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Abstract
Secondary bile acids (BA) such as deoxycholic acid (DCA) promote the development of several gastrointestinal malignancies, but how they mediate this effect is unclear. In this study, we offer evidence of a mechanism involving ectodomain shedding of the EGFR ligands amphiregulin (AREG) and TGF-α, which rely upon the cell surface protease TACE/ADAM-17. Specifically, we show that AREG participates in DCA-induced EGFR and STAT3 signaling, cell-cycle progression, and tumorigenicity in human colorectal cancer and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). TACE and AREG, but not TGF-α, were overexpressed in both colorectal cancer and PDAC tissues compared to normal tissues. Exposure of colorectal cancer and PDAC cells to DCA resulted in colocalization of Src and TACE to the cell membrane, resulting in AREG-dependent activation of EGFR, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and STAT3 signaling. Src or TACE inhibition was sufficient to attenuate DCA-induced AREG, but not TGF-α shedding. We also examined a role for the BA transporter TGR5 in DCA-mediated EGFR and STAT3 signaling. RNA interference-mediated silencing of TGR5 or AREG inhibited DCA-induced EGFR, MAPK, and STAT3 signaling, blunted cyclin D1 expression and cell-cycle progression, and attenuated DCA-induced colorectal cancer or PDAC tumorigenicity. Together, our findings define an AREG-dependent signaling pathway that mediates the oncogenic effects of secondary BAs in gastrointestinal cancers, the targeting of which may enhance therapeutic responses in their treatment. Cancer Res; 74(7); 2062–72. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction
Dietary intake of a high-fat, low-fiber diet has been associated with increases in secondary bile acids (BA), such as deoxycholic acid (DCA), which is known to activate oncogenic signaling and be promoters of gastrointestinal cancer, including colorectal cancer and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC; refs. 1–5). Increased production of DCA has also been linked to liver tumorigenesis (6). Most PDACs occur in the head of the gland, which is in close proximity to bile, suggesting that BAs may have a role in the pathogenesis of PDAC (7). Of the BAs implicated in gastrointestinal oncogenesis, DCA is particularly bioactive. However, the mechanism by which DCA promotes tumorigenesis remains to be elucidated.

Many G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) agonists seem to mediate EGFR transactivation by activating EGFR ligand shedding (8, 9). In addition, EGFR is known to activate STAT3 signaling (10). TGR5 is a novel G protein-coupled cell surface BA receptor (11). We have previously shown that DCA-induced signaling is mediated by ligand-dependent phosphorylation of EGFR (12). TGF-α and amphiregulin (AREG), two ligands that bind to the EGFR and are upregulated frequently in colorectal cancer (13). Proteolytic processing of these ligands requires TNF-α converting enzyme/a disintegrin and metalloprotease-17 (TACE/ADAM-17; refs. 13, 14). TACE plays a key role in EGFR signaling and has recently emerged as a potential therapeutic target in several tumor types (15). In polarized epithelial cells, EGFR is restricted predominantly to the basolateral surface. TGF-α and AREG are delivered selectively to this compartment in which they are both cleaved by TACE to release mature, soluble ligands that then bind to the receptor (13, 16). We have shown that TACE is upregulated in colorectal cancer and is a viable target of the EGFR axis in colorectal cancer (13). TACE also plays a critical role in the cellular cross-talk (transactivation) between GPCR and EGFR signaling (17, 18). In head and neck cancer, GPCR activation of TACE and subsequent shedding of AREG is mediated by c-Src (Src; refs. 17, 18). Src is frequently overexpressed in colorectal cancers and PDACs (19, 20) and its expression and activity correlates with cancer progression, advanced malignancy, and poor prognosis in a variety of human cancers (20–23).
Previous studies have provided evidence for a role of Src family kinases in the activation of TACE (18, 24, 25). Whether the TGR5 receptor mediates DCA-induced activation of cellular signaling to regulate tumorigenicity is not known. We have also shown that increased membranous Src expression results in decreased survival in patients with PDAC (20).

