MicroRNA-155 Functions as an OncomiR in Breast Cancer by Targeting the Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling 1 Gene
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Abstract

MicroRNA-155 (miR-155) is overexpressed in many human cancers; however, the mechanisms by which miR-155 functions as a putative oncomiR are largely unknown. Here, we report that the tumor suppressor gene suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (socs1) is an evolutionarily conserved target of miR-155 in breast cancer cells. We found that mir-155 expression is inversely correlated with socs1 expression in breast cancer cell lines as well as in a subset of primary breast tumors. We also identified a 24A→G mutation in the miR-155 binding site of the SOCS1 3′ untranslated region in a breast tumor that reduced miR-155 repression, implicating a mechanism for miRNA targets to avoid repression. Ectopic expression of miR-155 significantly promoted the proliferation of breast cancer cells, the formation of soft agar foci, and the development of tumors in nude mice. In breast cancer cells, RNA interference silencing of socs1 recapitulates the oncogenic effects of miR-155, whereas restoration of socs1 expression attenuates the protumorigenesis function of miR-155, suggesting that miR-155 exerts its oncogenic role by negatively regulating socs1. Overexpression of miR-155 in breast cancer cells leads to constitutive activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) through the Janus-activated kinase (JAK) pathway, and stimulation of breast cancer cell viability by the inflammatory cytokines IFN-γ and interleukin-6 (IL-6), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and polyriboinosinic-polyribocytidylic acid [poly(I:C)] significantly upregulates mir-155 expression, suggesting that miR-155 may serve as a bridge between inflammation and cancer. Taken together, our study reveals that miR-155 is an oncomiR in breast cancer and that miR-155 may be a potential target in breast cancer therapy. Cancer Res; 70(8); OF1–9. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNA) are ~22-nucleotide RNAs that negatively regulate gene expression in eukaryotes (1). miRNA genes are one of the most abundant classes of regulatory genes in mammals, and mounting evidence indicates that miRNAs are key regulators of animal development and involved in human diseases such as cancer (2).

The miR-155 locus is located within a region known as B-cell integration cluster (BIC; ref. 3), which was originally thought to be a proto-oncogene associated with lymphoma (4). miR-155 was first implicated in the oncogenesis of hematopoietic malignancies based on the finding that BIC/mir-155 expression is upregulated in B-cell lymphomas and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (5). Consistent with this observation, transgenic expression of miR-155 in B cells causes acute lymphoblastic leukemia/high-grade lymphoma (6), mir-155 is also overexpressed in various solid tumors, including breast, lung, colon, pancreatic, and thyroid cancers (7–11). Moreover, high expression levels of miR-155 have been found to correlate with poor prognoses of lung cancer and pancreatic tumor (10, 11). All of these lines of evidence are consistent with the notion that miR-155 functions as an oncogenic miRNA (oncomiR) in human cancers. OncomiRs that are upregulated in tumors function in tumor development mainly via repressing the expression of tumor suppressor or tumor suppressor–like genes (12, 13). Of note, miR-155 promotes pancreatic tumor development and mammary gland epithelial cell migration and invasion by targeting the tumor suppressors TP53INP1 and RhoA, respectively (8, 14). In addition, miR-155 induces B-cell malignancies by targeting Ship and C/EBPβ in a transgenic mouse model (6). Most of the validated target genes of miR-155, however, including PaI, c-MAF, AID, interleukin-1
(IL-1), IKKε, Ets-1, and Meis1 (15–20), have not been implicated in miR-155–mediated oncogenesis but are relevant to the function of miR-155 in the immune and hematopoietic systems. Given that miRNAs usually regulate a large set of targets, it is speculated that there might be more miR-155 targets that are involved in oncogenesis.

