Adaptive Responses to Dasatinib-Treated Lung Squamous Cell Cancer Cells Harboring DDR2 Mutations
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Abstract

DDR2 mutations occur in approximately 4% of lung squamous cell cancer (SCC) where the tyrosine kinase inhibitor dasatinib has emerged as a new therapeutic option. We found that ERK and AKT phosphorylation was weakly inhibited by dasatinib in DDR2-mutant lung SCC cells, suggesting that dasatinib inhibits survival signals distinct from other oncogenic receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) and/or compensatory signals that dampen dasatinib activity. To gain better insight into dasatinib's action in these cells, we assessed altered global tyrosine phosphorylation (pY) after dasatinib exposure using a mass spectrometry-based quantitative phosphoproteomics approach. Overlying protein–protein interaction relationships upon this dasatinib-regulated pY network revealed decreased phosphorylation of Src family kinases and their targets. Conversely, dasatinib enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation in a panel of RTK and their signaling adaptor complexes, including EGFR, MET/GAB1, and IGF1R/IRS2, implicating a RTK-driven adaptive response associated with dasatinib. To address the significance of this observation, these results were further integrated with results from a small-molecule chemical library screen. We found that dasatinib combined with MET and insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF1R) inhibitors had a synergistic effect, and ligand stimulation of EGFR and MET rescued DDR2-mutant lung SCC cells from dasatinib-induced loss of cell viability. Importantly, we observed high levels of tyrosine-phosphorylated EGFR and MET in a panel of human lung SCC tissues harboring DDR2 mutations. Our results highlight potential RTK-driven adaptive-resistant mechanisms upon DDR2 targeting, and they suggest new, rationale cotargeting strategies for DDR2-mutant lung SCC. Cancer Res; 74(24); 7217–28. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Genetic alterations associated with the development of lung adenocarcinoma have been extensively characterized (1), and small-molecule–based targeting of oncogenic kinases has resulted in great progress in this type of lung cancer (i.e., EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors; TKI; ref. 2). However, driver mutations in lung squamous cell cancer (SCC), another major type of lung cancer, have been poorly characterized, and kinase inhibitors for lung adenocarcinoma have shown limited efficacy against lung SCC. Driver mutations responsible for lung SCC are increasingly being identified. FGFR1 amplification has been reported in lung SCC (22% frequency), which confers sensitivity to FGFR inhibitor (3), and copy number increases or mutations in PIK3CA have been found in lung SCC at 34.5% frequency (4). Recently, a comprehensive genomic approach has been conducted to delineate genetic alterations associated with lung SCC (5). Discoidin domain receptor 2 (DDR2) is one of the recently identified oncogenic driver receptors, DDR1 and DDR2; refs. 7–8. DDR2 mutations are associated with increased sensitivity to dasatinib, a multitargeted TKI inhibiting both non-RTKs [i.e., Src family kinase (SFK) members] and RTKs (i.e., ephrin receptors, DDR1 and DDR2; refs. 7–11). The clinical activity of dasatinib in lung cancer is being evaluated in a number of clinical trials (12, 13). Of note, a recent case report provided further evidence of clinical activity of dasatinib in a patient with lung SCC harboring DDR2 mutation (14).

The nature of the DDR2 mutations and their role in downstream signaling in the context of SCC remain unclear. Original studies used classical transformation assays to show the oncogenic function of DDR2 mutations in fibroblast cells...
Conversely, RNAi studies and gatekeeper-mediated allele rescue supported DDR2 as the primary target of dasatinib in lung SCC harboring DDR2 mutations. More recent studies have suggested a tumor suppressor role of some DDR2 mutations in the context of collagen-directed signaling. The Huang lab showed reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of the IGF1R, while it was completely reduced by other TKIs targeting DDR2 (7). However, our study focused on examining dasatinib-driven events in SCC cell lines harboring DDR2 mutations. This approach was designed to mimic clinical trials and decipher drug-induced mechanisms contributing to dasatinib's effects in these cells, rather than specifically to interrogate DDR2's function in lung cancer or to study the exact role of point mutations, which are still important to elucidate. We observed that dasatinib failed to completely abrogate phosphorylation of ERK, while it was completely reduced by other TKIs targeting EGFR and ALK in cancer cells harboring corresponding mutations. Using a phosphotyrosine (pY) mass spectrometry (MS) analysis following dasatinib exposure, we show that dasatinib increased tyrosine phosphorylation of multiple RTKs, including EGFR, insulin—like growth factor receptor (IGF1R), MET, and ERBB2 (also called HER2), suggesting that increased RTK signaling compensates growth/survival signaling following dasatinib treatment in these DDR2-mutant cells. Chemical screening and ligand rescue experiments revealed rational cotargeting strategies as well as ligand-driven compensatory responses associated with dasatinib. Finally, we found a panel of DDR2-mutant human lung SCC tissues that exhibited intrinsically high levels of EGFR and MET, suggesting evidence of RTK-driven resistant mechanisms to dasatinib in DDR2-mutant human lung SCC.

