Single-Strand DNA-Binding Protein SS1B Facilitates TERT Recruitment to Telomeres and Maintains Telomere G-Overhangs
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Abstract

Proliferating mammalian stem and cancer cells express telomerase [telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT)] in an effort to extend chromosomal G-overhangs and maintain telomere ends. Telomerase-expressing cells also have higher levels of the single-stranded DNA-binding protein SS1B, which has a critical role in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair. Here, we report that SS1B binds specifically to G-strand telomeric DNA in vitro and associates with telomeres in vivo. SS1B interacts with the TERT catalytic subunit and regulates its interaction with telomeres. Deletion of SS1B reduces TERT interaction with telomeres and leads to G-overhang loss. Although SS1B is recruited to DSB sites, we found no corresponding change in TERT levels at these sites, implying that SS1B–TERT interaction relies upon a specific chromatin structure or context. Our findings offer an explanation for how telomerase is recruited to telomeres to facilitate G-strand DNA extension, a critical step in maintaining telomeres and cell viability in all cancer cells. Cancer Res; 75(5); 858–69. © 2015 AACR.

Introduction

The telomeric ends of all eukaryotic chromosomes are capped with nucleoprotein complexes that prevent chromosome degradation or the formation of chromosome end-to-end fusions. Capping by the multiprotein shelterin complex, through binding to telomere-specific DNA sequences, also prevents aberrant recognition of the free DNA ends as a DNA double-strand break (DSB), which would typically activate the DNA-damage response. Three protein subunits (TRF1, TRF2, and POT1) of the shelterin complex are involved in direct recognition of the TTAGGG repeats found in telomeres. Three additional shelterin components (TIN2, TPP1, and Rap1) function in tandem to distinguish telomeres from interstitial DNA DSBs. Both TPP1 and POT1 have also been implicated in the regulation of telomerase recruitment to telomeres (1).

The catalytic unit of telomerase is telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) and its activity is necessary for the immortality of many cancers and is mostly inactive in somatic cells, suggesting that telomerase inhibition could selectively repress cancer cell growth with minimal side effects on normal tissue. Mammalian telomeres maintained by telomerase consist of long tracks of G-rich double-stranded DNA repeats that end in a G-rich, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) overhang. The mammalian telomerase consists of a reverse transcriptase (the catalytic subunit called TERT) and a functional RNA template (called TR or TERC) and is a unique ribonucleoprotein enzyme that is responsible for adding the telomeric repeats onto the 3’ ends of chromosomes during S-phase DNA synthesis. Telomerase is required to maintain a cells “regenerative” (i.e., stem cell) or proliferative (i.e., transformed cell) capacity. Although the enzymatic sp-properties of telomerase are well described, the mechanism by which mammalian telomerase is loaded onto the G-rich single-stranded telomeric overhang is largely unknown. Telomeric overhangs are normally bound by the single strand–binding protein, POT1 (2), while the TPP1 oligosaccharide-oligonucleotide (OB)-fold binding domain of shelterin TPP1 is sufficient for telomerase recruitment to telomeres (1). As several DNA repair–associated proteins, including ssDNA-binding protein 1 (SSB1), also have OB-fold domains, their role in telomerase recruitment to telomeres requires further elucidation.

Similar to other ssDNA-binding proteins (e.g., replication protein A, RPA), SSB is an essential component of the DNA repair machinery in eukaryotes. Human ssDNA-binding proteins 1 and 2 (hSSB1 and hSSB2, also known as NABP2 and NABP1, respectively), together with the integrator complex subunit 3 (INT3) and C9orf80, form a heterotrimeric protein complex that participates...
in DNA-damage responses and in the maintenance of genome stability (3). Recent studies have shown that SSB1 and SSB2 also appear to protect newly replicated leading- and lagging-strand DNA of telomeres (3); however, their specific function(s) at the telomere remains largely unknown.

Gu and colleagues (3) reported that deletion of murine Ssb1 (mSSB1) in increased chromatid-type fusions involving both leading- and lagging-strand telomeric DNA. Their observation suggests, but does not unequivocally prove, that SSB1 is required for the protection of G-overhangs. In addition, both mSSB1 and mSSB2 localize to a subset of telomeres and are required to repair TRF2-deficient telomeres (3). The localization of mSSB1 to damaged DNA requires interaction with INTS3 (4), while its association with telomeric ssDNA is dependent on interaction with Pot1a (3), indicating these functions and interactions are mediated differently. To investigate this possibility, we generated mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells from mSSB1 conditional knockout mice and used this model system to demonstrate here that SSB1 interacts with TERT and is required for telomerase recruitment to telomeres. Moreover, depletion of SSB1 results in the loss of G-overhangs, suggesting that SSB1 has a crucial role in maintaining the structure of telomere ends. However, while SSB1 is also recruited to DNA DSB sites, under this circumstance telomerase is not co-recruited, indicating SSB1 participates in two mechanistically distinct cellular processes.

