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Oncogenic KRAS Confers Chemoresistance by Upregulating NRF2
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Abstract

Oncogenic KRAS mutations found in 20% to 30% of all non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLC) are associated with chemoresistance and poor prognosis. Here we demonstrate that activation of the cell protective stress response gene NRF2 by KRAS is responsible for its ability to promote drug resistance. RNAi-mediated silencing of NRF2 was sufficient to reverse resistance to cisplatin elicited by ectopic expression of oncogenic KRAS in NSCLC cells. Mechanistically, KRAS increased NRF2 gene transcription through a TPA response element (TRE) located in a regulatory region in exon 1 of NRF2. In a mouse model of mutant KrasG12D-induced lung cancer, we found that suppressing the NRF2 pathway with the chemical inhibitor brusatol enhanced the antitumor efficacy of cisplatin. Cotreatment reduced tumor burden and improved survival. Our findings illuminate the mechanistic details of KRAS-mediated drug resistance and provide a preclinical rationale to improve the management of lung tumors harboring KRAS mutations with NRF2 pathway inhibitors. Cancer Res; 74(24); 7430–41. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

The Ras genes encode a family of membrane-associated 21-kDa GTP-binding proteins, including HRAS, KRAS, and NRAS that control cell growth, differentiation, and apoptosis. By switching from the GTP-bound active form to the GDP-bound inactive form, RAS proteins function as a molecular switch to turn on or off their downstream effectors (1, 2). Although each of the 3 Ras genes can be mutated in human cancers, KRAS mutations are the most common. Oncogenic KRAS mutations occur in approximately 30% of all cancer types and in 20% to 30% of non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLC; ref. 3). These oncogenic mutations frequently occur as point mutations in codons 12, 13, or 61, each resulting in a protein with impaired GTPase activity and, therefore, constitutive activation of RAS signaling (3, 4). A large body of literature has reported that cancers with oncogenic KRAS mutations are resistant to anticancer drug treatments and thus patients with these malignancies have poor prognoses (5–9). Mechanistically, chemoresistance may be explained by (i) mutation or overexpression of a therapeutically targeted protein, (ii) inactivation of the drug, (iii) reduced drug uptake, (iv) enhanced efflux of the drug, or (v) the recovery of drug-induced DNA lesions by DNA repair enzymes (10).

NRF2 is a transcription factor that regulates the antioxidant response by inducing the expression of genes bearing an antioxidant response element (ARE) in their regulatory regions. Activation of the NRF2 pathway promotes cell survival during oxidative stress or xenobiotic insult (11–14). Importantly, many of the NRF2 target genes, including drug-metabolizing enzymes, antioxidant enzymes, and drug transporters, play a crucial role in determining drug resistance (14). Examples of NRF2 target genes that may confer enhanced drug processing include glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCLC/GCLM), thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1), aldo-keto reductase (AKR), glutathione S-transferase (GST), multidrug resistance–associated protein 2 (MRP2), NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1).

It has been demonstrated that NRF2 has a dual role in cancer. First, NRF2 is involved in chemoprevention. Oxidative stress is implicated in the initiation and progression of cancer. Under oxidative stress, NRF2 induces the transcription of hundreds of cellular protective genes to combat potentially carcinogenic reactive intermediates. As evidence for this protective role, many chemopreventive compounds have been identified as NRF2 activators (11–15), and Nrf2-null mice are highly susceptible to chemical carcinogens and are no longer protected by chemopreventive compounds (16, 17). Second, recent findings point to a “dark side” of NRF2 that promotes cancer (18). Many studies have shown that cancers can harbor somatic mutations in NRF2, KEAP1, or CUL3 that disrupt the KEAP1-mediated
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negative regulation of NRF2, resulting in a constitutive high level of NRF2 (19–22), which correlates with chemoresistance in cancer cells (13, 18, 23–26). Discovery of the cancer-promoting activity of NRF2 has prompted us to identify compounds that inhibit the NRF2 pathway (27). We previously identified a potent NRF2 pathway inhibitor, brusatol, which inhibits the NRF2-mediated protective response at subnanomolar concentrations. Brusatol treatment also enhances the efficacy of chemotherapeutics in an NRF2-dependent manner in both cell culture and murine A549 xenograft models.