Materials and Methods

A full description of all materials and methods can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Cell lines

H2286 and HCC366 lung SCC cell lines, provided by Dr. Peter Hammerman (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; Boston, MA), were maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS. DDR2 mutations were genetically tested and authenticated using short tandem repeat (ACTG Inc) analysis. Cells were confirmed to be free of mycoplasma using PlasmoTest (InvivoGen).

Drugs

Dasatinib, cabozantinib, and crizotinib were purchased from ChemiTek. BMS-754807 and linsitinib were purchased from Active Biochem, lapatinib from LC Laboratories, and GSK183807A from SelleckChem. Ligands for RTKs were purchased from PeproTech.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was analyzed by CellTiter-Glo (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Cells, plated at 3 x 10^4 per well in black-wall 96-well plates, were exposed to drugs alone or in combination with RTK ligands the next day. Ninety-six hours (Fig. 1A) or 72 hours (Fig. 5A and B) after treatment, 50 µL of CellTiter-Glo reagent were added and luminescence was recorded. The IC_{50} was defined as the drug concentration that induced 50% cell viability in comparison with DMSO control, which was calculated by nonlinear regression analysis (Prism, GraphPad 5.0).

Cell-cycle assay

Cells were fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol in −20°C for overnight. The next day, cells were washed with PBS twice, treated with RNase A (325 µg/mL) at 37°C for 30 minutes, and then stained with propidium iodide (50 µg/mL) at 4°C for 20 minutes. DNA contents were analyzed by flow cytometry.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as described in our previous studies (8, 16). Primary antibodies used for our study were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology except β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich). To quantify the intensity of the Western blot bands, MATLAB (2013b) software was used. First, Western blot images were loaded by a command "imread" that returns an array containing image data (RGB value of each pixel in the image). Then, "find" function was used to recognize pixels for band by defining RGB values that are less than intensity of given bound (120 in the range of 0-255). Numbers of selected pixels were derived from this analysis.

Phosphopeptide immunoprecipitation

Phosphotyrosine (pY) peptides were purified using PhoshoScan pTyr100 (Cell Signaling Technology) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, cells were treated with dasatinib (0.5 µmol/L) or vehicle control (DMSO) for 3 hours in duplicate, and then whole-cell extracts were prepared by denaturing lysis buffer containing 8 mol/L urea, 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 8.0), supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors (1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, 2.5 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, and 1 mmol/L β-glycerophosphate), followed by sonication on ice. Extracted proteins (75 mg each of control and dasatinib for H2286 cells and 70 mg each for HCC366 cells) were then reduced with 4.5 mmol/L DTT and alkylated with 10 mmol/L iodoacetamide. Trypsin digestion was carried out at room temperature overnight, and resulting tryptic peptides were then acidified with 1% trifluoroacetic acid and desalted with C18 Sep-Pak cartridges according to the manufacturer’s procedure. Peptides were lyophilized and then dissolved in immune-affinity purification (IAP) buffer containing 50 mmol/L MOPS (pH 7.2), 10 mmol/L sodium phosphate, and 50 mmol/L sodium chloride. pY peptides were immunoprecipitated with immobilized pH100 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C, followed by three washes with IAP buffer and two washes with H2O. pY peptides were eluted from beads twice with 0.15% trifluoroacetic acid, and the volume was reduced to 20 µL via vacuum centrifugation. Before MS analysis, 100 fmol of horse myoglobin tryptic peptides were spiked in each sample to normalize quantification variation between MS runs. LC/MS-MS and statistical analysis of MS data are described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.
Generation of protein–protein interaction network for dasatinib-regulated pY proteins

