Agr2 Mediates Paracrine Effects on Stromal Fibroblasts That Promote Invasion by Gastric Signet-Ring Carcinoma Cells
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Abstract

Agr2 is a disulfide isomerase residing in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which physiologically regulates protein folding and mediates resistance to ER stress. Agr2 is overexpressed in adenocarcinomas of various organs, where it participates in neoplastic transformation and metastasis, therefore acts as a pro-oncogenic protein. Besides its normal localization in the ER, Agr2 is also found in the serum and urine of cancer patients, although the physiological significance of extracellular Agr2 is poorly understood. In this study, we demonstrated that extracellular Agr2 can activate stromal fibroblasts and promote fibroblast-associated cancer invasion in gastric signet-ring cell carcinoma (SRCC), where Agr2 is highly expressed. Agr2 secreted from SRCC cells was incorporated by the surrounding gastric fibroblasts and promoted invasion by these cells. In turn, activated fibroblasts coordinated the invasive behavior of fibroblasts and cancer cells. Our findings suggested that Agr2 drives progression of gastric SRCC by exerting paracrine effects on fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment, acting also to increase the growth and resistance of SRCC cells to oxidative and hypoxic stress as cell autonomous effects. Cancer Res; 75(2); 356–66. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Gastric cancers are histologically classified as intestinal or diffuse type of adenocarcinomas (1). The latter type comprises poorly differentiated cancers, including variant subtypes such as signet-ring cell carcinoma (SRCC; refs. 2, 3). SRCCs are mucus-producing adenocarcinomas that represent approximately 15% of all primary carcinomas of the stomach (4, 5). In SRCC cells, the mucus is retained in the cytoplasm, resulting in a characteristic cell morphology in which a large vacuole full of mucin displaces the nucleus to the periphery. When gastric SRCC metastasizes, it tends to disseminate to the peritoneum and develop lymphatic invasion. Although SRCC metastasizes, it tends to disseminate to the peritoneum and develop lymphatic invasion. Among them, gastric SRCC cells express MUC1, which is a membrane-bound mucin that stimulates dysregulated cell proliferation by increasing receptor-mediated signal transduction (14–16). Although expression of Agr2 promotes growth and transformed phenotypes of cancer cells (17–19), the significance of Agr2 expression in SRCC, a mucus-producing adenocarcinoma, is not well understood.

Agr2 has a unique carboxyl-terminal motif, KTEL. This motif interacts with the receptor in ER membrane that binds proteins with the terminal KDEL ER retention sequence, leading to ER localization of Agr2 (20). In some types of cancer, however, Agr2 is also present in the extracellular space, serum, and urine (21, 22). Although Agr2 is known to exert angiogenic effect (23), the functions of extracellular Agr2 are not as well characterized as the protein’s roles in the ER.

In this study, we investigated the novel functions of Agr2 in gastric SRCC using two cell lines, Tu-katoII and HSC-39. Our findings revealed that Agr2 secreted from these SRCC cells is incorporated by the surrounding stromal fibroblasts and activates invasive properties in those cells, which in turn promotes the
coordinated invasion by cancer cells and fibroblasts. In addition, Agr2 directly activates gastric SRCC cells by stimulating cell proliferation and increasing resistance to oxidative stress and hypoxia. Thus, Agr2 contributes to progression of gastric SRCC via cell-autonomous functions in cancer cells and paracrine effects on stromal fibroblasts. Together, these effects create a suitable microenvironment for cancer spreading. Consequently, extracellular Agr2 may be a suitable therapeutic target for preventing progression of gastric SRCC.

Materials and Methods
Cell culture
Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) from the tumoral gastric wall and normal fibroblasts from the nontumoral gastric wall were established (24) and cultured in DMEM containing 4,500 mg/mL glucose, 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, and 10% FBS. The gastric cancer cell line Tu-katoIII was established by culturing cancer cells isolated from mouse tumors following implantation of KATO III cells and subsequent subcutaneous injection of the cultured cells into nude mice (25). Gastric cancer cell line HSC-39 was established previously (26). 44AS and 58AS9 were derived from patients with scirrhous gastric carcinoma (27), and MKN-28 and MKN-74 were derived from patients with intestinal type gastric carcinoma (28). KATO III, MKN-28, and MKN-74 were obtained via the Health Science Research Resources Bank. All cancer cells were cultured in RPMI1640 containing 10% FBS. To study the role of Agr2 in SRCC, we initially examined the expression of Agr2 in archives of human gastric carcinoma diagnosed as SRCC, using immunohistochemistry. Expression of Agr2 in all cases, immunoreactivity was classified into Low, equal, or weaker than the intensity of noncancerous mucosa in the same patient; high, stronger than the noncancerous mucosa. Representative cases are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1.

