Axon Guidance Factor SLIT2 Inhibits Neural Invasion and Metastasis in Pancreatic Cancer
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Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) metastasizes by neural, vascular, and local invasion routes, which limit patient survival. In nerves and vessels, SLIT2 and its ROBO receptors constitute repellent guidance cues that also direct epithelial branching. Thus, the SLIT2–ROBO system may represent a key pinch point to regulate PDAC spread. In this study, we examined the hypothesis that escaping from repellent SLIT2–ROBO signaling is essential to enable PDAC cells to appropriate their local stromal infrastructure for dissemination. Through immunohistochemical analysis, we detected SLIT2 receptors ROBO1 and ROBO4 on epithelia, nerves, and vessels in healthy pancreas and PDAC specimens, respectively. SLIT2 mRNA expression was reduced in PDAC compared with nontransformed pancreatic tissues and cell lines, suggesting a reduction in SLIT2–ROBO pathway activity in PDAC. In support of this interpretation, restoring the SLIT2 expression in SLIT2-deficient PDAC cells inhibited their bidirectional chemotraction with neural cells, and more specifically, impaired unidirectional PDAC cell navigation along outgrowing neurites in models of neural invasion. Restoring autocrine/paracrine SLIT2 signaling was also sufficient to inhibit the directed motility of PDAC cells, but not their random movement. Conversely, RNA interference–mediated silencing of ROBO1 stimulated the motility of SLIT2-competent PDAC cells. Furthermore, culture supernatants from SLIT2-competent PDAC cells impaired migration of endothelial cells (human umbilical vein endothelial cells), whereas an N-terminal SLIT2 cleavage fragment stimulated such migration. In vivo investigations of pancreatic tumors with restored SLIT2 expression demonstrated reduced invasion, metastasis, and vascularization, with opposing effects produced by ROBO1 silencing in tumor cells or sequestration of endogenous SLIT2. Analysis of clinical specimens of PDAC showed that those with low SLIT2 mRNA expression exhibited a higher incidence and a higher fraction of tumor-infiltrated lymph nodes. Taken together, our findings argue that disrupting SLIT2–ROBO signaling in PDAC may enhance metastasis and predispose PDAC cells to neural invasion. Cancer Res; 74(5); 1529–40. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the Western world with a 5-year survival rate of <5% (reviewed in ref. 1). Because of early invasive growth and metastatic spread, the vast majority of patients are diagnosed with advanced, unresectable disease, facing a median survival of 4 to 6 months under palliative chemotherapy (1). Even after curative resection, median survival is as low as 12 to 20 months, as most patients eventually experience disease recurrence (1).

Neural invasion represents a key pathologic feature of PDAC, and a distinct and independent route of tumor cell spread (reviewed in ref. 2). Neural invasion is considered a major risk factor of tumor recurrence and a major cause of neuropathic pain and, hence, limits both the success of curative surgery and the quality of life of patients with PDAC. Neural invasion is currently perceived as a process driven by mutual attraction and reciprocal interaction between tumor cells and nerves, resulting in both, axonal growth and tumor cell invasion (2–4). Thus, neural hypertrophy and increased nerve densities are frequent pathologic features of PDAC, indicating that tumor cells affect neural morphology and plasticity (5). Several signaling molecules from neural and/or tumor cells, have been implicated in neural invasion, including soluble ligands such as neurotrophins, cytokines, and chemokines, as well as cell surface–bound ligands and their respective receptors (2).

Axon guidance factors and their receptors constitute a signaling system with features that uniquely qualify them as...
regulators of neural invasion. Physiologically, axon guidance factors function as molecular cues to control growth, navigation, and positioning of neurons in the developing brain (6). Furthermore, blood vessels, which arose later in evolution than nerves, co-opted several of the molecular mechanisms that originally served the nervous system (7). Thus, well-established regulators of angiogenesis, such as VEGF, originated as regulators of neurogenesis; conversely, axon guidance factors act on endothelial cells to control angiogenesis (7). Axon guidance molecules, therefore, represent attractive candidate regulators of both networks that provide the infrastructure for PDAC metastatic spread, i.e., vascular routes and neural invasion.

