A Mammaglobin-A Targeting Agent for Noninvasive Detection of Breast Cancer Metastasis in Lymph Nodes


Introduction

In breast cancer, the presence of disease in axillary lymph nodes (ALN) is an important prognostic factor and drives treatment decision making (1, 2). Currently, most patients with breast cancer undergo axillary sentinel node biopsy for initial staging (3). Sentinel lymph nodes (SLN) are the nodes that first receive lymph from the area of the breast harboring the tumor and are the nodes most likely to contain metastatic cells. If the SLNs are determined to be free of disease, it is accepted that all other ALNs will be negative and axillary dissection can be avoided. SLN are currently identified with Tc-99m blue dye which is injected peritumorally and transiently accumulates in SLN (5, 6). Then, the sentinel nodes are removed and examined by standard pathologic methods for the presence of metastasis. SLN biopsy (SLNB) can be associated with complications including seroma formation, lymphedema, and sensory nerve injury (7). Furthermore, SLNB is resource intensive requiring a team with specialized training and specialized imaging and surgical equipment (8–10).

Because there is no therapeutic value to removing uninvolved SLNs (11) development of effective, noninvasive strategies for excluding the presence of metastatic breast cancer in ALNs would represent a major advance and axillary surgery could be avoided in most early stage breast cancer patients.

Recently, several groups have investigated noninvasive imaging modalities for SLN evaluation, including single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT; refs. 12, 13), multiphoton microscopy (14), MRI (15), optical lymphography with indocyanine green dye or multicolor quantum dots (16–19), photoacoustic tomography (PAT) using nanoparticle-based contrast agents, such as carbon nanotubes (20), gold carbon nanotubes (21), gold nanocages (22), gold nanorods (23), methylene blue dye (24), and gold nanobeacons (25). However, these approaches were not targeted and thus were not able to assess SLN status. Untargeted probes distribute randomly across the SLNs with only transient and nonspecific visualization of the lymphatic system. Hence, these methods only
provide anatomic maps and do not detect tumor cells present in lymph nodes.

Development of imaging agents that selectively target cancer cells with no cross-reactivity to nontumor cells is a long-term goal for cancer imaging and could allow for the noninvasive staging of breast cancer by detection of tumor cells in ALNs. However, to date, there has been no definitive study for the development of a targeted imaging method for detection of tumor cells specifically in ALNs.

Breast cells are typically only present in the ALNs when breast cancer has spread from the primary tumor. Therefore, cell-surface markers(s) that discriminate breast epithelial cells from lymphoid cells can be used to detect metastasis (26–28). A comprehensive gene expression analysis of breast epithelial cells versus lymphoid cells determined that mRNA encoding mammaglobin-A protein can be used to distinguish epithelial cells from lymphoid cells (29). The mammaglobin-A gene (SCGB2A2) encodes a 10-kDa glycoprotein and is a member of the epithelial secretoglobin family 2A (30, 31).

In the present study, we applied a high-resolution in vivo fluorescence imaging technique to noninvasively detect lymphatic metastasis of human breast cancer cells in a mouse model. For this purpose, a monoclonal antibody specific for binding to mammaglobin-A was conjugated to a near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent dye, (termed MamAb-680) and delivered to the lymphatic system by peritumoral injection into the mammary fat pad (MFP) of nude mice, allowing imaging of mammaglobin-A expressing cells that have spread to the ALN. Thus, we have combined the specificity of a mammaglobin-A–specific antibody, which binds to tumor cells, with the power of in vivo fluorescence imaging to demonstrate a noninvasive targeted method for detection of metastatic cells in lymph nodes. This novel approach offers a powerful targeted tool for in vivo studies of tumor cells within the lymphatic system, detection of tumor cells in lymph nodes, and for following the efficacy of antitumor therapy.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

Human breast cancer cells, mammaglobin-A expressing ZR-75.1 (35–37) and nonexpressing MDA-mb-231 (37) were grown in RPMI 1640 (Life Technologies) containing 10% FBS (Life Technologies), 0.03% L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin in 5% CO2 at 37°C. Both cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; 2007–2009), expanded for 2 passages, and cryopreserved. All experiments were performed with cells of passage number less than 25. Cells were monitored by microscopy and confirmed to maintain their original morphology. Cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination by ATCC.