Although miR-155 has been found to be upregulated in breast cancer, its role in breast tumorigenesis has not yet been defined. In the present study, we identified suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (socs1) as a novel target of miR-155 in breast cancer cells. SOCS1 is a tumor suppressor that normally functions as a negative feedback regulator of janus-activated kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling (21). Furthermore, we show that overexpression of miR-155 in breast cancer cells promoted cell proliferation, colony formation, and xenograft tumor growth through the repression of socs1. Finally, we show that upregulation of miR-155 in breast cancer contributed to the posttranscriptional silencing of socs1 and led to constitutive activation of STAT3 in breast cancer cells. Together, these results suggest that miR-155 is an oncomiR for breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Vector construction. The miR-155 expression vector pSIF-GFP–miR-155, carrying a ∼400-bp human BIC sequences driven by H1 promoter, was derived from pSIF-GFP vector (System Biosciences). Flag-fused human SOCS1 coding sequences with or without its 3′ untranslated region (UTR) were cloned into pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen) to construct socs1 expression vectors pF-SOCS1-3′UTR and pF-SOCS1. All the constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Cell lines and patient specimens. Breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, SK-BR-3, and BT-474; nontumorigenic human breast epithelial cell line MCF-10A; and embryonic kidney cell line HEK293T cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The medium and serum for the cell cultures were used as ATCC recommended. For inflammatory stimulation, 50 ng/mL IFN-γ, 10 ng/mL IL-6 (eBioscience), 5 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma), or 2 μg/mL polyriboinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid [poly(I:C); Amersham] were contained in the medium, respectively. The cellular morphology of these cell lines is normal in both low and high densities of cultures by microscopy according to the guideline from ATCC (22). Breast tumor specimens and their matching normal breast specimens were collected at the time of surgery from patients in Zhongshan Hospital Affiliated to Fudan University. Samples were snap frozen immediately and stored at −80°C. Approval to collect specimens was granted by the hospital.

RNA oligonucleotide and cell transfection. miR-155 mimics, anti–miR-155, small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting SOCS1 mRNA (NM_003745), and their cognate control RNAs were purchased from Ambion. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For transfection of the RNA oligonucleotides, 50 nmol/L of siRNA and 100 nmol/L of miRNA mimics or antisense oligonucleotides were used. The transfection efficiency was estimated to be ∼80% for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells using Cy3 dye-labeled RNA oligonucleotides (Ambion). For plasmid, 4 μg DNA was used in a six-well plate. G418 (200 μg/mL) was added 24 h after transfection. In the rescue experiment, cells were cotransfected with 100 nmol/L of miRNA mimics and 1 μg of plasmid in a six-well plate.

Immunofluorescence assay. MCF-7 cells were transfected with pSIF-GFP–miR-155 or pSIF-GFP and fixed with 4% formaldehyde (F1040–00) for 10 min and then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were then incubated with 1 μg/mL DAPI (D9542–00) for 10 min and washed with PBS. The cells were then stained with GOI antibodies for 1 h at room temperature and washed with PBS. The images were taken using a confocal microscope (Olympus IX81).

Figure 1. socs1 is a target of miR-155 in breast cancer cells. A, socs1 expression was examined by immunofluorescence analysis. GFP–miR-155 and pSIF-GFP showed transfection of miR-155 expression (top) or control vectors (bottom), respectively. Red, SOCS1 protein was immunostained with anti-SOCS1; blue, nuclei were stained with DAPI. GFP images (green) and arrowheads indicate cells with miR-155 expression or control vectors. B, SOCS1 protein levels were examined by Western blot analysis, and β-actin served as an internal reference. C, the levels of miR-155 and SOCS1 miRNA were quantified by qRT-PCR analysis. U6 and β-actin served as internal normalized references for miR-155 and SOCS1 miRNA, respectively. Columns, mean of three separate experiments; bars, SE. *** P < 0.001.
Expression of socs1 and mir-155 in breast cancer cells and primary breast tumors. A, expression of mir-155 and socs1 in breast cancer cell lines. Top, Western blot analysis of SOCS1 protein levels; bottom, qRT-PCR analysis of mir-155 levels. B, qRT-PCR analysis of mir-155 and SOCS1 mRNA levels in primary breast tumors. The inset graph indicated a statistically significant inverse correlation (R = −0.73, P = 0.038) in 9 of 15 tumors. The normalized references were the same as that in Fig. 1.