SLITs and their ROBO receptors are such guidance factors that navigate nerves and vessels (7–9). By now, three mammalian SLIT homologues (SLIT1–3) have been characterized. The activity of secreted SLIT ligands is mediated through interaction with Robos, which are single-pass transmembrane receptors belonging to the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecules (8–10). Originally characterized as repulsive axon guidance cues in midline crossing of commissural axons during neural development (11, 12), subsequent genetic studies confirmed SLITs and ROBOs as chemorepellent cues controlling axon guidance and branching (13), migration of neurons and glia (14, 15), and migration of neural crest cells during neurogenesis (16, 17). However, SLITs and ROBOs also function in developmental processes outside the nervous system (9, 10). Thus, the discovery of the endothelial specific receptor ROBO4 suggested a key role of the SLIT–ROBO system in angiogenesis (18, 19), and indeed revealed that SLIT2 also regulates angiogenic sprouting and vascular homeostasis (reviewed in refs. 9, 10). In addition, chemorepellent SLIT2 signaling has been implicated in the control of stereotypic and polarized branching morphogenesis during development of epithelial organs such as kidney, mammary gland, and lungs (20–22). The tightly regulated pathways that control morphogenesis are frequently corrupted in epithelial malignancies (9, 10), and SLIT2 has indeed been implicated in a number of human tumors. Initial seminal studies reported overexpression of SLIT2 in human tumor samples and functionally linked SLIT2 with enhanced tumor growth and vascularization (23). However, loss of SLIT2 expression by epigenetic inactivation was reported in tumors such as breast, colon, and lung cancer (24, 25), and low SLIT2 mRNA expression was associated with distant metastasis and poor survival (9, 10). Loss of SLIT2 was, furthermore, linked to aberrant growth and migration of tumor epithelial cells, thereby promoting tumor growth and metastatic spread in experimental settings (9, 10). To date, neither expression nor function of the SLIT2–ROBO system in PDAC has been studied in detail. Therefore, we addressed the function of SLIT2–ROBO guidance cues for growth, metastasis, angiogenesis, and neural invasion of PDAC.

Material and Methods

Materials

Antibodies were from Sigma-Aldrich (Flag), Invitrogen (Myc), Millipore (SLIT2 and cortactin), Bethyl (ROBO1), Abcam (ROBO4), and BD Pharmingen (CD31). Human SLIT2N was from Sigma-Aldrich and PeproTech, and ROBO1-Fc from R&D Systems.

Cell culture

MiaPaCa, ASPC1, and Panc1 cells were from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC); Capan-1, Capan-2, and DANG cells were from Leibniz Institute DSMZ and maintained as described in ref. 26. The immortal HPDE cell line H6c7 was from the University Health Network (27, 28).

Pancreatic cancer specimens

Tissue samples were from patients with PDAC undergoing surgery at Charitè-Universitätsmedizin from 1996 to 2013. Patients gave written informed consent. Data on tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) classification and tumor infiltration of lymph nodes were retrieved from pathology reports.

Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), and quantified on Agilent’s 2100 Bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was conducted in triplicate using SLIT2 TaqMan primer/probes (Hs00191193_m1; Applied Biosystems) with the One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen) on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). RNA isolation and qRT-PCR of cultured cells were performed as described in ref. 29. Relative quantification was calculated by the Livak method.