Materials and Methods

Cells

The culture conditions for human HEK293 and HCT116 cells have been described previously (5). The conditional RosaCreER(T2)
Shbfl/+/mice were generated as described previously (6). MEFs were generated from E13.5 embryos and Cre expression was induced by treatment with tamoxifen at a concentration of 0.2 μmol/L for the indicated time.

Chromosomal aberration analysis

Chromosomal aberrations analysis was carried out in exponentially growing cells as described previously (5). Cell-cycle measurements were performed by flow cytometric analysis (7). Treatment of cell lines with siRNA was performed as described previously (8). For generation of mutant cells, embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells from mSSB1 conditional knockout mice and used this model system to demonstrate here that SSB1 interacts with TERT and is required for telomerase recruitment to telomeres. Moreover, depletion of SSB1 results in the loss of G-overhangs, suggesting that SSB1 has a crucial role in maintaining the structure of telomere ends. However, while SSB1 is also recruited to DNA DSB sites, under this circumstance telomerase is not co-recruited, indicating SSB1 participates in two mechanistically distinct cellular processes.

Detection of telomeres, centromeres, and telomerase assay

Telomeres and centromeres in metaphase spreads were detected by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) with a telomere- or centromere-specific probe as described previously (5). Nondenaturing in-gel hybridization to determine relative amounts of telomeric ssDNA (G-tails) was performed as described previously (5, 13, 14). Telomerase activity was determined as previously described (15, 16).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

The interaction of recombinant hSSB1 with G-rich strand (TTAGGG)<b>g</b>, C-rich strand (AATCCC)<b>g</b>, and GC-duplex oligonucleotides was investigated using native acrylamide electrophoretic mobility shift analysis (EMSA). Increasing concentrations of hSSB1 were incubated with 32P-labeled oligonucleotide ssDNA (50 pmol) in buffer (20 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.3, 100 mmol/L KCl, 1 mmol/L MgCl2, and 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin) at 20°C for 30 minutes in 10 μL total volume. Reactions were resolved on 10% native acrylamide/TBE gel as described previously (17). Gels were exposed to a phosphorimage plate and visualized with a Fuji FLA-5000 Phosphorimager.

Western blot analysis, immunoprecipitation, and chromatin immunoprecipitation

Western blot analysis, immunoprecipitation, and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) were performed by standard procedures as previously described (5, 12). In brief, hSSB1 or Flag antibody immunoprecipitated human DNA was membrane bound by slot-blotting and then hybridized with 32P-labeled DNA probe. The quantitation of the DNA signals was achieved by phosphorimaging. Antibodies used in this study were supplied by Sigma (M2-Flag), Calbiochem (Mtr1 and Rad51), Upstate (g-H2AX), Roche [bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)] and Invitrogen (GFAP and Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies). Sheep antiserum to hSSB1 was raised against full-length recombinant His-tagged hSSB1 and have been described previously (12, 17, 18).

Detection of hSSB1 and hTERT proteins at I-SceI induced DSB sites by ChIP in different phases of the cell cycle

DR95 cells expressing Flag-hTERT were treated with 2 mmol/L thymidine for 14 hours and then washed with 1× PBS and released into fresh media as described previously (19). The cell-cycle distribution was determined using flow cytometry to measure DNA content. The percentage of cells in different phases was calculated from summation of G1 + S + G2−M- phases (Supplementary Table S1), eliminating M-phase cells that were quantitated by metaphase scoring. The closest PCR product to the DSB site is 94-378 (19, 20).