Therefore, we hypothesized that Src may interact with TACE to mediate AREG and TGF-α ligand cleavage. Our results show that DCA stimulates Src and TACE association and colocalization to the cell membrane, which results primarily in shedding of AREG to activate EGFR, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), and STAT3 signaling and induce tumorigenicity of both PDAC and colorectal cancer. We further show that DCA-induced activation of cellular signaling is mediated by the TGR5 GPCR. These results support EGFR ligands as valid targets for human colorectal cancer and PDAC therapy. In the era of kinase inhibitors, this study supports the significance of AREG and TACE inhibition as an effective strategy in the treatment of colorectal cancer and PDAC.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and gene knockdown analysis

Human colorectal cancer cell lines HCT-116 and HCA-7 (generous gift from Dr. Susan Kirkland, Royal Postgraduate Medical School, London, England; Mycoplasma-negative tested by a PCR detection method using the Sigma Venor-Gem Kit), PDAC cell lines BxPC-3, AsPC-1, and Capan-2 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and were maintained according to their specifications. ATCC cell lines were characterized and were free of Mycoplasma contamination, tested by Hoechst DNA stain (indirect) and agar culture (direct) methods.

Open biosystems pGIPZ–based short hairpin RNA (shRNA) lentiviral vectors were used to deplete Src or TACE expression. Lentiviral shRNA vector pGIPZ with either targeting sequences for knocking down human Src (clone IDs, V2LHS_262793 and V2LHS_153732) and TACE/ADAM17 (clone ID, V2LHS_70230) or nonsilencing control sequence was obtained from Vanderbilt University Microarray Core. Transfection was performed using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Details provided in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

SMARTpool siRNA to target human AREG (M-017435; GenBank accession no. NM_001077194), TGF-α (L-019737; GenBank accession no. NM_003236), and TGR5 (J-005519; GenBank accession no. NM_001657) was purchased from Dharmacon. SMARTpool siRNA was purchased from Dharmacon. Transfection of siRNA was performed using DharmaFECT siRNA transfection reagent. Nontargeting control siRNA (Dharmacon; D-001810) and GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) control siRNA (Dharmacon, D-001830) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Transfection of siRNA was performed using DharmaFECT transfection reagent. Nontargeting control siRNA transfection reagent. Luciferase activity was measured using the InnoZyme TACE Activity Kit (Calbiochem). Cells were treated, lysed, and were subjected to the TACE activity assay following the manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR analyses

RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analyses were performed as previously described (28). Primary sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Luciferase assays

Cyclin D1-Luc (29) was used to study the promoter activity. The luciferase activity was determined using a dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Promega). The relative luciferase activity was determined after 48 hours of transfection and normalized to protein concentration.

Cell-cycle analysis

Cells were harvested, stained, washed, and resuspended as described before (20, 30). Cell fluorescence signals were
determined using a FACSCaliber flow cytometer and analyzed with its Cell Quest software.

**Cell invasion assay**

Cells were seeded into the upper chamber of 8-μm pore Transwell coated with 50 μL (~100 μg) of diluted Matrigel (BD Biosciences) solution and invasion assay was performed as previously described (31).

**Cell proliferation assay (WST-1)**

Cell proliferation assay was done using a premix WST-1 cell proliferation assay system (Roche Applied Science) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were seeded on 96-well plates at a density of 2 × 10^4 per well in 100 μL culture medium. Cells were incubated for 1 to 4 days and subsequently exposed to 10 μL WST-1 reagent for 2 hours. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm as the detection wavelength and 670 nm as the reference wavelength for the assay.

**Statistical analysis**

Results are shown as values of mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Statistical analyses were performed using the ANOVA followed by the Tukey multiple comparisons test to determine P values unless otherwise indicated.

**Results**

**DCA induces Src and TACE colocalization to the cell membrane**

To elucidate the mechanism involved in regulating the interaction between Src and TACE, we examined the effect of the tumor-promoting secondary BA DCA on TACE and Src association by immunoprecipitation in human colorectal cancer lines HCT-116, HCA-7, as well as the human PDAC cell line BxPC-3. In all cell lines studied, DCA exposure increased the association of Src with TACE (Fig. 1A). By immunofluorescence imaging, in the absence of DCA, TACE, and Src are present mainly in the cytoplasm, whereas treatment with DCA induced a coordinate redistribution of both Src and TACE to the cell membrane (Fig. 1B). These results show that DCA induces association of Src and TACE, in conjunction with their translocation to the cell membrane.

**Src and TACE are required for DCA-induced AREG and TGF-α shedding**

Our previous observations confirm a role for TACE in the constitutive shedding of AREG and TGF-α and activation of EGFR signaling (13). To further define the roles of TGF-α and AREG in DCA-induced EGFR activation, we evaluated the effects of DCA on these ligands. TGF-α mRNA levels peaked at 4 hours of incubation with DCA and then declined, whereas AREG mRNA levels continually increased up to 24 hours after DCA exposure (Supplementary Figs. S1A and S1B).

