There is evidence for hundreds of these biologically active compounds (22–25) that have bypassed the tightly controlled enzymatic process of PAF production (26, 27). Like native PAF, these Ox-GPC are metabolically unstable and their half lives in tissue/blood are measured in minutes (27, 28).

In addition to the ability of prooxidative stressors (e.g., UVB) or exogenous PAF-R agonists to inhibit host immunity as measured by contact hypersensitivity (CHS) responses to either chemical antigens such as 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene...
(DNFB) or delayed type hypersensitivity responses to antigens such as Candida albicans (14–18, 29), recent studies have indicated that systemic PAF-R activation can augment experimental tumor growth in a process involving the cytokine IL10 and Tregs (30). PAF-R antagonists have also been demonstrated to protect against UVB-mediated photocarcinogenesis in mice (31). PAF-mediated systemic immunosuppression involves IL10 and COX-2–generated eicosanoids with mast cells and regulatory T cells (Tregs) as effectors.

As chemotherapeutic agents can induce ROS, the present studies were designed to test whether chemotherapeutic agents can generate PAF-R agonists as well as their structural characterization. Finally, these studies sought to define whether ROS–generated PAF-R agonists impact chemotherapy effectiveness. These studies provide the first evidence that chemotherapeutic agents induce systemic immunosuppression via systemic PAF-R signaling in a process that can be ameliorated via antioxidants and COX-2 inhibitors.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and cell lines

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich unless indicated otherwise. B16F10 and SK23ME1 cells obtained from ATCC were grown in DMEM high glucose with 10% fetal calf serum as previously described (30). Cell lines were grown to approximately 80% to 90% confluence in 10 cm dishes, and washed three times with Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) and then incubated with 2 mL of prewarmed (37°C) HBSS with 10 mg/mL fatty acid–free BSA with 2 μmol/L of the serine hydrolase inhibitor pefabloc. In some experiments, antioxidants were preincubated for 60 minutes before addition of chemotherapeutic agents or DMSO (0.5%) vehicle. The incubations were quenched by addition of 2 mL of ice-cold methanol followed by methylene chloride, and lipids extracted as previously described (17, 18, 20).

Mice. Female C57BL/6–wild-type mice (PAF–R expressing; age 6–8 weeks) were purchased from the Charles River Laboratories. Age-matched female PAF–R–deficient (Ptafr−/−) mice on a C57BL/6 background, generated as described previously (32), were a kind gift of Prof. Takao Shimizu (University of Tokyo Department of Biochemistry, Tokyo, Japan). FoxP3–EGFP reporter mice (33) obtained from JAX, and FoxP3–EGFP mice crossed with Ptafr−/− mice were used in some experiments. It should be noted that similar effects were noted between Ptafr−/− and FoxP3−/− FoxP3–EGFP mice. Immunodecient NOD.CB17–PrkdCScid/J (Common name: NOD SCID) mice were purchased from the Indiana University Simon Cancer Center Core facility. In some experiments, mice were fed with vitamin C–enriched (10 g/kg; Research Diets, Inc.) and 5 mmol/L N-acetylcysteine (NAC) in water ad libitum for 10 days before intratumoral chemotherapy injection of tumor and until the termination of the experiment as per our previous studies (17, 30). All mice were housed under specific pathogen–free conditions at the Indiana University School of Medicine. All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Indiana University School of Medicine.

Measurement of PAF-R agonists

Calcium mobilization studies. The presence of systemic PAF-R agonists in lipid extracts derived from the chemotherapeutic agent–treated tumors/cell lines was measured by the ability of the lipid extracts to induce an intracellular Ca2+ mobilization response in PAF–R–expressing KBP cells, but not in KBM cells lacking the PAF–R, as previously described (17, 34). In brief, KBP and KBM cells were preloaded with the Ca2+–sensitive indicator, fura-2-AM (4 μmol/L in HBSS without dye) at 37°C for 90 minutes, washed, and resuspended in HBSS at room temperature before use. Lipid extracts from cells or weighed tumors obtained from groups of chemotherapy versus vehicle–treated cells/tumors untreated (sham) exposed mice were added to an aliquot of these cells (1.0–1.5 × 106 cells/2 mL) in a cuvette at 37°C with constant stirring. The lipid extracts were normalized to cell number or mg wet tissue weight or 1/10th volume of perfusate. CPAF and endothelin-1 (ET-1) (ET-1) dissolved in ethanol (adjusted to 1 μmol/L) were used as positive controls. Fura-2–AM fluorescence was monitored in a Hitachi F-4010 spectrophotometer with excitation and emission wavelengths of 331 and 410 nm, respectively. The Ca2+ influx in suspensions was calculated as described (17, 18, 34) and shown as percentage of maximal peak calcium flux induced by either CPAF or ET-1.