Generation of cell clones

Full-length SLIT2-cDNA (Hs.29802; imaGenes) was flanked by a N-terminal 3xFlag-tag and subcloned into pcDNA4/TO-3xflag-mycHis (T-REx; Invitrogen), resulting in pcDNA4/TO-SP-3xFlag-SLIT2-mycHis. Following sequential transfection of pcDNA6/TR (cells described in ref. 30) and pcDNA4/TO-SP-3xflag-SLIT2-mycHis, SLIT2 expression was induced by 1 μg/mL doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells expressing pcDNA6/TR were used to exclude off-target effects of doxycycline. MISIÓN Lentiviral Transduction Particles (Sigma-Aldrich) for shRNA-mediated ROBO1-knockdown and nontarget control particles were used at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 and clones were selected with 0.6 μg/mL puromycin.

Preparation of cell extracts and immunoblotting

The 5 × 10⁵ cells were treated with doxycycline for 48 hours, lysed in 100 μL RIPA buffer and immunoblotted as described in ref. 26. Trichloroacetic acid precipitates from culture supernatants were prepared as described in ref. 26.

Migration and invasion assays

For migration assays, 2 × 10⁵ cells per insert (doxycycline-pretreated, if applicable) were placed in serum-free medium in 8 μm Transwell inserts (Corning) and allowed to migrate toward chemotactic gradients for the times indicated. Migrated cells were stained with crystal violet or 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and quantified by counting five standardized fields at ×100 magnification (29, 31). Please refer to Supplementary Materials and Methods for details. For 3D Matrigel Invasion assays, 8 μm Transwells were coated with...
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1 mg/mL growth factor–reduced Matrigel (BD Pharmingen). Experiments were performed in triplicates.

Lamellododia formation of endothelial cells

Serum-starved human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC; Lonza) were grown on collagen-coated cover slips. Following treatments, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with phalloidin and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–conjugated α-cortactin. Lamellododia were quantified using AxioVision (Zeiss) and ImageJ software (NIH).

Tumor models

Local authorities approved the animal experiments. Female severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) beige mice (20–24) were from Charles River Laboratories. For orthotopic tumors, 10⁶ cells were injected into the pancreatic head (26, 29). After 7 (MiaPaCa) or 3 (DANG) weeks, mice were sacrificed, primary tumors harvested, and enlarged lymph nodes were collected. Metastatic nodules in the mesentery were counted as described in ref. 29.

Immunohistochemical analyses

Immunohistochemistry was conducted on cryostat sections as described and the average number of CD31-positive vessels was determined from digital images of three regions of maximal vascular density (MiaPaCa) or by counting of all vessels per field (DANG) at ×100 magnification (26).

Dorsal root ganglia–tumor cell coculture assay

Dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and tumor cells were placed in separate growth factor–reduced Matrigel drops at 1 mm distance in 12-well plates, and connected with a Matrigel bridge. Time-lapse imaging (Leica DMi6000 B) conducted between 9 and 15 days of culture was evaluated using automated acquisition software (Leica LAS AF6000). Images of individual tumor cells were taken every 30 minutes to follow locomotion. Travel distances, velocity, and directness were calculated using ImageJ and ibidi Chemotaxis software (refer to Supplementary Materials and Methods for further details).

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined by the t test, Fisher exact test, and Mann–Whitney test using GraphPad Prism. Data represent means ± SEM unless stated otherwise.

Results

SLIT2 mRNA expression is reduced in human PDAC and correlates with lymphatic metastasis

Initial experiments assessed the expression of the SLIT2 receptors ROBO1 and ROBO4 in pancreatic tissues. Immunohistochemistry localized ROBO1 to ductal epithelial cells and ROBO4 to the vasculature of both the nontransformed pancreas and PDAC (Fig. 1A–F). Moreover, ROBO1 immunoreactivity was localized on pancreatic nerves (Fig. 1C), as confirmed by serial sections stained with the neuronal marker S100 (Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1C).