Results

Preferential binding of hSSB1 to the telomere G-strand

Many proteins of the DNA DSB repair machinery are constitutively expressed, as are some proteins involved in telomere maintenance, and demonstrate increased chromatin retention in cells following irradiation (21–23). Indeed, some such proteins even play dual roles. Similar to RPA and ATR, SSB1 is involved in DNA DSB repair by homologous recombination (24, 25) and helps protect newly replicated telomeres (3). Although recombinant SSB1 is thought to exhibit a nonspecific ssDNA-binding activity, we have previously shown that hSSB1 prefers binding to poly pyrimidine or d(GT) ssDNA substrates and that increasing dA content was inhibitory (17). This is also true for SSBs found in other organisms; a marked decrease in binding activity to polyadenine sequences has been reported for Escherichia coli SSB (26). In fact, the structure of an hSSB, such as RPA, bound to ssDNA (27), shows only two DNA bases that efficiently base-stack with aromatic amino acids in the protein’s ssDNA-binding cleft. The identity of these DNA bases is likely to be important for binding capacity; the larger adenine base is not as thermodynamically favorable for binding (as pyrimidines; refs. 17, 26) due to steric hindrance and/or inefficient base stacking with the aromatic side chains. We therefore...
determined, by EMSA, whether SSB1 can specifically bind to mammalian DNA containing G- or C-rich telomere-like sequences. Results support binding of recombinant SSB1 to single-stranded G-rich telomeric oligonucleotides (TTAGGG)₅, but not to C-rich telomeric oligonucleotides (AATCCC) or GC duplex oligonucleotides (Fig. 1A and B). The nonpreference for the C-strand may be due, at least in part, to the adjacent adenine bases in the repeated telomeric motif, which likely mimics the effect of poly-A sequences. Without a structure, we can only postulate this reason for the inability of hSSB1 to efficiently position itself on this C-rich sequence.

Depletion of SSB1 results in the G-overhang loss from telomeres

Given that hSSB1 binds the G-rich sequences of telomeres, we next explored whether SSB1 binding plays a direct role in regulating and maintaining the length of G-overhangs. We constructed an Ssb1 / Ssb1fl/ox allele (6) conditional deletion mutant using tamoxifen-induced Cre recombinase expression (Cre-ERT2) in MEFs and demonstrated efficient deletion of mSsb1 without affecting the levels of TRF1 or TRF2 (Fig. 2A). Using a sensitive double strand-specific nuclease technique (28, 29), we measured G-overhang at different time points following
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\[ \text{G strand Kd} \approx 1.5 \pm 0.2 \mu \text{mol/L} \]

\[ \text{C strand Kd} > 15 \mu \text{mol/L} \]

\[ \text{GC duplex Kd} > 24 \mu \text{mol/L} \]

Figure 1. hSSB1 interaction with DNA. A, EMSA showing preferential binding of recombinant SSB1 to ssDNA substrates that are either G-rich or C-rich telomere oligonucleotides. B, the data are from triplicate gel shift experiments showing binding curves of hSSB1 using G-rich and C-rich oligonucleotides. hSSB1 preferentially binds G-rich oligonucleotides with minimum binding to C-rich oligonucleotides. The difference in hSSB1 binding with G-rich versus C-rich oligonucleotides is statistically significant (*, P < 0.05 and **, P < 0.01 as determined by the \( \chi^2 \) test).
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Figure 2. Effect of SSB1 depletion on G-overhang. A, Western blot of Ssb1, TRF1, and TRF2 in Rosa CreERT2: Ssb1fl/ox MEFs at different population (P) doublings with and without tamoxifen treatment. B and C, in-gel hybridization analysis of G-overhang in MEF cells with and without depletion of hSSB1 (E) and quantitation from three independent experiments (C). The difference in G-overhang loss is statistically significant (*, P < 0.05 and **, P < 0.01 as determined by the \( \chi^2 \) test).

D, Western blot of hSSB1 in HEK 293 cells with and without knockdown of hSSB1. E and F, in-gel hybridization analysis of G-overhang in HEK 293 cells with and without depletion of hSSB1 (E) and quantitation from three independent experiments (F). The difference in G-overhang loss is statistically significant (*, P < 0.05 and **, P < 0.01 as determined by the \( \chi^2 \) test).
SSB1 is required for TERT recruitment to telomeres

A possible mechanism by which SSB1 facilitates the protection and/or maintenance of G-overhangs is through recruitment of telomerase, perhaps via direct binding of TERT to the G-rich single-stranded overhangs during DNA replication. To investigate this possibility, we performed SSB1 and hTERT coimmunoprecipitation experiments, immunoprecipitating endogenous hSSB1 from cells expressing Flag-hTERT (Supplementary Fig. S3A) followed by immunoblotting with an anti-Flag antibody. Similarly, we expressed GFP-SSB1 and Flag-hTERT, then immunoprecipitated TERT with Flag antibody followed by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP antibody (Supplementary Fig. S3B). In both cases, hSSB1 and hTERT associated together in a complex (Fig. 3A).