Mass spectrometry studies. Mass spectrometry was performed on cell lines and perfusion samples using the AB Sciex triple quadrupole QTRAP 5500 mass spectrometer, equipped with a CTC-PAL autosampler and a Shimadzu HPLC as previously described (24). Please see Supplementary Materials and Methods for details of instrument settings and characterization of the various species monitored.

In vivo tumor growth studies. To determine the ability of intratumoral chemotherapy to modulate melanoma tumor growth, 0.5 × 106 B16F10 cells, which lack functional PAF–R (30), were implanted subcutaneously into both shaved hind flanks of WT and Ptafr−/− mice to produce two tumors. Tumor growth (length and width) was monitored and measured at various times with digital calipers (Mitituyo), and tumor volume was calculated (major length × minor length2/2). On day 6 of tumor implantation and every 3 days afterwards, the left flank tumor was injected with 100 μL of either etoposide (36 mg/kg), melphalan (15 mg/kg), or PBS with 0.5% DMSO vehicle. The working dose of etoposide and melphalan was achieved by performing dose–dependent pilot studies in WT mice (n = 3–5). To define the ability of COX-2 inhibitors to modulate the effects of chemotherapy, SC-236 (200 mg), NS-398 (5 μg), or 100 μL PBS with 0.5% DMSO vehicle were injected intrapectonally at day 0 and every 3 days afterwards.

Human regional chemotherapy studies. Subjects undergoing regional chemotherapy with melphalan for melanoma were recruited for these studies. During the procedure, 8 mL of perfusate was removed at various times (after establishment of the perfusion, once the core limb temperature was 40°C, and 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes following melphalan treatment) from the circuit and placed into equal volumes of ice-cold methanol and methylene chloride, and lipids extracted. The human studies were approved by the Indiana University’s and Duke University’s School of Medicine Institutional Review Boards.
Statistical analysis

For all murine studies, individual experiments were performed using at least four mice per experimental group and repeated as necessary (at least once) to verify reproducibility and provide additional data for analysis. All statistical calculations were performed using SAS Version 9.3. Tumor volume was calculated as (major x minor^2)/2. For the mice studies, the analysis focused on the end of the study, specifically on days 14 to 18, where available. The normality of data and equal variances were checked by the Shapiro–Wilk and the Levene tests and was a reasonable assumption in all cases. For the mice data and in vitro data, we used equal or unequal variance t tests to compare two groups. For comparing more than two groups, we used ANOVA (with the Welch approximation if the variances were unequal) and post hoc Tukey-adjusted pairwise tests. The data represent mean values with SE. Differences were considered statistically significant when the P value was less than 0.05 and marginally significant when the P value was less than 0.10.

Results

Chemotherapeutic agents generate PAF-R agonists in a process blocked by antioxidants

