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Abstract

There is a paucity of data on whether or not women can be reinfected with human papillomavirus (HPV) types to which they were exposed to earlier in life and on the role of natural immunity. The observation of HPV infection at older ages may be explained by the reactivation of a latent infection or new exposure from sexual activity. Our objective was to analyze the association between reinfection and sexual activity. We analyzed data from 2,462 women enrolled in the Ludwig-McGill cohort and followed every 4 to 6 months for up to 10 years. We performed HPV typing and viral load measurements via PCR and determined HPV-16 seroreactivity at enrollment. Incidence of infection and reinfection were estimated for individual types. Adjusted relative risks (RR) for the association between infection/reinfection and new sexual partners were calculated using Cox regression. Rates of initial infection and reinfection postclearance were statistically comparable. RRs of initial infection or reinfection were consistently associated with new sexual partners [2.4 (95% confidence intervals; 95% CI, 2.0–3.1) for first infection, 3.7 (1.1–13.8) for reinfection with the same type, and 2.3 (1.5–3.7) for reinfection with a different type]. Reinfection in older women was also associated with new sexual partners (RR, 2.8; 95% CI, 1.4–5.3) as were new infections with HPV-16 among women with serologic evidence of prior HPV-16 exposure (RR, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.6–5.3). Viral loads at initial infection and at reinfection were comparable. HPV infection and reinfection were strongly associated with sexual activity. This study suggests that natural immunity does not play a role in controlling the extent of reinfections. Cancer Res; 70(21); 8569–77. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infections are among the most common sexually transmitted infections (1, 2). Over 40 genotypes (types for short) infect the epithelial lining of the anogenital tract and other mucosal areas of the body (3). Although high incidence and prevalence are found in both females and males soon after the onset of sexual activity, the vast majority of genital HPV infections are asymptomatic and clear within 1 to 2 years (4, 5).

Although much is known about the molecular epidemiology of genital HPV infection in women, very little is known about the probability of reinfection with HPV, especially with the same type. There is also a paucity of data concerning the source of HPV infection in older women, e.g., those older than 40 years. There are two competing hypotheses to explain the occurrence of presumably incident HPV infection in such women (6–8). The first is based on the assumption that infections acquired at a young age never completely clear but become latent; infections appearing later in life would mostly represent the reactivation of such latent infections acquired many years earlier. Such reactivations could result from one or more non–mutually exclusive reasons, such as hormonal changes during perimenopause or waning of cellular and humoral immunity against the HPV types that caused the original infections. The second hypothesis hinges on the notion that infections do clear following an initial immune response, which does not completely protect against future infections by the same HPV type, following new exposure via sexual activity later in life. One of the justifications for vaccinating adult women, and especially older women, against HPV depends on understanding whether an infection represents a true new episode, or the re-activation of an earlier infection that has remained latent and undetected. Because vaccination is known to be mainly prophylactic (not therapeutic), it would have a benefit against new infections but not against latent infections.

In an effort to inform the debate about the cause of reinfection and to stimulate further research, we analyzed the association between infection/reinfection (with the same or different types that women had been exposed to in the past) and new sexual partners at the time the infection episodes were detected. We hypothesized that a latent infection that became detectable later in life is unlikely to be associated...
with new sexual partners, whereas new infections (with new types as well as for the same types a woman had before) would represent exposure to new sexual partners. We also analyzed HPV viral burden (viral load) at first infection and reinfection and the effect of the presence of HPV-16 antibodies at enrollment to better understand the role of natural immunity in preventing reinfection.

Materials and Methods

Subject recruitment

The women included in this study were enrolled into the Ludwig-McGill cohort, a longitudinal investigation of the natural history of HPV infection and precursor lesions of cervical cancer. A detailed description of the design and methods of the study has been published previously (9). Briefly, women attending a maternal and child health program catering to a low-income neighborhood in São Paulo (Brazil) were recruited between 1993 and 1997, and followed for up to 10 years. Women were eligible to participate if they (a) were between 18 and 60 years of age, (b) were permanent residents of São Paulo, (c) were not currently pregnant and had no intention of becoming pregnant during the first year of follow-up, (d) had an intact uterus and no current referral for hysterectomy, (e) reported no use of vaginal medication in the previous 2 days, and (f) had no reported treatment for cervical disease in the previous 6 months. Subjects gave a signed informed consent. The study protocol was approved by institutional ethical and research review boards of the participating institutions in Canada and in Brazil.

