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Abstract

The roles played by cholesterol in cancer development and the potential of therapeutically targeting cholesterol homeostasis is a controversial area in the cancer community. Several epidemiologic studies report an association between cancer and serum cholesterol levels or statin use, while others suggest that there is not one. Furthermore, the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project using next-generation sequencing has profiled the mutational status and expression levels of all the genes in diverse cancers, including those involved in cholesterol metabolism, providing correlative support for a role of the cholesterol pathway in cancer development. Finally, preclinical studies tend to more consistently support the role of cholesterol in cancer, with several demonstrating that cholesterol homeostasis genes can modulate development. Because of space limitations, this review provides selected examples of the epidemiologic, TCGA, and preclinical data, focusing on alterations in cholesterol homeostasis and its consequent effect on patient survival. In melanoma, this focused analysis demonstrated that enhanced expression of cholesterol synthesis genes was associated with decreased patient survival. Collectively, the studies in melanoma and other cancer types suggested a potential role of disrupted cholesterol homeostasis in cancer development but additional studies are needed to link population-based epidemiological data, the TCGA database results, and preclinical mechanistic evidence to concretely resolve this controversy. Cancer Res; 76(8); 2063-70. ©2016 AACR.

Introduction

Cholesterol level tends to be high in cancer cells but it is currently controversial as to what this means (1, 2). Some epidemiologic studies suggest a positive association between elevated serum cholesterol level and risk for certain cancer types (3–5). For example, a 10 mg/dL increase in cholesterol was associated with a 9% increase in prostate cancer recurrence (5). Furthermore, another study suggests that statin use was associated with lowered risk of melanoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, endometrial, and breast cancers (6–8), while another report documents a dose-dependent reduction in colorectal cancer mortality with statin use (9). Recently, a case–control study with 295,925 cancer patients, suggested a link between statin use and a slight reduction in cancer-related mortality for 13 different cancer types (9). While these epidemiologic studies suggest a possible role for cholesterol involvement in cancer, they have been criticized for having intrinsic limitations and a solely retrospective focus (9). Surprisingly, an equal number of epidemiologic studies suggest no association between cholesterol and cancer (9–12). In fact, in some cases, cancer was linked to low cholesterol levels and statins were speculated to have carcinogenic properties (13–15). This conflicting epidemiologic evidence is the major reason for the uncertainty regarding a role for cholesterol in cancer development and it is currently unclear as to how this could be resolved.

The role of dietary cholesterol in cancer development is also controversial. Many case–control studies suggested a positive correlation between risks of several malignancies and dietary cholesterol uptake (16–18). However, the conclusiveness of these studies is arguable, being dependent on dietary surveys that are notoriously unreliable. Preclinical studies tend to be more supportive of a role of dietary cholesterol in cancer development. For example, controlled experiments in mice suggest an association between dietary cholesterol and cancer, but extrapolation to humans is difficult as dietary cholesterol has limited effect on blood cholesterol levels in humans (19). Thus, while dietary cholesterol might be indicative of a lifestyle prone to health-related problems, including cancer, dietary cholesterol alone seems unlikely to promote cancer development.

While the contradictory epidemiologic studies fuel the controversy regarding a role for cholesterol in cancer, preclinical studies more consistently suggest involvement. Multiple mechanisms promoting deregulation of cholesterol homeostasis have been identified that could lead to cancer development (1, 20–24). Recent studies also suggest that intracellular cholesterol levels in the evolving cancer cell might be more important than serum cholesterol (25, 26). Furthermore, intracellular cholesterol homeostasis varies among different cancer types, and therefore cholesterol could play differing roles dependent on cancer type (27). Thus, intracellular cholesterol levels appear more important than dietary cholesterol in cancer development.
Cholesterol Metabolism and Its Role in Cancer

Normal cholesterol homeostasis

Cholesterol is an essential lipid for maintaining cellular homeostasis (28). Besides being a precursor for steroid hormones, and being an essential component of plasma membranes, it is also enriched in lipid rafts and plays a key role in intracellular signal transduction (28). Cholesterol is primarily synthesized in the liver and transported to cells around the body through the bloodstream as a low density lipoprotein (LDL)-bound form (29). LDL is taken into cells by clathrin-mediated endocytosis, and transported to the lysosomes through the endocytic pathway, where it is then hydrolyzed to free cholesterol molecules, which are shuttled to the cell membrane and other cell membrane-bound organelles (28, 29).