The first studies were designed to test whether chemotherapeutic agents can induce PAF-R agonists in melanoma cells. As multiple glycerophosphocholine species can act as PAF-R agonists, we quantified total PAF-R biochemical activity as measured by intracellular calcium mobilization responses in Fura-2–loaded PAF-R–expressing KBP cells (34) compared with excess (1 μmol/L) of the metabolically stable PAF-R agonist carbamoyl-PAF (CPAF) in lipid extracts from murine B16F10 cells following treatment with the diverse agents etoposide, cisplatin, or melphalan. Note that the amount of total PAF-R agonistic activity is defined as the Ca^{2+} mobilization peak height of the normalized lipid extract as a % of the peak height from excess CPAF (1 μmol/L) response (17, 18, 34). Use of this semiquantitative biochemical assay allows all PAF-R activity to be measured. As shown in Fig. 1A, lipid extracts from B16F10 melanoma cells treated with chemotherapeutic agents induced PAF-R agonistic activity only in PAF-R–expressing KBP but not in PAF-R–negative KBM cells. Moreover, treatment of KBP but not KBM with these lipid extracts derived from chemotherapeutic agent-treated B16F10 cells resulted in the release of IL8 in the supernatants using our published (18) methodology (data not shown). Time course studies revealed that chemotherapeutic agents triggered PAF agonistic activity in murine B16F10 cells that were similar to human SK23MEL cells (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. S1). Murine B16F10 melanoma cells do not express functional PAF-Rs as measured by lack of Ca^{2+} response to CPAF, and lack of PAF-R mRNA by quantitative PCR (35). To define whether the presence of the PAF-R (which has been reported to be expressed on many human melanomas; ref. 36) can modulate chemotherapy-induced PAF agonistic activity, we transduced B16F10 cells with the PAF-R gene construct using MSCV2.1 retrovirus, generating B16F10PAF-R (PAF-R-expressingB16P) and B16F10MSCV2.1 (control PAF-R-negative; B16M) cells (see ref 30 for characterization of these cell lines). Chemotherapeutic agent treatment resulted in significantly more PAF-R agonistic activity in the PAF-R–expressing B16P cells (Fig. 1C). Similar to our previously published findings examining PAF-R biochemical activity generated in human epithelial tumor cells or human skin in response to the pro-oxidative stressor UVB (20, 24, 37), preincubation of melanoma cells with antioxidants vitamin C or NAC blocked this PAF agonistic activity (Fig. 1D).

Mass spectrometry-based structural studies using deuterium-labeled internal standards revealed that there were significantly increased levels of not only native PAF, but also Ox-GPC PAF-R agonists produced nonenzymatically in B16F10 cells in response to etoposide treatment (Fig. 2). Levels of a large number of individual Ox-GPC were increased 2–3-fold over baseline, but no consistent changes in lyso-GPC species were noted (data not shown). The actual amounts, structures and methods used to measure the Ox-GPCs are shown in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Methods. These studies indicate that chemotherapeutic agents can trigger the generation of Ox-GPCs with PAF-R activity in murine and human melanoma cells in vitro.

Intratumoral injection of chemotherapeutic agents generates PAF-R agonists

Though not commonly used, intratumoral injection of chemotherapeutic agents is a viable treatment for localized disease (38, 39). To define whether chemotherapeutic agents can induce the production of PAF-R agonists in vivo, B16F10 tumors were implanted into syngeneic C57BL/6 murine hosts or human SK23MEL tumors implanted into immunodeficient SCID mice. When the tumors were approximately 10 mm in diameter, they were injected with either etoposide or melphalan or PBS vehicle control. Tumors were removed one hour after injection, weighed, and lipids extracted and PAF-R biochemical activity assayed. Intratumoral injections of chemotherapeutic agents but not PBS vehicle resulted in the production of PAF-R agonists in both murine B16F10 and human SK23MEL tumors (Fig. 3). Of interest, injection of chemotherapeutic agents directly into skin without tumors did not result in the generation of significant levels of PAF-R agonistic activity (data not shown).

To assess the ability of antioxidants treatment to modulate chemotherapy-generated PAF-R agonists in vivo, C57BL/6 mice were fed with vitamin C-enriched chow and 5 mmol/L NAC in water ad libitum for 10 days before tumor implantation and continued on antioxidant diet until the termination of the experiment. Tumors were treated with either etoposide, melphalan, or vehicle and PAF-R agonist activity determined from lipid extracts of 1 hour treated tumors. This antioxidant regimen, which we have previously demonstrated blocks both the inhibition of CHS and augmentation of tumor growth induced by UVB treatment (17, 30) results in the decreased formation of PAF-R agonists by chemotherapy (Fig. 3A). These studies indicate that chemotherapeutic agents can generate PAF-R agonists in vivo, in a process partially blocked by antioxidants.
PAF-R activation diminishes experimental chemotherapy effectiveness via Tregs in a COX-2–dependent process