pY proteins differentially regulated by dasatinib in both cell lines (n = 73) were input into Cytoscape (version 2.8.3; http://cytoscape.org/; ref. 17). Protein–protein interactions (PPI) between nodes were then imported using BisoGenet plug-in (version 1.4; SysBiomics database; ref. 18). The shapes of each node were determined by functional annotation via MetaCore (http://portal.genego.com; ref. 19), and the direction of changes after dasatinib (upregulation, downregulation) was presented in unique color. The direction of change for proteins with multiple phosphopeptide data points was determined by majority voting.

Drug screening and synergy assessment

Viability assays were performed in 384-well microtiter plates in biologic and technical duplicates. Viability was evaluated using the CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega), and luminescence was read on a SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices). Cells were seeded at a density of 1,000 cells/well. Drugs were added after 24 hours, and cells were incubated for another 72 hours. For the synergy screen, dasatinib (at 0 and 0.1 μmol/L, respectively) and each secondary drug (at 0.5 and 2.5 μmol/L, respectively) were used. For determining threedimensional dose–response surfaces, dasatinib concentrations ranged from 8 μmol/L to 0.031 μmol/L in 4-fold dilutions. Concentrations of all other drugs started at 10 μmol/L and decreased in 4-fold increments to 0.039 μmol/L. Drug combination effects were evaluated by the Bliss Model of Independence (20), setting the cutoff for depiction to 1 SD. Independently, we applied the Chou–Talalay method using CompuSyn software (21). Combination index values for the replicates were averaged and corrected for the 95% confidence intervals. The resulting corrected average combination index values were transformed to pCI values by generating the negative log in analogy to calculate pH values.
Mutational analysis of DDR2 in lung SCC tumor samples

Tumor tissues were collected as part of the Total Cancer Care protocol (22), and approved by the University of South Florida Institutional Review Board (Tampa, FL). Patients gave informed consent before enrollment in the Total Cancer Care protocol. Tumor tissues were snap frozen following lobectomy. All tissues contained more than 75% tumor cells examined by light microscopy. For DDR2 mutation analysis, tumor samples were subjected to genomic capture (performed by BGI, using SureSelect custom designs targeting 1,321 genes; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and massively parallel sequencing (performed by BGI using GAIX, Illumina, Inc.). Sequences were aligned to the hs37d5 human reference with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (23). Duplicate identification, insertion/deletion realignment, quality score recalibration, and variant identification were performed with PICARD (http://picard.sourceforge.net/) and the Genome Analysis Tool Kit (24). Sequence variants were annotated with ANNOVAR (PMC2938201) and visualized with mutation ID, an in-house display tool. Potential protein-altering mutations were then identified in lung tumor samples. Those seen in the 1,000 Genomes project were ignored as likely inherited germline variants. Sequence alignments were manually inspected with SAMtools tview (25) to remove artifact or low-quality mutations. Samples without any detectable DDR2 mutations were also identified for comparison studies.

Results

Characterization of phenotypic effects of dasatinib in DDR2-mutant lung cancer cell lines

