Aldehyde Dehydrogenase Is Regulated by β-Catenin/TCF and Promotes Radioresistance in Prostate Cancer Progenitor Cells
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Abstract

Radiotherapy is a curative treatment option in prostate cancer. Nevertheless, patients with high-risk prostate cancer are prone to relapse. Identification of the predictive biomarkers and molecular mechanisms of radioresistance bears promise to improve cancer therapies. In this study, we showed that aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity is indicative of radioresistant prostate progenitor cells with an enhanced DNA repair capacity and activation of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). Gene expression profiling of prostate cancer cells, their radioresistant derivatives, ALDH+ and ALDH− cell populations revealed the mechanisms, which link tumor progenitors to radioresistance, including activation of the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway. We found that expression of the ALDH1A1 gene is regulated by the WNT signaling pathway and co-occurs with expression of β-catenin in prostate tumor specimens. Inhibition of the WNT pathway led to a decrease in ALDH+ tumor progenitor population and to radiosensitization of cancer cells. Taken together, our results indicate that ALDH+ cells contribute to tumor radioresistance and their molecular targeting may enhance the effectiveness of radiotherapy.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed noncutaneous neoplasm in men worldwide (1). Most of the patients with prostate cancer are diagnosed at an early stage and may be cured with surgery or radiotherapy, with or without androgen deprivation (1–3). Depending on tumor stage, from 55% to more than 90% of all prostate cancers can be permanently controlled by radiotherapy (3–5). This supports that radiotherapy has significant potential to inactivate tumor-initiating or cancer stem cells (CSC), which can potentially provoke tumor relapse. Nevertheless, some patients with high-risk prostate cancer develop local relapse after radiation therapy. The most obvious explanation for radiotherapy failure is related to the CSC population, which was not completely sterilized during the treatment and caused tumor recurrence (6, 7).

The proportion of CSCs in the bulk tumor cell population has a high intertumoral variability. Few studies have correlated the density of CSC markers with poor clinical outcome of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC), glioma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, and rectal carcinoma (7, 8). Despite the expression of CSC markers varies considerably between tumors of the same entity, the current findings suggest that estimation of the number of CSCs in pretherapeutic biopsies is of high importance for prediction of tumor radiocurability and selection of the optimal therapy (6). However, the clinical and preclinical studies analyzing the predictive value of CSC biomarkers on prostate cancer radiocurability are missing so far.

Aside from the impact of CSC density on tumor radiocurability, recent experimental reports suggest a number of different intrinsic and extrinsic adaptations that confer tumor radioresistance and that also occur in CSC populations, including senescence, increased DNA repair capability, intracellular scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and activation of cell survival pathways (8). All these mechanisms are dynamic in nature due to the plasticity of CSC...
populations and multiplicity of the microenvironmental conditions that can differently contribute to the CSC response to irradiation through the course of therapy (8, 9).

Identification of predictive biomarkers for individualized radiotherapy and characterization of the molecular mechanisms by which prostate tumor-initiating cells can survive treatment may ultimately lead to more efficient cancer treatment. In this study, we show that aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity is indicative of prostate tumor progenitors with increased radioresistance, improved DNA double-strand break repair, and activation of signaling pathways, which promote epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and migration.

Radioresistant (RR) derivatives of established prostate cancer cell lines share many properties with tumor progenitor cells, including an enhanced expression of CSC markers (CD133, CXCR4, ABCG2, OCT4, NANOG), high ALDH activity, induction of EMT, and an increase in DNA repair capacity. mRNA expression analysis of the prostate cancer cells, their radioresista
dive, and ALDH+ tumor progenitor population revealed common signaling pathways, which link CSCs to radioresistant cancer cells and include WNT/β-catenin, G-protein–coupled receptor, TGFβ, and integrin signaling pathways. This is, to our knowledge, the first study showing that expression of the ALDH1A1 gene is directly regulated by β-catenin/TCF transcriptional complex. Consistent with these results, inhibition of the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway by the tankyrase inhibitor XAV939 or siRNA-mediated knockdown of β-catenin expression led to a decrease in the ALDH+ cell population and radiosensitization of prostate cancer cells.

Taken together, our data suggest that ALDH+ cell population contributes to prostate tumor radioresistance and that targeting this cell population may be beneficial in prostate cancer treatment.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and culture condition

Prostate cancer cell lines DU145, PC3, and LNCaP were purchased from ATCC and cultured according to the manufacturer's recommendations in a humidi
cated CO2 incubator supplemented with 5% CO2. DU145 and PC3 cell lines were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) and LNCaP cells in RPMI-1640 (Sigma-Aldrich). All cell lines were geno
cyped using microsatellite polymorphism analysis and tested for mycoplasma directly before experimentation.