Because hTERT is known to localize to telomeres, ChIP was performed to determine whether hSSB1 also colocalizes to telomeric DNA. Irrespective of p53 status, hSSB1 is present in cells (Fig. 3B) and readily detected in association with telomeric DNA (Fig. 3D and E). Depletion with siRNAs of either hSSB1 or hTERT (Fig. 3B and C) resulted in a corresponding loss of both hSSB1 and hTERT binding to telomeric DNA (Fig. 3D–G), whereas overexpression of Flag-hTERT (Fig. 3C) increased telomerase-associated hSSB1 levels independent of p53 status (Fig. 3D and E). To determine whether depletion of hSSB1 (Fig. 3B) affects the association of hTERT with telomeres, ChIP was performed by using an anti-Flag antibody to detect telomeric Flag-hTERT in hSSB1-depleted cells. Consistent with other results, the levels of telomere-bound hTERT were reduced as a consequence of hSSB1 depletion (Fig. 3D and E). In contrast, overexpression of hSSB1 and hTERT resulted in enhanced association of both proteins at the telomeres (Fig. 3D and E; Supplementary Fig. S3B). Conversely, when hTERT was depleted with specific siRNA (Fig. 3C), the levels of telomere-associated hSSB1 were reduced (Fig. 3F and G). Although overexpression of proteins can lead to misinterpretations, these results are consistent with experiments using native cells (31) and imply that hTERT and hSSB1 first interact with one another before the protein complex recognizes single-stranded G-overhangs of telomeres.

The OB-fold of TPP1 (a shelterin protein) is required for recruitment of hTERT to telomeres. We next assessed whether the OB domain of SSB1 is similarly associated with hTERT recruitment. A GFP-tagged hSSB1 mutant protein missing the OB binding domain (ΔOB-hSSB1), when expressed in 293 cells, still immunoprecipitated with hTERT (Fig. 3H). However, the ΔOB-hSSB1 mutant protein displayed decreased telomere association (Fig. 3I and J) and corresponding reduction in telomere-associated hTERT (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Full-length hSSB1 tagged with GFP (GFP-hSSB1) showed no reduction in telomere DNA binding (Supplementary Fig. S3D). These results imply that while the loss of the hSSB1 OB domain does not inhibit its interaction with hTERT, it does interfere with hSSB1–hTERT complex loading onto telomeric DNA.

SSB1 has been reported to interact with another shelterin component POT1 (3), which when paired with TTP1 in a POT1–TTP1 heterodimer, has a higher affinity for telomeric ssDNA compared with POT1 alone (32). Thus, we explored whether depletion of POT1 (Fig. 4A) or TTP1 (Fig. 4B) altered SSB1 association with telomere DNA. Depletion of TTP1, as did POT1 depletion, significantly reduced TERT and SSB1 association with telomeres (Fig. 4C and D). Although depletion of TTP1 essentially eliminated the association of telomeres with hTERT and hSSB1, we did not detect any interaction between SSBI and TTP1 (Fig. 4E), suggesting that these two proteins independently regulate the recruitment of telomerase to the telomeres.