The study enrolled 2,528 women, corresponding to a 70% response rate and subsequently, 66 ineligible women were excluded. Follow-up for the remaining 2,462 women consisted of one visit every 4 months for the first year and two visits per year thereafter. Cervical specimens were taken for HPV testing at every visit with a fixed-sampling area Accelon device. Blood samples taken at enrollment were tested for HPV testing at every visit with a fixed-sampling area Accelon device. Blood samples taken at enrollment were tested for HPV-16 serology. Also, for the first four visits and for each annual visit thereafter, subjects answered a nurse-administered questionnaire designed to collect information on sociodemographic, lifestyle, sexual, reproductive, and contraceptive characteristics.

HPV DNA testing

An Accelon biosampler (Medscand, Inc.) was used to collect an ecto- and endocervical cell sample which was then placed in a tube containing Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 7.4). DNA was extracted, purified by spin column chromatography, and amplified by PCR, using the MY09/11 and PGMY protocols (10, 11) for detection of HPV DNA. Typing of amplified products was performed by hybridization with individual oligonucleotide probes and by RFLP analysis. This method identified more than 40 HPV genital types. Amplified products that hybridized only with a generic probe and were unidentifiable in RFLP analysis were classified as positive for unknown types. The genotypes tested included high oncogenic risk (HR-) HPV-16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 73, and 82, and low oncogenic risk (LR-) HPV types 6, 11, 26, 32, 34, 40, 42, 44, 53, 54, 57, 61, 62, 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 81, 83, 84, and 89 (unknown types considered LR-HPVs; refs. 12, 13). We included more than 30 type-specific positive controls in hybridization membranes. DNA specimen quality was checked by amplification of a 268-bp human β-globin gene region (10). Specimens were tested blindly and precautions were taken to prevent contamination. Samples that were negative for both HPV and β-globin were considered inadequate for analysis.

HPV viral load

All cervical specimens found to be positive were retested by a quantitative, low-stringency PCR to measure viral burden in cervical cells (14, 15). General primers (GP5/6) from a well-known PCR protocol that detects a broad spectrum of HPVs were used with this method (16). Low-stringency conditions are used by the quantitative PCR protocol to coamplify the specific HPV DNA fragment along with DNA sequences from the human genome present in the starting PCR mixture. Standards consisting of mixtures containing varying amounts of reference HPV-16 plasmid were included in duplicate in every assay added to a constant background of normal human DNA (corresponding to 4, 20, 100, 500, and 2,500 viral copies per cell). Control samples consisting of DNA from two cervical carcinoma cell lines with known quantities of HPV-16 or HPV-18 copies (Caski and HeLa, respectively) were also included in duplicate in every assay. Quantification by densitometry was done for the silver-stained gel bands corresponding to the HPV and to the constant human genome fragments (14). The logarithm of the ratio between these two bands is directly proportional to the logarithm of the amount of HPV DNA in individual samples and linear interpolation in a standard curve constructed with the results from control mixtures allows the proper quantification of viral load.

