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Abstract

The cytosolic quinone oxidoreductases NQO1 and NQO2 protect cells against oxidative stress by detoxifying quinones and preventing redox cycling. In this study, we used double knockout (DKO) mice deficient for NQO1 and NQO2 to investigate the role of these antioxidative enzymes in a two-stage model of inflammatory skin carcinogenesis. In this model, tumors are caused by exposure to topical carcinogen dimethylbenz(a)anthracene or benzo(a)pyrene (BP) followed by twice weekly application of proinflammatory phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate. On this classic chemical carcinogenesis protocol, DKO mice showed a significantly higher skin tumor frequency and multiplicity compared with control wild-type or single knockout mice. Analysis of skin from wild-type and DKO mice exposed to BP for 6, 12, or 24 hours revealed a relative delay in the activation of p53, p63, p19ARF, and apoptosis in DKO mice, consistent with a negative modifier role for NQO1/NQO2 in carcinogenesis. Our findings offer genetic evidence of the significance of quinone oxidoreductases NQO1 and NQO2 in limiting chemical skin carcinogenesis. Cancer Res; 70(3); 1006–14. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) such as 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA) and benzo(a)pyrene (BP) are known environmental contaminants. They are present in tobacco smoke and motor vehicle exhaust and produced during burning of carbohydrates, fat, and protein (1, 2). DMBA and BP both are recognized mutagens and carcinogens in human and rodents (2, 3). The incidence of skin cancer is equivalent to the incidence of malignancies in all other organs combined and thus represents a major, and growing, public health problem (4).

Dicoumarol-sensitive NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) is a flavoprotein that catalyzes metabolic detoxification of quinones, leading to protection against oxidative stress (5). However, NQO1 in many instances has been reported to activate drugs, leading to cell death (5). NRH:quinone oxidoreductase 2 (NQO2) is a second member of the quinone oxidoreductases (5). The cofactor requirement for activity is very selective, requiring NAD(P)H for NQO1 and dihydronicotinamide riboside (NRH) for NQO2 (6, 7). NQO2 is inhibited by flavones such as quercetin (6) and BP (7). Although overlapping substrate specificities have been observed for NQO1 and NQO2, such as for CB1954 activation, significant differences exist in relative affinities for the various substrates (6–8).

NQO1+/− and NQO2+/− mice were generated (9, 10). The mice were born normal but showed altered intracellular redox status; altered metabolism of carbohydrates, fatty acids, and nucleotides; and reduced accumulation of abdominal fat with age (11). The studies also showed that disruption of the NQO1 gene in mice led to myelogenous hyperplasia of bone marrow and increased sensitivity of NQO1+/− mice to menadione-induced hepatic damage (12). Similar to NQO1−/− mice, myeloid hyperplasia of bone marrow was detected in NQO2+/− mice (10). NQO1−/− and NQO2−/− mice also showed increased sensitivity to skin carcinogenesis in response to BP and DMBA (13–16).

The human NQO1 and NQO2 genes are precisely located on chromosomes 16q22 and 6p25, respectively (17). The C-T polymorphism in the human NQO1 gene produces a proline to serine (P187S) substitution that inactivates the enzyme (18). NQO1P187S is associated with greater risk of neutropenia in benzene-exposed adult Chinese workers (19) and is significantly overexpressed in therapy-related and de novo leukemias in adults (20). The human NQO2 gene locus is highly polymorphic (17, 21, 22). Among these, a 29-bp insertion/deletion promoter polymorphism associated with altered expression of the NQO2 gene and Parkinson disease is especially notable (23, 24). The studies have shown that a substantial number of human individuals carrying mutations in both NQO1 and NQO2 genes have reduced levels of these enzymes (25). The susceptibility of these individuals to diseases remains unknown (25).