Next, we determined SLIT2 expression in PDAC cell lines and human tissue samples. SLIT2 mRNA was low or absent in all PDAC cell lines examined except the well-differentiated cell line DANG, which exhibited levels comparable with the immortalized pancreatic epithelial cell line HPDE (Fig. 1G). 5-aza-dC treatment reactivated SLIT2 expression in Panc1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1D), suggesting epigenetic silencing as one potential mechanism of SLIT2 inactivation. Moreover, qRT-PCR indicated a reduction of SLIT2 mRNA expression in PDAC when compared with nontransformed pancreas in clinical specimens, both in the overall cohort (Fig. 1H) and the subgroup of paired tumor and nontransformed tissues (Supplementary Fig. S1F). On the basis of the best separation indicated in receiver-operator characteristic curves, we identified tumors with pathologic SLIT2 mRNA expression (Fig. 1I). In these tumors, SLIT2 mRNA expression < median correlated with higher incidence (Fig. 1J) and a higher extent of lymph node metastasis (Fig. 1K). Conversely, N1 tumors exhibited lower SLIT2 mRNA than N0 tumors. Thus, the SLIT2–ROBO signaling pathway is present in the pancreas, and SLIT2 expression is reduced in patients with PDAC with nodal metastasis.

SLIT2 inhibits directed migration and invasion of PDAC cells in vitro

To experimentally address the function of SLIT2 in PDAC, we decided on tetracycline-inducible reexpression of SLIT2 in MiaPaCa and Panc1 cells, which lack endogenous SLIT2 (Fig. 1G). Doxycycline-induced production and secretion of myc- and Flag-tagged full-length SLIT2, and of the bioactive, ROBO-binding N-terminal cleavage fragment SLIT2N (32) in MiaPaCaTR-SLIT2 and Panc1TR-SLIT2 clones was confirmed by immunoblottting (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Fig. S2A). Thus, transfected PDAC cells produced and processed SLIT2.

As ROBO1 is present on MiaPaCa and Panc1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1E), we initially determined auto/paracrine SLIT2 effects in vitro. SLIT2 reexpression did not affect proliferation of PDAC cells (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. S2B), nor did it affect random migration (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Fig. S3) and wound healing of MiaPaCaTR-SLIT2 and Panc1TR-SLIT2 monolayers (Fig. 2D). However, SLIT2 induction markedly inhibited directed migration and invasion of MiaPaCaTR-SLIT2 clones (Fig. 2E and F) and Panc1TR-SLIT2 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2C and S2D). SLIT2 effects on migration were prevented by cotreatment with the soluble ROBO1-decoy receptor ROBON (Fig. 2G). Migration of MiaPaCa and Panc1 clones with stable overexpression of SLIT2 was similarly inhibited by 72.7% (n = 3; P = 0.002) and 57.7% (n = 3; P = 0.043), respectively, indicating that SLIT2 effects did not depend on the mode of ectopic expression. Furthermore, SLIT2-conditioned medium from MiaPaCaTR-SLIT2 clones impaired migration of ROBO1–competent wild-type MiaPaCa, but not of ROBO1–deficient ASPC1 cells (Fig. 2H and I). Use of a purified N-terminal fragment of SLIT2 also reproduced the effects of SLIT2–conditioned tumor cell media (Supplementary Fig. S2E and S2F), and coincubation with a soluble Fc-coupled ROBO1 receptor (ROBO1-Fc) prevented the inhibition of migration (Fig. 2H and Supplementary Fig. S2E). Finally, migration of DANG cells with endogenous SLIT2 expression was enhanced by knockdown of ROBO1 (Fig. 2J and K). Overall, these data are consistent with a ROBO1–mediated, auto/paracrine action of SLIT2 as a suppressor of PDAC cell motility.
SLIT2 exhibits variable effects on endothelial cell migration in vitro