S-phase-specific interaction of SSB1 with telomeres

Telomeres are synthesized throughout DNA replication in mammalian cells (34). As such, we sought to determine whether SSB1 levels and telomere binding were a function of cell-cycle position. Cells were enriched in different phases by serum deprivation as well as by thymidine block. For serum deprivation, cells were incubated until confluence, washed, and incubated for 48 hours in serum-free medium. Cell-cycle analysis by flow cytometry revealed that more than 95% of cells were in G1-phase of the cell cycle. As shown in Fig. 2B, the level of native single-stranded G-overhang DNA decreased with increasing postinduction times in CreERT2: Sbi1/D0 cells (Fig. 2B and C). Similarly, depletion of hSSB1 in human HEK293 cells with hSSB1-specific siRNA (Fig. 2D) also resulted in loss of G-overhangs (Fig. 2E and F; Supplementary Fig. S1; refs. 17, 28). Taken together, these results support the argument that SSB1 regulates the stability of telomeric G-overhangs in mouse and human cells. To determine whether the G-overhang reduction was due to breaks near the telomeres, we next conducted telomeric FISH analysis to detect any loss of telomeric signals in metaphase cells (Supplementary Fig. S2). We reasoned that G-overhang loss would not result in a total loss of telomere signals, unless the loss led to extensive telomere degradation or a subtelomeric break. Concurrent with the loss of G-overhangs, we observed telomere end-to-end associations but without telomere signal loss (Supplementary Fig. S2A), a situation also observed in cells defective in ATM function (16, 30). In addition, a higher frequency of Robertsonian fusions was observed in Sbi1-deleted cells (P < 0.01; Supplementary Fig. S2B–S2D), suggesting that G-overhang loss was accompanied by breaks at or near the telomere that led to telomere fusions. A similar situation was observed in hSSB1-depleted human cells, where dicentric chromosome formation was detected (Supplementary Fig. S2E and S2F).
Figure 3.
Interaction of hSSB1 with telomeres. A, Flag-tagged hTERT was expressed in HEK 293 cells and immunoprecipitated with hSSB1 antibody. hTERT was detected by Flag antibody. B, detection of hSSB1 in HCT116 cells with and without p53. hSSB1 was depleted with specific siRNA. C, depletion of hTERT by UTR-specific siRNA and detection by Western blot analyses. Detection (D) and quantification (E) of hSSB1 at the telomeres by ChIP analysis using an hSSB1 antibody. Lanes 1 and 2, control; lanes 3 and 4, hSSB1 depleted by specific siRNA; lane 5, p53−/− cells with overexpression of hTERT; lane 6, p53−/− cells with overexpression of hTERT and hSSB1; lane 7, p53−/− cells with overexpression of hTERT and hSSB1; lane 8, p53−/− cells with overexpression of hTERT and hSSB1. F and G, ChIP analysis by using Flag-antibody to analyze Flag-hTERT at the telomeres; lane 1, p53−/− cells; lane 2, p53−/− cells; lane 3, p53−/− cells with depleted hTERT; and lane 4, p53−/− cells with depleted hTERT. H, interaction of ΔOB-hSSB1 with hTERT was determined by immunoprecipitation (IP). I and J, effect of hTERT deletion or expression of hSSB1 with OB-depletion (GFP-ΔOB-hSSB1) on the interaction of hSSB1 with telomeres determined by ChIP. Lane 1, HEK293 cells with hTERT depletion by specific siRNA and ChIP with hSSB1 antibody; lane 2, HEK 293 cells ChIP with hSSB1 antibody; lane 3, HEK 293 cells with depletion of endogenous hSSB1 and expression of GFP-ΔOB-hSSB1 and ChIP with GFP antibody; lane 4, HEK 293 cells with expression of GFP-ΔOB-hSSB1 and ChIP with GFP antibody.
cycle. Western blot analysis indicated that hSSB1 protein levels peaked during S-phase (Fig. 5A and B). Furthermore, ChIP analysis indicated that telomere-associated hSSB1 levels peaked in mid to late S-phase cells then declined in S–G2–phase cells before reaching minimum in G1- and S–G2–phase cells (Fig. 5C and D). We similarly examined ectopically expressed Flag-hTERT binding to telomeres as a function of cell-cycle position by ChIP analysis and found binding to telomeric DNA in S-phase, but not G1- or S–G2–phase cells (Fig. 5E and F). Together, these results indicate that SSB1 recruitment, as does hTERT, occurs specifically during telomere replication.

hSSB1, but not hTERT, associates directly with DNA DSBs

Expression of hTERT enhances DNA DSB repair and suppresses genomic instability (35). Because hSSB1 interacts with hTERT and hSSB1 has an increased presence at DSB sites, we assessed whether hTERT levels also increase at DNA DSBs. To determine recruitment of hSSB1 and hTERT to interstitial DNA DSBs, we compared the loading of each protein at different distances from an l-Sce-induced DSB site (19). Cells enriched in G1, S, or S–G2-phase (Supplementary Table S1), were induced for l-Sce site cleavage and analyzed by ChIP, using RAD51-, hSSB1-, MRE11 KU80-, and hTERT-specific antibodies in combination with site-specific PCR primer pairs. In exponentially growing asynchronous cells, RAD51, hSSB1, MRE11, and KU80 appeared elevated in closest proximity to the DNA DSB and declined as the distance from the DSB increased. In contrast, the level of hTERT at the DSB site was similar to that of the control and both did not fluctuate with distance (Fig. 6A). In G1-phase cells, only KU80 levels were high in close proximity to the DSB break and declined with distance, whereas levels of RAD51, hSSB1, MRE11, and hTERT were essentially background and constant across the break site (Fig. 6B). However, in S- and S–G2–phase cells, high RAD51 or MRE11 levels localized near the DNA break and declined with distance, whereas there was no change in hTERT or KU80 recruitment (Fig. 6C and D). Moreover, SSB1 foci formation at the l-Sce DSB site could be detected by immunofluorescence (Fig. 6E–G), but not by hTERT foci formation (Fig. 6H–J), corroborating the ChIP protein mapping results.

Association of hSSB1 with telomeres requires hTERT

Cells called ALT (alternative lengthening of telomeres) lack detectable expression of endogenous hTERT but exhibit a telomerase-independent mechanism of telomere maintenance. The ALT cells lacking hTERT expressed hSSB1 levels similar to that of isogenic ALT cells ectopically expressing hTERT (Fig. 7A); however, hSSB1 association with telomeres was only detected in hTERT-expressing ALT cells (Fig. 7B). Telomere FISH analysis indicated that ALT cells presented with variable telomere signals (Fig. 7C), which was unaffected by hSSB1 overexpression. In contrast, ectopic expression of hTERT resulted in gradual stabilization of the telomere size (Fig. 7D), emphasizing the need for both hSSB1 and hTERT for normal telomere maintenance.