We studied two DDR2-mutant lung SCC cell lines, the H2286 cell harboring a I638F mutation in the kinase domain and the HCC366 cell harboring a L239R mutation (7). We observed that dasatinib impaired viability of both DDR2-mutant cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (IC50 of 80.9 nmol/L and 189.0 nmol/L for H2286 and HCC366, respectively; Fig. 1A; see also Supplementary Fig. S1). However, maximum inhibition of cell viability was only between approximately 50% and 60% at physiologically relevant dasatinib concentrations. We observed marginally increased apoptosis in H2286 (PARP cleavage), and cell-cycle arrest and p27 induction were observed after dasatinib exposure in both cell lines (Fig. 1B and C). Oncogenic driver RTKs in lung cancer (e.g., EGFR, EML4-ALK) promote cancer cell growth and survival via activation of two major downstream signaling pathways, RAF-MEK-ERK and PI3K-AKT (26, 27). In addition, because DDR2 stimulation by collagen activates the two SCC cell lines studied, despite our ability to detect phosphorylated peptides corresponding to DDR2 in either of the two SCC cell lines, which harbor activating mutations in EGFR (exon 19 deletion) and ALK (EML4-ALK fusion), respectively (Fig. 1D). These results raised two possibilities: (i) dasatinib inactivates downstream survival signals distinct from classic oncogenic RTKs and/or (ii) other RTKs compensate for the inhibition of dasatinib targets, thereby maintaining phosphorylation of ERK and AKT.

Phosphoproteomics reveals increased RTK activation following dasatinib exposure

As a multitargeted kinase inhibitor, dasatinib is currently under clinical investigation in several human cancers, including lung cancer (12, 13, 29). We previously characterized comprehensive signaling pathways targeted by dasatinib in a panel of lung cancer cell lines lacking DDR2 mutations (8). Because signal transduction mediated by tyrosine phosphorylation plays a key role in cancer cell growth and survival, we characterized altered tyrosine phosphorylation after exposing DDR2-mutant lung SCC cells with dasatinib to gain further insight into dasatinib’s action (30, 31). Our previous pharmacokinetic study in human lung cancer patients identified a $C_{\text{max}}$ of dasatinib ranging from 100 to 300 ng/mL (204–615 nmol/L; ref. 12); thus 500 nmol/L was chosen for phosphoproteomics experiments. H2286 and HCC366 cells were exposed to dasatinib (500 nmol/L, 3 hours) or vehicle control (DMSO), and then tyrosine-phosphorylated peptides were purified by immunoaffinity capturing using anti-pY antibodies coupled with LC/MS-MS as performed in our previous studies (Fig. 2; refs. 8, 16). From this approach, we identified a total of 543 and 636 unique pY peptides from H2286 and HCC366, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). As part of quality control (QC), pY peptides identified only in one or two replicates (out of total of eight LC/MS-MS per cell line) were removed; thus pY peptides identified in at least three replicates were selected for further analysis. Next, the results from the two-sample t test for each pY peptides were used to identify differentially phosphorylated peptides after adjusting for multiple comparison using q-value of 20% (see Supplementary Materials and Methods), resulting in 207 pY peptides (corresponding to 173 pY proteins) and 259 pY peptides (corresponding to 195 pY proteins) from H2286 and HCC366, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). We named these pY peptides as “dasatinib-regulated pY peptides” and the corresponding pY proteins as “dasatinib-regulated pY proteins.” We further filtered out dasatinib-regulated pY proteins identified only in one cell line, focusing on those identified in both cell lines (73 unique pY proteins; Supplementary Table S3). To gain an architectural view of dasatinib-regulated pY signaling in DDR2-mutant lung cancer cells, the resulting 73 pY proteins were mapped to the BisoGenet PPI network (18).

The PPI network revealed interaction hubs of reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of SFKs, including SRC and Lyn, which were connected to their targets BCR1 (also called p130 Cas), SHB, and NEDD9 (Fig. 3A; refs. 8, 32, 33). This is consistent with our previous pY profiling, which showed that dasatinib led to decreased phosphorylation of SFKs and their targets (8). Interestingly, we were unable to detect tyrosine phosphorylated peptides corresponding to DDR2 in either of the two SCC cell lines studied, despite our ability to detect these peptides in previous studies of lung and sarcoma cell lines (8, 16). These results are consistent with recent studies showing low levels of tyrosine phosphorylation of I638F DDR2
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mutations in engineered HEK293 cells (15). Unexpectedly, the network also centered increased tyrosine phosphorylated RTKs, including EGFR, IGF1R, and ERBB2/HER2. We similarly observed increased tyrosine phosphorylation of Grb-associated Binder 1 (GAB1), insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS2), and fibroblast growth receptor substrate 2 (FRS2), which are downstream adaptor proteins for a panel of various RTKs (34–36). Inspection of extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) for pY peptides was performed to verify these findings. Although dasatinib reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of Grb-associated Binder 1 (GAB1), insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS2), and fibroblast growth receptor substrate 2 (FRS2), which are downstream adaptor proteins for a panel of various RTKs as well as increased tyrosine phosphorylation of downstream adaptor molecules (Fig. 3B and Table 1). We extended EIC analysis to other RTKs, including MET and AXL, and found that dasatinib increased EIC of pY peptides for these RTKs in both cell lines as well. For further validation, we performed targeted multiple reaction monitoring for selected pY peptide (39) and verified that dasatinib leads to increased tyrosine phosphorylation of IGF1R and EGFR (Supplementary Fig. S2). Together, our pY profiling revealed decreased tyrosine phosphorylation of predominantly SFK targets as well as provided evidence of secondary activation of RTKs following dasatinib exposure.