Because we detected ROBO receptors in PDAC vasculature, we addressed angioregulatory activities of tumor cell-derived SLIT2 in vitro. Use of purified recombinant SLIT2N increased (Fig. 3A), whereas SLIT2-conditioned media from stable MiaPaCa-SLIT2 clones reduced HUVEC migration (Fig. 3B). Blocking SLIT2 in the MiaPaCa-SLIT2-conditioned media by ROBO1-Fc counteracted this effect, suggesting a direct inhibitory action of tumor cell–derived SLIT2 (Fig. 3B). Tumor cell–derived SLIT2, furthermore, impaired VEGF-induced lamellipodia formation of HUVEC by preventing F-actin polymerization and spatial redistribution of cortactin (Fig. 3C–E). Thus, variable direct effects on endothelial motility were observed with purified truncated SLIT2N and PDAC-derived and -processed full-length SLIT2, with the latter exhibiting inhibitory effects.
SLIT2 and ROBO1 inhibit invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis of PDAC xenografts in vivo

To address SLIT2 effects on PDAC growth and progression in vivo, MiaPaCa^{TR-SLIT2} cells were grown as orthotopic xenografts. SLIT2 induction (confirmed in tumor lysates; Supplementary Fig. S4) moderately decreased primary tumor growth (Fig. 4A–C), but substantially reduced abdominal metastasis (Fig. 4D–F) and nodal spread (Fig. 4L), even when size-matched tumors were compared (Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5D). SLIT2 also diminished invasive growth into adjacent organs (Fig. 4J and K). Furthermore, microvessel densities were reduced in MiaPaCa^{TR-SLIT2} tumors with SLIT2 induction in the overall group (Fig. 4G–I) and in a subgroup analysis of size-matched tumors (Supplementary Fig. S5E and S5F), supporting an antiangiogenic action of SLIT2 in vivo.

As high-level SLIT2 production in our overexpression model might not accurately reflect the biologic role of endogenous SLIT2, we pursued a complementary experimental approach and used DANGROBO1-KD cells to study the in vivo consequences of disrupted SLIT2/ROBO1 signaling in PDAC. DANGROBO1-KD tumors exhibited enhanced local invasion and a marked increase in the incidence and extent of metastasis compared with controls, although primary tumor growth was not significantly augmented (Fig. 5A–E and Supplementary Fig. S6A–E).
ROBO1-Fc. As in the orthotopic setting, DANGscr and allowed us to sequester and inactivate SLIT2 by coinjection of we used subcutaneous DANG xenografts, as this approach S6E and S6F). To interrogate the role of SLIT2 for angiogenesis, SLIT2 levels in this approach (Fig. 5F and Supplementary Fig. S6D). Similarly, tumor vascularization was not different between experimental groups—consistent with unaltered SLIT2 levels in this approach (Fig. 5F and Supplementary Fig. S6E and S6F). To interrogate the role of SLIT2 for angiogenesis, we used subcutaneous DANG xenografts, as this approach allowed us to sequester and inactivate SLIT2 by coinjection of ROBO1-Fc. As in the orthotopic setting, DANG<sup>WT</sup> and DANG<sup>ROBO1-KD</sup> tumors presented equal microvessel densities (Supplementary Fig. S7), suggesting that auto/paracrine SLIT2–ROBO1 signaling events in the tumor cells had no major impact on vascularization. In contrast, functional inactivation of SLIT2 by ROBO1-Fc increased vascularization (Supplementary Fig. S7), thus supporting an antiangiogenic role of SLIT2 via interaction with stromal cells.

**SLIT2 inhibits invasion and metastasis of syngeneic Panc02 pancreatic cancer in vivo**

To corroborate the antitumor action of SLIT2 in an immunocompetent model, syngeneic orthotopic Panc02 tumors were evaluated. Primary tumor growth was comparable in mice bearing Panc02<sup>SLIT2</sup> tumors with ectopic SLIT2 expression as compared with mock controls (Supplementary Fig. S8A–S8C). However, invasive growth into adjacent organs as well as abundance of abdominal metastasis and malignant ascites were markedly diminished in mice bearing SLIT2-producing Panc02<sup>SLIT2</sup> tumors (Supplementary Fig. S8D–S8H). Thus, antimitastatic and antivasive properties of SLIT2 were not confined to immunocompromised xenografts.