Human tissue samples and preparation of cell suspension

Clinical material was collected at Massachusetts tissue bank, Department of Urology of Medical Faculty at Technical University Dresden (Dresden, Germany), and Kyiv National Cancer Institute (Kyiv, Ukraine) with informed consent and approval from the local ethics committees (Office of the Vice Provost for Research, Human Subjects Research 55 Lake Ave North Worcester, Massachusetts 01655, PWA 00004009, docket #H-11731; Institutional review board of the Medical Faculty at the Technical University of Dresden, EKI95092004 and EK194092004; Ethics Committee of Kyiv National Cancer Institute, 33-34, Lomonosova str, 02166 Kyiv, Ukraine, protocol no. 44). Primary tumor tissues used in the study are described in Supplementary Table S1.

Animals and in vivo tumorigenicity assay

The animal facilities and the experiments were approved according to the institutional guidelines and the German animal welfare regulations (protocol number 24-9168.11-1/2010-21). The experiments were performed using 8- to 12-week-old male NMRI (nu/nu) mice obtained from the animal breeding facility (Experimental Centre, Medical Faculty, Technical University Dresden). To immunosuppress the nude mice further, the animals underwent total body irradiation 1 to 3 days before tumor transplantation with 4 Gy (200 kV X-rays, 0.5 mm Cu filter, 1 Gy/min). Mice were examined once a week and relative tumor volume (mm3) based on caliper measurements was calculated as [length x width x height]/2. At tumor volume of 100 mm3, mice were counted as tumor bearing to calculate tumor uptake and Kaplan–Meier plots were analyzed using the log-rank test (GraphPad Prism 5). The mice were observed for 100 days for tumor appearance and development. Xenograft tumors were excised, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, and kept in 30% sucrose before embedding in TissueTek O.C.T compound (Sakura Finetek). Tissue sectioning was performed using Microm HM 560, Cryo-Star cryostat. Xenograft tumors were histopathologically and immunohistochemically examined by a pathologist following diagnostic protocols of the Department of Pathology, University Hospital Dresden. For flow cytometric analysis, the single-cell suspension was obtained after 1-hour incubation at 37°C in DMEM containing collagenase Type 3 (Worthington) and mechanical dissociation using the Gentle MACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). Samples for Western blot analysis were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Analysis of the tumor-initiating cell frequency was performed using the web-based ELDA (extreme limiting dilution analysis) statistical software, which uses the frequency of tumor-positive and -negative animals at each transplant dose to determine the frequency of tumor-initiating cells within the injected cell populations (9).

Microarray analysis of the prostate cancer cell lines

Gene expression profiling of the DU145, DU145-RR, ALDH+ DU145, ALDH+ DU145, ALDH+ DU145-RR, ALDH+ DU145-RR cells was performed using SurePrint G3 Human Gene Expression 8 × 60 K v2 Microarray Kit (Design ID 039494, Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Total RNA was isolated from cell pellets using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen). Sample preparation for analysis was carried out according to the protocol detailed by Agilent Technologies. Briefly, first and second cDNA strands were synthesized; double-stranded cDNA was in vitro transcribed using the Low Input Quick Amp Labeling Kit; and the resulting cRNA was purified and hybridized to oligonucleotide arrays (SurePrint G3 Human Gene Expression 8 × 60 K v2 Microarray, Design ID 039494) representing about 60,000 features, including 27,958 Entrez Gene RNAs and 7,419 IncRNAs. Arrays were processed using standard Agilent protocols. Probe values from image files were obtained using Agilent Feature Extraction Software. The dataset was normalized using GeneSpring software, and the list of differentially regulated genes with fold change >2 and P < 0.05 was further analyzed using a web-based Panther Pathway Analysis tool. Data deposition: all data are MIAME compliant and raw data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, accession no. GSE53902.
Statistical analysis
The results of colony formation assays, microscopy image analysis, Transwell migration assay, luciferase reporter assay, flow cytometric analysis, cell proliferation assays, and in vivo tumorigenicity assays were analyzed by a paired t test. P < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results
Prostate cancer cells with a high ALDH activity have stem cell–like properties
Previous studies have shown the existence of cancer progenitor populations in prostate tumors and established cell lines. These progenitor cells have been characterized by the expression of the surface markers CD44, CD133, integrin α2β1, and high ALDH activity (10–13). The inhibition of PI3K activity by the chemical inhibitor NVP-BEZ235 led to a significant decrease in the population of prostate tumor–initiating cells in DU145 prostate xenograft tumors. In contrast, the use of the cytotoxic drug Taxotere resulted in a decrease in proliferating tumor cells but led to an overall increase in the population of cancer progenitor cells in vivo (12). To identify genes, for which expression levels correlate with the dynamics of CD44+/CD133+ cancer progenitor cell population in DU145 xenograft tumors, we performed a whole-genome gene expression profiling of the xenograft tumors from mice that received Taxotere (10 mg/kg, intravenously) once per week for 4 weeks, the dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor NVP-BEZ235 (12.5 mg/kg, orally) once per day for 4 weeks, or were treated with vehicle, as described earlier (Fig. 1A; ref. 12).