HPV-16 serology

Serum samples were separated from clotted blood specimens and stored at −20°C until testing. An ELISA technique was used for semiquantitative measurement of IgG antibodies to HPV-16 virus-like-particles constructed with both L1 and L2 capsid proteins (17). Recombinant HPV-16 virus-like-particles were prepared in the baculovirus system (18). An initial batch was kindly donated by Dr. John Schiller, Laboratory of Cellular Oncology, U.S. NIH and subsequent batches were prepared at the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research under strict adherence to Dr. Schiller’s protocols. Polystyrene ELISA microtiter plates were coated with 50 μL aliquots of a preparation containing 2 mg of virus-like-particles per 100 mL of PBS and then incubated for 1.5 hours at 37°C. Plates were washed three times by flooding with calcium- and magnesium-free PBS. Plates were washed again as above after nonspecific reactive sites in the wells were blocked for 2 hours at room temperature with PBS containing 0.5% skim milk and 0.1% newborn calf serum (PBS-MNCS). Plates were incubated with serum samples diluted 1:10 and 1:50 in PBS-MNCS for 2.5 hours at 37°C. Following repeated washings, 50 μL aliquots of a conveniently diluted (by prior block titration)
peroxidase-labeled anti-IgG conjugate were added to the wells. Plates were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Following an additional washing cycle, a chromogen-substrate mixture (0.1 mg/mL O-phenylenediamine and 0.003% hydrogen peroxide in 0.15 mol/L PBS; pH 6.0) was added to the wells to reveal the bound enzyme. Absorbances were read at 490 nm in a colorimetric plate reader after 45 minutes. Replicate blank wells that substituted PBS-MNCS for the diluted serum samples were included in all plates and processed as above in all assay steps. A control human serum pool in triplicate diluted in the same way as the specimens was included in every ELISA plate to control for the inter- and intra-assay variation in reactivity that is inherent to immunoenzymatic techniques. A single batch of this serum pool (aliquoted and kept frozen at −20°C) was prepared beforehand from dozens of blood bank and normal clinical laboratory specimens from female adult donors at the AC Camargo Hospital in São Paulo. An aliquot from this pool was thawed and processed in the same manner as all study serum samples included in each ELISA run and the same serum pool was used throughout the study. Absorbances were corrected for the fluctuation in seroreactivity of this serum pool as previously described (19).

### Statistical analysis

For every individual HPV type, we estimated the incidence rate of first infection as well as of reinfection. We hypothesized that if natural immunity played a role in preventing second infections with the same type, the type-specific incidence rates of second infections would be lower than those for the first, presumably incident infections. We used Cox regression modeling with time-dependent covariates to estimate rate ratios (RR) and respective 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between new sexual partners and presumably incident infections and reinfections for all women as well as for older women (40+) exclusively. To further study the role of natural immunity against HPV, we compared viral loads at the time of first infection and of reinfection (with the same type or with a different type). We hypothesized that in the presence of a functional natural immune response, viral loads for reinfections would be lower than those for incident infections with the same type. We also analyzed incident HPV-16 infections in the course of follow-up according to HPV-16 serologic status at baseline. We hypothesized that if natural HPV-16–specific immunity provides protection against subsequent HPV-16 infections, women with a high titer of HPV-16 antibodies at enrollment should have a lower incidence rate of HPV-16 infections in the course of follow-up.

We analyzed infection and reinfection outcomes for every type individually and also grouped HPV types per their phylogenetic relationship within the genus Alpha-papillomavirus (species 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10; ref. 3) and grouped HPV per their general tropism for the cervix or the vagina (20) such as HPV group 1 (benign; cervical and vaginal species 1, 8, 10, which include HPV types 6, 11, 32, 40, 42, and 44), HPV group 2 (cervical species 5, 6, 7, 9, and 11, which include HPV types 16, 18, 26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, and 82), and HPV group 3 (vaginal species 3, 4, and 15, which includes HPV types 57, 61, 71, 72, 62, 81, 83, 84, and 89).

To study reinfections with a given HPV type, we had to define what constituted clearance of the initial infection. We tested several different definitions of clearance (the results were similar) but presented only the results obtained with the most conservative definition. Clearance after first infection with a given HPV type was defined as three consecutively negative visits for that type following the last positive visit (a minimum of 1.5 y without evidence of cervical DNA positivity for the type in question). Only then could a woman be considered at risk of reinfection with a given type that she had before and thus contribute person-time for the analysis of reinfections. For grouped HPV data (species or grouped species defined above), clearance was defined in the same way, i.e., a woman had to be negative for at least three consecutive visits for any type in that group.