**Generation of stably transfected ZR-75.1 cells bearing the luciferase gene**

To identify the optimal concentration for selection, a range (2–10 μg/mL) of blasticidin (Invitrogen) were tested on ZR-75.1 cells. ZR-75.1 cells were transfected with 5 μg of plenti PGK Blast V5-LUC luciferase containing vector (Addgene) using the ViraPower lentiviral expression system (Invitrogen). After 2 weeks, resistant colonies appeared. Large colonies were selected and transferred to individual plates. To determine the clone with the highest expression of luciferase, warm medium containing 150 μg/mL ν-luciferin potassium salt (GoldBio) was prepared as substrate for the bioluminescence reaction, added to the cells, and the resulting light detected by a Victor X4 2030 multiple plate reader (PerkinElmer). A clone with the brightest signal was selected and maintained in medium containing 5 μg/mL of blasticidin.

**Quantitative real-time (RT)-PCR**

Mammaglobin-A primers were designed using Gene Runner Software for Windows version 3.05:

Forward, 5′-CTTCTCTAAGAGTCTATGACGAC-3′ and reverse, 5′-TGTCAGAGTTCTACGGTTG-3′. β-Actin was used for normalization as described in our previous study (38).

**DNA microarray analysis**

Affymetrix expression data for the mammaglobin-A gene (SCGB2A2) in patient tissue samples were compiled from publicly available data sets. The CEL files for the tumor samples were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/geo/index.cgi), data series GSE2109. Normal tissue data were from the GEO data series GSE7307, Human Body Index. The CEL files were processed using the MAS 5.0 algorithm (Affymetrix) and screened through a rigorous quality control panel to remove samples with a low percentage of probe sets called present by the MAS 5 algorithm, indicating problems with the amplification process or poor sample quality; high scaling factors, indicating poor transcript abundance during hybridization; and poor 3′/5′ ratios, indicating RNA degradation either prior to or during processing. The remaining samples were normalized to the trimmed average of 500 in the MAS 5 algorithm before comparison of the expression values across tumors and normal samples.

**Immunohistochemistry of tissue microarray**

A tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed at the Moffitt Tissue Core containing human breast tissue samples of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) specimens. The TMA contains 50 normal breast tissue, 50 ductal carcinoma in situ, 50 invasive ductal carcinomas without metastasis, 50 invasive ductal carcinomas with metastasis, and 50 lymph node with macrometastases of breast carcinoma. The TMA consists of cylindrical punches of the FFPE blocks using a Manual Tissue Arrayer (Beecher Instruments). The same method was previously reported by our group for construction of a Ewing sarcoma TMA (39), except the breast TMA has only 1 sample per case (duplicate samples in Ewing) due to the large number of cases.
Mouse anti-mammaglobin-A mAb, 1:50, (Clone 304-1 A5, Thermo Scientific) was used for staining. The slides were scanned in the Moffitt Analytical Microscopy Core Facility (AMC) using an Aperio ScanScope XT digital slide scanner (Aperio). The digital image of each sample was evaluated by two reviewers (M.M.B. and M.C.L.). Positive staining was arbitrarily set as membranous (partial or complete) and cytoplasmic immunoreactivity in greater than or equal to 5% of tumor cells. Results were recorded as positive or negative.

Conjugation of antibody to dye
Fifteen micrograms of human mammaglobin-A-specific mouse mAb (Zeta Corp.) was incubated with 10 μg VivoTag-S 680 (VisEn Medical) and purified with a Sephadex G25 column (Roche). Protein (A280) and dye (A680) absorbance was determined using an ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop) and used to confirm the number of fluorophore molecules conjugated to each antibody molecule.