3D gel invasion assay
Gel invasion assays were performed as described previously (29). Briefly, gel containing type I collagen (Nitta Gelatin) and Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was overlaid onto the top chambers of Transwells in 24-well plates. Fibroblasts and cancer cells were labeled with 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) and 3,3’-dioctadecyloxacarbocyanine perchlorate (DiO), respectively (Invitrogen). Cells were mixed (1.5 × 10⁴ cells each), and placed on the gels in medium containing puromycin (1 μg/mL). Selected cells were collected and used in bulk for most experiments. Stable add-back of Agr2 to cells expressing Agr2 miRNA was established through hygromycin selection (400 μg/mL).

Statistical analysis
Statistical significance was calculated using the Student t test. To assess the association between Agr2 expression levels and clinicopathologic parameters, Fisher exact tests were performed using the GraphPad Prism version 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software). Values of P < 0.05 were considered to represent statistically significant differences.

Apoptosis assays
Details are described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results
Agr2 is highly expressed in gastric signet-ring cell carcinoma
To study the role of Agr2 in SRCC, we initially examined the expression of Agr2 in archives of human gastric carcinoma diagnosed as SRCC, using immunohistochemistry. Expression of Agr2 was detected in SRCC cells in all cases examined (n = 30). Agr2 staining was more intense in cancer tissue relative to areas of noncancer within the same patient, in which corpus neck or base of the antral glands was stained (67%, n = 30, Fig. 1A and B). Agr2 expression was significantly high in T3/4 stage (P = 0.029), lymphatic invasion (P < 0.002), and venous invasion (P < 0.002; Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary Table S1). In contrast, there was no significant correlation between Agr2 expression and lymph node metastasis and clinical stage.
Among gastric cancer cell lines, Agr2 was expressed at high levels in Tu-katoIII and HSC-39, which are derived from SRCC, and low levels in several cell lines established from scirrhous gastric cancer (Fig. 1C). Tu-katoIII was established from parent KATO III cells, and has a high tumorigenic potential (24). The expression level of Agr2 was evidently higher in Tu-katoIII cells than in the parental KATO III cells (Fig. 1C). Tumors formed by Tu-katoIII cells in nude mice reflected typical features of human gastric SRCC (Fig. 1D).

To assess the effect of Agr2 on cancer progression, we generated Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 cell lines in which Agr2 was knocked down by a miRNA (Agr2miR). We then rescued the knockdown by transfection with miRNA-resistant Agr2, tagged with HA at the C-terminus (resAgr2HA; Fig. 1E and F). To generate miRNA-resistant Agr2, silent mutations were introduced into the Agr2 cDNA. The gross appearance of Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 cells did not change significantly as a function of Agr2 expression level.

Agr2 promotes growth and oxidative stress resistance of gastric SRCC cells

Next, we examined the biologic effects of Agr2 on Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 cells. First, we assessed whether Agr2 promotes the growth of these cells in vitro. Reduction of Agr2 expression in both
cell lines decreased cell growth, which recovered at least partially upon reexpression of Agr2 (Fig. 2A).

Agr2 acts as a disulfide isomerase and contains a thioredoxin-like motif, which suggests that it has redox activity (6). Furthermore, expression of Agr2 is regulated by the hypoxia-induced factor-1 (HIF1) transcriptional complex, and Agr2 mRNA levels are elevated in hypoxic condition (23). Therefore, we next investigated whether Agr2 affects the ability of cells to survive oxidative stress or hypoxia. Hydrogen peroxide-induced apoptosis of Tu-katoIII cells and HSC-39 cells was increased by knockdown of Agr2, but blocked by restoration of Agr2 expression (Fig. 2B). In cells exposed to 1% O2, many blebs protruded from the cell membrane, indicative of apoptotic changes in Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells, but not in control or Tu-katoIII resAgr2HA cells (Fig. 2C, bottom).