The development of skin cancer is a multistage process that includes initiation, promotion, and progression in experimental animal models and induction and propagation possibly in human cancer (26). To examine the combined in vivo
role of NQO1 and NQO2, double knockout (DKO) NQO1−/−NQO2−/− mice were generated by cross-breeding NQO1−/−mice with NQO2−/− mice (25). DKO mice showed significantly higher sensitivity to DMBA- and BP-induced skin carcinogenesis, especially tumor multiplicity, as compared with wild-type (WT) and individual knockout mice. The results also suggest that delayed activation of p63/p53/p19 and decreased apoptosis contributed to increase in skin tumors in DKO mice. The results together suggest that NQO1 and NQO2 combined protect against DMBA- and BP-induced skin carcinogenesis.

Materials and Methods

**WT and DKO mice.** C57BL/6 NQO1−/−, NQO2−/−, and DKO NQO1−/−/NQO2−/− mice were generated in our laboratory. The WT and DKO mice were housed in polycarbonate cages in the animal facility at the University of Maryland (Baltimore, MD). The mice were kept in an air-conditioned barrier facility at a temperature of 24 ± 2°C and a humidity of 55 ± 5% with a 12:12 light-dark cycle. Mice were fed standard rodent chow and acidified tap water ad libitum. Six- to 8-wk-old mice were used for the experiments in this study. The University of Maryland Baltimore Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved the study and safety protocol. The animals received humane care throughout the experiment.

**DMBA- and BP-induced skin carcinogenesis.** Six- to 8-wk-old C57BL/6 WT and DKO mice deficient in NQO1 and NQO2 were used. The lower backs of mice were shaved using hair clippers. Twenty to 24 mice (half male and half female) were used in each group. The various concentrations of DMBA (200, 400, and 600 nmol) or 500 nmol of BP in acetone were applied on mice skin 2 d after shaving. The control mice received acetone alone. This was followed by twice-weekly applications of 10 μg phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) for 20 wk starting at 1 wk after DMBA treatment. Five NQO1−/− and five NQO2−/− mice were included in each group for comparison with DKO mice. Mice were observed weekly for development of skin tumors. Skin tumor formation was recorded weekly, and tumors >1 mm in diameter were included in the cumulative total if they persisted for >2 wk.

**Histologic examination of DMBA- and BP-induced skin tumors.** Mice were sacrificed if moribund, or any individual tumor reached a diameter of 4 mm, or at the termination of the experiment (30th week). The skin tumor specimens were collected and fixed in 10% buffered formalin overnight and embedded in paraffin, sectioned at ~4 μm, and stained with H&E. Diagnostic criteria for skin tumors were based on expert pathologist reports and the available literature (26). Pathology of tumours in laboratory animals, volume 2—tumours of the mouse (27) was also consulted. Histopathologic lesions of the skin epidermal tumors were classified into squamous cell papilloma, keratoacanthoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and basal cell tumor.

**Immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis of skin exposed to BP.** Six- to 8-wk-old C57BL/6 WT and DKO mice were used. The lower backs of the skin were shaved using hair clippers. Two days later, acetone and 800 nmol of BP dissolved in 100 μL acetone were applied on the shaved mice skin. The control mice received acetone only. Six, 12, 24, and 48 h later, WT and DKO mice were euthanized. Skin samples were removed by surgery.

A portion of skin tissue was used for immunohistochemical analysis. The skin tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin overnight and embedded in paraffin and sectioned at ~4 μm. The skin slides for short-term study were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in graded alcohol and PBS followed by immunohistochemical analysis with Immunoperoxidase Secondary Detection System (Chemicon). Briefly, endogenous peroxidase was quenched by treatment of skin sections with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min. The slides were heated in a boiled 0.01 mol/L citrated buffer (pH 6.0; BD Biosciences) by a microwave oven for 3 min to unmask antigen. Tissue sections were blocked with blocking reagent containing 5% normal goat serum for 30 min. After blocking, rabbit anti-mouse p53 (diluted 1:500; CM5p; Novocastra Laboratories), p63 (4A4; 1:1,000), Bax (P-19; 1:50), Bcl2 (N-19; 1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), caspase-3 (1:250; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), and ornithine decarboxylase (ODC; 1:50; Sigma Chemical Co.) were added and incubated overnight at 4°C in a humid chamber. The slides were washed and incubated with goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (30 min). This was followed by washing of slides and incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) reagents (15 min). Then, the slides were exposed to 3,3′-diaminobenzidine substrate and counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin. For nuclear staining of p53 and p63, positive cells were examined, photographed, and counted from 15 different fields from three mice.