Comparative analysis of gene expression profiles has shown that transcription of the different ALDH isoforms, including ALDH1A1, ALDH3A1, ALDH3B1, and ALDH6A1, correlates with the percentage of CD44+/CD133+ cancer progenitors in xenograft tumors as well as with expression of other stem cell and developmental genes, including WNT5A, WNT9A, DLL1, FGFR2, KIT, KITLG, CTNN1A, EGF, IGFBP2, and IGFBP3 (Fig. 1A).

Analysis of the Oncomine database and immunostaining of tumor specimens revealed that expression of the ALDH isoforms, such as ALDH1A1 and ALDH6A1, was significantly increased in primary prostate carcinoma tissues as compared with the normal prostate gland (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Fig. S1A). Strikingly, a gene signature, which includes expression of ALDH1A1, ALDH3B1, ALDH6A1 CD44, and PROM1 (CD133) correlated with reduced disease-free survival in patients with prostate cancer. Data are based on the Taylor study (Supplementary Fig. S1B; ref. 14).

To analyze whether the cells with a high ALDH activity isolated from prostate cancer cell lines have functional characteristics of tumor progenitor cells, we examined their clonogenic,
markers in xenograft tumors derived from FACS-puriﬁed cell populations or ALDH high cells. We and others have shown that prostate cell populations expanded under sphere-forming conditions (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We used ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 prostate cancer cell populations, we used an in vitro limiting dilution analysis. The highly puriﬁed ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 DU145 cells at varying cell numbers (100, 1,000, and 3,000 cells) were injected subcutaneously into athymic immunodeﬁcient NMRI nu/nu mice. Animals alive and tumor-free at the end of the observation period (100 days) were deﬁned as long-term survivors. The ALDH1A1 population showed a signiﬁcantly higher tumor uptake rate and a higher frequency of tumor-initiating cells than ALDH1A3 cell population [1/109 (at 95% conﬁdence interval, CI) 1/349 to 1/35 cells] and 1/548 (at 95% CI 1/1285 to 1/234 cells), respectively; P < 0.03; Fig. 1C].

Next, we analyzed whether ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells are capable of recapitulating the populations of the original cell lines. The DU145 cell line consisted of 8.3% of ALDH1A1 cells and 91.7% of ALDH1A3 cells was sorted into ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 populations, which were maintained in standard tissue culture conditions. The cells were cultured for a period of 14 days and then analyzed by ﬂow cytometry. We found that prolonged culture resulted in the reversion of both populations to a bimodal proﬁle. The ALDH1A1 population gave rise to the cell population, which included 22.2% ALDH1A1 cells and 77.8% ALDH1A3 cells. These cell frequencies are closer to the cell population ratio in the original unsorted cell population compared with the cell population generated by ALDH1A1 cells (1.8%ALDH1A1 cells and 98.2%ALDH1A3 cells). Similar results were obtained when the same experiment was performed with PC3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2E). Cell viability assay revealed no statistical difference between growth and viability of ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2F). To determine whether ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells exhibit distinct differentiation potential in vitro, we analyzed the expression of prostate lineage markers in xenograft tumors derived from FACS-puriﬁed ALDH1A1 or ALDH1A3 cells. The xenograft tumors originated from both ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cell subsets included a CK5+/basal population and CK18+/luminal epithelial cells. However, ALDH1A1 cells were less efﬁcient to generate CK5+/basal cell population in vitro as compared with ALDH1A3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2G).

Dynamics of the stem cell markers in prostate cancer cells in response to irradiation

Recent ﬁndings suggest that X-ray irradiation leads to enrichment of the CSC population (16, 17). To analyze the irradiation-induced changes in the number of progenitor cells, we treated established prostate cancer cell lines and primary prostate tumor cells with fractions of 2 or 4 Gy of X-ray irradiation and assessed the expression of CSC markers by ﬂow cytometry, Western blotting, and immunohistochemistry (IHC) 7 days after irradiation. We have found that irradiation led to a dose-dependent increase in the fraction of DU145 and LNCaP cells with a high ALDH activity, a high expression of CSC markers, such as CD133, CXCR4, ABCG2, as well as to upregulation of AKT phosphorylation at Ser 473 and increase of expression of the transcription regulators NANOG and β-catenin (Fig. 2A–C; Supplementary Fig. S3A). We found that CD133+/CD44− cell population was increased in 22Rv1 prostate cancer cells about 20-fold after fractionated irradiation with 7 × 2 Gy of X-ray. In contrast to the prostate cancer cells, population of CD133+/CD44+ cells was decreased in normal prostate epithelial cells RWPE-1 upon irradiation (Supplementary Fig. S3B).