Given our findings that intratumoral chemotherapy generates PAF-R Ca\(^{2+}\) bioassays in vivo and that systemic PAF-R activation augments experimental tumor growth via immunosuppression, we assessed whether intratumoral chemotherapy could generate enough PAF-R agonists to modulate tumor growth. Our protocol was modified in that WT and PAF-R–deficient (Ptafr\(^{-/-}\), PAFR-KO) mice underwent implantation with B16F10 tumors on both dorsal hindquarters (2 tumors/mouse). The left flank tumors were treated with intratumoral chemotherapy or PBS vehicle control starting at day 6 of tumor cell implantation and repeated every 3 days until the termination of the experiment, whereas the other (right flank) tumors were left undisturbed. Intratumoral chemotherapy of one tumor with melphalan (15 mg/kg) or etoposide (36 mg/kg) resulted in an enhanced growth of the second (undisturbed) tumor in PAF-R–positive WT compared with Ptafr\(^{-/-}\)/C0 hosts (Fig. 4A–C). Though intratumoral chemotherapy resulted in growth inhibition of the chemotherapy-treated left flank tumors, loss of host PAF-R function exerted no perceptible effect on the left flank tumor growth characteristics (Supplementary Fig. S2). Subjecting mice to antioxidant treatment had no effects on the untreated right flank tumor growth by itself, yet inhibited the melphalan-mediated augmentation of growth...
of the second tumor (Fig. 4D). Antioxidant diet also blocked etoposide-mediated augmentation of right flank tumor growth (Supplementary Fig. S3). Importantly, antioxidant diet had no effect on the growth of right flank tumors in chemotherapy-treated PAF-R–deficient hosts (Supplementary Fig. S3). Of note, we did not detect differences in the growth of the chemotherapy-treated left flank tumors when WT or Ptafr−/− mice were placed on an antioxidant diet. Overall, these findings fit with the concept that chemotherapeutic agents generate Ox-GPCs via ROS, which then inhibit tumor immunity, resulting in an augmentation of tumor growth in a PAF-R–dependent manner.

Previous reports have demonstrated that PAF-R–mediated inhibition of CHS is blocked by COX-2 inhibitors (14–18). To assess whether COX-2 is crucial for PAF-R–mediated augmentation of experimental tumor growth, WT and Ptafr−/− mice were implanted with a single B16F10 tumor and then treated with COX-2 inhibitors (SC-236 and NS-398) alone or along with systemic CPAF. COX-2 inhibitors alone did not affect tumor growth, yet blocked CPAF-mediated augmentation of B16F10 tumor growth in WT mice (Fig. 5A and B) but had no effect on Ptafr−/− hosts (Supplementary Fig. S4). Pharmacologic inhibition of COX-2 also blocked the augmentation of tumor growth associated with chemotherapy (Fig. 5C for melphalan and Supplementary Fig. S5 for etoposide). These studies indicate that chemotherapy-generated PAF-R agonists augment experimental tumor growth in a process inhibited by antioxidants and COX-2 inhibitors.

PAF-R–dependent inhibition of CHS reactions is due to an increase in Tregs (18). Our previous studies have also demonstrated that Tregs are necessary for UVB-mediated augmentation of B16F10 tumor growth (30). The next studies were designed to define the role of Tregs in chemotherapy-mediated augmentation of experimental tumor growth. Use of a Treg-depleting strategy (anti-CD25 antibodies) that we have previously reported blocked UVB-mediated upregulation of tumoral Tregs (30) inhibited the chemotherapy-mediated augmentation of second tumor growth (Fig. 5D). Using Foxp3GFP reporter transgenic mice we measured increased numbers of Tregs in tumors in response to systemic PAF-R activation that was blocked by COX-2 inhibition (Supplementary Fig. S6). These studies demonstrate that systemic PAF-R–mediated augmentation of tumor growth is dependent upon Tregs and suggest that COX-2 is necessary for their formation.