Previous studies have demonstrated that NRF2 is primarily regulated at the protein level by the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS). Under physiologic conditions, NRF2 levels are low in all organs due to tight regulation by KEAP1, a substrate adaptor protein for a Cullin3-based E3 ubiquitin ligase (28–31). Under these basal conditions, this E3 ligase constantly targets NRF2 for ubiquitylation and subsequent proteasomal degradation. Upon activation of the pathway by oxidative or electrophilic stress, the enzymatic activity of the E3 ligase is inhibited, resulting in stabilization of NRF2 and transcriptional activation of its target genes (13). Recently, another E3 ubiquitin ligase, β-TrCP-Skp1-Cull-Rbx1, was also found to ubiquitylate Nrf2 (32–34). In addition, we have identified another E3 ubiquitin ligase, HRD1, that compromises the NRF2-mediated cytoprotective mechanism during the pathogenesis of liver cirrhosis (35). All these studies indicate that NRF2 is controlled at the protein level through protein stability modulation. Furthermore, many NRF2 modulators, including small molecules and endogenous proteins, upregulate NRF2 signaling by increasing the stability and thus the protein level of NRF2 without affecting its mRNA level (13). Interestingly, a recent study reported in a murine model a 1.6-fold increase in the mRNA level of Nrf2 in response to activation of oncogenic alleles of Kras<sup>G12D</sup>, B-Raf<sup>V619E</sup>, and c-Myc<sup>ERT12</sup> (36). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying increased Nr2 transcription were not reported. In the current study, we provide strong evidence that oncogenic KRAS transcriptionally upregulates the mRNA levels of NRF2 through a TRE enhancer located in the proximal promoter of NRF2. More importantly, we show that inhibition of the NRF2 pathway by brusatol was able to overcome KRAS-mediated chemoresistance and thus enhanced the efficacy of cisplatin.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cell culture

Human bronchial epithelial (HBE) cells were obtained from Dr. Dieter Gruenert, whose laboratory generated and characterized them (37); the rest were purchased from the ATCC, characterized (37); the rest were purchased from the ATCC, or otherwise described (38). Approximately 1.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> NCI-H292 cells per well were seeded in a 96-well plate and transfected with either siRNA or vectors expressing Kras<sup>WT</sup>, Kras<sup>G12D</sup>, or Kras<sup>DN</sup> before treatment with the indicated concentrations of cisplatin for 48 hours. Experiments were done in triplicates.

mRNA extraction and real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR

Total mRNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized using equal amounts of mRNA and the Transcriptor...
first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Promega). The detailed TaqMan probe and primer sequences can be seen in the Supplementary Materials and Methods. Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was performed as previously described (38). Reactions for each sample were done in duplicate, and the experiment was repeated three times. Results are expressed as relative mRNA levels normalized to GAPDH.

Quantification of cDNA amounts for Nrf2, Keap1, Nqo1, Akrb1b10, Akron1c1, Gclm, Hmox1, and β-actin from tissues was performed with KAPA SYBR FASR qPCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems). All primer sets were designed with Primer 3 free online software and synthesized by Sigma. Sequences can be found in the Supplementary Materials and Methods. The RT-PCR was performed as previously described (38). All reporter gene and RT-PCR analyses were done in duplicates and repeated in three independent experiments.

Immunoblot analysis

Protein expression from cell lines and lung tissues was assessed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting as described previously (38). For details on sample collection, see Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Apoptotic cell death (TUNEL)

Briefly, lung epithelial tissue sections were pretreated with proteinase K (15 μg/mL) in 10 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.8) at 37°C for 30 minutes, and an in situ cell death detection kit (Roche) was used for detecting apoptotic cell death according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Tissue sections were then stained with Hoechst and analyzed with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Observer.Z1 microscope with the Slidebook software).