**Results and Discussion**

**socs1 is a target of mir-155 in breast cancer cells.** In studying the oncogenic function and mechanism of miR-155 in the development of breast cancer, using computational prediction and luciferase reporter assay, we indicated that the tumor suppressor gene socs1 is an evolutionarily conserved target of miR-155 (Supplementary Fig. S1). We next determined whether overexpression of mir-155 leads to downregulation of endogenous socs1 expression in human breast cancer cells. We overexpressed mir-155 in MCF-7 cells.
using a vector coexpressing mir-155 and GFP. As shown by immunofluorescent staining, SOCS1 protein was largely reduced in cells with a strong GFP signal and mir-155 overexpression (Fig. 1A, top), whereas it was unaffected in controls (Fig. 1A, bottom). Western blot assays indicated that the SOCS1 protein level was reduced by ∼3-fold in MCF-7 cells overexpressing miR-155 compared with the control (Fig. 1B). Moreover, qRT-PCR analyses showed that the SOCS1 mRNA level was downregulated by ∼30% when mir-155 was overexpressed ∼16-fold ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 1C). Furthermore, in the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231, we knocked down mir-155 expression using anti–mir-155 and found that the SOCS1 protein level was increased ∼5-fold (Supplementary Fig. S2). In these cells, the expression of miR-155 was reduced ∼5-fold, whereas the SOCS1 mRNA level was upregulated ∼2-fold ($P < 0.001$).

These results show that socs1 is a direct target of miR-155 in breast cancer cells and that mRNA degradation is involved in miR-155–suppressing socs1. While we were preparing this article, an independent article reported that socs1 is a target of miR-155 in T regulatory cells (25), confirming that SOCS1 is an authentic target of miR-155. That report showed that miR-155 plays an important role in the function of the immunocyte by targeting socs1, whereas we are uniquely interested in socs1–mir-155 interaction as it pertains to miR-155 as an oncomiR and socs1 as a tumor suppressor. Given that miR-155 is overexpressed in many cancers and that it negatively regulates socs1 expression, we speculate that targeting socs1 could be a mechanism by which miR-155 acts as an oncomiR in cancers.

**Inverse correlation of mir-155 and socs1 expression in breast cancer cells and breast tumors.** To address the biological significance of the socs1–mir-155 interaction in breast cancer, we initially examined socs1 and mir-155 expression levels in breast cancer cell lines. socs1 is downregulated in various human tumors, including breast cancer (21). We hypothesized that reduced socs1 expression in breast cancer cells could be a result of elevated mir-155 expression. Indeed, SOCS1 protein levels were higher in nonmalignant human breast epithelial MCF-10A cells and less malignant breast cancer MCF-7 and SK-BR-3 cells but relatively lower in aggressive breast cancer BT-474 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2A, top). In contrast, miR-155 levels were relatively lower in MCF-10A, MCF-7, and SK-BR-3 cells but higher in BT-474 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2A, bottom). These results indicate that mir-155 expression is negatively related to socs1 expression in breast cancer cell lines.

To test whether this observation could be extrapolated to primary breast tumors, we examined socs1 and mir-155 expression in 15 sets of breast tumor and paired normal tissue specimens. We found that SOCS1 mRNA was reduced by ∼2-fold in ∼60% of breast tumors compared with the normal tissues (Fig. 2B, top). As expected, miR-155 levels were commonly elevated in these tumors, with an increase of >5-fold found in 8 of 15 tumors (Fig. 2B, bottom). Using Pearson’s correlation analysis of socs1–mir-155 expression, we obtained a statistically significant inverse correlation ($R = -0.73, P = 0.038$) in a total of 9 of 15 tumors (Fig. 2B, bottom, inset).