Microscopic studies
Cells and tissues from positive and negative xenograft tumors were fixed with cold methanol:acetone and incubated with 1 μg/μL MamAb-680 and 5.0 μg/mL of wheat germ agglutinin (WGA; Invitrogen) for 30 minutes. After 3 washes with PBS, coverslips were mounted using mounting medium with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Vector Laboratories, Inc.). Micrographs were acquired at 200 Hz in the Moffitt Analytical Microscopy Core using a Leica DMi6000 inverted microscope and TCS SP5 tandem confocal scanner, through a Leica DMI6000 inverted microscope and TCS SP5 tandem confocal scanner, using a 63x/1.40NA Plan Apochromat oil immersion objective lens (Leica Microsystems) with dual photomultiplier tube detectors. Lasers, 405 diode (DAPI/Lysotracker Blue), 488 tunable argon (Green dye), and 543 diode (Rhodamine), were applied to excite the samples and a tunable emissions filter was used to eliminate cross-talk between fluorochromes. LAS AF software was used to draw regions of interest (ROI) over the tumors to determine the mean tumor surface radiance (photons/second/cm²/sr). Autofluorescence background was subtracted by determining the mean tumor fluorescence signal prior to injection.

Pharmacodynamic studies were performed by imaging at various time points. For biodistribution studies, mice were imaged and euthanized at 24 hours postinjection, tissues excised, rinsed with PBS, and then imaged ex vivo in the IVIS-200. A center slice cut from the tumor was imaged and the remaining halves were formalin fixed and fresh frozen, respectively, as described later in the text. Mean surface radiance was determined for each tumor and organ. Autofluorescence background was subtracted using measurements from comparable tissues from an untreated animal.

Orthotopic implantation of cells into ALN
Female nu/nu mice 6 to 8 weeks old were implanted with an estrogen-release pellet (see above). Two days after implantation, luciferase expressing ZR-75.1 cells were injected into the ALN using ultrasound image guidance. Mice were anesthetized with 3% to 4% isoflurane using a nose-cone manifold and restrained on the stage of a VEVO 770 high-resolution small-animal ultrasound imaging system (VisualSonics) using tape; ultrasound gel was applied to the area over the axillary node; the 40-MHz ultrasound probe was placed in the probe guide and the node located by mechanically adjusting the probe guide to resolve the nodes; a 1-cc syringe with a 29-gauge needle was loaded with 100 to 100,000 cells in a 20-μL volume of 1:1 Matrigel and sterile PBS and positioned in the needle guide so that the end of the needle could be moved into the node and cells injected. Ultrasound images were acquired at the time of each injection. Four hours after injection of cells, animals were anesthetized and 300 μL of 15 mg/mL α-luciferin potassium salt (GoldBio) was introduced via intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection. Five minutes after the injection, a bioluminescence image was acquired using standard bioluminescence settings on the IVIS-200. Twenty-four hours after injection of cells, MamAb-680 was injected into the MFP proximal to axillary nodes, and fluorescence imaging was performed using the IVIS-200 as described above for pharmacodynamic and biodistribution studies.

Statistics
Data are represented as mean ± SD and the t test was used to determine significance.

Results
Mammaglobin-A expression in patient tissue samples
Several studies have demonstrated high expression of mammaglobin-A in breast cancer (for review see ref. 32).
For further confirmation and to characterize mRNA expression in patient tissue samples, including lymph node metastases and normal tissues, we analyzed publicly available DNA microarray data sets. Mammaglobin-A mRNA was highly and generally expressed in breast tumors, breast cancer lymph node metastases, and in normal breast (Fig. 1). A high percentage (83%) of lymph node metastases expressed mammaglobin-A. In contrast, mammaglobin-A was not expressed in normal lymph nodes. Also, other organs involved in toxicity or drug clearance, that is, liver, kidney, heart, lung, and spleen did not express mammaglobin-A mRNA.

To determine mammaglobin-A protein expression in patient samples, immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on a breast cancer tissue microarray containing 250 samples. Positive staining was observed in the ductal epithelium of 63% of normal breast tissues (Supplementary Fig. S1A and B), 80% DCIS, 53% invasive ductal carcinoma without metastasis, 43% invasive ductal carcinoma with metastasis, and 45% lymph node with macrometastasis of breast cancer (Supplementary Fig. S1C and D).