Small-molecule screening identifies RTK inhibitors that synergize with dasatinib

Recent studies have reported that ablation of key survival signaling molecules often activate secondary survival mechanisms that compensate for loss of survival signaling, further providing rationale for drug combination (40, 41). We thus systematically assessed drug combinations that could enhance dasatinib efficacy in lung cancer cells with DDR2 mutations. We screened 180 targeted small-molecule compounds in combination with dasatinib (0 or 0.1 μmol/L) in H2286 and HCC366 cells and examined cell viability. Intriguingly, this specifically highlighted TKIs targeting RTKs whose tyrosine phosphorylation was enhanced by dasatinib identified through our mass
spectrometry analysis: IGF1R inhibitors (BMS-754807, GSK1838705A, linsitinib), EGFR/HER2 inhibitors (erlotinib, lapatinib), and MET/AXL inhibitors (crizotinib, cabozantinib). In H2286 cells, most of the above TKIs showed at least additive effects when combined with dasatinib (ratio > 1), whereas some drug combination showed marginal effects in HCC366 cells (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Table S4). We therefore evaluated the observed positive drug cooperativity in more detail by generating three-dimensional dose–response matrices that are delineated by the individual single drugs. Target inhibition of these TKIs was validated by Western blotting (Supplementary Fig. S3). The subsequent analyses using the Bliss Model of Independence (20) indicated that MET/AXL and IGF1R inhibitors in combination with dasatinib showed pronounced synergistic effects at most doses in both cell lines (with the exception of dasatinib + crizotinib in HCC366), but also suggested some weak synergy between dasatinib and the EGFR/HER2 inhibitor lapatinib (Fig. 4B and C and and Supplementary Table S5). Independent analysis using combination index (CI) method reported by Chou—Talalay (21) validated these assessments. Consistently, c-targeting IGF1R or MET/AXL with dasatinib showed pronounced synergistic effects at most doses, whereas EGFR/HER2 TKI showed less positive cooperativity with dasatinib (Supplementary Fig. S4). We next tested whether these combinations could lead to decreased oncogenic downstream signals of RTKs, pERK, and pAKT, as well as global tyrosine phosphorylation. In H2286 cells, cotargeting MET/AXL with dasatinib significantly reduced pERK, pAKT, and global tyrosine phosphorylation (pY100), and cotargeting IGF1R showed the most pronounced reductions in HCC366 cells (Fig. 4D and E). Notably, these results are correlated with the drug synergy analysis that showed that crizotinib (MET TKI) and BMS-754807 (IGF1R TKI) were strongly synergistic with dasatinib in H2286 and HCC366, respectively (Fig. 4B and C). Collectively, our drug screening showed that combined targeting of RTKs, especially...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pY site</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Autocatalysis site (Y/N)</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H2286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1092</td>
<td>YSSDPTGALTEDSIDOTFLPVPEyNQSVPK</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pS1166/pY1172</td>
<td>GSHQlsLDNPqDYQDFPPK</td>
<td>N/Y</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1110</td>
<td>RPAgsvqnpwYHNQPLnpaspsr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1197</td>
<td>Gstaenaeylr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.0272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAB1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY259</td>
<td>APSASVdsslylNLPR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY373</td>
<td>TASDTDSyeCiptagmspsr</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY406</td>
<td>DassQOCyDIPR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY659</td>
<td>SSGSGSSVADERVLYVWDDQK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBB2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1023</td>
<td>SLEdddmGLVDAeEyLVPQOQFCCPDPAGGMVHR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY787</td>
<td>LLIDETEyHAGGKVPIK</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−8.20</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1161/pY1165</td>
<td>DLyETDyYrK</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1161</td>
<td>DLyETDyyr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1165</td>
<td>DLYETDyYr</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY598</td>
<td>QRPVPQPSASLDEyTLMR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY675</td>
<td>SDDyMPMSpASvpsak</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY742</td>
<td>ASSPAESSPEDSgyMR</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1234/5</td>
<td>DMyDKeEySVHnk</td>
<td>Y/Y</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY698</td>
<td>KlyNGDyyr</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1092</td>
<td>YSSDPTGALTEDSIDOTFLPVPEyNQSVPK</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pS1166/pY1172</td>
<td>GSHQlsLDNPqDYQDFPPK</td>
<td>N/Y</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY869</td>
<td>LLGAAEKEyHAEGGKVPIK</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−11.91</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAB1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY659</td>
<td>SSGSGSSVADERVLYVWDDQK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERBB2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1023</td>
<td>SLEdddmGLVDAeEyLVPQOQFCCPDPAGGMVHR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1248</td>
<td>GAPPSTFKGTPAENPEyLGLDVPV</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY877</td>
<td>LLIDETEyHADGKKVPIK</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−63.28</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF1R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY1161</td>
<td>DLyETDyyr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY598</td>
<td>QRPVPQPSASLDEyTLMR</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY653</td>
<td>SSSSLGADDgyMPMTPGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY766</td>
<td>LLPNGDyLVSPSDAVTTG</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY978</td>
<td>SPLSDyMNLDFSSPK</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pS988/pY1003</td>
<td>SvSPTTemVSNESVDyR</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT992/pY1003</td>
<td>SVSPTEmVSNESVDyR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY702/pY703</td>
<td>IYNGDyyrRGR</td>
<td>N/Y</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY759</td>
<td>GQTPYPyGVESEyLYLR</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>−1.79</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Fold change indicates the intensity of EIC after dasatinib treatment. Phosphorylated amino acids are shown in lowercase letters.
IGF1R and MET/AXL, could enhance the efficacy of dasatinib in DDR2-mutant lung SCC cells.