Taken together, these results indicate that mir-155 expression is inversely correlated with socs1 expression in breast cancer cell lines and a subset of primary breast tumors, suggesting that the socs1–mir-155 interaction may be biologically significant in breast cancers. Given that knockdown of miR-155 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells upregulated socs1 expression (Supplementary Fig. S2), we propose that increased mir-155 expression is likely responsible for the reduced socs1 expression in breast cancer cells.

**24A→G mutation in the SOCS1 mRNA 3’UTR abolishes mir-155 repression.** Unexpectedly, in tumors 17 and T14, we found that socs1 expression was upregulated ∼3- and 10-fold, respectively, whereas mir-155 expression was ∼5- and 40-fold higher than in controls (Fig. 2B). To understand this discrepancy, we sequenced the socs1 gene and identified a 24A→G mutation in the miR-155 binding site of the SOCS1 3’UTR from tumor T14 (Fig. 3A). Using a reporter assay, we found that the reporter fused to the A24G-mutated SOCS1 3’ UTR was not repressed by miR-155 (Fig. 3B), showing that the mutation prevents miR-155 regulation. Furthermore, a RNA duplex formation assay revealed that the SOCS1 A24G MRE RNA could not form a stable duplex with the miR-155 strand (Fig. 3C, lane 4), whereas miR-155 antisense and wild-type SOCS1 MRE RNA could form a complete or partial RNA duplex with miR-155 (lanes 2 and 3). These results indicate...
that the A24G mutation impairs miR-155 binding to the miR-155 binding site of SOCS1 3′ UTR and, consequently, abolishes miR-155 regulation.

This mutation breaks the seventh A-U base pair between the SOCS1 MRE and miR-155 seed sequences and changes a canonical 7-mer A1 seed-matched site to a 6-mer site, which may have reduced the efficacy for miRNA target recognition (26). Similar to our findings, single-nucleotide polymorphisms in miRNA target sites have been claimed to prevent the miRNA regulation of target genes, which are associated with many human diseases (27). Widespread shortening of 3′ UTRs and, consequently, fewer miRNA regulatory sites are observed in cancer cells and proliferating T cells, decreasing the negative effect of miRNAs in these cells (28, 29). Therefore, mutation or loss of miRNA sites may be a common mechanism for targets to avoid miRNA repression.

We have identified a few socs1 3′ UTR mutations at potential miRNA binding sites. The details of these mutations and their biological significance in breast carcinogenesis will be discussed in other studies.

miR-155 acts as an oncomiR in breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Given that miR-155 is overexpressed in breast cancers and that it may act as an oncomiR, we decided to examine whether miR-155 has oncogenic functions in breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. The results of the in vitro assays indicated that miR-155 mimics significantly promoted the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells (P < 0.001; Fig. 4A) and stimulated MCF-7 cells to grow more (∼3-fold) and larger colonies on soft agar (P < 0.001; Fig. 4B, left). Conversely, anti–miR-155 in MDA-MB-231 cells significantly reduced cell proliferation (P < 0.001; Fig. 4A) and resulted in ∼2.5-fold fewer colony foci (P < 0.001; Fig. 4B, right). Western blot analyses confirmed that socs1 in these cells was modulated by miR-155, and the expression of the proliferation biomarker PCNA was enhanced ∼3-fold by miR-155 mimics and reduced ∼10-fold by anti–miR-155 (Fig. 4A, right). These results show that miR-155 promotes the proliferation and anchorage-independent growth of breast cancer cells in vitro.

To determine whether miR-155 regulates tumor growth in vivo, we used tumor xenografts by inoculating MDA-MB-231 cells with modulated mir-155 expression in nude mice. We first injected MDA-MB-231 cells with anti–miR-155 into nude mice and found that these mice bore smaller tumors compared with those expressing control RNA (Fig. 4C, left). At the end of the observation (6 weeks), the average volume (105 ± 30 mm3) of tumors expressing anti–miR-155 was only about one third of that (294 ± 81 mm3) of the control group (P < 0.01). When miR-155 mimics were used to upregulate mir-155 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells, we found that tumor growth was significantly accelerated (Fig. 4C, right), and by the end of 6 weeks, the average tumor volume for miR-155 mimic–transfected and control RNA–transfected cells was 566 ± 132 mm3 and 238 ± 68 mm3, respectively (P < 0.01). These results show that miR-155 stimulates the tumor growth of breast cancer cells in vivo.