Materials and antibodies

Cisplatin and U0126 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies as well as primary antibodies against NRF2, KEAP1, p-ERK, ERK, KRAS, GCLM, HMOX1, AKRB1B10, AKR1C1, NQO1, KI67, Lamin-A, and GAPDH were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Primary antibody against γ-H2A(x) was purchased from Bethyl Laboratories, Inc. Primary antibody against 8-dihydro-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dG) from Trevigen.

Experimental animals

Six-week-old C57BL6 LSL-KrasG12D mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. The C58SPCre mice were reported previously (39–41). C58SPCre/LSL-KrasG12D mice were generated by crossing breeding a mouse harboring the LSL-KrasG12D allele with a mouse containing Cre recombinase inserted into the Clara cell secretory protein (CCSP) locus. All mice were housed in specific, pathogen-free conditions and handled in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care policies. Mice were intrauterine injected with cisplatin and brusatol for both short- and long-term treatments. Tissues were harvested at the indicated time points and the mice were monitored daily for evidence of disease or death.

Tissue collection, hematoxylin and eosin staining, and immunohistochemistry

Lung tissues were isolated at the indicated time points (Fig. 4A). After images were collected, surface tumors were weighted and counted using a dissecting microscope. One half of the lung was directly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for total RNA extraction and for immunoblot analysis, and the other half was fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Five-micrometer sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis was performed as previously described (38).

Oxidative DNA damage

A monoclonal antibody against 8-Oxop7, 8-oxo-dG (Trevigen) was used for the detection of oxidative DNA damage. The staining was performed as previously described (42).

Detection of mRNA level in the fresh tumor lung tissue slides by double-stranded locked nucleic acid probes

Four locked nucleic acid (LNA) probes were designed as previously described (43) to detect the relative gene expression levels of Nrf2, Hmox1, Nqo1, and Akrb1c1 in both tumor and normal lung tissues. A β-actin probe and a random probe were designed as the positive and negative controls. The gold nanorod (GNR)–LNA complex solution including 0.1 μmol/L LNA probe and 2.5 × 1014 GNR/mL was added to the lung slices in 24-well plates. After incubation for 8 hours at 37°C, the slides were washed with PBS three times and imaged with an inverted fluorescence microscope with an HQ2 CCD camera. Data collection and imaging analysis were performed in ImageJ.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as the mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments performed in duplicates or triplicates. Statistical tests were performed using SPSS 10.0. Unpaired Student t tests were used to compare the means of two groups. One-way ANOVA was applied to compare the means of three or more groups. P < 0.05 was deemed significant.

Results

Expression of oncogenic KRAS enhances cisplatin resistance by upregulating the NRF2-mediated protective response

To show that chemoresistance observed in tumors expressing oncogenic KRAS is associated with activation of NRF2 signaling, we first compared the NRF2 protein level with that of the phosphorylated form of the ERK (p-ERK; a readout of KRAS activation) in several lung cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S2). Their response to cisplatin-mediated toxicity was measured and LD50 values are listed (Supplementary Table S1). The coding regions of NRF2 and KRAS were sequenced and the status of each gene is listed; the mutation information of NRF2 and KRAS were obtained from the literature (Supplementary Table S1). By combining the results in Supplementary Fig. S2 with the information
in Supplementary Table S1, we selected a human lung epithelial carcinoma cell line (NCI-H292) and an immortalized but not transformed HBE cell line for further studies. Both NCI-H292 and HBE have no mutations in KEAP1, NRF2, TP53, or KRAS and have basal levels of p-ERK (Supplementary Fig. S2). Overexpression of KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> or, to a lesser extent, wild-type KRAS (KRAS<sup>WT</sup>) enhanced cell viability in response to cisplatin treatment, whereas overexpression of a dominant-negative KRAS mutant (KRAS<sup>DN</sup>) reduced cell viability in both cell lines (Fig. 1A and C, top). The effect of KRAS overexpression in cisplatin resistance was shown to be NRF2-dependent, as it was lost when NRF2 expression was silenced by siRNA (Fig. 1A and C, bottom). We noticed that the LD<sub>50</sub>, for HBE cells shifted from 9.6 μmol/L (Supplementary Table S1) to 3 μmol/L (Fig. 1C) after transfection with control siRNA, whereas transfection of control siRNA had no effect on the LD<sub>50</sub> of NCI-H292 (LD<sub>50</sub> = 2.3, Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 1A). This might be due to the fact that HBE, a primary cell line, is more sensitive to the transfection reagent compared with the cancer cell line NCI-H292.