Identification of PAF-R agonists produced in response to chemotherapy in humans

To test whether chemotherapy exposure results in the production of PAF-R agonists in humans, 8 mL aliquots of perfusate were removed at various times from human subjects undergoing regional chemotherapy for melanoma. In this procedure, the major artery and vein of an affected extremity

![Figure 2. Structural characterization of chemotherapy-generated PAF-R agonists. B16F10 cells were treated with 100 μg/mL of etoposide or 0.5% DMSO vehicle for 2 hours. Lipid extracts were analyzed by HPLC/MS/MS using deuterium-labeled internal standards to quantify PAF and Ox-GPC species. The data are expressed as mean ± SE fold increase of etoposide over vehicle-treated from five separate experiments. Please see Supplementary Table S1 for structures and exact values for the GPC species. *P < 0.05]
is cannulated and blood along with melphalan is perfused for 30 to 60 minutes in the heated (40°C) limb (39). Minimal amounts of PAF-R agonists were found in the circulating perfusate before addition of the melphalan (Fig. 6A). However, once the melphalan chemotherapy began perfusing in the heated limb, significant amounts of PAF-R agonistic activity were measured in the perfusates, with the highest amounts found at the conclusion of regional chemotherapy treatment. Structural characterization of perfusates using mass spectrometry identified PAF and several Ox-GPC species (Fig. 6B). These studies indicate that chemotherapy exposure generates systemic PAF-R agonists in humans.
Discussion

Chemotherapy is the most commonly used medical treatment for cancer. The present study describes a previously unappreciated mechanism by which chemotherapy exposure results in the production of PAF-R agonists, which are known to inhibit tumor immunity (30). These data support the model that Ox-GPC PAF-R agonists produced in part due to ROS from chemotherapeutic agents can exert systemic immunosuppressive effects. That chemotherapeutic agent-triggered PAF-R agonist formation and augmentation of tumor growth are partially inhibited by antioxidants suggests that antioxidants could have potential use in chemotherapy protocols. Of interest, systemic antioxidants have been championed for adjuvant use along with chemotherapy to decrease therapy side effects (40, 41). Yet, use of antioxidants along with chemotherapy is considered controversial due to concerns about possible interference with chemotherapeutic agent-mediated direct killing of tumor cells (42, 43). It should be noted that the present studies indicate that antioxidant treatment alone or in chemotherapy-treated PAF-R–deficient mice did not have any perceptible effects on tumor growth.

Oxidation of esterified fatty acyl residues introduces oxy functions, rearranges bonds and fragments carbon-carbon bonds by β-scission that generate a myriad of phospholipid reaction products including PAF-R agonists (19–25). In contrast to the tightly controlled enzymatic pathways for PAF biosynthesis, large amounts of numerous Ox-GPC PAF-R agonists can be produced nonenzymatically. The present studies not only demonstrate that chemotherapy-generated PAF-R agonistic activity is diminished by antioxidants, but structural characterization of this activity reveals Ox-GPCs known to be produced nonenzymatically. Consistent with the notion that chemotherapy is a potent pro-oxidative stressor, intratumoral injection of melphalan resulted in increased urine levels of immunoreactive 8-isoprostane (8-iso Prostaglandin F2α), an eicosanoid formed from free radical-catalyzed peroxidation of arachidonate, which was blunted in mice fed antioxidant diet (data not shown). It is likely that tumor cellular membranes

Figure 5. Role of COX-2 and Tregs in chemotherapy-mediated PAF-R–dependent augmentation of tumor growth. A and B, COX-2 inhibitor blocks PAF-R augmentation of tumor growth. WT mice (n = 6–7) implanted with a single tumor were treated at day 0 and every 6 days with intraperitoneal injections of CPAF (250 ng) or vehicle, with or without COX-2 inhibitors SC-236 (200 ng; A), NS-398 (5 μg; B). C, COX-2 inhibitor blocks chemotherapy-mediated augmentation of tumor growth. WT mice implanted with two tumors were treated with SC-236 or vehicle at day 0 and every 3 days, and underwent intratumoral treatment with PBS vehicle (n = 7) or melphalan (n = 16; C) every 3 days, starting at day 6. Tumor growth was assessed over time as in Fig. 4. The data depicted are the mean ± SE of tumor volume of untreated tumors over time in which the contralateral tumor was treated with chemotherapeutic agent. D, depleting Treg blocks etoposide-mediated enhanced growth of secondary tumors. WT mice (n = 7–8) were treated with isotype control (IgG1 and IgM1) or depleting antibodies against CD25 (clones PC61.5.3 IgG1 and 7D4 IgM1, 1 mg each) two days before dual tumor implantation and etoposide treatment as outlined in Fig. 4. Statistical significance of changes in tumor volumes is denoted: **, P < 0.01; *, P < 0.05; #, P < 0.1.
perfusates from three separate subjects. The data depicted are mean perfusates from three subjects were subjected to mass spectrometry as in human subjects. Control and 30 minutes after chemotherapy (Heat), or following addition of melphalan] from six separate subjects (commonly melphalan) to patients with intransit metastases in 1958, allows the regional delivery of chemotherapeutic agents (4–6). Hyperthermic isolated limb perfusion, first reported in 1958, allows the regional delivery of chemotherapeutic agents (commonly melphalan) to patients with intransit metastases localized to extremities that would not be approachable by surgical resection. The ability to use 10-fold higher than the standard chemotherapy doses along with heat provides a very potent combination to kill tumor cells. Another advantage of regional chemotherapy is the ability to treat the entire area at highest risk of reoccurrence by eliminating clinically occult microscopic tumor disease with minimal risk of systemic toxicity. We hypothesize that this combination of heat and high-dose melphalan also allows a rather unique environment that promotes ROS. Though it is possible that standard doses of systemic chemotherapy could generate immunosuppressive Ox-GPC PAF-R agonists that impede therapy effectiveness, this novel and previously unappreciated pathway would more likely play an important role in regional chemotherapy.