Furthermore, we assessed the enrichment of tumor progenitors in primary prostate tumor specimens. In this study, we used single-cell suspensions obtained from three freshly isolated human prostate cancer specimens. The cells were plated and irradiated with 2 Gy of X-ray the following day and 3 days later. Four days after irradiation, we assessed the number of ALDH1A1+/CD44−, ALDH1A3+, and ALDH1A1+/ALDH1A3− cells by ﬂuorescence microscopy and found that irradiation led to signiﬁcant enrichment of these cell populations in the tumor specimens (Fig. 2D and E; Supplementary Fig. S3C).

ALDH1A1 prostate progenitor cells are radioresistant

Several ﬁndings suggest that CSCs might be less sensitive to irradiation than non-CSCs in in vitro assays (8). However, these reports still remain controversial (9). We used clonogenic and spherogenic radiobiological cell survival assays to analyze the relative radioresistance of ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cell populations. FACS-puriﬁed ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells were plated as single-cell suspension, irradiated with a single dose of 2, 4, or 6 Gy of X-ray, and cultured under colony- and spheroid-forming conditions. Both clonogenic and spherogenic survival assays demonstrated a signiﬁcantly higher radioresistance of ALDH1A1 cells in DU145 and PC3 cell lines than ALDH1A3 cells (Fig. 3A and B). Remarkably, not only the number but also the size of the colonies formed by ALDH1A1 cells after X-ray treatment was increased as compared with the colonies derived from ALDH1A3 cells, showing both a higher survival rate and a higher proliferative capacity of ALDH1A1 cells after irradiation in comparison to ALDH1A3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4A).

Finally, we compared the relative tumorigenicity of DU145 ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells in mice after in vitro irradiation. The cells were puriﬁed by FACS and irradiated with 0 or 4 Gy of X-ray, embedded in Matrigel, and injected subcutaneously into NMRI nu/nu mice (Fig. 3C). Animals alive and tumor-free at the end of the observation period (100 days) were deﬁned as long-term survivors. In contrast to the ALDH1A3 cells, whose tumor take was not signiﬁcantly impaired by irradiation, ALDH1A1 cells had a signiﬁcantly lower tumor take after irradiation than nonirradiated ALDH1A3 cells (Fig. 3D). In addition, the difference between relative tumor growth rate of the irradiated ALDH1A1 and ALDH1A3 cells was signiﬁcantly higher than for their nonirradiated counterparts (Supplementary Fig. S4B and S4C). It is noteworthy that chemical inhibition of ALDH enzymatic activity with diethylaminobenzaldehyde (DEAB) or galiellalactone resulted in signiﬁcant increase in cell radiosensitivity in vitro (Fig. 3E). Taken together, our results indicate that prostate cancer progenitor cells with a high ALDH activity harbor more radioresistant cells than ALDH1A1 cell population.

The emergence of radioresistance is associated with a gain of CSC phenotype

To obtain the irradiation-sensitive cell sublines, the established prostate cancer cell lines were treated with multiple fractions of 4 Gy of irradiation.
X-ray irradiation until a total dose of more than 56 Gy was reached (Fig. 4A). The surviving cells showed enhanced radioresistant properties, as it was evaluated in a colony assay. The established radioresistant cell lines maintain their radioresistant status for a few months (Fig. 4B). The radioresistant sublines showed enhanced ALDH activity and elevated expression of stem cell markers such as CD133, ABCG2, CXCR4, and CD44 (Fig. 4C; Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B).

The radioresistant LNCaP-RR cells maintain their radioresistant properties in vivo and have a significantly increased relative tumor growth rate and tumor uptake after in vitro irradiation with 3 Gy of X-rays compared with parental, more radiosensitive LNCaP cells. In contrast, LNCaP and LNCaP-RR cells that were not irradiated before injection did not differ in their tumorigenic properties (Fig. 4D and E; Supplementary Fig. S5C and S5D). Consistently with the in vitro results, analysis of the xenograft tumors formed by radioresistant tumor cells showed an elevated ALDH activity, increased expression of other stem cell markers such as CD133, NANOG, and BMI1 compared with parental LNCaP and DU145 cells (Supplementary Fig. S5E–S5G).

The mechanisms of prostate cancer cell radioresistance

A number of different intrinsic and extrinsic adaptations might confer high cellular radioresistance, including activation of the radiation-induced DNA damage response and enhanced DNA repair capability, increased intracellular defense against ROS, and activation of the survival pathways, including PI3K/AKT signaling (7, 8).

Figure 2.