Modulation of cholesterol homeostasis

Cholesterol homeostasis is tightly regulated by a complex protein network, which involves its import, synthesis, export, metabolism, and esterification (28). Sterol regulatory element-binding protein transcription factor 2 (SREBF2) and liver X receptors (LXR) act as key regulators of cholesterol homeostasis (28). Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) cholesterol levels serve as a sensor for intracellular cholesterol homeostasis. A decrease in ER cholesterol triggers translocation of SREBF2 from ER to golgi and then to the nucleus to activate transcription of genes involved in cholesterol synthesis (e.g., HMGCR) and import into cells (e.g., LDL receptors; ref. 28). On the other hand, increased intracellular cholesterol levels shut down cholesterol synthesis and facilitate its export via activation of LXR receptors by oxysterols, oxidized derivatives of cholesterol (30).

Identification of cholesterol synthesis pathway deregulation in cancer using The Cancer Genome Atlas

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database has profiled RNA expression levels and DNA mutational status for thousands of genes in tumors, enabling correlative analysis of particular cellular pathway involvement in cancer development (31). We have used the TCGA database to determine whether a prognostic signature of cholesterol synthesis genes could be correlated with patient survival and identified 7 cholesterol synthesis genes correlated with patient survival (Fig. 1A). In sarcoma, acute myeloid leukemia, and melanoma, increased activity of the cholesterol synthesis pathway was correlated with decreased patient survival while in lower grade glioma it was associated with enhanced survival (Fig. 1A). Thus, based on the TCGA database, there appears to be a correlative link between cholesterol synthesis pathway and prognostic outcome that could be cancer-type specific. Several oncogenic signals, such as PI3K/AKT/mTOR, RTK/RAS and TP53, have been shown to modulate cholesterol synthesis in cancer cells but due to space limitations only selected examples are discussed below (Fig. 1B). Other examples can be seen in the following references (32–37).

Activation of cholesterol synthesis by PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling

Constitutive activation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling promotes intracellular cholesterol levels by inducing cholesterol synthesis through activation of SREBP, by inducing LDL receptor-mediated cholesterol import, and inhibiting ABCA1-mediated cholesterol export in an mTORC1-dependent manner (Fig. 1B; refs. 38, 39). The expression of SREBP target genes and ABCA1-mediated cholesterol efflux was suppressed by rapamycin, the mTORC1 inhibitor (39). Studies in cultured cells and in animals suggested that induction of cholesterol synthesis by the AKT/mTORC1/SREBP pathway contributed to cell growth (38). In prostate cancer, AKT-mediated upregulation of intracellular cholesterol levels promoted cancer aggressiveness and bone metastases (40, 41). In glioblastoma, expression of LDL receptors was induced by AKT and pharmacologic targeting of LDL receptors effectively promoted tumor cell death (42).

Activation of cholesterol synthesis through TP53

Another example of a gene deregulating the cholesterol pathway in cancer cells is TP53 (Fig. 1B). TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in cancer and is a poor prognostic indicator (43). Loss of TP53 function unregulated the cholesterol synthesis pathway in breast cancers, which was necessary and sufficient for disruption of breast tissue architecture (25, 26). Genetic knockdown of mutant TP53 or pharmacologic inhibition of the cholesterol synthesis pathway reverted the disorganized morphology of breast cancer cells in a 3D culture model to a more normal phenotype (25). Prenylation of proteins (a process utilized by products of the cholesterol synthesis pathway) was essential for the phenotype. TP53-mediated activation of cholesterol synthesis has also been found to induce proliferation and self-renewal of breast cancer cells via prenylation of Rho GTPases (26).

Data from the TCGA database support the preclinical studies suggesting a role for TP53 in upregulation of cholesterol synthesis genes, including FDPS (also a key protein for the prenylation), in TP53-mutated breast cancer samples (Fig. 1C). As a key tumor suppressor for a wide variety of cancers, TP53-mediated modulation of cholesterol homeostasis could contribute to the progression of other malignancies, which requires further investigation (28).