**SLIT2 inhibits bidirectional chemoattraction of PDAC and neuronal cells**

Given that ROBO1 expression was observed in pancreatic nerves, we addressed SLIT2 effects on the mutual interaction of PDAC cells with neuronal cells. Because xenograft tumors are poorly innervated, we combined in <em>vitro</em> and <em>ex vivo</em> approaches with increasing complexity. First, directed migration of MiaPaCa<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> or Panc1<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> cells toward chemoattractant stimuli from Schwann-cell cultures was diminished by SLIT2 (Fig. 6A and Supplementary Fig. S2G). Vice versa, directed migration of Schwann-cells was reduced, when SLIT2-expressing MiaPaCa<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> cells were grown in the lower chamber (Fig. 6B). Thus, SLIT2 counteracted bidirectional chemoattraction between neuronal and PDAC cells.

**SLIT2 impairs unidirectional migration of PDAC cells along nerves**

To obtain a representation of tumor cell migration along neurites, coculture assays of DRG and PDAC cell colonies were established (Fig. 6C). Time-lapse microscopy captured the dynamic process by which neurites grew out from DRGs and projected into cancer cell colonies; reciprocally, tumor cells dissociated from the colony, unidirectionally migrated along the neurites, once contact was established, and ultimately formed tumor cell protrusions toward the ganglia of origin (Fig. 6D–F; Supplementary Video S1 and S2). GFP-labeled MiaPaCa cells confirmed that these were tumor cell projections (Supplementary Fig. S9). Under vehicle-control conditions, this neural invasion consistently occurred and increased over time (Fig. 6G). Induction of SLIT2, however, diminished the extent of neural invasion of MiaPaCa<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> cells (Fig. 6G). Furthermore, evaluation of individually tracked MiaPaCa<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> cells revealed that tumor cells with nerve contact traveled greater distances with increased directness, and reached higher velocities, as compared with cells without nerve contact; which exhibited random, nondirectional movements (Fig. 7). Notably, induction of SLIT2 in MiaPaCa<sup>TR-SLIT2</sup> cells with nerve contact impaired their capacity to migrate along neurites by reducing their travel speed and distance, and directionality (Fig. 7I–L). In contrast, SLIT2 did not change these parameters in tumor cells lacking nerve contact.
(Fig. 7I–L), suggesting that SLIT2 specifically regulated PDAC cell motility in close vicinity with nerves. Overall, these data indicate that SLIT2 inhibits directed navigation of PDAC cells along contacted neurites.

Discussion

Understanding the molecular basis of the almost inevitable, therapy-refractory progression of PDAC constitutes a central task for translational research. A recent sequencing effort in PDAC revealed an unexpected cluster of alterations in genes of the axon guidance factor family (33). More specifically, genomic mutations in the SLIT2 and ROBO1/2 pathway were prevalent, and reduced expression of components of this pathway correlated to shorter survival in PDAC (33). Our current data confirm reduced SLIT2 mRNA expression in PDAC tissues, and delineate the function of SLIT2–ROBO signaling for several clinically relevant aspects of PDAC biology. Main findings in PDAC cells with experimental reexpression of SLIT2 are a reduced capacity to metastasize and to induce neovascularization. Conversely, enhanced invasion, metastasis, and vascularization characterize PDAC xenografts.
with ROBO1 knockdown or sequestration of endogenous SLIT2. The SLIT2-mediated control of tumor spread in our experimental models translates to the clinical observation that low tumoral SLIT2 mRNA levels correlated to nodal spread of PDACs. Our in vitro data, furthermore, assign a novel function to SLIT2, as they implicate SLIT2 in the control of pancreatic cancer cell invasion along outgrowing neurites. Published expression arrays indicate expression of SLIT2 in ductal epithelial cells of the healthy pancreas (34, 35), which fits our detection of SLIT2 mRNA expression in nontransformed pancreas and ductal HPDE cells. We, furthermore, found ROBO4 in the (neo)vasculature, whereas ductal epithelial cells and intrapancreatic nerves displayed ROBO1 immunoreactivity. These observations suggest that SLIT2–ROBO signaling occurs physiologically in the pancreas, which is in line with the reported chemorepellent function of SLIT2 in epithelial differentiation and ductal morphogenesis during breast, lung, colon, and kidney development (20–22). In turn, loss of SLIT2 resulted in deregulated morphogenesis and aberrant epithelial growth in lung and breast cancer (10), which agrees with the current observation of reduced SLIT2 mRNA expression in PDAC. Moreover, the concept of SLIT2 as a tumor suppressor in PDAC is supported by the frequent loss of SLIT2 expression in PDAC cell lines (33, 34).