Covariate adjustment for statistical models included all empirical confounders for each association between new sexual partners and the specific virological outcome. We used a conservative 5% change-in-estimate rule to identify empirical confounders suitable for inclusion in the models. In other words, if the incorporation of the potential confounder in the model changed the RR of the specific association by more than 5%, this variable was kept in the model and assumed to be a confounder; otherwise, the variable was excluded because no adjustment was required. The following variables were considered to be potential empirical confounders: age group at infection (18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45+), race (white, non-white), education (<elementary, elementary, high school, college/university), religion (catholic, others), income (low, medium, high), smoking (yes, no), alcohol drinking at enrollment (yes, no), age at menarche (<12, 12+), hygienic tampon use (yes, no), sexually transmitted diseases (ever, never), lifetime number of Pap smears (0, 1–3, 4–7, 8+), and time since last Pap smear at enrollment (within a year, >1 y), age at first sexual intercourse (≤15, 16–18, 19+), number of pregnancies (0, 1–2, 3–4, 5+), number of deliveries (0, 1–2, 3+), oral contraception use (yes, no), duration of oral contraception (never, <6 y, 6 y and more), condom use (yes, no), menopausal status (yes or no at the time of infection), and lifetime number of sexual partners at enrollment (0–1, 2–3, 4+). All analyses were done using Stata 9.2 (Stata, Corp.).

### Results

A total of 2,455 women provided at least one adequate sample for HPV testing. Altogether, these women contributed 23,719 visits for which the cervical specimens were typed for HPV during the first 7 years of follow-up (first 16 visits). The mean follow-up time was 59.0 months (SD, 36.3), the mean age at enrollment was 32.7 years (SD, 8.8; median, 32; range, 18–59), and most of the women were white (64%). Of the 2,455 women included in the analysis, 472 (19.3%) reported having at least one new sexual partner at some point in the course of the follow-up. Among the latter, 21.2% remained HPV-negative, whereas 57.3% of those reporting no new sexual partners remained HPV-negative. Among the
568 women who were 40 years and over at enrollment, 63 (11%) reported having at least one new sexual partner during follow-up. Among those who had no new sexual partners, 60.8% remained HPV-negative compared with 28.6% of those who had at least one new sexual partner.

Figure 1 shows the correlation between infection rates and reinfection rates for all individual HPV types. For example, the rate of first infection with HPV-16 was 1.78 cases per 1,000 women-months whereas the rate of reinfection for HPV-16 was 1.05 per 1,000 women-months. The diagonal dotted line on the figure denotes the equivalency in rates of first infections and reinfections. Types were randomly distributed along the equivalency line, with no statistical evidence that the magnitude of the initial infection rate for a given type was higher than that for reinfection.

Table 1 shows the rates of initial infection and reinfection according to grouped HPV types. For most HPV groups, rates of initial infection were comparable to or significantly lower than those of reinfection with a different type, suggesting that natural immunity is not sufficient to prevent a new infection with a different type. Expectedly, because of the need to restrict to same-type infections, the rate of reinfection with the same type tended to be lower than the initial infection rate with that type.

Table 2 shows the RRs for the association between new sexual partners and infections. Not surprisingly, initial infections were invariably associated with new sexual partners (adjusted RR for any HPV types, 2.4; 95% CI, 2.0–3.1). It is also noteworthy that, apart from the loss in precision that comes from the restriction in the subcohort analyses, reinfections (whether with the same or different types) were also strongly associated with new sexual partners (adjusted RRs, 3.7 and 2.3, respectively; both significantly greater than unity). These findings were consistent across all HPV species or HPV species groups.

Like true new infections, latent infections acquired earlier in life and no longer detectable (but later reactivated because of immunosenescence or hormonal changes) would not be expected to be associated with the acquisition of new partners. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed this association among women with a high likelihood of HPV infection exposure earlier in life by including only those who were 40 years or older and reported two or more lifetime sexual partners at enrollment (N = 251). As shown in Table 3, HPV infections among older and presumably pre-exposed women were also mostly associated with new sexual partners, even after extensive covariate adjustment for potential and empirical confounders.

Table 4 shows that viral loads for first infection, reinfections with the same type, and infections with different types than those initially found were comparable with largely overlapping 95% CIs. Finally, for the women who were HPV-16 DNA-negative at baseline, we examined the strength of the association between new sexual partners and incidence of HPV-16 infection according to the level of serologic response against HPV-16 at enrollment (Table 5). The incidence rate was highest among women with the highest level of HPV-16 antibodies at baseline. Most importantly, however, having a new sexual partner was associated with newly detected HPV-16 infections even among women with clear evidence of serologic exposure to HPV-16 at the onset of follow-up.