Deregulation of mitochondrial cholesterol levels in cancer

In several cancer types, elevated mitochondrial cholesterol levels induced resistance to apoptotic signals (1, 20). STAR and STAR3D are two essential proteins that regulate cholesterol import to the mitochondria (Fig. 1B; refs. 20, 22). In hepatocellular carcinoma, increased mitochondrial cholesterol content was associated with increased expression of STAR and knockdown-increased sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents (20). In contrast, STAR3D was associated with a poor prognosis for breast cancer patients (44). Decreasing STAR3D levels reduced cell proliferation and increased cell death in HER2-positive breast cancer cell lines while it was ineffective in HER2-negative cells (44). Furthermore, STAR3D overexpression decreased the adhesiveness of breast cancer cells thereby modulating metastases (22).

Analysis of STAR and STAR3D in the TCGA database further supports an important role in cancer development. These genes were upregulated or amplified in approximately 30% of the TCGA breast cancer cohort, which is in agreement with the published preclinical studies (goo.gl/NEnhnJ). However, no correlation was found between the expression of these two mitochondrial cholesterol importers and patient survival either in breast cancer or hepatocellular carcinoma. Moreover, in several malignancies, elevated expression of STAR and STAR3D was correlated with...
Cancer patient survival and cholesterol synthesis pathway activity. A, expression of a gene signature representing the activity of the cholesterol synthesis pathway was analyzed using the UCSC Cancer Genomic Browser. Statistically significant differences in survival of patients were observed between high (red) and low (green) groups in melanoma, sarcoma, leukemia, and glioma (right). Left, the expression heatmap of various cholesterol synthesis genes are shown for melanoma. The statistical track displayed under the heatmap shows the logarithmic plot of \( \text{P} \) values for each genomic position and represents the statistical difference between the two subgroups (Student’s t test with Bonferroni correction). A bar above the centerline indicates that the expression of a particular gene is higher in the red group compared with the green group; and a bar below the center line indicates that the expression of the particular gene is higher in the green group compared with the red group. The data are available through the UCSC Cancer Browser ( goo.gl/56UxKy ). HR, hazard ratio (Mantel–Haenszel), of red versus green group (95% confidence interval; CI); \( \text{P} \) value of Mantel–Cox log-rank test; MS, median survival; \( n \) = number of patients. B, oncogenic signals initiated from RTK/AKT/mTOR (1), RTK/RAS (2), or mutated TP53 (3) induce the activity of SREBP transcription factor, the major regulator of genes encoding cholesterol synthesis as well as import proteins (4). Intracellular cholesterol is transported to the mitochondria by START domain family of proteins (5). Accumulation of cholesterol in mitochondria can suppress apoptosis by inhibiting release of apoptotic proteins from mitochondria (6). However, in mitochondria, cholesterol is also metabolized to 27-hydroxycholesterol (27-HC), which induces tumor growth in certain cancers (7). Under steady-state conditions, excess intracellular cholesterol is exported out by ABC transporter family proteins, mainly by ABCA1 (8). Oncogenic signals may inhibit ABCA1 expression by inducing mirR-33, leading to intracellular cholesterol accumulation (9). C, breast cancer patients with mutated p53 showed increased expression of various cholesterol synthesis genes; Error bars, SD. ***. Student’s t test \( P < 0.001 \).
Role of cholesterol metabolites in cancer development

Cholesterol metabolites have also been associated with the development of various cancers (45, 46). Mitochondrial cytochrome P450 family enzymes metabolize cholesterol to synthesize steroids and oxysterols. The involvement of certain steroids, such as estrogen, is well known in cancer development (see ref. 47), and will not be discussed here due to space limitations. Another example is oxysterols that play an essential role in cholesterol homeostasis. These metabolites inhibit cholesterol synthesis and enhance its export by activating LXRα (48, 49). Many of the oxysterols (e.g., 7α, 7β, 25β-hydroxycholesterol) have antiproliferative effects in various cancer types (46). However, 27-hydroxycholesterol (27HC) has recently been shown to act as an estrogen receptor agonist in breast cancer, inducing tumor growth and metastasis (Fig. 1B; ref. 45). In breast cancer, decreased expression of CYP7B1 triggers accumulation of 27HC (50).