Loss of SSB1 increases Robertsonian fusions

Our results demonstrate a role for hSSB1 in the recruitment of TERT to telomeres and the extension of telomeric G-overhangs. To elucidate the role for hSSB1 in regulating telomerase activity, we performed an in vitro TRAP assay, using extracts from cells with and without SSB1 depletion. Depletion of SSB1 had no apparent effect on in vitro telomerase activity, implying that the SSB1–TERT interaction functions mainly in telomerase recruitment to telomeres rather than regulation of telomerase activity (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Mouse cells deficient for SSB1 have been shown to exhibit higher frequencies of chromatid fusions due to nucleolytic degradation of G-overhangs (3). An unanswered question is whether SSB1 depletion-induced loss of G-overhangs also affects telomere fusions and loss of telomere signals. FISH analysis with a

Role of SSB1 for TERT Recruitment

Figure 4: Effect of POT1 and TPP1 depletion on SSB1 and hTERT association with telomeric DNA. A and B, knockdown of POT1 (A) and TPP1 (B) with specific si-RNA. C and D, lane 1, ChIP with hSSB1 antibody; lane 2, ChIP with hSSB1 antibody from hTERT overexpressing cells; lane 3, with ChIP with Flag-hTERT antibody in hSSB1 overexpressing cells; lane 4, ChIP with hSSB1 antibody in TPP1-depleted cells; lane 5, ChIP with Flag-hTERT antibody in TPP1-depleted cells; and lane 6, ChIP with Flag-hTERT antibody in POT1-depleted cells. E, Flag-tagged TPP1 was expressed in 293 cells and immunoprecipitated with hSSB1 antibody. TPP1 was detected by Flag antibody.
telomere-specific probe (Supplementary Fig. S2) of MEF cells containing a tamoxifen/Cre-inducible mSsb1 gene deletion, detected frequent loss of telomere signals in SSB1-depleted cells and a gradual increase in the frequency of Robertsonian fusions with increasing drug treatment time. No metaphase cells with more than five to seven Robertsonian fusions were observed, suggesting an upper limit to the number of fusions that a cell may have before its ability to enter metaphase is compromised.

SSB1 depletion leads to defective DNA-damage response in S-phase

Both hSSB1 and mSsb1 have been implicated in the DNA-damage response. Thus, depletion of mSsb1 in MEFs may cause spontaneous genomic instability (3, 17, 24). Measurements of the spontaneous chromosome aberration frequency in metaphase cells, with or without depletion of mSsb1, showed a higher frequency of chromosome aberrations in mSsb1-depleted MEFs (Supplementary Table S2). Robertsonian translocations were one type of aberration frequently observed, indicating that the same genomic instability mechanism is triggered in both mouse and human cells by SSB1 deficiency (Supplementary Fig. S2).

