CSN1 Somatic Mutations in Penile Squamous Cell Carcinoma
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Abstract

Other than an association with HPV infection, little is known about the genetic alterations determining the development of penile cancer. Although penile cancer is rare in the developed world, it presents a significant burden in developing countries. Here, we report the findings of whole-exome sequencing (WES) to determine the somatic mutational landscape of penile cancer. WES was performed on penile cancer and matched germline DNA from 27 patients undergoing surgical resection. Targeted resequencing of candidate genes was performed in an independent 70 patient cohort. Mutation data were also integrated with DNA methylation and copy-number information from the same patients. We identified an HPV-associated APOBEC mutation signature and an NpCpG signature in HPV-negative disease. We also identified recurrent mutations in the novel penile cancer tumor suppressor genes CSN1 (GPS1) and FAT1. Expression of CSN1 mutants in cells resulted in colocalization with AGO2 in cytoplasmic P-bodies, ultimately leading to the loss of miRNA-mediated gene silencing, which may contribute to disease etiology. Our findings represent the first comprehensive analysis of somatic alterations in penile cancer, highlighting the complex landscape of alterations in this malignancy. Cancer Res; 76(16); 4720–7. ©2016 AACR.

Introduction

The etiology of penile cancer is multifactorial with smoking, phimosis, poor personal hygiene, and low socioeconomic status all being risk factors for tumor development (1). However, other than the oncogenic impact of high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, which is responsible for approximately 30% of penile cancers, little is known about the molecular alterations involved in the development of this disease.

Penile cancer is relatively rare in the developed world, but represents a global health problem, with high prevalence and significant associated morbidity and mortality in developing countries (1, 2). The age standardized incidence of penile cancer is 0.3 to 1.0 per 100,000 men in European countries and the United States, equating to approximately 1,600 new cases per annum in the United States (3). In contrast, the incidence in developing nations varies from 3 to 8.3 per 100,000 (3). Five-year survival is between 50% and 80% dependent on tumor stage and age at presentation, and over 40% of patients present with lymph node metastases (2).

We have previously reported genome-wide epigenetic events involved in an aggressive penile cancer phenotype and identified potential epigenetic drivers of penile cancer (4). In this study, we sought to determine the genetic component involved in penile cancer development, by performing the first whole-exome sequencing (WES) analysis of penile cancer to identify the somatic alterations.

Materials and Methods

Sample cohort

Samples were collected from penile cancer patients at UCLH NHS Trust, UK. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and ethical approval for this study was granted by the University College London (UCL)/University College London Hospital (UCLH) BioBank for Health and Human Disease (NC06.11). All samples were reviewed by a consultant histopathologist and confirmed to be ≥80% tumor content. The samples have previously been reported in other studies (4). The histologic characteristics of our discovery and validation cohorts are shown in Supplementary Table S1.
Whole-exome sequencing
Exome capture was performed using 50 ng of tumor or matched germline DNA. Library preparation was performed using the Illumina TrueSeq or Nextrea Exome Capture Kit according to the manufacturers guidelines (Illumina). Samples underwent paired end sequencing on a Hi-Seq2000 platform with 100 bp read length to a mean coverage of $\times60$. Validation samples were subject to targeted sequencing candidate genes (CSN1/GPS1, FAT1, and TP53) using Fluidigm custom amplification. A mean coverage of $\times156$ was achieved across the validation cohort. Detailed methods for sequencing analysis can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

Sequencing data have been submitted to European Genome-phenome Archive [EGA; Accession: EGAD00001001785]. Access requests are through the UCL Translational Uro-Oncology Data Access Committee (DAC).

Variant detection
The Varscan (5) algorithm (v2.2.10, varscan.sourceforge.net) was used to call both single-nucleotide alterations and indels. Alterations were annotated to the Human reference genome, version 38, using Annovar (v.517, http://www.openbioinformatics.org/annovar/; ref. 6). SNPs were annotated using Oncotator from the Broad Institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org/oncotator/).

Pathway analysis
Pathway analysis was performed using IntOgen pathway analysis (9). Significantly mutated KEGG pathways were identified using IntOgen pathway analysis based on functional mutation bias with a q value of less than 0.05. Selected coding mutations were visualized in the context of cancer associated pathways.