Tumoral resistance to chemotherapy is an important clinical problem and is an area of active study. In contrast to cellular resistance to the effects of chemotherapy, the present studies describe a novel mechanism by which chemotherapy agents can subvert antitumor immunity. Indeed, our previous studies using UVB irradiation of skin as the source for PAF-R agonists provide several lines of evidence implicating antitumor immunity, in particular Tregs in the PAF-mediated effects on experimental B16F10 tumor growth (30). First, PAF effects are not seen when tumors are placed in immunedeficient mouse hosts. Second, use of PAF–R–negative B16F10 cells transduced with functional PAF-Rs implanted in WT versus PtAf−/− hosts have confirmed that the PAF-R mediating the response is on the host, not tumor. Finally, use of neutralizing antibodies against IL10 or depleting Tregs both block PAF-mediated augmentation of experimental tumor growth. It is possible that this previously unappreciated pathway could provide an explanation for why immunotherapy strategies tend to be more effective when given to patients who have not received prior chemotherapy, which according to our model could potentially tolerize the immune system to the tumors (44–46).

Exogenous pro-oxidative stressors ranging from aromatic hydrocarbons to cigarette smoke to UVB radiation have been shown to induce systemic immunosuppression via PAF-R signaling, which is blocked by antioxidants (16–18). Apoptotic cells generate PAF and also contribute to melanoma tumor progression via PAF-R activation (47). The production of PAF-R agonists from these various agents begins a cascade of events leading to systemic immunosuppression. The cytokines that appear to be critical for the immunosuppression include IL10 and COX-2–generated eicosanoids (14, 15, 18). Mast cells and regulatory T cells are also implicated in PAF–R–dependent systemic immunosuppression (18, 30, 48). The present studies demonstrating that COX-2 inhibitors block chemotherapy-mediated augmentation of experimental tumor growth are not only consistent with previous studies characterizing the role of this eicosanoid-generating enzyme in PAF-mediated systemic immunosuppression (14, 15, 18), they also provide the rationale for future studies testing the ability of COX-2 inhibitors to enhance the effectiveness of regional chemotherapy. It should be noted that COX-2 inhibition has been shown to exert not only protective properties on the host, but also direct antitumor effects in a variety of tumor types (49, 50). In contrast, antioxidant use along with chemotherapy is associated with controversy due to possible concerns that these
agents could interfere with the efficacy of chemotherapy (42, 43).

In summary, the present studies provide the first evidence that PAF-R signaling can inhibit chemotherapy effectiveness. In contrast to chemotherapy resistance that is applicable at the tumor cell level, this process is due to the subversion of host tumor immunity. That chemotherapy generates PAF-R agonists in humans is suggestive that this novel pathway could have tremendous clinical significance. As this process involves the pro-oxidative qualities of chemotherapeutic agents and is neutralized by antioxidants, with downstream effects susceptible to COX-2 inhibition, these studies could provide the impetus for future studies to define the clinical significance of this novel pathway in humans.
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