**Discussion**

A long-standing yet unanswered question in HPV epidemiology is whether or not infections clear completely or become latent, only to reappear later in life. Even with today’s highly sensitive PCR protocols to detect HPV DNA, the possibility remains that the apparent clearance of HPV
infections might simply reflect that viral load dipped below the threshold of detectability. Moreover, HPV DNA testing in women enrolled in repeated-measurement cohort studies is done in exfoliated cell scrapes, which tend to oversample the upper cellular layers of the ectocervix and thus they might miss low viral load infections confined to the basal layer. Because of this dual methodologic limitation (test sensitivity and sampling inadequacy), it is impossible to verify whether

Table 1. Incidence rates of initial infection and of reinfection, overall and for grouped HPV types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV group</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Initial infection</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Reinfection</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Women-months</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All types</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>83,632</td>
<td>9.6 (9.0–10.3)</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,129</td>
<td>131,304</td>
<td>2.1 (1.9–2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>135,545</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,123</td>
<td>132,596</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5–1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,102</td>
<td>129,851</td>
<td>2.1 (1.8–2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,047</td>
<td>117,208</td>
<td>3.9 (3.5–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>136,744</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0–1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grouped species†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,139</td>
<td>134,590</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4–1.8)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>96,969</td>
<td>7.0 (6.5–7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,123</td>
<td>130,036</td>
<td>2.3 (2.1–2.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Incidence rates of initial infection and of reinfection per 1,000 women-months. Ludwig-McGill cohort, Brazilian women (1993–2004).

†N = number of women. To be included in the analysis, women must have been infected with a type that belongs to that group and then clear the infection for at least three consecutive visits (see text for details).

‡Species grouping based on phylogenetic and biological relatedness (group 1: benign; cervical and vaginal; group 2: cervical; and group 3, vaginal) according to Schiffman and colleagues (20). See text for details.

Table 2. RRs and 95% CIs for the association between infection with different grouped HPV types and new sexual partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV group</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Initial infection</th>
<th>Crude RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)*</th>
<th>Reinfection</th>
<th>Crude RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 (2.1–3.3)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.0–3.1)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.0–18.6)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.1–13.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.7–4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8 (2.0–3.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.8–3.5)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.8–15.4)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.5–46.3)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.3–3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 (2.2–4.8)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.6–3.8)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.3–3.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.1–31.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7 (2.7–5.2)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5–4.8)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.3–52.9)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.3–52.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 (2.3–4.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.8–3.4)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9 (2.2–3.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.8–3.2)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.6–12.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.2–14.0)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.4–6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 (2.3–5.0)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.9–4.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 (2.6–5.1)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.2–4.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.2 (0.2–21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6 (2.3–3.6)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.1–3.3)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.6–12.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.5–20.6)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.5–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 (1.8–3.4)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.6–3.1)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.9–17.0)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7–25.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3–3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Adjusted for empirical confounders. See text for details.
or not an infection has cleared and whether or not such pu-
tatively latent infections exist and may be the source of reac-
tivation later in life. Epidemiologic studies have found that
the prevalence of HPV infection is highest soon after the on-
set of sexual activity during late adolescence or early adult-
hood. It then decreases rapidly with age, presumably due to
the development of immune response, and in many popula-
tions, a second peak in prevalence occurs after the age of 45
or 50 years, coinciding with the perimenopausal years (21).
Prospective studies that measured the incidence of HPV in-
fec tion have also shown a second infection peak in older
women (22). Although the reason for this second, menopaus-
al peak is not clear, it could be plausibly attributed to one or
more non–mutually exclusive mechanisms, such as reactiva-
tion of the aforementioned latent infections due to a gradual
loss of type-specific immunity, or to acquisition of new infec-
tions due to contacts with new sexual partners later in life.
Also plausible is a cohort effect: age-related variations in
prevalence might reflect the diverse HPV exposure of succes-
sive birth cohorts. Sexual mores have changed during the last
few decades, which may have influenced the HPV exposure of
different age cohorts (5).