Taken together, our results show that miR-155 acts as an oncomiR in breast cancer cells. This is the first time that miR-155 is directly shown to act as an oncomiR in cultured breast cancer cell lines and in immunodeficient mice xenografted with human breast cancer cells.

Targeting socs1 is a mechanism of miR-155 as an oncomiR in breast cancer cells. To investigate whether miR-155...
exerts its oncogenic function by targeting socs1, we examined whether RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown of socs1 expression could recapitulate the oncogenic effects of miR-155 in breast cancer cells. We found that siRNA knockdown of socs1 in MCF-7 cells significantly promoted cell proliferation (P < 0.001; Fig. 5A, left) and anchorage-independent growth (P < 0.001; Fig. 5A, right). Western blot analysis confirmed that SOCS1 siRNA reduced SOCS1 protein levels ∼5-fold and increased PCNA protein levels ∼4-fold (Fig. 5A, right). These results indicate that a reduction of socs1 expression can mimic miR-155 in promoting breast cancer cells to proliferate and form soft agar foci, suggesting that targeting socs1 may be a mechanism of the oncogenic function of miR-155 in breast cancer cells. However, in MDA-MB-231 with high mir-155 and low socs1 expression, we found that SOCS1 siRNA was less efficient than miR-155 mimics to induce cell growth (Supplementary Fig. S4), suggesting that other targets might be required for miR-155 oncogenic function in breast cancer cells.

We then performed rescue experiments to further validate that socs1 targeting is involved in miR-155-mediated oncogenesis in breast cancer cells. Two socs1 expression vectors, pF-SOCS1 and pF-SOCS1-3′UTR, were used in the experiments. Both constructs could similarly overexpress SOCS1 protein in HEK293T cells with low mir-155 expression (Supplementary Fig. S3). Overexpression of SOCS1 protein with pF-SOCS1 greatly suppressed the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressing miR-155 (Fig. 5B, left). Intriguingly, this growth inhibition was significantly attenuated when the SOCS1 3′UTR was included in the expression construct. Consistently, cells transfected with pF-SOCS1 expressed ∼8-fold more SOCS1 protein and 3-fold less PCNA protein than those transfected with pF-SOCS1-3′UTR (Fig. 5B, left, lanes 2 and 3). These results show that the SOCS1 3′UTR in the expression vector dramatically inhibited the production of SOCS1 protein in miR-155-overexpressing cells, and therefore, it was less efficient in rescuing miR-155-promoted cell proliferation. As expected, cotransfection of pF-SOCS1 and miR-155 mimics into MCF-7 cells significantly rescued miR-155-stimulated anchorage-independent growth (P < 0.001; Fig. 5B, middle), and MDA-MB-231 cells cotransfected with miR-155 mimics and pF-SOCS1 produced tumors much more slowly than miR-155 mimics and pcDNA3Flag cotransfectants (Fig. 5B, right). At the end of 6 weeks, the average tumor volume in the rescue experimental group was 172 ± 50 mm³, whereas that in the control group was 494 ± 130 mm³, which represented a >2.5-fold decrease (P < 0.01). These findings indicate that reintroduction of SOCS1 protein may override the effects of miR-155 overexpression on the tumor growth of
MDA-MB-231 cells. These results show that restoration of socs1 expression attenuates the tumorigenic function of miR-155 in breast cancer cells, further suggesting that targeting socs1 is a mechanism by which miR-155 exerts its oncogenic function.