Agr2 is secreted from gastric SRCC cells and incorporated in fibroblasts

Because Agr2 is present in the serum and urine of some patients with cancer (21, 22), it must be secreted into the extracellular space. We detected Agr2 in conditioned medium of Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 cells, but not in the conditioned medium of Agr2miR cells (Fig. 3A). In addition, Agr2 was present in the CD81-positive...
pellet fraction following ultracentrifugation of Tu-katoIII conditioned medium (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that Agr2 is secreted extracellularly, either as a soluble protein or as a cargo in membrane-coated microvesicles.

SRCC is often accompanied by scirrhous gastric cancer, which is characterized by prominent fibrosis of the stomach lesion. Therefore, to assess the effect of extracellular Agr2 on stromal cells, we focused on gastric fibroblasts. When Tu-katoIII cells expressing HA-tagged Agr2 (Tu-katoIII resAgr2HA) were cocultured with normal fibroblasts from the stomach, Agr2HA was detected in the cytoplasm of vimentin-positive normal fibroblasts (Fig. 3C and D). Agr2HA was also present in normal fibroblasts after they were incubated with conditioned medium from Tu-katoIII or HSC-39 cells expressing Agr2HA (Fig. 3E–G). Incorporation of Agr2 within fibroblasts was confirmed by images of vertical sections in the x–z and y–z planes (Fig. 3E and F). In three-dimensional (3D)-rendered images of normal fibroblast cells, particles containing HA-tagged Agr2 were detected in the cytoplasm network of vimentin-positive intermediate filaments (Fig. 3G). Moreover, when soluble recombinant Agr2, constructed by fusing Agr2 with the Fc region of mouse IgG2b, was added to the culture medium of normal fibroblast cells, Agr2Fc attached to fibroblasts and was incorporated in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3H and I). These results indicate that Agr2 secreted from cancer cells is incorporated by the surrounding fibroblasts. Stromal staining of Agr2 was also detected in some human SRCC specimens (Supplementary Fig. S2A). When such specimens were immunofluorescence stained with the antibodies against Agr2.
and vimentin, Agr2-containing particles were scattered in the surrounding areas of vimentin-positive stromal fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. S2B).

Extracellular Agr2 activates stromal fibroblasts and promotes coordinated invasion by fibroblasts and cancer cells

We next assessed the biologic function of extracellular Agr2. To understand the effects of Agr2 on invasion by fibroblasts and cancer cells, we performed 3D-gel invasion assays. In these experiments, we labeled normal fibroblasts and Tu-katoIII cells with distinguishable fluorescent dyes, and placed them on top of gels composed of extracellular matrix (Fig. 4A). Vertical sections of gels in which mixtures of normal fibroblasts and control Tu-katoIII cells were seeded revealed chains of cells protruding from the clumps of mixed cells and invading the gel (Fig. 4B). In contrast, mixtures of normal fibroblasts and Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells did not invade the gels (Fig. 4C). Restoration of Agr2 expression in Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells increased the invasiveness of both cancer cells and normal fibroblasts (Fig. 4D). When the cell lines were plated alone, neither normal fibroblasts nor Tu-katoIII invaded the gels (Fig. 4E and F). Similar results were obtained with another SRCC cell line, HSC-39 (Supplementary Fig. 3A–C). The area of protrusions in the gel was measured, and the mean invasion index is summarized. Fibroblasts were more invasive than cancer cells of both the Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 lines; within the mixtures, invasion by fibroblasts preceded invasion by cancer cells (Fig. 4G and Supplementary Fig. S3D). On the other hand, 44As3 and 58As3 cells, which express Agr2 at very low level, did not invade into the gel when they were mixed with normal fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. S3E). These results indicate that a certain
The mean diameter of subcutaneous tumors derived from Tu-15 was compared after injection, and the tumors were resected for analysis. Tsuji et al. observed that large tumors were present in the abdominal walls of nude mice expressing various levels of Agr2. When Tu-katoIII cells were injected intraperitoneally, peritoneal dissemination of Tu-katoIII was observed. We confirmed that the density of cancer cells was reduced in tumors derived from Tu-katoIII cells compared to those from control cells. Agr2 secreted from Tu-katoIII cells activates coordinated invasion in normal fibroblasts, and the gel-collagen matrix contracted by normal fibroblasts is more effective in stimulation of invasion by normal fibroblasts and control Tu-katoIII cells (Fig. 4J). We observed previously that Agr2 activates invasion by normal fibroblasts and control Tu-katoIII cells through a mechanism involving the activation of normal fibroblasts. When Tu-katoIII cells were coinjected with control or resAgr2HA Tu-katoIII cells, the area of normal fibroblasts in resected stomachs was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy. Spreading of Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells was significantly reduced, and in some cases, undetectable (Fig. 6A, C, and E). Notably, the area of normal fibroblasts coinjected with Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells was reduced compared with the area of normal fibroblasts coinjected with control or resAgr2HA Tu-katoIII cells (Fig. 6F, D, and H). These results suggest that invasion and spreading of normal fibroblasts are modulated by Agr2 expression in coexpressing cells.