Involvement of cholesterol metabolites in cancer development is an example where the TCGA data are in agreement with the preclinical studies. Lower levels of CYP7B1 are observed in breast cancer compared with normal breast tissue (51). However, the role of cholesterol metabolites in cancer development needs expansion as well as the involvement of different metabolites in various cancer types. Targeting the synthesis, transport, or metabolites of the cholesterol homeostasis pathways are options for controlling cancer development. Because of space limitations, selected examples of targeting these processes are provided below. Other examples can be seen from the following references (37, 42, 51–53).

Targeting cholesterol synthesis

The cholesterol synthesis pathway has more than 15 proteins that are potential targets to disrupt this pathway in cancer cells (29). The chemotherapeutic potential of targeting these cholesterol synthesis genes has been studied preclinically (54–56). Statins can have antitumor effects and can synergize with certain chemotherapeutic agents to decrease the development of multidrug resistance (54, 57). They are especially effective against mesenchymal-like cancer cells, and might potently kill cells having undergone the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition to promote metastasis development (57). Several clinical trials have examined the potential chemopreventive and therapeutic efficacy of statins (Clinical trial identifiers: NCT02534376, NCT02460618, NCT00584012, NCT01110785). A recent example of a trial that modulated cholesterol levels to control cancer, involved a short-term biomarker study involving simvastatin, which reduced breast cancer recurrence by reducing serum estrone sulfate levels (58). However, long-term studies are needed to confirm this observation.

Bisphosphonates and tocotrienols are examples of downstream inhibitors of the cholesterol synthesis pathway, which in preclinical studies suppressed cultured cancer cell and tumor growth similar to that observed with statins (59, 60). Geranylgeranylation of proteins, a branch of the cholesterol synthesis pathway, was found to be essential for maintaining stemness of basal breast cancer cells (56). GGTI-288, an inhibitor of the geranylgeranyl transferase I (GGTI) reduced the cancer stem cell subpopulation in primary breast cancer xenografts (56). Thus, preclinical studies suggest that targeting the cholesterol synthesis pathways could be useful for modulating cancer.

Targeting cholesterol transport and intestinal absorption

Recently, our group demonstrated the preclinical chemotherapeutic potential of disrupting intracellular cholesterol transport using a small lysosomotropic compound called leelamine, (61). Leelamine inhibits cholesterol egress from lysosomes reducing cholesterol levels in all membrane-bound organelles in cancer cells (61, 62). Inhibition of intracellular cholesterol transport consequently led to ER stress and autophagy (61, 63–65). Melanoma cells were more sensitive to inhibition of intracellular cholesterol transport than normal skin cells, suggesting this agent could be a useful therapeutic agent (61). Intracellular cholesterol transport inhibitors could also inhibit tumor cell metastasis by interfering with cholesterol levels in the trans-golgi network and reducing cell surface expression of integrins that are fundamental for cancer cell migration during metastasis (62, 66, 67). However, the potential of agents like leelamine to inhibit metastasis of melanoma cells remains to be demonstrated. Thus, while targeting cholesterol transport in cancer cells seems to a potentially important therapeutic approach, utility of this strategy remains to be demonstrated clinically.

Targeting intestinal cholesterol absorption is another way to reduce levels in cancer cells. For example, Ezetimibe, an FDA-approved drug, reduced preclinical prostate tumor growth by inhibiting intestinal cholesterol absorption (55, 68). While this approach targets dietary uptake, it does not modulate liver produced levels in the serum. It might require inhibiting intestinal uptake and liver production to show clinical efficacy.
Figure 2.
Genetic alterations in cholesterol homeostasis genes in the melanoma patient cohort of the TCGA database. A, survival of cancer patients based on the STARD3 gene signature (goo.gl/6zT8kM). B, around sixty percent of the tumors from 278 melanoma patients in the TCGA cohort displayed increased gene copy number or expression of cholesterol synthesis genes (goo.gl/tqbV4h). C, copy number increases of cholesterol homeostasis genes can be linked to amplification sites of known oncogenes, such as AKT3, NOTCH2, MYC, or EP300, or deleted with along with genes linked to cancer. SC5DL is an example of a gene codeleted together with several cholesterol export-related genes. HR, hazard ratio (Mantel-Haenszel), of red versus green group (95% confidence interval; CI); P, P value of Mantel-Cox log-rank test; MS, median survival; n = number of patients.
Concluding Remarks and Future Directions