Our experimental reexpression of SLIT2 in PDAC cells inhibited directed migration and invasion via ROBO1-mediated auto/paracrine mechanisms. These observations are in line with existing concepts on how loss of SLIT2 affects tumor progression, which propose that SLIT2 counteracts cell motility induced by chemoattractant stimulation via cytokines or growth factors (36–38). Different from the SLIT2 mode of action in breast (39) and lung cancer cells (40), we did not detect a SLIT2-dependent regulation of AKT activity in PDAC cells (data not shown), suggesting a cell type–specific wiring of downstream signals.

Three orthotopic in vivo models in our study emphasized the capacity of SLIT2/ROBO1 signals to reduce metastatic spread and local invasive growth. This aspect is reflected in the clinical situation, as low SLIT2 mRNA in PDAC specimens was associated with higher incidence and a higher extent of lymph node metastasis. Fittingly, published expression profiles of PDAC specimens with or without lymph node metastasis listed SLIT2 among genes with reduced expression in lymph node–positive PDAC (41).

The SLIT2-mediated suppression of tumor spread in our in vivo models may arise from reduced tumor cell motility, and/or as a consequence of impaired tumor angiogenesis. In fact, the enhanced tumor spread in our DANG orthotopic xenografts with ROBO1 knockdown suggests that altered auto/paracrine SLIT2–ROBO1 signaling in tumor cells is sufficient for this phenotype.

Although effects of SLIT2 on angiogenesis in two PDAC models indicated an antiangiogenic action, in vitro observations suggest a more complex situation. Intriguingly, purified SLIT2N from commercial sources stimulated endothelial cell migration, whereas SLIT2 produced by PDAC cells inhibited the process, creating a need for further investigation. Explanations are speculative at this time: (i) cofactors produced by PDAC cells possibly account for the inhibitory outcome, which fits the observation that both purified SLIT2N and tumor-

Figure 5. ROBO1-knockdown enhances invasion and metastasis of orthotopic pancreatic tumors. A–E, orthotopic tumors derived from DANGROBO1-KD cells (n = 11) and scrambled controls (scr; n = 10) were evaluated for tumor weight (A; P = 0.17); Contingency graphs show incidence of tumor invasion into duodenum (B; P = 0.0075) and stomach (C; P = 0.024), and lymphatic metastasis (D; P = 0.035; all Fisher exact test). Number of metastases per mouse increased in DANGROBO1-KD tumor-bearing animals (E; P = 0.016, Mann–Whitney test). F, CD31+ microvessel density in DANGROBO1-KD tumors versus scrambled controls (P = 0.323).
derived SLIT2 preparations uniformly inhibit PDAC cell migration. Precedence for such a cofactor-dependent switch in SLIT2 action was reported with respect to Ephrin-A1 or matrix components (32, 42, 43). (ii) Purified SLIT2N preparations contain but the N-terminal fragment, which binds and activates ROBO1/2 receptors. In contrast, supernatants from PDAC cells contain full-length SLIT2 and the full range of processing products. As full-length SLIT2 may act antagonistic to SLIT2N (32, 42) the differential action of cleavage fragments is a possibility that we actively investigate. (iii) Endothelial cells also express ROBO4 receptors, raising the possibility that the differential repertoire and activation of ROBO4 versus ROBO1/2 determines the migratory response. Published data from siRNA knockdown of either ROBO4 or ROBO1 in lung HMVECs indicate that SLIT2N blunted the VEGF-induced migration of these cells via ROBO4, but not ROBO1 (44).