As expected, overexpression of KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> and KRAS<sup>WT</sup> activated the ERK pathway as indicated by enhanced p-ERK, whereas total ERK remained the same (Fig. 1B and D).

---

Figure 1. Expression of oncogenic KRAS enhances chemoresistance by upregulating the NRF2-mediated protective response. A and C, overexpression of the oncogenic form of KRAS protected NCI-H292 and HBE cells against cisplatin-mediated cell toxicity in an NRF2-dependent manner. NCI-H292 and HBE cells were transfected with control siRNA (top) or NRF2 siRNA (bottom) for 24 hours, followed by transfection of an empty vector or the indicated KRAS mutant. At 24 hours posttransfection of cDNA, the indicated dose of cisplatin was added and cell viability was measured 48 hours after cisplatin treatment. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (*, P < 0.05, KRAS vs. control group). B and D, a positive relationship between activation of the KRAS pathway and the NRF2 pathway. An aliquot of cells, transfected and treated as described in A and C, was harvested, and cell lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis.
Interestingly, overexpression of KRASG12D and KRASWT also increased the levels of NRF2 and its target genes, GCLM and HMOX1 (Fig. 1B and D), indicating activation of the NRF2 pathway. Conversely, ectopic expression of KRASDN significantly reduced p-ERK, NRF2, GCLM, and HMOX1 levels (Fig. 1B and D). Immunohistochemical analyses confirmed NRF2 silencing by NRF2-siRNA, as expression of NRF2, GCLM, and HMOX1 was dramatically reduced (Fig. 1B and D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the KRAS–ERK pathway positively regulates the NRF2 pathway, indicating that KRAS-mediated cisplatin resistance may be due to activation of the NRF2 pathway.

KrasG12D–induced lung tumor tissues have higher levels of NRF2 and its target genes

To confirm that oncogenic KRAS upregulates the NRF2 pathway, a murine lung cancer model (LSL-KrasG12D/–) was used. Cre-virus intratracheal infection of LSL KrasG12D/– mice for 8 weeks resulted in multiple lung adenomas. Tumor tissues from each mouse were pooled, and KRAS activation, and the expression of Nrf2 and its target genes in tumor tissues were compared with those in normal lung tissues. As expected, KRAS was activated in tumors, where marked elevation of p-ERK was only observed in tumor but not normal tissues. Comparatively, Erk was expressed equally in tumor versus normal tissues (Fig. 2A). Remarkably, the expression of Nrf2 and its target genes Akrilb10, Akrlc1, Gclm, and Nqo1 was dramatically increased in tumor tissues as compared with the corresponding normal tissues. Notably, the activation of the Nrf2 pathway by oncogenic Kras was more substantial in mice than in cultured cells (compare Fig. 2A with 1B). IHC analyses demonstrated that Nrf2 and Nqo1 were highly expressed in tumors compared with adjacent normal tissues or normal control lungs (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. S4). In addition, the mRNA levels of Nrf2, Hmx1, Nqo1, Gclm, Akrilb10, and Akrlc1 were significantly higher in tumor than in normal tissues, whereas Keap1 mRNA was expressed at a similar level in both tissues (Fig. 2C). To further confirm the real-time RT-PCR data and to visualize the mRNA expression in tumor versus normal tissues, we used our newly developed method, a GNR–LNA complex, for single-cell gene expression detection in living cells and tissues (44). The fluorescence intensities of Nrf2, Nqo1, Hmx1, and Akrlc1 were higher in tumor tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues, whereas the signal for a random probe or β-actin was similar between both (Fig. 2D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that KrasG12D upregulates the Nrf2 pathway by increasing the level of Nrf2 mRNA.