Next, we investigated whether recombinant Agr2 activates normal fibroblasts. Incubation of normal fibroblasts with Agr2-Fc increased the invasion of normal fibroblasts into the gel, whereas control Fc protein did not (Fig. 4H and I). These results indicate that Agr2 secreted from Tu-katoIII cells activates fibroblasts, leading to coordinated invasion by fibroblasts and cancer cells. The activation of normal fibroblasts by Tu-katoIII cells was also evident when the gels were viewed from above. Mixtures of normal fibroblasts and control Tu-katoIII cells contracted the gel, whereas such contraction was prevented by Agr2 knockdown in Tu-katoIII (Fig. 4I). As we observed previously, Agr2 activation accelerates invasion of surrounding fibroblasts. MKN-74, a gastric cancer cell line derived from intestinal type adenocarcinoma, does not express Agr2 (Fig. 1C). We stably overexpressed Agr2 with a carboxyl-terminal HA (Agr2HA) in MKN-74 cells, and subjected these cells to 3D-gel invasion assays (Fig. 4K). As expected, mixtures of normal fibroblasts and MKN-74 expressing Agr2HA exhibited more invasion by both normal fibroblasts and cancer cells than the mixture of normal fibroblasts and wild-type MKN-74 (Fig. 4L–N). On the other hand, expression of Agr2HA did not significantly increase the invasion by MKN-74 cells when the cancer cells were plated alone on the gel (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Taken together, these results suggest that Agr2 activated fibroblasts play an essential role in cancer progression. In our gel-invasion assay, expression of Agr2 increased invasion by normal fibroblasts, which in turn leads to coinvasion by normal fibroblasts and tumor cells. Because either coculture of normal fibroblasts with Tu-katoIII cells or addition of recombinant Agr2 protein activated the invasion of normal fibroblasts, we investigated whether expression of α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), a marker of activated fibroblasts or CAFs, is induced in normal fibroblasts by Agr2. In addition, we tested the effect of Agr2 on fibroblast proliferation. Expression of α-SMA was not induced in normal fibroblasts, and cell growth of normal fibroblasts was not affected after incubation with either Agr2-Fc or conditioned media from control Tu-katoIII cells (data not shown). Moreover, proliferation of MKN-74 cells was not statistically affected by overexpression of Agr2 (Supplementary Fig. S4B).

Agr2 promotes tumor growth and dissemination of gastric SRCC

To assess whether Agr2 affects the cell growth of gastric SRCC in vivo, we injected control, Agr2miR, or resAgr2HA Tu-katoIII cells subcutaneously into nude mice. The mice were sacrificed on day 15 after injection, and the tumors were resected and compared. The mean diameter of subcutaneous tumors derived from Tu-katoIII Agr2miR was reduced to one-eighth of that from control Tu-katoIII, and tumorigenicity was restored in the Agr2miR cells by re-expression of Agr2 (Fig. 5A–F). Histologic examination revealed that the density of cancer cells was reduced in tumors derived from Tu-katoIII Agr2miR (Fig. 5G–I). Next, we assessed the effect of Agr2 on the progression of peritoneal dissemination of Tu-katoIII. When Tu-katoIII cells expressing various levels of Agr2 were injected intraperitoneally in nude mice, large tumors were observed in the abdominal walls of mice injected with control Tu-katoIII cells or Tu-katoIII resAgr2HA cells, whereas tumor size in the abdominal wall was reduced in mice injected with Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells (Fig. 5J–L). The number of mice bearing tumor nodules larger than 2 mm in diameter were 10 of 10 (control Tu-katoIII), 0 of 10 (Agr2miR Tu-katoIII), and 8 of 10 (resAgr2HA Tu-katoIII). These observations indicate that Agr2 promotes the growth of SRCC in vivo and activates peritoneal carcinomatosis.