Reports on SLIT2 effects in the even more complex situation of in vivo angiogenesis also offer disparate findings. According to genetic loss-of-function studies, ROBO4 activation via SLIT2 counteracted VEGF-induced vascular leak, whereas disruption of SLIT2–ROBO4 signaling stimulated pathologic angiogenesis and vessel leakiness (45, 46). Other reports have, however, suggested a prometastatic and proangiogenic function of SLIT2 on experimental tumor growth (10, 23, 47, 48). Future,
more detailed understanding of SLIT2/ROBO signaling will hopefully fit these experimental data to a unified concept.

Notably, our studies identified a novel, critical function of SLIT2 for the interaction of PDAC cells with peripheral nerves. Reexpression of SLIT2 in PDAC cells impaired their capacity to navigate along contacted neurites. In comparison, no effect on the movements of tumor cells without neurite contact was apparent, suggesting that SLIT2 countered mechanisms that facilitate directional mobility of tumor cells in the immediate vicinity and/or created by contacting nerves. In conjunction with the inhibitory effects of SLIT2 on the migration of PDAC cells against chemotactic gradients released by neuronal cells in Transwell assays, we assume that both mechanisms, i.e., chemotraction and contact cues, can contribute.

Currently, we are unable to address SLIT2 function in neural invasion in our xenograft models, which display poor innervation. However, we observed ROBO1 immunoreactivity in pancreatic nerves of human PDAC. During development, the spatial-temporal expression of SLIT2–ROBO1 guidance cues help to confine the patterned migration of those neural crest cells, which give rise to the enteric and sensory neuronal network innervating the pancreas (17, 49). Mechanistically, SLIT2 expressed from tissues adjacent to the trajectory routes provide guidance by repelling ROBO1-expressing neural crest cells and preventing them from entering regions with high concentrations of SLIT2. In analogy to this developmental role, SLIT2 and ROBO1 may conceivably restrict peripheral innervation of the adult pancreas by guiding and/or countering growth factor and chemokine-mediated attraction of...
pancreatic nerves. In support of a role for axon guidance molecules in the correct growth and patterning of peripheral nerves during organ innervation, loss-of-function studies implicated SLIT2–ROBO1 repulsion in axon fasciculation of motor neurons during muscle innervation (50). In PDAC, silencing of SLIT2 in epithelial tumor cells may possibly relieve repulsion between pancreatic nerves and surrounding tissues and consequently facilitate neural invasion. Our in vitro data support this scenario by demonstrating swift movement of PDAC cells along axons, which is impaired by SLIT2 reexpression. Definitive evidence awaits future studies.

Taken together, our results provide evidence that SLIT2 functions as a suppressor of metastasis and local tumor spread in experimental models of PDAC. Mechanistically, tumor cell–derived SLIT2 reduced the motility of PDAC and endothelial cells toward chemotaxants, and, furthermore, prevented PDAC cells from efficient directional movement along conduits provided by axonal projections from cultured ganglia. These experimental findings are reflected in our clinical observation of more extensive nodal metastasis in PDAC with low SLIT2 mRNA. Our data thus emphasize the importance to further assess the Slit–ROBO pathway activity as potential diagnostic and/or prognostic marker, or as candidate therapeutic target in PDAC.
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