To examine whether defects in repair induces chromosome aberrations at specific phases of the cell cycle, we analyzed chromosome- or chromatid-type aberrations at metaphase after exposure to ionizing radiation. We previously reported that G1-specific aberrations detected at metaphase are mostly of the chromosome type (12, 13), whereas S-phase-type aberrations detected at metaphase are chromosomal- and chromatid-type (12, 13). G2-type aberrations detected at metaphase are predominantly of the chromatid type (12).
Figure 6.
Localization of repair proteins and hTERT to a DSB site as measured by ChIP and immunofluorescence. Cell synchronization, cell-cycle analysis, I-SceI–induced DSB, and ChIP analysis were done according to the described procedure (19, 20). The closest PCR product to the DSB site is 94–378. A–D, exponentially growing asynchronous cells (A); G1-phase cells (B); S-phase cells (C); and S–G2-phase cells (D). E–H, detection of repair proteins and hTERT at I-SceI site by immunofluorescence. Control cells without I-SceI digestion (E); hSSB1 antibody detected as red by Alexa Fluor 568 (F) and green by Alexa Fluor 488 (G); control cells without digestion (H); hTERT antibody detected as red by Alexa Fluor 568 (I); and green by Alexa Fluor 488 (J).
To measure G1-specific chromosome damage caused by loss of Ssb1, we exposed the exponentially growing mouse MEF cells to 3 Gy of IR and scored aberrations at metaphase, as described previously (12, 13). The loss of mSsb1 did not appear to affect the frequency of chromosome aberrations in G1 cells (Fig. 7E). In S-phase cells, mSsb1 depletion induced higher frequencies of genomic stability. A, protein levels hSSB1 in ALT cells with and without expression of hTERT. B, interaction of hSSB1 with telomeres as determined by ChIP. Lane 1, ALT cells without expression of hTERT; lane 2, with expression of hTERT. C and D, telomeres detected by telomere-specific FISH. Metaphase of ALT cell showing large variation in telomere signals (C) and expression of hTERT and regaining telomerase activity show uniformity of the telomere signals (D). E-I, chromosomal aberrations in mSsb1+/+ and mSsb1−/− cells after irradiation exposure. For analysis of G1-phase-specific chromosome aberrations, cells were irradiated (3 Gy), incubated for 12 hours, and then treated for 3 hours with colcemid, followed by hypotonic treatment and fixation for scoring metaphase chromosome aberrations. Categories of asymmetric chromosome aberrations scored included Robertsonian fusions, dicentrics, centric rings, interstitial deletions-acentric rings, and terminal deletions (E). For S-phase-specific chromosome aberrations, cells were irradiated with 2 Gy, incubated for 6 hours, and metaphases were harvested after 3 hours of colcemid treatment (F-H). S-phase-specific aberration observed in the first round of metaphases included tri-, quadri-, and rings, breaks, and gaps. When cells irradiated in S-phases were allowed to go for two or more cell divisions, the frequency of aberrations including Robertsonian fusion increased only in mSsb1−/− MEFs. The difference in chromosomal aberrations in S-phase between cells with and without SSB1 is statistically significant (P < 0.01 as determined by the x² test). For G2-type chromosome aberrations, exponential-phase cells were irradiated with 1 Gy, incubated for 1 hour, followed by 3 hours of colcemid treatment and subsequent hypotonic treatment fixation to analyze chromosome aberrations at metaphases (H and I). Model depicting the interaction of SSB1 with TERT and their recruitment to the G-strand of single-stranded telomeric DNA (J).
chromatid and chromosomal aberrations (Fig. 7F). In addition, irradiated S-phase and mSsb1-depleted cells exhibited higher frequencies of tri-and quadri-radials. We observed Robertsonian fusions and fragments once these cells had undergone one cell division, implicating a critical role for SSBI in S-phase DNA repair (Fig. 7G and H). Upon evaluating C2--phase-specific chromo-

some repair, we concluded that the loss of mSsb1 had no effect on the frequency of chromosome aberrations (Fig. 7I), reinforcing the idea that mSsb1 participates in chromosome repair/or pro-

tection primarily in S-phase.

Discussion

The OB-fold domain, a hallmark of ssDNA-binding proteins, facilitates the binding of these proteins to ssDNA that is present at telomeric ends, as well as during DNA replication and DNA DSB repair (36, 37). Studies of the shelterin component TPP1 indicate that OB-fold proteins can also regulate recruitment of telomerase to telomeres (38), a process that is poorly under-

stood. Previous studies have implicated a role for the OB-fold domain containing SSB1 and SSB2 proteins in the protection of newly replicated telomeres (3). In this series of investigations, we sought to elucidate the mechanisms through which SSB1 facilitates telomerase recruitment to telomeres. We demonstrat-

ed that SSBI containing the OB-fold domain interacts with the G-overhangs of telomeres, helping in maintaining G-overhangs and telomere stability.

The shelterin complex includes the proteins TRF1, TRF2, TIN2, Rap1, TPP1, and POT1, but not SSB1, which is consistent with our results that show SSBI is found at telomeres only during S-phase. Thus, the role of SSBI in end-protection coincides with the role of telomerase as previously proposed (39). We also found that depletion of POT1 reduces hTERT as well as SSBI recruitment, consistent with the model that removal or depletion of POT1 leads to telomere instability. In addition, TPP1 depletion resulted in the loss of hTERT recruitment to telomeres. Furthermore, we found that loss of TPP1 decreased association of SSBI at the telomeres. We found telomere-associated SSBI mostly in S-phase, underscoring the hypothesis that SSBI plays a role in maintaining the function of telomerase at the telomeres. Our results support a model in which TPP1 is required for hTERT interaction with telomeres (1, 38), and SSBI plays a critical role in aiding telomerase to maintain G-overhangs. During homologous recombination–mediated DNA DSB repair, the Mre11–Rad50–Nbs1 (MRN) complex, in cooperation with Sae2/CtIP, resects the DNA DSB into ssDNA that is then bound by RPA to facilitate ATM recruitment and the phosphor-
ylation of downstream factors controlling DSB repair and cell-cycle progression (40–43). In addition to RPA, SSBI appears to also be involved in homologous recombination–mediated repair (24), that is consistent with our own observations. These results support the role of SSBI in chromosome damage repair during S-phase. Although homologous recombination–mediated DNA DSB repair is also prevalent in the G2--phase of the cell cycle, cells deficient in SSBI did not show any G2--specific defect in chromo-

some repair, implicating a particular role for SSBI in S-phase when DNA synthesis is being coordinated with the maintenance of telomeres.