The remaining skin tissues were homogenized in an ice-cold buffer containing 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 0.5% Triton X-100 in 50 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.4) and a mixture of protease inhibitors including 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mmol/L DTT, 1 μg/mL pepstatin, aprotinin, leupeptin, and antipain (all from Sigma Chemical). Skin protein samples (50–100 μg) were separated on 10% to 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and probed with antibodies against NQO1 (diluted 1:1,000; generated from our laboratory); NQO2 (N-15; 1:250), p53 (DO-1; 1:1,000), e-Jun (H-79; 1:250), Bcl2 (N-19; 1:500), Bax (P-19; 1:500), and p19 (E-11; 1:250; Santa Cruz Biotechnology); p21 (1:1,000) and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA; 1:1,000; BD Biosciences); caspase-3 (1:500; Cell Signaling Technology); anti–poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) p85 fragment polyclonal antibody (1:250; Promega); and ODC (1:250) and β-actin (1:5,000; Sigma Chemical). Immunoblots were incubated with a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse IgG HRP, goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP, and rabbit anti-goat IgG HRP, diluted 1:2,000; Chemicon) with enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare) reagents by the procedures suggested by the manufacturer.

**Statistical analysis.** Data for tumor incidence, multiplicities, and average positive cell numbers were analyzed by...
one-way ANOVA, and mean values were compared using the Dunnett’s test ($P < 0.05$).

Results

**DMBA and BP induction of skin tumors in WT and DKO mice.** We performed standard two-stage initiation-promotion experiments to study comparative susceptibility of WT and DKO mice to DMBA- and BP-induced skin tumors. Skin tumor incidence and tumor multiplicity were recorded (Fig. 1A and B; Table 1). The DKO mice showed significantly higher skin tumor incidences and multiplicity of tumors/mouse with all three (200, 400, and 600 nmol) doses of DMBA as compared with WT mice (Fig. 1A, left; top; Table 1). All the three doses led to development of skin tumors in 100% of DKO mice at week 14 after DMBA exposure (Fig. 1A, left, top). In contrast, <10% WT mice showed DMBA-induced skin tumors at week 14 after DMBA exposure. The highest tumor incidence in WT mice was 30%, with a maximum dose of 600 nmol DMBA.

The DKO mice exposed to DMBA showed early onset of skin tumors as compared with WT mice (Fig. 1A, left, top). The tumors in DKO mice started appearing at week 10 as compared with week 13 in case of WT mice. In the same experiment, WT and DKO mice exposed to vehicle or PMA alone failed to induce skin tumors. Interestingly, tumor multiplicity in DKO mice was significantly higher than WT mouse (Fig. 1A, right). DKO mice exposed to DMBA showed 10 to 18 tumors per mouse as compared with average of <0.2 tumor per WT mouse. DKO mice in two-stage carcinogenesis studies also showed significant increase in susceptibility to BP-induced skin carcinogenesis (Fig. 1B). DKO mice exposed to BP showed early onset of development of skin tumors as compared with WT mice (Fig. 1B, left). The tumor incidence in DKO mice reached 100% at week 25, but in WT mice, it only reached 43% at week 27. The tumor multiplicities at week 27 of DKO mice and WT mice were 5.28 and 0.43, respectively, showing significant difference between the two strains of mice ($P < 0.0001$; Fig. 1B, right).