Supervised analysis of potential deamination signatures
Overall mutational analysis was carried out by visualizing the breakdown of mutations into 12 categories of base changes. A high proportion of mutations were C>T or G>A, which were candidate cytosine deamination events. To examine the role of cytosine deamination mediated by the APOBEC family of cytosine deaminases and the spontaneous deamination of methylcytosines in contributing to the overall mutational burden of the tumor samples, supervised analysis was carried out to model mutagenesis as the fraction of all mutations in the tumor. Candidate APOBEC-induced mutations were defined as C−K changes in the TCW context on either strand, where $K = T/G$, $W = A/T$ whereas CpG deamination events were defined as C−T changes in the context of ACG/CCG/GCG (8). TCG mutations were not considered for analysis because of potential confounding between APOBEC-induced and CpG-deamination induced C−T mutations (7). To test for associations between fractions of deamination mutations were carried out for CpG deamination mutations and TCW−TKW mutations by HPV status we performed a multivariate binomial regression of APOBEC and CpG deamination mutations. We regressed the fraction of TCW−TKW mutations and the A/C/G CG − A/C/G TG mutations using a binomial glm with HPV status by viral load, age, stage, and grade as potential explanatory variables.

Unsupervised extraction of mutational signatures
Mutations were parsed into a mutation motif matrix using the SomaticSignatures R package. 100 runs of NMF using the brunet algorithm in the NMF R package were used to decompose the motif matrix into signatures and sample-exposures (basis and coefficient respectively) for 2 to 5 clusters. This was followed by selecting the number of signatures on the basis of maximum consensus silhouette and the cophenetic correlation coefficient. Two signatures were chosen based on a high cophenetic correlation and maximum consensus silhouette width (Supplementary Fig. S6).

Analysis of enrichment for CpG deamination events among TP53 mutations
Enrichment for CpG mutations in TP53 was carried out using binomial testing against a background probability distribution. The background distribution (under the null hypothesis of no enrichment) was defined to factor in the number of CpG and non-CpG sites in the longest TP53 transcript according to the IARC P53 database (123 and 3001) and the average CpG mutation fraction in the four TP53 mutant tumors normalized to the exome wide frequency of CpG sites.

Viral detection
HPV viral load was assessed in penile cancer DNA using qPCR for low risk HPV 6 and 11 and high risk HPV 16, 18 and 31 by qPCR. Primers and probes are listed in Supplementary Table S3. The reference genes GAPDH and ACTB were used to normalize all HPV Q-PCR reactions. High and low viral load cases were defined as $>1$ HPV copy/cell and $<1$ HPV copy per cell, respectively. VirusSeq (odin.mdacc.tmc.edu/~xsu1/VirusSeq.html; ref. 10) and ViralFusionSeq (v. vfs-2012-12-07, http://sourceforge.net/projects/viralfusionseq/; ref. 11) were used to identify HPV integration from exome sequencing data.

DNA methylation analysis
DNA methylation and copy-number data were analyzed as previously described (4).

CSN1 mutations
Constructs expressing Flag-tagged wild-type GPS1/CSN1 were a kind gift from Ning Wei (Yale University). Mutations in...
GPS1/CSN1 were made using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) and confirmed by sequencing.

**miRNA reporter assays**

HeLa cells were transfected with wild-type or the indicated mutant CSN1 with *Renilla* reporter constructs using Fugene 6 transfection reagent (Promega). For miR-100 assays, the reporter construct contained miR-100–binding sites in the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) with seed sequence matches or mismatches. The activity of the miR-100 reporter containing seed matches was compared with that of the reporter containing mismatches. For the let-7 reporter, the activity was compared with a reporter construct with no miRNA-binding sites in the *Renilla* 3’UTR.

**Immunofluorescence**

HeLa cells were plated on to 13 mm glass coverslips (VWR) and transfected with the indicated GPS1/CSN1–expressing constructs using Jetprime (Polyplus Transfection). Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for five minutes at 37°C and post-fix permeabilized for 90 seconds using 0.25% Triton X-100/PBS. Cells were stained with anti-Flag M2 (Sigma) and Alexa-Flour–conjugated secondary antibodies (Life Technologies).

**Results**

**Mutational spectrum of penile cancer**

Analysis revealed 810 genes containing somatic mutations among the 27 tumors (24 tumor germline pairs, 3 single tumors), with a mean somatic mutation rate of 30 per tumor. This represents 1.78 non-silent mutations per megabase (range, 0.72–7.5), relatively low when compared with other adult tumors that have a similar overall Ti/Tv (Transition/Transversion) ratio (12). There was no significant association between mutational burden and tumor stage, grade, age, or overall HPV status; however, when stratified for HPV viral load, high viral load tumors had a significantly lower mutational load (*P* < 0.05) when compared with HPV negative (Fig. 1). As mutational patterns can be indicative of specific mutagenic mechanisms driving tumorigenesis, we assessed whether any mutational signatures exist within penile cancer. We found C>T alterations to be the most frequent substitution type. C>T mutations at CpG sites are ubiquitously present in cancers across multiple tissue types (13) and have been linked to the spontaneous deamination of methylated cytosine (mCpG; ref. 13). Active cytosine deamination mediated by one or more ‘TC-specific’ apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) has recently been implicated as a non-random enzyme-catalyzed event rather than a spontaneous occurrence (16). Although the catalytic drivers of this non-random CG deamination have yet to be identified, both A3A and A3B have been shown to deaminate cytosines in a CpG context (17). Besides potentially affecting epigenetic regulation, this CG deamination process may generate mutations integral to the carcinogenic process (14), for example, in TP53, where three quarters of somatic alterations in penile cancer are CG deamination events (*P* < 0.005).