X-ray irradiation leads to an increase in the progenitor population in prostate cancer cell lines. A, cells were irradiated with the doses indicated, cultured for 7 days, and analyzed by flow cytometry or Western blotting. B, irradiation leads to the upregulation of AKT phosphorylation at Ser473 and to expression of the transcription regulators NANOG and β-catenin. C, flow cytometric analysis of CSC marker expression shows a dose-dependent increase in the number of DU145 and LNCaP cells having a high ALDH activity and expressing CD133 and ABCG2. Error bars, SEM. *, P < 0.05; #, P < 0.05. D, freshly isolated human prostate cancer specimens were subjected to enzymatic dissociation and single-cell suspension was plated on 96-well clear-bottom collagen I–coated plates at 1,000 cells per well and irradiated with 2 Gy of X-ray the following day and 3 days later. E, four days after irradiation, the number of ALDH1A1+, ALDH1A3+, and ALDH1A1+/ALDH1A3+ cells was assessed by fluorescent microscopy. For quantification, 200 to 1,000 cells per condition in at least seven randomly selected fields were counted. Error bars, SEM. Scale bar, 30 μm; *, P < 0.05.
suggesting potential molecular mechanisms underlying acquired radioresistance of these tumor cells (Fig. 5A and B).

Another molecular determinant of a high radioresistance of CSC population is an intracellular defense against ROS. Excessive ROS production after irradiation can lead to the cell death via damage of critical macromolecules, including DNA, lipids, and proteins (8). The radioresistant prostate cancer cell sublines DU145-RR and LNCaP-RR have significantly lower baseline level of intracellular ROS as compared with parental cells that together with activated DNA repair can contribute to their higher radioresistance (Fig. 5C; Supplementary Fig. S6A). Chemical inhibition of ALDH enzymatic activity with DEAB had an additive effect with radiation to increase level of intracellular ROS in prostate cancer cells (Fig. 5D).

Microscopic analysis of the FACS-purified ALDH$^+$ and ALDH$^{-}$ cell populations suggests that the ATM–Chk2 signaling pathway is also highly activated in cells with a high ALDH activity (Fig. 5E; Supplementary Fig. S6B). Furthermore, analysis of the xenograft tumors formed by ALDH$^+$ or ALDH$^-$ cells has shown that ALDH$^+$-derived tumors are enriched for ALDH1A1 cells, have activated PI3K/AKT signaling, and a relatively low level of ROS production (Supplementary Fig. S6C and S6D).

One of the best-characterized chromatin modification events in response to DNA DSB is histone H2A.X phosphorylation by ATM or ATR protein kinase. The phosphorylated form of H2A.X ($\gamma$-H2A.X) forms nuclear foci on the sites of DNA damage (19). Residual $\gamma$-H2A.X foci measured at 24 hours after irradiation can be used as an indicator of lethal DNA lesions. Analysis of the residual $\gamma$-H2A.X foci in the parental and radioresistant LNCaP and DU145 cells 24 hours after irradiation showed that RR cells have a significantly fewer number of foci as compared with the parental cell lines, suggesting that RR cells have more efficient DSB repair after irradiation (Fig. 5F).

It is noteworthy that ALDH$^+$ cell population from DU145 and PC3 cells had significantly fewer number of $\gamma$-H2A.X foci at an
early time point of 1 hour after irradiation and significantly less residual γ-H2AX foci 24 hours after irradiation as compared with ALDH⁺ cells. The results of this experiment demonstrated that a lower number of the residual γ-H2A.X foci in ALDH⁺ cells can be attributed to both lower level of DNA double-strand breaks and more efficient DNA repair (Fig. 5G).

A number of recent reports suggest that hypoxic niches directly protect CSCs by lack of oxygen and support CSC maintenance and expansion, at least in part, through the activation of the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) signaling pathway (20, 21). Expression of the stem cell markers ALDH1A1, CD44, and PROM1 (CD133) has a tendency toward co-occurrence with HIF1α expression in...
prostate tumor specimens (Supplementary Fig. S6E). Moreover, IHC analysis revealed an overlap in ALDH1A1 and HIF1α expression in primary human prostate tumors, suggesting that a subset of ALDH+ cells might be radioresistant in vivo due to their protection from radiation damage by hypoxia (Fig. 5H; Supplementary Fig. S6F and S6G).

Comparative gene expression profiles of prostate cancer progenitor and radioresistant cells

By using a global gene expression profiling, we performed a more comprehensive analysis of the molecular pathways that are similarly activated in DU145 ALDH+ and DU145-RR cells. We performed pathway enrichment analysis using the PANTHER pathway tool to highlight shared intracellular signaling mechanisms and found that 36% (9 of 25) of the molecular pathways overrepresented in DU145 ALDH+ cell population comprise 47% (9 of 19) of the pathways, which were also overrepresented in DU145-RR cells (Supplementary Fig. S7A). The signaling axes that are commonly overrepresented in ALDH+ and DU145-RR cells include already known mechanisms regulating CSC population, such as WNT/cadherin, heterotrimeric G-protein, TGFβ, and integrin signaling pathways, angiogenesis and inflammation but also signaling axes that were not previously known to be associated with CSC and radioresistance, such as the Alzheimer disease–presenilin pathway, Huntington disease, and oxytocin receptor–mediated signaling (Supplementary Fig. S7B).