We next examined the effect of silencing Src or TACE on DCA-induced TGF-α and AREG shedding and cellular expression. Interestingly, the knockdown of TACE, but not Src, showed a significant decrease in the mRNA expression of AREG and TGF-α (Supplementary Figs. S1C and S1D). In empty vector cells, DCA exposure dramatically increased shedding of both ligands into the media (Fig. 2A) as well as expression levels of ligands in the cell lysates (Supplementary Figs. S2A and S2B) in a time-dependent manner. In HCT-116 shRNA Src or TACE cells, the extent of DCA-induced AREG and TGF-α shedding and their expression in cell lysates was significantly lower compared with the vector controls (Figs. 2A and Supplementary Fig. S2A). The decrease in shedding and lysate expression was more dramatic and sustained for AREG compared with TGF-α, which although inhibited, continued to increase over time in both Src and TACE shRNA cells. Similar results were seen in PDAC BxPC-3 cells—shRNA-mediated silencing of Src or treatment with the TACE inhibitor WAY-022 resulted in significant inhibition of AREG shedding (Fig. 2B and C) and cell lysate expression (Supplementary Fig. S2B), whereas TGF-α shedding was not affected. AREG shedding was also increased in PDAC (AsPC-1 and Capan-2) cells exposed to DCA (Supplementary Fig. S2C). These results confirm the importance of both Src and TACE in DCA-induced sheddase activity and further indicate that DCA primarily stimulates shedding of AREG and not TGF-α through this Src-TACE-mediated pathway.

**Src is required for DCA-induced TACE-mediated EGFR phosphorylation**

DCA exposure stimulates phosphorylation of Src in HCT-116, BxPC-3, and capan-2 cells (Fig. 3A). Following our previous observations, we sought to determine whether the cell surface activation of TACE is regulated by DCA-induced Src phosphorylation. DCA-induced phosphorylation of TACE was
abrogated by the Src inhibitor PP2 in both HCT-116 and BxPC-3 cells (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, the extent of DCA-induced TACE and EGFR phosphorylation was significantly inhibited in Src shRNA HCT-116 (Fig. 3C) and BxPC3 (Supplementary Fig. S3A) cells compared with vector control cells.

Similarly, we observed a significant decrease in DCA-induced EGFR phosphorylation in TACE shRNA cells compared with the vector control cells, which retained the ability to phosphorylate both TACE and EGFR (Fig. 3C). Consistent with these results, TACE sheddase activity in DCA-treated cells was significantly enhanced (Fig. 3D). Both Src and TACE shRNA (Fig. 3D), as well as pharmacologic inhibition of Src and TACE with PP2 and WAY-022 (Fig. 3E), respectively, resulted in a significant decrease of DCA-induced TACE activity and phosphorylation of EGFR (Fig. 3F) in HCT-116 and BxPC-3 cells. Collectively, these results show that DCA-induced phosphorylation of TACE is Src-dependent, whereas EGFR activation is dependent on phosphorylation of both Src and TACE in both colorectal cancer and PDAC cells.

AREG is a critical ligand responsible for DCA-induced EGFR and STAT3 signaling

Our findings show that TACE and AREG may be the more important protease/ligand pair for DCA-induced EGFR activation in both colorectal cancer and PDAC. We detected a robust immunoreactive AREG band in DCA-treated cells compared with untreated HCT-116, HCA-7, BxPC-3, and capan-2 cells (Fig. 4A). Both TACE inhibition with WAY-022 and Src inhibition with PP2 significantly inhibited AREG shedding into the conditioned medium in both HCT-116 and BxPC-3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3B). These results show that Src mediates DCA-induced AREG shedding in these cells. TGF-β, however, does not seem to be a critical ligand responsible for DCA-induced EGFR signaling (Supplementary Results and Supplementary Fig. S4). In additional experiments, we show that MAPK inhibition with U0126 attenuates DCA-induced cell-cycle synthesis (Supplementary Fig. S4C) and proliferation (Supplementary Fig. S4D), showing that DCA-induced proliferation is MAPK mediated.
Next, we investigated AREG regulation in DCA-mediated EGFR and STAT3 signaling. AREG siRNA cells showed decreased AREG protein expression in HCT-116, HCA-7, capan-2, and BxPC-3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). DCA exposure led to increased expression of phosphorylated MAPK in a concentration-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S5C). However, although DCA exposure at 10 μmol/L resulted in an increase in STAT3 phosphorylation, higher concentrations of DCA resulted in attenuation of STAT3 phosphorylation (Supplementary Figs. S5D and S8C). To further confirm the importance of AREG in DCA-induced EGFR signaling, we show that AREG siRNA HCT-116 and BxPC3 cells resulted in significant attenuation of DCA-induced EGFR and MAPK phosphorylation (Fig. 4B and C), STAT3 phosphorylation (Fig. 4D), as well as cyclin D1 expression compared with scrambled control cells (Fig. 4B). These results further substantiate the importance of AREG as a critical ligand in BA-mediated EGFR and STAT3 signaling.