We next examined invasion of the gastric wall by mixtures of cancer cells and fibroblasts. Mixtures of Tu-katoIII cells and normal fibroblasts, labeled with distinguishable fluorescent dyes, were orthotopically injected into the submucosal space of mouse stomachs (29), and local spreading of cancer cells and fibroblasts in resected stomachs was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy. Spreading of Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells was significantly reduced, and in some cases, undetectable (Fig. 6A, C, and E). Notably, the area of normal fibroblasts coinjected with Tu-katoIII Agr2miR cells was reduced compared with the area of normal fibroblasts coinjected with control or resAgr2HA Tu-katoIII cells (Fig. 6F, D, and H). These results suggest that invasion and spreading of normal fibroblasts are modulated by Agr2 expression in coexpressing cells.

To confirm that Agr2 of cancer cells regulates the invasion of fibroblasts and leads to the expansion of tumor area in vivo, we examined tumor invasiveness of MKN-74, with or without overexpression of Agr2. When MKN-74 cells were injected alone in gastric wall, expression of Agr2 did not evidently affect their invasiveness (Fig. 6G and H). Mixtures of normal fibroblasts and control MKN-74, which does not express Agr2, did not significantly increase the spreading of cancer cells and fibroblasts (Fig. 6I and J). On the other hand, coinjection of normal fibroblasts with Agr2-overexpressing MKN-74 cells increased the invasiveness of both normal fibroblasts and cancer cells (Fig. 6K and L). Taken together, these results suggest that expression of Agr2 by cancer cells promotes fibroblast invasion in vivo, leading to the expansion of the tumor area consisting of cancer cells and fibroblasts.

Discussion

Agr2 is overexpressed in various cancer cells, and aberrant Agr2 expression in several tumor types predicts worse clinical outcomes (32–37). Previously, however, the functions of secreted Agr2 and its significance in the progression of gastric SRCC had not been extensively examined. Agr2 contains a carboxyl terminal ER localization motif, KTEL, which is required for promotion of cancer cell growth, suggesting that Agr2 exerts its tumor-promoting effects from the ER (20). However, Agr2 is also present in the serum and urine of patients with cancer, suggesting that secreted Agr2 may also play roles in cancer progression. In our gel-invasion assay, expression of Agr2 in SRCC cells increased invasive properties of cocultured normal fibroblasts. Furthermore, recombinant soluble Agr2 (Agr2-Fc) increased invasion by normal fibroblasts. In turn, these fibroblasts led to coordinated invasion by fibroblasts and cancer cells. Agr2 was detected in protein extracts of pellets following ultracentrifugation of Tu-katoIII conditioned medium, in which CD81, a marker of microvesicles, was also present. Therefore, extracellular Agr2 may be secreted as a soluble protein or released from cells in membrane-coated microvesicles. In this study, gel invasion by Tu-katoIII and HSC-39 did not depend on the direct effects of Agr2 on cancer cells, because neither control nor...
Agr2miR SRCC cells invaded the gel when they were seeded alone. In addition, overexpression of Agr2 in MKN-74 did not increase the invasiveness of these cells on its own. Therefore, elevated invasion by SRCC cells cocultured with normal fibroblasts largely depends on active invasion by fibroblasts. Previously, in a similar assay, we observed coordinated invasion by gastric scirrhous carcinoma cells and CAFs. Invasion by CAFs frequently preceded invasion by cancer cells, with the CAFs ultimately guiding the cancer cells. Gastric SRCC cells also followed the preceding fibroblasts in the gel-invasion assay performed in this study, suggesting that fibroblasts activated by extracellular Agr2 may lead to cancer cell invasion. High expression of Agr2 is correlated with metastasis of some types of cancer (33, 38). Thus, in addition to the cell-autonomous effects of Agr2 on cancer cells, fibroblast-associated cancer invasion mediated by extracellular Agr2 may play a pivotal role in cancer metastasis.