**Somatic alterations in penile squamous cell carcinoma**

Recent somatic mutations in penile cancer were catalogued to identify putative pathogenic drivers. Of the 810 mutated genes, only 137 (17%) were recurrent alterations; 756 (93%) have previously been identified as mutated in other tumor types. The lack of significant overlap in recurrently mutated genes in our cohort suggests that many are likely passenger mutations (12).

Of the private alterations (637/810) several have been identified as putative drivers in the pan-cancer analysis of 4742 cancers (8), and include tumor suppressors CDKN2A and NF1, oncogenes such as HRAS and potential therapeutic targets such as kinases FLT1 and TGFBR2. Because of the low rate of recurrent alterations, enrichment of somatic mutations in canonical pathways was assessed (7). The p53 signaling pathway was identified as the most significantly mutated pathway (*P* ≥ 5.37 × 10⁻⁵). To identify putative cancer drivers, mutations that were recurrent and showed significant functional mutation bias were characterized using IntOgen (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S2; ref. 7).
Recurrent mutations were identified in the tumor suppressor genes TP53 (4/27), FAT1 (4/27), and the G-protein pathway suppressor CSN1 (GPS1; 3/27; Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S3). Orthogonal validation by sanger sequencing confirmed 100% of TP53, FAT1, and CSN1 mutations in the original cohort. TP53, CNS1, and FAT1 were also analyzed in an independent cohort of 70 penile cancer (50 FFPE, 20 fresh) and found to be mutated in 19%, 17%, and 14%, respectively. As matched germline DNA was only available for 20 of 70 of the validation cohort, the possibility that some of these potential inactivating mutations may result from germline alterations cannot be excluded; therefore, only mutations that were predicted to be functionally deleterious were included. As we only observe mutation in a total of 18 of 97 cases, we also assessed whether TP53 undergoes significant disruption through changes in DNA methylation or genomic copy number.

Only 3 cases exhibited genomic loss of TP53, none of which overlapped with those harboring mutation (although copy-number neutral LOH cannot be ruled out) and none showed significant changes in promoter methylation. This low TP53 mutation rate suggests that disruption of p53 is a key feature in only a subset of penile cancer (18).

**Somatic CSN1 mutations result in aberrant miRNA processing**

Of the genes containing novel mutations, CSN1 is mutated in 11% of cases (Fig. 1), with a single case harboring two CSN1 alterations. CSN1 is a suppressor of G-proteins and mitogen-activated signal transduction, and is an essential part of the COP9 signalosome complex (CSN), involved in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation (19). Sequencing of an independent penile cancer cohort demonstrated a 14%
(10/70) frequency of mutation. CSN1 is also reported to be mutated at low frequency in several other tumor types (9), and although little is known about its role in cancer development, it may be involved in the suppression of the AP-1 transcription factor pathway (20) and inhibit JUN dependent transcription activity (21).

As none of the alterations associated with CSN1 are predicted to be truncating, to assess their role we recapitulated the 4 mutations identified in the initial discovery cohort in vitro. Recapitulation of the CSN1 mutations resulted in the translocation of CSN1 to the cytoplasm and colocalization with Argonaute1– and Argonaute2–positive P-bodies (Fig. 3). As the Argonaute (AGO) family of proteins are key components of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) that mediates microRNA-dependent gene repression, we sought to assess whether CSN1 mutation effected miRNA-mediated gene repression. Expression of CSN1 point mutants resulted in a significant ($P < 0.005$) inhibition of miRNA-mediated gene repression compared with wild-type (Fig. 3). The greatest effect was seen with mutations (D382H and M384I) in the PCI (Protease Component) domain of CSN1 (Figs. 2 and 3); five of the 10 alterations identified in the validation cohort were also located within the PCI domain.

**Additional candidate penile cancer drivers**

Mutation of FAT1 has been reported in other cancer types, including head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, colon cancer, and glioblastoma (22). FAT1 mutation promotes tumorigenicity through deregulation of the Wnt signaling cascade promoting cell proliferation and tumor growth (22). Analysis of the validation cohort identified FAT1 mutations in a further 17% of samples, in which stopgain mutations resulted in the most frequent alteration. Deletion of 4q35, the locus harboring FAT1, has also been frequently identified in human cancers (22). Integrated analysis of genomic and epigenomic data was, therefore, performed to assess whether FAT1 is disrupted by changes in genomic copy number or DNA methylation. No changes in copy number were observed; however, increased FAT1 promoter methylation (compared with normal penile squamous epithelium) was observed in a further 20% of cases lacking mutation (Supplementary Fig. S4). These data indicate that FAT1 is potentially deregulated in up to 37% of penile cancer, and suggest that FAT1 to be a key tumor suppressor in penile cancer development.