AREG is responsible for DCA-induced cyclin D1 promoter activity and cell-cycle regulation

DCA exposure stimulates upregulation of cyclin D1 mRNA expression (Fig. 5A and B) and promoter activity (Fig. 5C). In AREG siRNA cells, there was a significant attenuation of DCA-induced cyclin D1 expression (P < 0.001; Fig. 5B) and promoter activity (P < 0.01; Fig. 5C) as well as a decrease in the percentage of cells in S-phase (Fig. 5D). Cells treated with DCA showed an increase in DNA synthesis and cell proliferation rate in vector control cells as determined by [3H] thymidine incorporation assay (Supplementary Fig. S6A), whereas Src shRNA cells or EGFR inhibition with erlotinib showed significant inhibition of DNA synthesis rate (Supplementary Fig. S6B) as well as a significant decrease in cyclin D1 promoter activity (Supplementary Fig. S6C). These results indicate that Src-mediated AREG shedding is involved in DNA synthesis and cyclin D1 promoter activity. Moreover, targeting AREG decreased DCA-induced cell proliferation, colony formation, migration, and invasion (Supplementary Fig. S7). This confirms the importance of AREG as a critical ligand in the regulation of DCA-induced EGFR-dependent tumorigenicity.

TGR5, a BA receptor responsible for DCA-induced signaling

TGR5 interacts with a broad range of BAs, including DCA (32). We first sought to determine the presence of the TGR5 receptor in BxPC-3 and HCT-116 cells. RT-PCR results showed
that TGR5 mRNA was present in BxPC-3 when compared with normal pancreas. We have previously shown that TACE and AREG, but not TGF-α, are overexpressed in human colorectal cancer tissue compared with the normal colonic mucosa (13). We, therefore, sought to determine the expression of TGF-α, AREG, and TACE in human pancreatic tissue. Figure 7A depicts the distribution of difference in intensity staining score between normal ducts and PDACs for TACE, AREG, and TGF-α. The staining indices of TACE, AREG, and TGF-α varied significantly between normal pancreas and PDAC tissues. Analysis confirmed a significant increase in the expression of TACE and AREG in PDAC tumor tissue compared with normal pancreas (Fig. 7B). The average intensity of staining of both TACE and AREG was significantly higher in PDAC tumors compared with normal pancreas (P = 0.045 and 0.009, respectively). On the other hand, there was no difference in staining intensity of TGF-α between normal pancreas and PDAC tissues (P = 0.485). These results indicate that TACE and AREG expression increases with the progression of pancreatic neoplasia, further supporting the importance of AREG as the primary ligand involved in colorectal cancer and PDAC cancer progression.

**Discussion**

BAs promote tumorigenesis by stimulating a variety of mitogenic receptor tyrosine kinase signaling pathways (35). The molecular mechanisms of the tumor-promoting actions of secondary BAs remain poorly understood. BAs have been shown to transactivate EGFR signaling (36). EGFR inhibitors in solid tumors have shown only modest clinical responses, suggesting the need to implement combination therapies with novel targeted molecules to improve efficacy (37, 38). To this end, understanding the upstream cascade that leads to EGFR activation is essential to determine the mechanism underlying its oncogenic potential and chemoresistance.

Previously, we showed that TACE is restricted to the basolateral surface of the plasma membrane of polarizing colorectal cancer cells and demonstrated that TACE and...
AREG are overexpressed in primary and metastatic colorectal cancer compared with normal colonic mucosa (13). Here, we show that the association of Src and TACE at the cell membrane mediates ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligands and is an important mechanism of EGFR transactivation. Furthermore, TACE mediates ligand shedding in response to DCA-induced Src activation. In both colorectal cancer and PDAC cells, DCA primarily stimulates shedding of AREG and not TGF-α. Ectodomain shedding of AREG comprises an important regulatory mechanism because it generates a functional soluble molecule through multiple mechanisms, to provide important proliferative and survival advantages (39, 40). Our results indicate a pivotal role of DCA-induced AREG proligand cleavage leading to activation of EGFR signaling and tumorigenicity.