Taken together, we have shown that miR-155 acts as an oncomiR in breast cancer cells and that it may do so by downregulating socs1 expression. socs1 has been reported to be a tumor suppressor that negatively regulates cell growth and transformation of several cancer cells (30, 31). In addition, as shown in Fig. 2, socs1 is downregulated in breast cancer, whereas miR-155 is commonly overexpressed in breast cancer as an oncomiR. It is likely that the downregulation of socs1 by miR-155 is an authentic mechanism of miR-155–mediated oncogenesis in breast tumors.

Enhancement of JAK2/STAT3 signaling in breast cancer cells by miR-155. SOCS1 has been known to be a negative feedback regulator of JAK/STAT signaling, and SOCS1 antitumor activity is through inhibiting STAT3 signaling via the JAK pathway (21, 31). STAT3 is an oncoprotein that is constitutively activated in breast cancer cell lines and human breast tumors, regulating cell survival, proliferation, and metastatic potential (32). Given that socs1 is repressed by miR-155, we speculated that miR-155 overexpression in breast tumors may play a role in STAT3 signaling. In MDA-MB-231 cells in which mir-155 was highly expressed (Fig. 2A) and STAT3 was constitutively activated, we found that anti–miR-155 expression resulted in increased levels of SOCS1 protein up to 5-fold and decreased levels of phospho-JAK2 and phospho-STAT3 about 3- and 5-fold, respectively. In contrast, the level of STAT3 protein was not affected by anti–miR-155 (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, we used JSI-124, a highly selective and potent inhibitor of STAT3, to validate miR-155–SOCS1–STAT3 axis functions (33). As shown in Fig. 6B, miR-155 activated STAT3 ∼80% in MDA-MB-231 and JSI-124 reversed miR-155–promoting activation of STAT3 and cell growth. These results show that miR-155 overexpression enhances oncogenic STAT3 signaling via the JAK pathway in breast cancer cells. Given that the expression of miR-155 is significantly enhanced and that STAT3 signaling is constitutively active in malignant breast cancer cell lines and a subset of primary breast tumors, we propose that miR-155 may function as an oncomiR in breast cancer development by boosting STAT3 signaling in breast epithelial cells.

miR-155 may act as a bridge between inflammation and malignancy. Inflammation is an important promoter of cancer. Interestingly, STAT3 signaling, which is one of the most important inflammatory pathways recognized to contribute to inflammation-associated malignancy (34), is activated by miR-155 (Fig. 6A and B). Even more interestingly, miR-155 is induced by inflammatory mediators in macrophages, by pathogens in gastric epithelial cells, and by retroviruses in...
chicken embryo fibroblasts (35–37). We found that the inflammatory stimulation [e.g., IFN-γ, IL-6, LPS, and poly(I:C) treatment] regulated the expression of mir-155 and socs1 in breast cancer MCF-7 cells. The level of mir-155 expression was about 3- to 7-fold higher at 6 to 12 hours after IFN-γ, IL-6, LPS, or poly(I:C) stimulation (Fig. 6C, top). In contrast, socs1 expression was rapidly induced 3 minutes after each stimulation but was significantly attenuated 6 to 12 hours later (Fig. 6C, bottom). This result is consistent with the idea that inflammation-induced mir-155 expression is likely a mechanism that contributes to inflammation-related cancers. Therefore, we propose that mir-155 may act as a bridge between inflammation and tumorigenesis (Fig. 6D). As mir-155 is being induced during an inflammatory response, it blocks the negative feedback loop of JAK/STAT signaling via posttranscriptional silencing of socs1 and boosts the tumor-promoting inflammatory signaling of STAT3. Eventually, the persistent activation of STAT3 leads to cell survival, transformation, and tumor growth.

In conclusion, we have shown that mir-155 functions as an oncomiR in breast carcinomas by targeting socs1 and consequently contributing to constitutive STAT3 activation in breast cancer. Importantly, the cross talk between mir-155, socs1, and STAT3 signaling may provide a new mechanism for inflammation-associated tumorigenesis and suggests the potential use of mir-155 and SOCS1 in cancer therapy.
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