**Discussion**

The genetic and epigenetic mechanisms driving the development of penile cancer are poorly understood. Through WES, we have performed the most comprehensive analysis to date of the genetic alterations in penile cancer and implicated novel somatic mutations in penile cancer pathogenesis.

Penile cancer appear genetically quiet with strikingly few recurrent somatic mutations identified when compared with other adult tumors. However, with the caveat that, as with many other exome sequencing projects, we are underpowered to detect low frequency ($<10\%$) alterations. The concept that penile cancer are relatively genomically quiet, is further highlighted by the low number of copy-number alterations seen in penile cancer, with approximately 50% of penile cancer showing no significant CNAs and only 13 regions of significant recurrent CNA (Supplementary Fig. S5). Although analysis of the mutational spectrum suggests a "APOBEC" mutational phenotype in HPV-driven disease, as in other HPV-driven tumors. The relative paucity of somatic alterations suggests that penile cancer development is driven by a complex interaction of molecular aberrations. The potential CpG deamination signature and concomitant reduction in DNA methylation in HPV-negative tumors, suggest that changes to the epigenome may represent a major pathogenic mechanism in penile cancer development (4).

Analysis of recurrent somatic mutations identified novel candidate drivers in penile cancer. These include the G Protein...
suppressor and member of the COP-9 signalosome complex, CSN1. The COP-9 complex and in particularly CSN1 has been implicated in the phosphorylation and activation of p53 (23). Previous screening studies in Drosophila identified other members of the COP-9 signalosome being involved in the miRNA pathway. Depletion of CSN3 and CSN7 by RNAi in S2 cells led to increases in siRNA and miRNA-dependent silencing of reporter genes (24). Dysregulation of the miRNA pathway is frequently observed in many cancers and further work is required to determine the mechanism by which single-point mutations of CSN1 can disrupt miRNA-mediated silencing and define its role in the development of human malignancies. However, data presented here suggest CSN1 to be a novel tumor suppressor, which when mutated disrupts miRNA-mediated gene silencing, and thus contributes to the development of penile cancer. The identification of CSN1 mutation, and its role in aberrant miRNA processing, and that APOBEC family members also interact with AGO2 to inhibit miRNA-dependent silencing (25), suggest that miRNA dysregulation may be an important driver of penile cancer pathogenesis.

We also observe the frequent mutation of the FAT1 tumor suppressor gene. FAT1 is somatically altered (by mutation or deletion) in multiple tumor types. Here, we show for the first time that in penile cancer FAT1 is not only inactivated through

Figure 3.
Mutations in CSN1 increase its localization to Ago1- and 2-positive P-bodies and leads to inhibition of miR-100 and Let-7–dependent repression of gene expression. A, the D355H and M357I mutations on CSN1 localize to both the nucleus of HeLa cells and to Ago1-YFP–positive foci in the cytoplasm. Wild-type CSN1 localizes predominantly to the nucleus with occasional foci being formed. B, CSN1 mutants also localize to Ago2-YFP–positive foci in cytoplasm. C, quantification of Ago-positive foci colocalizing with CSN1 reveals the percentage of Ago-positive foci colocalizing with CSN1 is significantly increased with both mutants. Results are presented as the percentage of change in colocalization seen with wild-type. D and E, miR-100 and let-7a reporter assays in HeLa cells show that overexpression of both D355H and M357I CSN1 mutants inhibit miRNA-dependent repression of a Renilla reporter gene containing miR-100 or let-7a–binding sites in the 3’UTR. Results are presented as a percentage change from repression seen with empty vector. The activity of Renilla luciferase was assayed and normalized to firefly luciferase activity. Data are expressed relative to the activity of the reporter containing nontargeted miRNA sites. * P < 0.05 according to Student t test.
somatic mutation but also through promoter hypermethylation, resulting in FAT1 deregulation in over a third of penile cancer. This further highlights the potential important role of aberrant epigenetic changes in penile cancer development.

Our results, the first WES of penile cancer, provides novel insight into the relationship between somatic alterations and penile cancer development. These data significantly enhance our current understanding of genetic alterations driving penile cancer by showing that whilst recurrent somatic alterations can explain a proportion of penile cancer, epigenetic mechanisms play a significant role in penile cancer development. The discovery that mutations in the novel tumor suppressor gene CSN1 results in aberrant miRNA processing, and the high rate of CpG deamination suggests that deregulated gene silencing and changes in epigenetic regulation play an important role in penile cancer and particularly in non-HPV-associated tumors.
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