Our results align with those of Chan and Blackburn (39) who described the role of telomerase in chromosome end-protection as maintaining telomere capping. Gu and colleagues (3) have also reported that mSSBI protects the chromosome ends. Most of the shelterin components are present at the telomeres in all phases of the cell cycle; however, SSBI is present at telomeres only in S-phase, presumably to have a protective role during the period of G-overhang synthesis.

We showed that SSBI interacts with TERT, and SSBI is required for TERT to associate with telomeres, suggesting that SSBI has a role in recruiting TERT to telomeres but not to the DNA DSB sites. Together with the observation that SSBI forms detectable repairosome foci postirradiation while hTERT does not, we conclude that the recruitment of hTERT through SSBI is dependent on the different chromatin structure formed at telomeres versus those found within interstitial DNA (23).

Although TERT does not concentrate at DNA DSB sites, it is well documented that TERT expression enhances DNA DSB repair and suppresses genomic instability, underscoring a strong argument for telomerase having at least an indirect role in DNA repair (31, 35, 44). Gene expression is altered by TERT expression and, given that DNA repair factors, transcription regulators and chromatin-modifying factors coordinate their functions depending on chromatin status (i.e., structure of the DNA), this supports the idea that SSBI similarly plays multiple roles in these processes.

The fact that SSBI depletion increased chromosomal aberrations (3, 17) remains consistent with a role for SSBI in S-phase. We demonstrated that SSBI depletion results in cell cycle--specific aberrations that correlate with loss of G-overhang synthesis in S-phase, again favoring the argument that SSBI is critical for telomerase activity at the telomeres and in maintaining genomic stability. These results support a novel function for SSBI in telomerase recruitment to telomeres during telomere replication (Fig. 7). As in yeast, the telomere-binding protein, Cdc13p, positively regulates telomerase recruitment through the Est1 component of telomerase (45–47). Similarly, SSBI interacts with TERT in an interaction that facilitates telomerase recruitment to telomeres.

In this study, we clearly established that SSBI forms a complex with TERT and facilitates its binding to and extension of telomeres (i.e., maintaining G-overhang). Conversely, SSBI needs TERT to associate with telomeres, supported by the observation that TERT-depleted cells have very few telomere- associated SSBI. The lack of SSBI at the telomeres in ALT cells that have normal expression of SSBI but lack TERT expression, further confirms the critical role of the SSBI–telomerase complex. Furthermore, the gradual decrease in the amount of native telomeric DNA and subsequent increase in the frequency of Robertsonian fusions in cells deficient for SSBI, supports the argument that SSBI plays a role in the extension of the telo-

meric DNA. On the basis of in vitro assays, SSBI shows preferential binding to G-rich telomeric DNA sequences, which is consistent with the role of SSBI in TERT-mediated telomere maintenance. Overall, the data demonstrate that SSBI has a role in the recruiting of telomerase at the telomeres to maintain G-overhang length and telomeres by telomerase, thus avoiding chromosome instability.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.
Authors’ Contributions

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): R.K. Pandita, T.T. Chow, D. Udlayakumar, A.L. Bain, L. Cubeddu, W. Shi, K.K. Khanna, T.K. Pandita
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): T.T. Chow, N. Hortikoshi, Y. Zhao, T.K. Pandita
Study supervision: W.E. Wright, J.W. Shay, T.K. Pandita

Acknowledgments

The authors thank members of Pandita laboratory and Arun Gupta for technical help. The authors also thank Hanh Hoang and D. Singh for critical reading of the article.

Grant Support

This work has been supported by NIH grants CA129537, CA154320, and GM109768.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received August 7, 2014; revised November 25, 2014; accepted November 30, 2014; published OnlineFirst January 14, 2015.

References


Single-Strand DNA-Binding Protein SSB1 Facilitates TERT Recruitment to Telomeres and Maintains Telomere G-Overhangs


**Updated version**
Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2289

**Supplementary Material**
Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2015/01/14/0008-5472.CAN-14-2289.DC1

**Cited articles**
This article cites 47 articles, 18 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/75/5/858.full.html#ref-list-1

**Citing articles**
This article has been cited by 3 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
/content/75/5/858.full.html#related-urls

**E-mail alerts**
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

**Reprints and Subscriptions**
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

**Permissions**
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.