KRAS transcriptionally activates NRF2 through the TRE

To understand how activation of the KRAS–ERK pathway upregulates the NRF2 mRNA levels, we made a series of reporter constructs with truncated Nrf2 regulatory regions cloned upstream of a luciferase gene. KRASG12D and KRASWT, but not KRASDN, significantly enhanced the luciferase activity in all constructs except for construct F5-R1, indicating that potential enhancer sequence(s) are flanked by primers F4 and F5 (+227 to +403; Fig. 3A, left). Similarly, another set of reporter gene analyses localized enhancer sequence(s) to the R3-R4 region (+234 to +343; Supplementary Fig. S3). A computational search for enhancers identified a TRE sequence between +267 and +273. Therefore, the TRE sequence TGCGTCA flanked by 15 nucleotides on both sides was inserted into the luciferase reporter gene vector (TRE, Fig. 3A, right). TRE was upregulated by KRASG12D and KRASWT, not KRASDN (Fig. 3A, right). Next, the TRE core sequence TGCGTCA was mutated to AAGCTCA in both F3-R1 and F4-R1 constructs (F3-R1 Mu and F4-R1 Mu). KRASG12D and KRASWT were no longer able to enhance luciferase activities of F3-R1 Mu and F4-R1 Mu (Fig. 3A, right). These results demonstrate that KRAS upregulates Nrf2 mRNA through the TRE. To confirm that this KRAS-mediated transcriptional upregulation of Nrf2 is through MEK–ERK signaling, an MEK inhibitor U0126 was used. U0126 suppressed p-ERK and inhibited both basal and KRAS-induced Nrf2, GCLM, and HMOX1 protein (Fig. 3B, left) and mRNA levels (Fig. 3B, right).

Brusatol cotreatment reduces tumor burden and enhances survival

To test whether brusatol cotreatment is able to overcome KRAS tumor resistance to cisplatin, an LSL-KrasG12D/– murine lung cancer model was chosen. To generate consistent tumor numbers in the lung, CCSPCre/Cre mice were crossed with LSL-KrasG12D/– to generate CCSPcre/Cre/LSL-KrasG12D/– mice. These mice developed multiple lesions such as atypical adenomatous hyperplasia, adenoma, and adenocarcinoma within 16 weeks of age (39–41). Two sets of experiments were carried out: a short-term treatment experiment, which consisted of one treatment regimen (Fig. 4A, left) to test whether the combination of brusatol with cisplatin could sensitize cancer cells to overcome intrinsic resistance; and a long-term treatment experiment, which consisted of two treatment regimens (Fig. 4A, right) to test the possible role of Nrf2 in long-term cisplatin-induced resistance (acquired resistance), as was previously observed in this model (10). Mice in the untreated control group had median survival of 9 months and died by 10 months (Fig. 4B). In the cisplatin single-agent–treated group, all mice died at 13 months in the short-term studies (right); brusatol single-agent treatment showed similar effects. The survival of the cotreatment group significantly increased in both short- and long-term experiments. Cotreatment increased the median survival from 11.5 months (single agent) to 15 months in the short-term experiment and from 12.5 and 13.5 (single agent) to 15.5 months in the long-term experiment. At the end of our experiments, 20% of the short-term and 40% of the long-term mice in the cotreatment group survived beyond 20 months. Mice were harvested 40 days postinjection in the short-term group or 62 days postinjection in the long-term group for evaluation of the lungs. Morphologic differences were readily apparent in the lung from the treated versus untreated groups. The lungs in the cotreated groups showed the most normal tissue texture (Fig. 4C).
lung weights (Fig. 4D), the lowest number of grossly visible surface tumors (Fig. 4E), and the smallest size of tumors (Fig. 4F and G) in both the short- and the long-term studies. In the short-term studies, only the untreated group had one tumor with a diameter > 5 mm, whereas in the long-term study, there were no tumors > 5 mm in the cotreatment group, but five mice in the control, two mice in the cisplatin group, and three mice in the brusatol group had them (Fig. 4G). Next, we classified the histopathology of lesions observed in different groups (AAH and adenomas vs. adenocarcinomas). The percentage of mice with adenocarcinomas in the cotreatment group was relatively low (Supplementary Table S2).
The number of lesions was lowest in the cotreatment group (Supplementary Fig. S7).