**Growth factor-driven resistance to dasatinib**

We described that dasatinib led to increased tyrosine phosphorylation in a panel of RTKs, including EGFR and MET, and that dual dasatinib and select RTK inhibitors were synergistic. These results indicate that survival signals induced by these RTKs could be redundant with those driven by dasatinib targets. It has been reported that RTK ligands confer drug resistance to kinase inhibitors in kinase-addicted cancer cells, raising the possibility of ligand-induced dasatinib resistance (42, 43). We thus tested whether the efficacy of dasatinib could be impaired by exogenous RTK ligands. We focused on RTKs whose tyrosine phosphorylation was enhanced upon dasatinib treatment (EGFR, MET, IGF1R, and AXL). Cells were concomitantly treated with dasatinib in combination with EGF, HGF, IGF1, and GAS6, ligands for EGFR, MET, IGF1R, and AXL, respectively. Among these RTK ligands, EGFR showed the most pronounced rescue effect in both cell lines (Fig. 5A and B). HGF rescued only HCC366, consistent with our observation that HGF failed to stimulate MET in H2286 (Supplementary Fig. S5).
EGF impaired p27 induction after dasatinib exposure in both cell lines, as did HGF in HCC366, indicating that RTK stimulation relieved cell-cycle arrest by dasatinib (Fig. 5C). We failed to observe any rescue effects of IGF1 and GAS6, despite their ability to induce receptor activation. Collectively, these data suggest that cross-talk between dasatinib targets and various other RTKs contribute to signaling for cancer cell survival; in addition, exogenous ligands could prime dasatinib resistance in DDR2-mutant lung cancer cells.