Ongoing cohort studies of the natural history of HPV infec-
tion and cervical neoplasia have reached the stage where this
question can be at least indirectly addressed by correlating
information on HPV exposure at the outset with the history
of reinfections in women with long-term follow-up. We found
that the incidence rate of a first episode with a given HPV
type was comparable to the equivalent rate of reinfections
in our study. Reinfection with the same HPV type was a com-
mon finding. These results suggest that a woman is not fully
protected by a first HPV infection and she continues to be at
risk of being reinfected with different types, as well as with
the same type she previously had and seemed to have
cleared. Moreover, viral loads for infection or reinfection
(same or different HPV types) were comparable, indicating

### Table 3. Incidence rate of new HPV infections (per 1,000 women-months) and RRrs for the association
between new sexual partners and new infections among women ages 40 years or older who reported two
or more lifetime sexual partners at enrollment (N = 251)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV group</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Incidence rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Crude RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All types</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8 (8.1–11.7)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3–4.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.4–5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3–2.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (2.2–12.0)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.6–14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7–1.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.5–9.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.5–9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–2.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.5–10.4)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.2–16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1–2.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.3–9.1)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.2–15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.0 (3.1–5.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.2–5.8)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.5–7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (1.5–13.9)</td>
<td>5.1 (1.6–16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–2.1)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.6–11.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.3–9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.9 (5.6–8.4)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8–3.6)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8–3.6)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4 (1.8–3.3)</td>
<td>4.9 (2.3–10.7)</td>
<td>6.2 (2.7–14.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for empirical confounders. See text for details.
†Crude and adjusted estimates equivalent because no empirical confounders were identified.

### Table 4. Viral load (number of HPV genome copies per cell) at initial infection and reinfection with a new
or a different type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viral load measure</th>
<th>Initial infection (N = 779)*</th>
<th>Reinfection with the same type (N = 19)</th>
<th>Reinfection with a different type (N = 153)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5–2.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4–1.9)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (interquartile range)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–3.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–0.5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.5–29,195</td>
<td>0.5–242</td>
<td>0.5–6,267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of women.
that natural immunity post-initial infection did not dampen the cervical viral burden in subsequent infections later in life. Specifically for HPV-16, seroreactivity at enrollment did not seem to protect against new cervical infections with this HPV type. These results suggest that in this population of adult women, natural immunity does not seem to play a role in controlling the extent of reinfections, whether with the same type or with new types.

Of relevance to the latent infection hypothesis was our finding that risks of infection and reinfection (with the same or with a different type) were strongly (and comparably) associated with having a new sexual partner. If all instances in which women were found to have a new infection with a specific HPV type, which they had been infected with previously and shown to have cleared represented reactivated latent HPV infections (i.e., as defined above), sexual contact with new partners would be an unlikely risk factor for such reactivations. Biological changes stemming from endocrine or exogenous hormonal influences and waning of immunity would be more plausible triggers for the reactivation of such putatively latent infections. When we mimicked this situation in our cohort by restricting the analyses to women with a high probability of prior HPV exposure, i.e., those aged 40 years or older and with multiple sex partners at enrollment, we also observed strong associations with new sexual partners, even with extensive adjustment for lifestyle and reproductive history cofactors that would have changed around the perimenopausal years. A related observation was the finding that the risk of HPV-16 infections (during follow-up) was most strongly associated with new partners among women with the highest levels of anti–HPV-16 antibodies at enrollment in the cohort.

In all, our findings indicate that natural immunity does not seem to mediate the risk of HPV infections at any point during the span of female reproductive years that we observed in our cohort. More importantly, and germane to the issue of causality of repeated infection episodes with the same HPV type a woman harbored in her past, we observed that they are largely explained by the acquisition of new sexual partners. The magnitude of the association of reinfections with new sexual partners was comparable to that for initial infections, whether for types to which the woman was previously exposed to or for new types. If a substantial proportion of the reinfections constituted reactivation of latent infections, we would have expected that the magnitude of the association with new partners would have been lower than that for types she did not harbor at the outset of the study because reactivations would likely have been triggered by biological events unrelated to sexual activity. Admittedly, some of these events could be correlated with time-dependent changes in reproductive characteristics and hormonal intake history around menopause. Such information was collected during annual interviews and we used them as covariates to control for potential confounding of the association with new partners. However, these model-based adjustments did not change the interpretation that acquisition of new partners was the primary risk factor for infection episodes during follow-up.