**Brusatol cotreatment enhances the efficacy of cisplatin through Nrf2 inhibition in KrasG12D tumors**

As expected, brusatol treatment markedly suppressed the protein levels of Nrf2, Akr1b10, Akr1c1, Nqo1, and Gclm, without affecting proteins in the Kras pathway, as indicated by p-Erk (Fig. 5A). Cisplatin treatment resulted in a slight increase in p-Erk (Fig. 5A) consistent with previous findings (45). Brusatol also decreased the mRNA levels of Nqo1, Akr1b10, Akr1c1, Hmox1, and Gclm without affecting Nrf2 and Keap1 mRNA levels (Fig. 5B). Brusatol and cisplatin cotreatment significantly reduced tumor volume, as measured by KI67 expression and this was further reduced by cisplatin and brusatol cotreatment. γ-H2AX staining showed that the greatest DNA damage occurred in the tumors of mice cotreated with brusatol and cisplatin. Similarly, oxidative damage was the highest in the tumors of cotreated mice as measured by IHC staining of 8-oxo-dG. Measurement of apoptotic cell death using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) analysis indicated that the largest degree of cell death occurred in the cotreatment group. Collectively, these results demonstrate that brusatol-mediated inhibition of the Nrf2 pathway enhanced the efficacy of cisplatin treatment through reduced cell proliferation, enhanced DNA damage, and increased apoptotic cell death.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we found that oncogenic mutation of KRAS or KRAS overexpression enhanced resistance of cells to...
cisplatin in an NRF2-dependent manner (Fig. 1). We then investigated the molecular mechanism of KRAS-mediated chemoresistance and found a novel mode of NRF2 activation by KRAS. Distinct from the previously defined UPS-mediated NRF2 regulatory mechanisms, we demonstrate that KRAS is able to transcriptionally activate NRF2 though the KRAS–ERK pathway. A TRE enhancer sequence located between +267 and +273 of the NRF2 exon 1 was identified, and its upregulation by oncogenic KRAS was confirmed (Figs. 2 and 3). Using our newly established GNR–LNA technique for detecting single-cell mRNA expression in living tissues, we observed a marked increase in the mRNA level of Nrf2 in lung tumor tissues compared with the adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 2D, Nrf2), further confirming that activation of the Nrf2 pathway by KRAS is through enhanced Nrf2 mRNA expression. Notably, Kras-mediated upregulation of Nrf2 and its target genes was more robust in the in vivo murine system when tumor tissues were compared with normal tissues than in the in vitro cell–based system when different forms of KRAS were ectopically expressed and their effects compared (Figs. 1–3). In addition,
we presented a potential means of mitigating KRAS-induced drug resistance through cotreatment with chemotherapeutics (cisplatin) and an NRF2 inhibitor (brusatol; Figs. 4 and 5).