Evidence of intrinsic dasatinib-resistant mechanism by EGFR and MET in human lung SCC tissue

We next asked whether these RTKs that can drive dasatinib resistance exist in human lung cancer tissues harboring DDR2 mutations. Studies have shown that growth factors generated from the tumor microenvironment can support cancer cell survival, further inducing drug resistance by potentiating RTK activity in cancer cells (44–46). Cancer cells typically express multiple growth factor receptors (e.g., EGFR, MET); thus, it is possible that stroma-driven intrinsic RTK activities and mutational DDR2 could provide growth and survival signaling in a cooperative manner. To support this idea, we analyzed intrinsic RTK activity in lung SCC tumors via tyrosine phosphorylation of EGFR, MET, IGF1R, and AXL in primary human lung SCC samples with defined DDR2 mutational status (n = 4; Fig. 6A). Notably, two lung SCC tissues expressed high levels of both total and phospho-EGFR (tumor b and c), with one observed sample having high MET in total and phospho-level (tumor d; Fig. 6B). We could not observe clear evidence of IGF1R and AXL phosphorylation in tumors tested. These data suggest that a subgroup of DDR2-mutant lung cancers expressing high levels of RTK activation could contribute to reduced efficacy of targeted therapy against DDR2.

Discussion

Our approach focusing on tyrosine phosphoproteomics was utilized to dissect oncogenic signaling through analyses of differentially regulated pY sites following dasatinib treatment in DDR2-mutant lung SCC cell lines. RTKs activate their downstream signals by forming a signaling complex composed of adaptor molecules, including GRB2, GAB1, SHC1 (for activation of MAPK signaling), and PI3K (for PI3K-AKT signaling). Our pY profiling, however, showed that tyrosine phosphorylation of SHC1 and PIK3 was not significantly affected by dasatinib, suggesting that dasatinib inhibits downstream signals through a mechanism distinct from classic oncogenic RTK inhibition. This is consistent with our data showing that dasatinib induced only a modest reduction in pERK in DDR2-mutant cells unlike other TKIs in EGFR and EML4-ALK systems (Fig. 1D). Instead, the majority of reduced tyrosine phosphorylation was associated with reduced activity of SFK and its downstream substrates.

The most notable finding from our study is that dasatinib led to increased tyrosine phosphorylation of a panel of RTKs (EGFR, MET, IGF1R, AXL) and their downstream adaptors,

---

Figure 5. Ligands for RTKs attenuate dasatinib efficacy. A and B, effect of RTK ligands in dasatinib-mediated cell viability reduction. H2286 (A) and HCC366 (B) cells were concomitantly treated with dasatinib and indicated ligands (50 ng/mL for EGF, IGF1, and HGF and 800 ng/mL for GAS6) and then incubated for 72 hours before cell viability assay. Representative triplicates ± SD are presented, which showed similar results at least three times. C, p27 expression after dasatinib only or dasatinib (0.5 μmol/L) in combination with RTK ligands (50 ng/mL). Whole-cell extracts were prepared 24 hours after treatment.
including GAB1 and IRS2. This suggested the presence of RTK-driven adaptive resistance mechanisms following dasatinib. Growth factor RTK signaling is tightly regulated by negative-feedback pathways, which limit overstimulation of RTK itself or its downstream signaling molecules. Thus, targeting growth factor signaling blocks oncogenic signaling, but also relieves the negative-feedback mechanism, which leads to compensatory activation of parallel RTKs and adaptive drug resistance (47). Compensatory RTK activation has been repeatedly observed from our previous phosphoproteomics approaches. Profiling tyrosine phosphorylation of lung cancer cells using Src-homolog-2 (SH2) domains revealed evidence of increased tyrosine phosphorylation following EGFR TKI (48). Similarly, erlotinib (EGFR TKI) increased tyrosine phosphorylation of MET and IRS2 in EGFR-mutant cells (49). KRAS-mutant lung cancer cells adapt to knockdown of serine/threonine kinase TBK1 via increased tyrosine phosphorylation of RTKs, including EGFR and MET (50). These findings suggest that the compensatory RTK activation attenuates kinase inhibitor efficacy, suggesting that cotargeting these adaptive RTKs could lead to synergistic effects. This notion was supported by results showing that dual targeting of these RTKs caused a synergistic effect with dasatinib and that ligand stimulation of RTKs decreased the efficacy of dasatinib. It is possible that the intrinsic RTK activity in the tumors could be primed under certain conditions such as the presence of dasatinib combined with aberrant stromal activation, possibly leading to drug resistance. This is in accordance with our results showing that stimulation of EGFR by EGF rescued loss of cell viability by dasatinib. The underlying mechanism whereby dasatinib leads to increased RTK activity in these DDR2-mutant cells remains unclear. Although previous studies have shown upregulation of RTK expression following targeted kinase inhibition (40, 41), this may not be the case for our results. The former studies showed transcriptional upregulation of RTKs following prolonged exposure of drugs (>24 hours), while we observed increased tyrosine phosphorylation in RTKs after 3 hours of dasatinib exposure. Dasatinib did not affect the total level of RTKs in this time frame; thus it appears that dasatinib turns on RTK activity via an unknown mechanism. Possibilities include increased ligand production by tumor cells (e.g., EGF, HGF) or decreased activity of tyrosine phosphatases targeting oncogenic RTKs as has been reported for PTPN12 (51). In our study, dasatinib significantly reduced tyrosine phosphorylation in a panel of tyrosine phosphatases, including PTPN6 (−4.26 fold at Y564 in HCC366 cells) and PTPN11 (−1.9 fold at Y62 in H2286 cells; Supplementary Table S2), providing a possibility of cotargeting of tyrosine phosphatases to suppress compensatory RTK activation.