The TCGA database provides correlative evidence suggesting the involvement of the cholesterol homeostasis pathways in cancer development (31). Altered expression levels and mutations of genes involved in the cholesterol homeostasis pathways have been identified in cancer cells (68). These include increases in gene copy numbers, upregulation of cholesterol synthesis gene expression, enhanced cholesterol import by LDL receptors, and decreased transport of cholesterol, which promote increased cellular cholesterol levels to aid cancer cell proliferation (1, 2, 21, 68). However, the field is still young and further research is needed to fully dissect the consequences of these changes and how they modulate cancer development. Furthermore, correlative TCGA database evidence suggesting deregulation of cholesterol homeostasis in cancer development needs validation in preclinical model systems and finally translation into useful practices in the clinic that could decrease cancer development.

The following are some questions needing evaluation in the cholesterol and cancer field. First, the role of the genetic alterations affecting the cholesterol pathways genes and function in cancer development needs investigation. For example, many cholesterol synthesis genes or mitochondrial cholesterol importers are upregulated through copy number increases but the effects on cancer development remain unknown. For example, approximately 60% of melanomas had increased expression or chromosomal copy number increases in at least one of the cholesterol synthesis genes (Fig. 2B). Several of these alterations were associated with known chromosomal amplification sites that harbor well-characterized oncogenes (Fig. 2C). Specifically, HMGGCS2 and NOTCH2 and SQLE and MYC were colocalized to the same amplicons. Possibly, oncogenes and cholesterol synthesis genes cooperate to promote disease progression, but this needs demonstration. Similarly, SC5D, one of the key genes in the last steps of cholesterol synthesis pathway is localized to 11q23.3 and codelleted with several cholesterol export genes (Fig. 2C). The deletion of SC5D may contribute to cancer progression through a mechanism similar to that occurring with lathosterolosis, a disease resulting from the loss of SC5D function (69, 70). Decreased SC5D activity in cancer might increase prenylation of many cancer genes such as RAS, RAC, or RHOC thereby promoting cancer progression (69, 70). This possibility is supported by data from the TCGA database where melanoma patients having reduced expression of SC5D had decreased survival (Fig. 1A). Linking these data to preclinical models and clinical support is needed to validating the roles played by cholesterol in cancer.

A second question that needs addressing is whether tumors could be classified into subclasses based on genetic abnormalities occurring in cholesterol homeostasis genes. This might facilitate development of precision medicine–based approaches for preventing or treating particular subgroups of cancer. For examples, the efficacy of statins, squalene synthesis inhibitors, farnesyl, or geranylgeranyl transferase inhibitors might be particularly effective for certain patients with characteristic genetic profiles.

In summary, while not conclusive, it appears that deregulation of cholesterol homeostasis is an important contributing factor to cancer development. Studies are needed to link population-based epidemiologic data, results from the TCGA database, and preclinical mechanistic evidence to more thoroughly dissect the involvement of cholesterol in cancer development.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Grant Support

This work was supported by The Foreman Foundation for Melanoma Research, Geltrude Foundation, The Chocolate Tour Funds, and NIH grants CA-127892, CA-136667, and CA-138634.

Received September 22, 2015; revised February 5, 2016; accepted February 8, 2016, published OnlineFirst April 3, 2016.

References

Cholesterol Homeostasis in Cancer


Cancer Research

The Role of Cholesterol in Cancer

Omer F. Kuzu, Mohammad A. Noory and Gavin P. Robertson


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2613

Cited articles
This article cites 67 articles, 17 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/76/8/2063.full#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/76/8/2063.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.