![Figure 1. DMBA- and BP-induced skin tumor frequency and multiplicity.](image)

### Table 1. Mouse skin tumor incidence in response to DMBA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Week 15 Skin tumor incidence</th>
<th>Week 25 Skin tumor incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>DKO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMBA (200 nmol)</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>24/24 ($P &lt; 0.0001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMBA (400 nmol)</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>24/24 ($P &lt; 0.0001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMBA (600 nmol)</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>22/22 ($P &lt; 0.0001$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mice were analyzed for skin tumor frequency and multiplicity. A, DMBA-induced tumor incidence and tumor multiplicity per mouse. Table 1 shows mice with tumors per total mice in the group. B, BP-induced tumor incidence and tumor multiplicity per mouse.
A representative tumor-bearing mouse exposed to 200 nmol DMBA is shown in Fig. 2A. The majority of the tumors that developed in the DKO mice were 4 mm in diameter, in contrast to the 1 mm or less size distribution observed in the WT population. Histologic evaluation of DMBA- and BP-induced skin tumors in WT and DKO mice showed that most tumors were typical exophytic, well-differentiated squamous cell papillomas, DKO mouse exposed to DMBA also showed a few squamous cell carcinomas as shown in Fig. 2B. The squamous cell carcinoma was not observed in WT mice treated with DMBA.

NQO1 and NQO2 single knockout mice exposed to DMBA and BP are known to develop low frequency of skin tumors as compared with WT mice (Fig. 2C; refs. 13–16). A comparison of DMBA- and BP-induced skin tumors and tumor multiplicities in DKO mice with WT, NQO1−/−, and NQO2−/− mice is plotted in Fig. 2C and D. DMBA exposure led to almost similar incidence of skin tumors in individual NQO1 and NQO2 knockout and DKO mice that were significantly higher than WT mice (Fig. 2C). However, BP treatment showed significantly higher incidence of tumors in DKO mice as compared with not only WT but also individual NQO1 and NQO2 knockout mice (P < 0.0001; Fig. 1C). Intriguingly, highly significant differences between DKO and individual NQO1 and NQO2 knockout mouse exposed to DMBA and BP were observed in tumor multiplicity (Fig. 2D). DKO mice exposed to DMBA developed 10 to 18 tumors per mouse as compared with 3 to 4 tumors per NQO1−/− and NQO2−/− mouse. Similarly, the exposure to BP led to four or more tumors in DKO mouse as compared with a single tumor in NQO1−/− and NQO2−/− mice.

**Immunohistologic analysis of growth, differentiation, and apoptosis factors.** We used WT and DKO mice exposed to acetone (vehicle control) and BP for 6, 12, and 24 hours to investigate the mechanism of increased susceptibility of DKO mice to develop skin tumors in response to BP (Figs. 3–5). The treated skin sections were analyzed for anti-p63, anti-p53, anti-ODC, anti-Bcl2, anti-Bax, and anti–caspase-3 antibodies by immunohistochemistry. Both p63 and p53 immunohistochemistry showed epithelial nuclear staining, whereas ODC, Bcl2, Bax, and caspase-3 showed cytoplasmic staining. The p63 and p53 cells with nuclear staining were counted and plotted (Figs. 3 and 4). Interestingly, BP treatment showed time-dependent increase in p63 in WT mice until 12 hours after exposure (Fig. 3). The p63 level dropped to basal level at 24 hours after BP exposure in WT mice. In contrast, DKO mouse skin showed higher expression of p63 compared with WT mice and lack of induction of p63 at 6 and 12 hours after BP exposure (Fig. 3). The p63 showed increased expression in DKO mice but only at 24 hours after BP exposure (Fig. 3). WT mice skin showed low level of p53 staining that was absent in DKO mice (Fig. 4). WT mice treated with BP led to time-dependent increase in p53 at 12 and 24 hours after exposure. DKO mice in the same experiment showed induction of p53 only at 24 hours after BP exposure (Fig. 4). The induction of p53 was absent in DKO mice at 6 and 12 hours after BP exposure (Fig. 4). A comparison of WT and DKO mouse skin from various immunohistochemical analyses showed an intriguing observation of thinning of epithelium in DKO mice because of unknown reasons (Fig. 5). The immunohistochemical analysis also showed increased staining for proliferation marker ODC and antiapoptotic protein Bcl2 as compared with WT mice at all three time points of BP exposure (Fig. 5A and B). However,

**Figure 2.** Phenotype and histotype of DKO tumor induced by 200 nmol DMBA and comparison of tumor frequency and multiplicity among DKO, NQO1−/−, NQO2−/−, and WT mice. 