We show that TGR5, a GPCR is present in BxPC-3 and HCT-116 and mediates DCA-induced Src activation. In both colorectal cancer and PDAC cells, DCA primarily stimulates shedding of AREG and not TGF-α. Ectodomain shedding of AREG comprises an important regulatory mechanism because it generates a functional soluble molecule through multiple mechanisms, to provide important proliferative and survival advantages (39, 40). Our results indicate a pivotal role of DCA-induced AREG proligand cleavage leading to activation of EGFR signaling and tumorigenicity.

Translocation of Src and TACE to the plasma membrane likely plays an important role in TACE function by placing TACE in the proximity of its pro-ligand targets. Moreover, we have previously shown that patients with PDAC that have increased membranous Src expression have a significantly lower overall survival than in patients with tumors that have higher cytoplasmic expression of Src (20). The mechanism by which Src associates with TACE following DCA treatment could involve the proline-rich sequence in the cytoplasmic domain of TACE and the Src homology 3 domain of Src. The p85 protein has been shown to interact with both TACE and Src (18) and may be acting as an intermediary between the two molecules. Several observations suggest that the interaction between TACE and Src is of physiologic significance and activated forms of Src can stimulate the EGFR and ERK signaling by activation of TACE and release of EGFR ligands (24). First, the transactivation of EGFR and the activation of downstream MAPK, in response to DCA, require active Src. Second, stimulation of these cancer cells with DCA results in increased TACE and Src association. Third, TACE translocates with Src to the plasma membrane in which TACE-induced cleavage of AREG occurs. Finally, DCA stimulation of PDAC and colorectal cancer cells induce TACE phosphorylation in a Src-dependent fashion.

Knockdown of AREG expression results in substantial attenuation of basal EGFR, MAPK, and STAT3 phosphorylation, key signaling pathways in most human cancers (43, 44). A similar role for AREG in the transactivation of the EGFR in HNSCC has also been reported (17).
Cyclin D1 is a transcription target of the MAPK that plays an important role in cell proliferation, tumor development, and progression (45). Our data show that DCA exposure significantly increases expression and promoter activity of cyclin D1, which is TGR5 receptor mediated, whereas knockdown of AREG or TGR5 significantly reduced these effects. Consistent with this result, the rate of DNA synthesis and cell proliferation induced by DCA treatment was significantly reduced by the siRNA-based knockdown of Src and AREG in colorectal cancer and PDAC cells. EGFR activation induces STAT3 signaling (10). Our results describe that DCA induces EGFR–STAT3 signaling, which plays an important role in cancer progression. DCA works through TGR5–Src–TACE–AREG–EGFR activation, which then leads to activation of EGFR downstream pathways, such as MAPK–cyclin D1 and STAT3, to promote cancer growth and indicates that AREG is an important molecular target of growth-promoting actions of DCA. Collectively, these results on DCA-induced stimulation of the AREG-ligand regulated pathways in colorectal cancer and PDAC provide further insight into the molecular events of tumor promotion by secondary BAs. The data reported in this study summarized schematically in Supplementary Fig. S9.

In summary, this study provides the first evidence, to our knowledge, that DCA can stimulate the association of Src and TACE through the G protein-coupled BA receptor TGR5 and has identified AREG as a critical EGFR ligand in DCA-dependent tumorigenicity. Aberrant enhancement of EGFR ligand expression is speculated to be one of several different molecular mechanisms, including receptor mutations, constitutive activation of downstream pathways, and activation of alternative pathways, for the acquired resistance against EGFR antagonists (46). Thus, a particular EGFR ligand that is...
predominantly expressed in human cancer can be recognized as an attractive target itself. Patients with metastatic lung, colorectal, pancreatic, or head and neck cancers who initially benefit from EGFR-targeted therapies eventually developed resistance (47). Previous studies have suggested that inhibiting AREG activity may be necessary to overcome the resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies in non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC; ref. 48) and hepatocellular carcinoma (49). This is consistent with recent reports showing that inhibiting AREG activity is associated with a better response to cetuximab in colorectal cancer and that AREG overexpression in patients with NSCLC correlates with a poor response to gefitinib (38, 50). This novel finding may lead to the validation of Src and TACE association–induced AREG activity as a clinically valuable cancer biomarker, which can be used to discriminate sensitive from resistant tumors, and provides increased therapeutic options to treat EGFR-resistant colorectal cancer and PDAC tumors.
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