A recent study analyzed global tyrosine phosphorylation changes after collagen stimulation, a well-established DDR2

Figure 6. RTK activation in human lung SCC tissues harboring DDR2 mutations. A, DDR2 missense mutations in primary lung SCC samples. Mutational status of H2286 and HCC366 cells are also indicated. TM, transmembrane. B, whole-cell extracts were prepared from four tumor samples or the two cell lines with DDR2 mutations and then subjected to Western blotting for phospho- and total RTK antibodies.
ligand, in HEEK293 cells ectopically expressing DDR2 (15). This study offered us an opportunity to identify overlap between select tyrosine phosphorylations specifically driven by DDR2 and decreased pY proteins following dasatinib treatment. One notable pY protein whose phosphorylation was increased after DDR2 stimulation (by collagen) and decreased by dasatinib was NCK1 (noncatalytic region of tyrosine kinase 1), an oncogenic adaptor molecule containing a C-terminal SH2 and three N-terminal SH3 domains (~5.38 fold at Y112 in H2286 cells; Supplementary Table S2). Like other SH2/SH3 domain-containing proteins, NCK1 associates with tyrosine phosphorylated growth factor RTKs via its SH2 domain, subsequently is tyrosine phosphorylated, and then bridges RTKs to proline-rich downstream effectors via the SH3 domain. Functionally diverse downstream effectors of NCK1 exist (52), including SOS GTP-exchange factor (GEF) for Ras activation (53), which suggests that NCK1 potentially links DDR2 to MAPK signaling. Other NCK1 downstream molecules include p21-activated kinases and associated GTPase proteins such as Cdc42 and Rac1 (54, 55). These suggest that DDR2 would be also linked to Rho family GTPase signal leading to cellular proliferation or cytoskeleton reorganization. Further investigation of NCK1 function in DDR2 survival signal (e.g., via NCK1 knockdown experiments or mapping the physical PPI for DDR2 and NCK1) may provide further insight into DDR2 survival signaling.

Dasatinib has been approved for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia and Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Because of its broad efficacy against multiple oncogenic kinases, including SFEK and a panel of RTKs, clinical efficacy of dasatinib is currently being explored in several solid tumors, including NSCLC (12, 13, 29). Here, we revealed the potential RTK-driven adaptive resistant mechanisms for dasatinib in DDR2-mutant lung SCC cells through characterizing dasatinib-regulated global tyrosine phosphorylation. This indicates that cotargeting of compensatory RTKs could be beneficial, with our findings leading to better designed future clinical trials for SCC lung cancer harboring DDR2 mutations.
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