A, gross appearance of skin tumors, which developed in DKO mouse. B, histotype of tumor: microscopic sections of skin showing well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma from 200 nmol DMBA–treated group. Islands of squamous malignant cells (black arrow) are seen in which there is nuclear atypia and apoptosis (white arrow). A high mitotic ratio is also seen (H&E staining). Original magnification, ×20. C, a comparison of DMBA- and BP-induced tumor incidence among WT, NQO1−/−, NQO2−/−, and DKO mice. D, a comparison of DMBA- and BP-induced tumor multiplicity among WT, NQO1−/−, NQO2−/−, and DKO mice.
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Bax and caspase-3 in the same experiment showed decreased staining in DKO mice skin compared with WT mice exposed to BP (Fig. 5C and D).

Western blot analysis. WT and DKO mice were exposed to acetone (vehicle control) and BP for 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours. The mice were euthanized, skin was collected by surgery and homogenized, and total cell lysate was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting for p53, p21, Bcl2, Bax, caspase-3, cleaved PARP, p19, c-Jun, ODC, PCNA, NQO1, NQO2, and actin (Fig. 6). NQO1 and NQO2 were present in WT mice but, as expected, were absent in DKO mice. The treatment with BP first increased and then decreased NQO1 and NQO2 in WT mice. DKO mice showed lower basal expression of tumor suppressor gene p53, growth arrest gene p21, and proapoptotic gene Bax but higher expression of antiapoptotic gene Bcl2 as compared with WT mice. The treatment with BP showed time-dependent increase in p53, p21, Bax, and caspase-3 in WT mice. However, the DKO mice exposed to BP showed delayed and smaller magnitude of increase in p53, p21, and caspase-3. In WT mice, the BP-induced skin samples showed higher PCNA levels as compared with DKO samples. The DKO mice also showed absence of cleaved PARP as observed in WT mice. WT mice showed significant increase in growth suppression gene p19 in response to BP. The increase in p19 was absent in DKO mice. The expression of proliferation-related genes c-Jun and ODC was undetected in WT mice. However, DKO mice in the same experiment showed time-dependent increase in c-Jun and higher expression of ODC.

Discussion

Previously, NQO1−/− and NQO2−/− mice exposed to DMBA and BP induced low frequency of skin tumors that was significantly higher than WT mice (13–16). This raised an interesting question about a combined role of NQO1 and NQO2 in prevention of DMBA- and BP-induced skin carcinogenesis. We used DKO mice to investigate the combined role of NQO1 and NQO2 in prevention of skin carcinogenesis in response to carcinogens DMBA and BP. These studies were significant because DKO mice represented animal model for human individuals deficient in both NQO1 and NQO2 because of mutations in NQO1 gene and promoter polymorphism in NQO2 gene (18–20, 23, 24). DKO mice showed significantly higher sensitivity to develop skin tumors in response to DMBA and BP as compared with WT and individual knockout mice. The tumors developed early and were larger in size than individual knockout mice. One of the most intriguing observations was highly significant increase in tumor multiplicity. Most of the skin tumors in DKO and WT mice were papillomas. However, DKO mice showed a few carcinomas, which were absent in WT mice.