WNT/β-Catenin signaling plays a role in the maintenance of radioresistant ALDH+ prostate cancer cells

A number of studies indicate that WNT signaling plays a central role in the maintenance of the CSC populations of various tumor types (22). Activation of the canonical WNT signaling pathway is...
associated with the stabilization and accumulation of nuclear β-catenin, which interact with T-cell factor/lymphoid-enhancing factor-1 (TCF/LEF) transcription factors to promote gene expression. Numerous experiments have demonstrated an interplay of cadherin-mediated cell adhesion and WNT signaling in regulation of EMT, therapy resistance, and metastasis development (22–24). Western blot analysis of the FACS-purified ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> cells showed a high level of β-catenin expression in ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell population (Fig. 6A). In addition, analysis of the intracellular distribution of β-catenin in ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell subsets showed that ALDH<sup>−</sup> cells have an increased nuclear β-catenin expression in ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell population and radioresistant prostate cancer cells. Error bars, SEM. Scale bar, 30 μm.

Figure 6.
Activation of the WNT signaling pathway in ALDH<sup>+</sup> cell population and radioresistant prostate cancer cells. A, Western blot analysis of the FACS-purified ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> cells showed a high level of β-catenin expression in ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell population. B, immunofluorescent analysis of the intracellular distribution of β-catenin in ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell subsets. Error bars, SEM. Scale bar, 30 μm. “*” P < 0.05. C, the chemical inhibition of the WNT pathway by XAV939 antagonist led to significant inhibition of the ALDH<sup>−</sup> population in the prostate cancer cells. The cells were serum-starved in DMEM with 1% FBS for 24 hours followed by treatment with XAV939 antagonist at concentration 1 μmol/L for 3 days. Error bars, SEM. Scale bar, 30 μm. “**” P < 0.05. D, the inhibition of the WNT signaling pathway with XAV939 inhibitor at concentration 1 μmol/L resulted in significant increase in radiosensitivity of LNCaP and DU145 cells. Error bars, SEM. “.” P < 0.01. E, knockdown of β-catenin mediated by siRNA led to a significant prostate cancer cells radiosensitization. Error bars, SEM. “*” P < 0.05. F, level of E-cadherin and β-catenin expression in the radioresistant (RR) and parental DU145 and LNCaP cells. G, transwell migration assay showed that radioresistant cells have an increased migratory potential relative to the parental cells. Radioresistant cells were used 4 weeks after the last irradiation. Error bars, SD. “.” P < 0.05. H, ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> cells isolated by FACS from DU145 cells were analyzed for their migratory properties in a transwell migration assay. Error bars, SEM. “*” P < 0.05.

WNT pathway by tankyrase inhibitor XAV939, which stimulates β-catenin degradation led to significant inhibition of the ALDH<sup>−</sup> population in LNCaP and DU145 cells (Fig. 6C; ref. 25). Moreover, WNT inhibition by XAV939 had a radiosensitization effect for LNCaP and DU145 prostate cancer cells (Fig. 6D). Knockdown of β-catenin mediated by siRNA resulted in a significant increase in PC3 prostate cancer cells radiosensitivity as it was demonstrated by a clonogenic cell survival assay (Fig. 6E).