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in developed countries; it is responsible for about 600,000 deaths in the United States annually, and the incidence and mortality have been steadily increasing. Lung cancer is one of the most commonly diagnosed cancers, comprising 15% to 30% of total cancer cases. In NSCLC, the prevalence of oncogenic KRAS mutations is approximately 20% to 30% (3). Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 15%. The death rate for lung cancer has increased dramatically over the past several decades, even though death rates for other cancer types either remain the same or have decreased. Currently, radiation and platinum-based drugs are the standard treatments (46, 47). However, the toxicity profiles and high rate of relapse with platinum compounds limit both their usefulness and effectiveness.
Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop new adjuvants to enhance the efficacy of platinum-based treatments and circumvent chemoresistance.

Recent studies have clearly demonstrated the association between high NRF2 activity and chemoresistance in cancers. For example, somatic gain-of-function mutations of NRF2 or somatic loss-of-function mutations of either KEAP1 or CULLIN3 (CUL3) are frequently found in lung cancer. KEAP1 mutations were identified at a frequency of 50% (6 of 12) or 19% (10 of 54) in NSCLC cancer cell lines or tumor samples, respectively. In addition, LOH at 19p13.2, where KEAP1 is located, was observed at a frequency of 61% or 41% in NSCLC cell lines (72 samples in total) or in tumor tissues (39 samples in total; ref. 20). In a similar study, somatic mutations were found in 5 of 65 (8%) patients who had adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or large cell carcinoma (21). Another study that looked at the NRF2 and KEAP1 protein levels in 304 NSCLC tissues reported that 26% of the studied cohort had high nuclear NRF2 levels, whereas 56% had low KEAP1 levels (22). Similarly, mutations in NRF2 that disrupt KEAP1-mediated repression can also result in high NRF2 activity. For example, NRF2 mutations were found in 10 of 125 (8.0%) lung cancer cases (48). In this study, we extended the previous findings that link high NRF2 expression in NSCLC with cisplatin resistance in KRAS-positive lung cancers. As demonstrated, KRAS upregulated NRF2 mRNA, which activated NRF2-mediated protective mechanisms, conferring cisplatin resistance.

Inhibiting the NRF2-mediated protective mechanism to enhance the efficacy of cancer therapeutics represents an innovative approach to cancer treatment. As reported previously, we isolated brusatol from Brucia javanica (L.) Merr., a plant native to South-East Asia and Australia, which inhibits NRF2 (27). We previously demonstrated that brusatol was able to sensitize a broad spectrum of cancer cell lines and A549-derived xenografts to many chemotherapeutic drugs in an NRF2-dependent manner (27). Here, we further explored the idea that brusatol can be developed as an adjuvant to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs using a preclinical lung adenocarcinoma model in C57B6/LSL-KrasG12D mice where tumors were induced by oncogenic Kras. Compared with cisplatin or brusatol treatment alone, cotreatment of brusatol and cisplatin significantly reduced the number and the size of the tumors and improved survival (Fig. 4). In addition to intrinsic resistance, we also demonstrated that brusatol is more effective in long-term cisplatin-induced resistance (acquired resistance; Fig. 4). Brusatol cotreatment inhibited the Nrf2 protective mechanism and led to decreased cell proliferation, enhanced oxidative DNA damage, and apoptotic cell death (Fig. 5).

In the current study, we did not observe any adverse effects with the regimens used in the C57BL6 strain. However, higher doses of brusatol were observed to cause a decrease in the body weight of nude mice. Therefore, local delivery of brusatol, such as aerosol administration into the lung, may be superior over the systemic intraperitoneal injection, which warrants further investigation. In summary, our results demonstrate that oncogenic activation of KRAS and KRAS amplification can activate the NRF2-mediated protective mechanism, resulting in chemoresistance. Therefore, brusatol, an NRF2 inhibitor, can be used as an adjuvant to sensitize tumors with KRAS activation, in addition to those tumors resulting from KEAP1 or NRF2 mutations. This work provides a framework for the development of NRF2 inhibitors into therapeutic drugs to combat chemoresistance. Future studies investigating the contribution of KRAS-mediated transcriptional upregulation of NRF2 in chemoresistance using human lung tumor tissues will define the penetrance of this mechanism of resistance.
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