We also performed experiments to determine the mechanism of the role of NQO1/NQO2 in skin chemoprotection. We analyzed WT and DKO mice skin exposed to BP for factors that regulate growth/differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. These included p63, p53, p21, p19, Bcl2, Bax, caspase-3, c-Jun, and ODC. p63 family of factors is critical for epidermal morphogenesis and carcinogenesis (28–30). The p53 protein, called the guardian of the genome, represents a key regulator of the control of cell growth against internal and external stress through transcriptional-dependent and transcriptional-independent mechanisms (31–33). p53 is a tumor suppressor gene whose product can act as a suppressor of transformation (34) and is induced by DNA damage (35). In turn, p53 orchestrates a global transcriptional response that either counters cell proliferation or induces apoptosis (36). p21 is a critical regulator of the cell cycle, and cell fate in epidermis (37) was also induced after BP treatment. The accumulation of activated p53 protein induces a cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase, which allows the
repair of DNA damage before its replication in the S phase. In this pathway, p21 was discovered as an inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK), whose induction is associated with the expression of p53 (38). p21 mediates cell cycle arrest by binding to and inactivation of the cyclin D/CDK4, cyclin D/CDK6, and cyclin E/CDK2 complexes (38). Upregulation of p21 has also been documented in cells undergoing differentiation, senescence, and apoptosis, all processes that may negatively influence tumor formation or progression (39). A role for p21 as a downstream effector of p53-mediated tumor suppression is supported by its ability to block proliferation of p53-deficient tumor cells in vitro and in vivo (34). p19 suppresses growth, progression, and metastasis of tumor through p53-dependent and p53-independent pathways (40). Loss of p19 results in increased malignant conversion, more aggressive tumors, and frequent and rapid metastasis. However, one in vivo p19-null mouse model indicated additional p53-dependent tumor suppressor functions for p19 (40). The Bcl2 family of proteins consists of proapoptotic and antiapoptotic regulators of programmed cell death/apoptosis. The Bax gene is an apoptosis-promoting member of the Bcl2 gene family. The Bcl2 protein is known to form hetero-dimers with the Bax protein in vivo, and the molar ratio of Bcl2 to Bax determines whether apoptosis is induced or inhibited in target tissues (41). The Bax protein is considered to be one of the primary targets of p53 and controls cell death through its participation in the disruption of mitochondria with the subsequent release of cytochrome c (41–43). Cytochrome c release, in turn, activates caspase-3, caspase-9, and PARP (42). Cleaved PARP is regarded as a proximate mediator of apoptosis. c-Jun is known to promote cellular proliferation (44). ODC is a key enzyme in cellular polyamine synthesis. Consequently, polyamine levels are elevated in the skin, which creates a cellular environment that greatly enhances tumor growth after minimal exposure to carcinogens (45). In DKO mice, the BP-induced samples showed lower PCNA levels as compared with WT samples. PCNA is associated with S phase of DNA replication (46). It is known that carcinogen administration induces resistance in cells, which can proliferate even under cytotoxic conditions as observed in BP-treated groups. The absence of normal cell proliferation activity seems to be responsible for the progression of papilloma to squamous cell carcinoma of the skin in DKO mice.
P450 and catalyze two-electron reduction of quinone metabolites of BP to hydroquinones, thus skipping one-electron reduction and semiquinone and ROS generation (48). Unlike BP, DMBA does not metabolically produce quinones, yet NQO1 and NQO2 protect mice against its carcinogenicity (14, 16). Therefore, the studies suggest that the role of NQO1 and NQO2 in protection against carcinogenicity is against all types of chemicals and not restricted to chemicals that are metabolized to quinones. The studies also suggest that mechanisms of NQO1 and NQO2 protection against chemical carcinogenesis involve not only detoxification of chemicals but also other mechanisms because they could protect against chemicals that are not substrates for NQO1 and NQO2.

One such mechanism of NQO1 and NQO2 protection is their role in stabilization of tumor suppressor p53 against 20S proteasomal degradation (49). This is due to direct physical interaction of NQO1 and NQO2 with p53 and reduction/abrogation of p53 interaction with 20S proteasomes. NQO1 and NQO2 are stress-inducible proteins and induced in response to chemical and radiation stress (49). This leads to NQO1- and NQO2-mediated stabilization of p53 and cellular protection. Therefore, it is possible that delayed/reduced activation of p53 in DKO mice in response to BP and DMBA treatment is due to loss of NQO1 and NQO2 stabilization of p53 against 20S degradation. NQO1 and NQO2 both were induced in response to BP, leading to stabilization/activation of p53 and protection against skin carcinogenesis. The role of NQO1 and NQO2 in control of stability of other factors, including p63 and p19, is expected but remains to be determined.

In summary, the results suggested that NQO1 and NQO2 combined provide protection against chemical-induced skin carcinogenicity. This protection is due to NQO1 and NQO2 control of factors that mediate cell growth and differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. This conclusion is significant for human individuals with combined deficiency of NQO1 and NQO2.
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