WNT and TGFβ signaling, which are overrepresented in both radioresistant prostate cancer cells and ALDH<sup>−</sup> tumor progenitor population are potent inducers of EMT, which plays a critical role in the maintenance and generation of CSCs (26–28). Moreover, recent studies have shown that CSCs population surviving chemoradiotherapy have the phenotypic hallmarks of EMT (29–31).
Emergence of radiosensitivity resulted in reversion from the epithelioid shape of the parental DU145 and LNCaP cells to the stellate shape observed during EMT (Supplementary Fig. S8A). Analysis of the expression of EMT markers in the radioresistant and parental prostate cancer cell lines showed that acquired radiosensitivity is associated with the loss of E-cadherin expression and increased expression of β-catenin (Fig. 6F). Western blot analysis showed an induction of β-catenin expression after irradiation (Supplementary Fig. S8B). The results of the wound-healing and Boyden chamber–based assays demonstrated a high migratory potential of the radiosensitivity prostate cancer cells (Fig. 6G, Supplementary Fig. S8C). Finally, we analyzed the migratory potential of ALDH<sup>+</sup> and ALDH<sup>−</sup> populations isolated from DU145 and LNCaP cell lines and found that ALDH<sup>+</sup> cells have higher migratory properties as compared with ALDH<sup>−</sup> cell population (Fig. 6H; Supplementary Fig. S8D). Activation of the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway by glyco- gen synthase kinase (GSK-3) inhibitor LiCl or stimulation of β-catenin degradation by XAV939 led to an increase or down-regulation of ALDH1A1 protein expression, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S9A). Knockdown of β-catenin mediated by siRNA transfection strongly decreased protein expression of ALDH1A1 (Fig. 7A). A correlation between the protein expression level of ALDH1A1 and β-catenin in prostate cancer cells suggested that the WNT pathway could regulate ALDH1A1 level directly through β-catenin/TCF-dependent transcription or indirectly via different β-catenin/TCF target genes. Analysis of the ALDH1A1 gene promoter revealed two core β-catenin/TCF–binding sites CATTG A/T A/T (Fig. 7B). To determine whether β-catenin/TCF transcriptional complex can bind to the ALDH1A1 promoter, a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed. The cross-linked DNA–protein complexes were immunoprecipitated either with anti-β-catenin or with anti-TCF4 antibodies. PCR amplification was performed using primers flanking two putative β-catenin/TCF–binding sites named ALDH1A1(i) and ALDH1A1(ii) (Fig. 7B). The results of ChIP analysis showed that ALDH1A1 promoter DNA was precipitated with both, anti-β-catenin or with anti-TCF4 antibodies, suggesting a direct regulation of ALDH1A1 expression by β-catenin/TCF transcriptional complex (Fig. 7C). To analyze the transcriptional regulation of ALDH1A1, we used reporter system where luciferase gene expression is regulated by an endogenous ALDH1A1 gene promoter. The results of a lucifi- cerase reporter assay showed that XAV939 or siRNA-mediated downregulation of the endogenous β-catenin or overexpression of wild-type β-catenin led to a significant decrease or upregulation of ALDH1A1 transcription, respectively (Fig. 7D, Supplementary Fig. S9B–S9D). Moreover, expression of ALDH1A1 and ALDH6A1 genes has a tendency toward co-occurrence with CTNNB1 (β-cate- nin) in prostate tumor specimens (Fig. 7E). IHC analysis showed an overlap in ALDH1A1- and β-catenin–positive cell populations in primary prostate tumor cells (Fig. 7F). These data suggest overlapping signaling mechanisms that govern tumor-initiating properties, EMT, and radiosensitivity (Fig. 7G).

Discussion

Radiation therapy is one of the mainstays of curative treatment of clinically localized prostate carcinoma. However, one of the main obstacles to external beam radiotherapy is a limited dose that can be delivered to the tumor due to normal tissue tolerance (32). From a clinical point of view, a patient suffering from cancer can be only cured if all CSCs are eradicated (6). Recent study has shown that the most lethal metastatic prostate cancer can poten- tially arise from a single CSC (33). Therefore, efficient anticancer therapy is expected to target all CSCs. The CSC concept has been elevated to a higher level of clinical significance by a large number of in vitro investigations showing differences in radiosensitivity of putative CSC and non-CSC populations. Possible relative radio- resistance of CSCs has been explained by their quiescent status, activated DNA checkpoints, high free radical scavenger level, activation of the antiapoptotic signaling pathways, and by extrin- sic contribution of the tumor microenvironment (7, 8).

Currently, tumor response in many of clinical studies is deter- mined by volume-related endpoints, such as tumor regression or growth delay, that may not always correlate with local tumor control (34, 35). The relative frequency of CSC is highly variable between different tumor types, and even among tumors of the same clinical entity, ranging from a very small population (<1%) as in acute myeloid leukemia or pancreatic cancer, up to 20% to 40% as in some brain, bladder, colorectal cancer, and melanoma. Therefore, therapies that eradicate tumor-initiating cells may not always result in tumor shrinkage (36). On the other hand, common anticancer therapies, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy, eradicate the bulk of tumor cells and lead to decrease in tumor volume but not always targeting the entire CSC population (34, 35, 37, 38). Prediction of the probability of successful treatment is of high importance for the individualization of prostate cancer treatment. The current prostate cancer risk stratification system, which is based on prostate-specific antigen (PSA), T stage, and Gleason scores and used for treatment decision-making, is of great clinical value, yet not perfect and there remains a lack of reliable indicators of patients’ response to radiotherapy (39, 40).

Retrospective clinical studies for the different types of cancer have shown that analysis of CSC-specific markers in pretherapeu- tic biopsies might be an important tool for prediction of radio- therapy outcome and appropriate treatment selection (9). Despite a few shortcomings of these studies, including a low number of the patients that hamper multivariate analysis and the usage of volume-related endpoint parameters such as tumor regression or growth delay, as well as the absence of the functional characterization of the marker-positive cells, these studies paved an avenue for future biomarker development. Recent analysis of the presence and clinical significance of ALDH<sup>+</sup> populations in prostate cancer specimens demonstrated that ALDH1 expression was significantly increased in cancers in advanced stage with high Gleason scores and was associated with poor survival in hormone-naïve patients. This clinical study used a large cohort of multistage prostate cancer specimens and demonstrated that analysis of ALDH1A1 expression might help improve risk stratification in patients with prostate cancer (41).