Important limitations must be recognized. We called initial or first infections as any episode with a type the woman had not harbored before. We also used the term reinfections loosely, to denote infections that we qualified as “with the same type” or “with a different type” occurring in women with an initial infection at the early visits in the cohort. Proper characterization of a truly incident HPV infection event would have required that we observed the cohort from the onset of sexual exposure, an impractical proposition in most settings. Most importantly, however, is the definition of a reinfection with the same type because of the relevance it has for our objective of inferring the cause of reactivation of potentially latent infections. We used a conservative clearance definition that imposed a minimum of three consecutive visits and at least 1.5 years without detection of the

---

Table 5. Incidence of new HPV-16 infection and its association with new sexual partners stratified by level of IgG serologic response against HPV-16 at enrollment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tertile of seroreactivity</th>
<th>N†</th>
<th>Women-months</th>
<th>Incidence rate per 1,000 women-months (95% CI)</th>
<th>Crude RR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted RR‡ (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>44,772</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3–2.1)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.8–3.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>44,004</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3–2.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4–4.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1–4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>37,996</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7–2.6)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.6–5.3)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.6–5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Analysis restricted to women who were HPV-16 DNA–negative at enrollment. Ludwig-McGill cohort, Brazilian women (1993–2004).

†N = number of women. Serologic data at enrollment were available for 2,034 women, of whom 1,974 were HPV-16 DNA–negative at enrollment. The analysis was restricted to 1,902 women who had multiple follow-up visits. Imbalance in frequencies by seroreactivity because proportionally more women who were HPV-16 DNA–positive were excluded from the highest tertile of seroreactivity.

*RRs and their respective 95% CIs obtained via Cox regression modeling with time-dependent covariates. Referent group: women who reported not having a new sexual partner.

‡Adjusted for empirical confounders. See text for details.
original type with which a woman had been infected. In light of the fixed endocervical and ectocervical area sampling that we systematically used in our study (via Accelon sampler), we believe that our inability to detect a given HPV type indicated credible clearance and was not due to the vagaries in sampling and cellularity of the consecutive specimens.

One could hypothesize that sexual practices with new partners might cause latent infections to become detectable via abrasion or other stimuli, which would thus explain our findings. However, these would be transient cellular exfoliation events that would have been unlikely to affect the detectability of HPV DNA in cervical specimens we collected every 6 months on average in our study. Furthermore, the magnitude of the association with new partners was comparable between putatively latent infections and new infections (with different types), which favors the notion of true transmission rather than reactivation. Unfortunately, we could not distinguish among women with new partners during follow-up the ones engaged in extramarital relationships from those who had embarked in new, nonconcurrent relationships, which would have helped us to address the issue of directionality in transmission. It is plausible to assume that the HPV infection risk for those in extramarital relationships was also affected by the extramarital activity of the husbands. Finally, we verified that infection risk was not associated with the reported frequency of sexual intercourse among all women in the cohort or restricted to those with a single partner, even after adjustment for number of previous partners (data not shown), which argues against the abrasion hypothesis.

In conclusion, the positive association between new sexual partners and reinfection thus indicates that true new infections with the same types harbored earlier in life are possible in older women. This finding has some support in the literature; others have also shown a strong relationship between new sexual partners and infection in older women (22). The implications of this finding with respect to a possible benefit of HPV vaccination in older women are obvious. The recent implications of this finding with respect to a possible benefit from the Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec. Portions of the contents of this manuscript were presented in an oral presentation form at the International HPV Conference in Sweden, in May 2009 (Trottier et al., HPV in older women is associated with new sexual partners; 25th International Papillomavirus Conference & Clinical Workshop. Malmo, Sweden. May 2009; abstract number: 0-06.02).
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In light of our results, it is possible that some of that protection may come from averting the re-acquisition of vaccine-targeted HPV types to which women may have been exposed before and cleared. However, we urge caution in concluding that a possible benefit against virological end points may ultimately translate into reduced cervical cancer risk. Very little is known about the risk of lesions following incident infections that occur at older ages. HPV infections in older women may be less likely to progress to precancerous lesions or to cancer. Clearly, these are key questions that should be prioritized for further research with cost-effectiveness analyses before public health implementation of HPV vaccination in older women.
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