Expression of Agr2 in SRCC cells also affected invasion by fibroblasts in vivo. Knockdown of Agr2 in Tu-katoIII cells greatly decreased not only the growth of Tu-katoIII itself, but also the spreading of coinjected fibroblasts in the gastric wall. To further evaluate the significance of Agr2 on fibroblasts in vivo, we examined another cancer cell line, MKN-74, in which overexpressed Agr2 did not significantly affect growth in vitro. Expansion of the area infiltrated by normal fibroblasts was clearly increased by coinjection with MKN-74 Agr2HA. Similarly, spreading of MKN-74 Agr2HA cells was further increased by coinjection with normal fibroblasts, relative to that of MKN-74 Agr2HA alone. Therefore, Agr2-expressing cancer cells and fibroblasts invade cooperatively, and elevated fibroblast invasion was essential for infiltration of cancer cells in vivo.

Cancer cells are believed to “educate” the surrounding stromal cells, forcing them to adapt in a manner that provides a comfortable environment for tumor growth; however, the molecular mechanisms underlying this education are not well understood. In this study, we showed that Agr2 plays pivotal roles in the progression of two gastric SRCC cell lines, Tu-katoIII and HSC-39, and that extracellular Agr2 induces CAF-like invasive properties in stromal fibroblasts. In addition, in cell-autonomous effects, Agr2 promotes cancer cell proliferation and resistance to cellular stresses, including ROS and hypoxia. During the process of peritoneal carcinomatosis, cancer cells are exposed to both hypoxic conditions and oxidative stress. Thus, Agr2 may protect cancer cells...
from both types of stress, thereby assisting in peritoneal dissemination.

It remains unclear why Agr2 overexpression did not increase the proliferation of MKN-74 cells. Indeed, the effect of Agr2 on cell proliferation is controversial. For example, overexpression of Agr2 in NIH3T3 cells promotes their proliferation (18), and Agr2 exerts a proproliferative effect in various cancers (17, 18, 39). However, proliferation of stem or progenitor cells in the gastric mucosa is elevated in Agr2-deficient mice (40). The ultimate effect of Agr2 on cell growth may depend on differences in the levels of Agr2 modulators or effector proteins in each cell, and the effects of Agr2 on cell proliferation and invasion may depend on separate signaling pathways. The N-terminal region, 21–40, is responsible for the ability of Agr2 to promote cell adhesion (6). Although we did not observe clear differences between control or Agr2miR Tu-katoIII cells in adhesion to normal fibroblasts, invasion by the mixtures of normal fibroblasts with MKN74 Agr2Δ21–40, which lacks aa 21–40, was weaker than the mixtures of normal fibroblasts with MKN74 expressing wild-type Agr2 (Supplementary Fig. S5). Therefore, N-terminal region of Agr2 may be important for stimulation of cell invasion, and peptides or small molecules that interfere N-terminal function of Agr2 may become therapeutic. We cannot answer at present whether dimerization of Agr2 is critical to promote invasion of fibroblasts. As the peptide containing the dimerization motif 60-EALYK-64 of Agr2 destabilizes the oligomer in vitro (41), further examination of treatment of SRCC

**Figure 6.**

Agr2 regulates coinvasion by fibroblasts and cancer cells in vivo. Dil-labeled normal fibroblasts (NF; red) and DiO-labeled cancer cells (green); Tu-katoIII cells (A–F) or MKN-74 cells (G–L) were mixed (2 × 10⁵ cells each) and implanted into the submucosal layer of nude mouse stomachs. The stomachs were resected at day 14 and visualized using a fluorescence dissection microscope. Ten mice for each group were analyzed. Representative images are shown. Asterisk, position of the forestomach. Bar, 2 mm. M, the area of invading cells expressed as ratio of their area to that of control cells. Results are shown as means ± SD. *, P < 0.05 by the Student t test.
cells with this peptide may be attractive. It is also important to investigate whether Agr2 can serve as a biomarker in serum or urine in patients with gastric SRCC. Because Agr2 is expressed mainly in adenocarcinomas of various organs, it is possible that Agr2 secreted from cancer cells affects the biologic activity of stromal cells in general. Considering that signet-ring cells frequently exist in scirrhous-type gastric cancer, which is accompanied by marked fibrosis, Agr2 of SRCC cells may stimulate reacting fibroblasts and thereby establish the cancer microenvironment. Our findings elucidate a novel function of extracellular Agr2 as an activator of stromal fibroblasts that promotes fibroblast-associated cancer invasion. Therefore, extracellular Agr2 may represent a therapeutic target molecule for the development of drugs aimed at manipulating the cancer microenvironment.
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