In the current study, we found that prostate cancer progenitor cells with high ALDH activity (ALDH<sup>+</sup> cells) are more radio- resistant than ALDH<sup>−</sup> cells, and inhibition of ALDH activity radiosensitizes prostate cancer cells. Our data demonstrated that resistance of ALDH<sup>+</sup> cells to radiotherapy might be conferred by more efficient mechanisms of DNA repair and ROS scavenging as well as activation of the cell survival pathways, such as WNT/β-catenin, PI3K/AKT, and integrin signaling pathways. Primary human prostate tumors consist of ALDH1A1<sup>+</sup> cells with a high level of expression of HIF1α, which has been suggested as a master regulator of CSCs and an endogenous marker of tumor hypoxia.
and associated radioresistance (20, 42–44). Importantly, irradiated ALDH\(^+\) cells maintain their tumorigenic properties in vivo, suggesting that ALDH\(^+\) prostate cancer cells are a cell population that may be able to regrow after radiotherapy.

Our results are in agreement with a recent study on breast cancer cells from Duru and colleagues who showed that HER2\(^+\) cells isolated from HER2-negative breast cancer cells are radioresistant and ALDH-positive (45). Another study of Wang and colleagues demonstrated that ALDH inhibition by disulfiram (DSF) effectively depleted pre-existing and radiation-induced breast CSCs (46). A notable study by Mao and colleagues demonstrated that highly radioresistant mesenchymal glioma stem cells are maintained by activated glycolytic metabolism involving ALDH1A3, and inhibition of ALDH1 reverses the radioresistant phenotype of CSCs (47). These data and our results are in agreement with recently published study from Pate and colleagues showing that ALDH inhibition by disulfiram (DSF) effectively depleted pre-existing and radiation-induced breast CSCs (46). A notable study by Mao and colleagues demonstrated that highly radioresistant mesenchymal glioma stem cells are maintained by activated glycolytic metabolism involving ALDH1A3, and inhibition of ALDH1 reverses the radioresistant phenotype of CSCs (47). These data and our results are in agreement with recently published study from Pate and colleagues showing that ALDH inhibition by disulfiram (DSF) effectively depleted pre-existing and radiation-induced breast CSCs (46). A notable study by Mao and colleagues demonstrated that highly radioresistant mesenchymal glioma stem cells are maintained by activated glycolytic metabolism involving ALDH1A3, and inhibition of ALDH1 reverses the radioresistant phenotype of CSCs (47). These data and our results are in agreement with recently published study from Pate and colleagues showing that
WNT signaling drives a glycolytic metabolism and stimulates HIF1α stabilization in colon cancer (48).

We found that chemical and genetic inhibition of the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway inhibits ALDH+ cell population and radiosensitizes prostate cancer cells. In the present study, we identified a new link between tumor radioresistance and tumorigenicity. We report for the first time that the WNT pathway directly regulates ALDH1A1 level through β-catenin-TCF-dependent transcription, and expression of ALDH1A1 co-occurs with expression of β-catenin in human prostate tumors. Recent clinical studies of WNT targeting compounds for the treatment of various solid tumors, including OMP-54F28 (NCT01608867, NCT02069145, NCT02092363, NCT02050178), OMP-18R5 (NCT01345201), PRI-724 (NCT014606579, NCT01302405), and LGK974 (NCT01351105) could pave the way to improve the effectiveness of cancer treatment by combination of radiotherapy with ALDH+ cell targeting treatment.

Consistent with our results for a high relative radioresistance of ALDH+ cells, we found that ALDH+ cell population is enriched within prostate cancer cells with acquired radioresistance. Whole-genome expression profiling revealed a few common signaling pathways that link ALDH+ progenitors and RR cells and include WNT/β-catenin, G-protein–coupled receptor, TGFβ, and integrin signaling pathways. Our data suggest that emergence of radioresistance by prostate cancer cells is associated with gaining of EMT features and migratory behavior and that both ALDH+ cell population and RR prostate cancer cells have a high migratory potential. This finding is in agreement with a number of recent studies suggesting that gain of the EMT features contributes to radioresistance (47, 49, 50). Thus, targeting ALDH might provide a new approach to improve the efficacy of prostate cancer radiotherapy.

Taken together, our results indicate that ALDH+ tumor–initiating cells can potentially contribute to prostate tumor radioresistance, and therapies that specifically target this cell population might be a biologically driven strategy to enhance the efficacy of radiotherapy. Further functional radiobiologic assays and analysis of the expression level of ALDH1 in a retrospective cohort of patients who were treated with radiotherapy may lead to the development of novel predictive biomarker for radiation response in prostate cancer.
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