**ZR-75.1 breast cancer cells express mammaglobin-A**

ZR-75.1 breast cancer cells endogenously express mammaglobin-A (35–37) and MDA-mb-231 cells do not (37). To confirm this, mammaglobin-A mRNA expression was quantified by qRT-PCR in ZR-75.1 cells, but MDA-mb-231 cells did not express. Western blot and immunocytochemistry (ICC) also confirmed protein expression (Supplementary Fig. S2A and B).

**Antibody and MamAb-680 characterization**

Three different mammaglobin-A monoclonal antibodies were evaluated for sensitivity and specificity by Western blotting and ICC (Supplementary Fig. S2C and D). From these studies, a specific mAb (Zeta Corp.) was selected for conjugation to NIR dye (VivoTag-S 680). To evaluate the antibody–dye conjugation and to verify that MamAb-680 retained binding specificity, ICC using the dye-labeled primary antibody was performed on the endogenously expressing ZR-75.1 cells, MDA-mb-231 cells engineered to express mammaglobin-A (Supplementary Fig. S2E) and the nonexpressing MDA-mb-231 cells. MamAb-680 bound only to expressing cells (Fig. 2A). Hence, the conjugated agent retained specificity for mammaglobin-A protein.

**Mammaglobin-A is expressed on the cell surface**

Zuo and colleagues recently reported that mammaglobin-A is directly associated with the surface of breast cancer cells (34). As described above, permeabilized fixed cells were used for ICC. To verify cell-surface expression, ZR-75.1 cells were incubated with MamAb-680 at 4°C, and agent was observed at the cell-surface colocalized with agglutinin dye (Fig. 2B). Western blots of membrane protein extracts of mammaglobin-A–positive cells stained positive for mammaglobin-A protein (Supplementary Fig. S2F).

**MamAb-680 selectively accumulates in positive tumors**

To determine the specificity of MamAb-680 targeting in vivo, ZR-75.1 and MDA-mb-231 cells were implanted in the right and left MFP of female nude mice. After tumor growth to approximately 500 to 800 mm³ in volume, MamAb-680 was iv. injected. As shown in Figure 3A, ZR-75.1 tumors retained higher levels of the agent signal compared with MDA-mb-231. Signal in the positive tumor was quantified as having an 8.6 ± 0.8 SD (n = 4). Fold enhancement relative to the negative tumor, 24 hours postinjection. As a second test of agent specificity, a blocking experiment was performed where ZR-75.1 tumor-bearing mice were preinjected with an excess (250 µg) of unlabeled mammaglobin-A antibody, followed by injection of 25 µg of MamAb-680. The unlabeled mammaglobin antibody effectively reduced the fluorescence signal 6.6-fold in the tumor relative to the previous experiment without addition of blocking antibody (Fig. 3B). These results demonstrate the in vivo targeting specificity of MamAb-680.

To confirm the presence of mammaglobin-A protein in vivo, sections from flash-frozen tumors were stained with MamAb-680, a nuclear stain, (DAPI), and a cell-surface/cytoplasmic stain, WGA, and analyzed using confocal microscopy (Fig. 2C). Mammaglobin-A staining was only observed in tumors from the positively expressing cell line but not in the negative line. Ex vivo images of the corresponding center sections of the tumors confirmed MamAb-680 localization to the positive tumor relative to the negative tumor (Fig 2D).

**Pharmacodynamics and biodistribution of MamAb-680**

To assess the pharmacodynamics of tumor uptake and clearance, MamAb-680 was iv. injected and images acquired at intervals from 5 minutes to 12 days postinjection. Fluorescence signal increased for 24 hours and then slowly decreased at later time points (Fig. 4A). Elevation of
fluorescence in the positive tumor relative to the negative tumor was detected from 4 hours to 10 days after injection.

For biodistribution studies, mammaglobin-positive and -negative tumor-bearing mice were i.v. injected with MamAb-680 and 24 hours later, tumors and organs were removed and imaged *ex vivo*. Figure 4B demonstrates that fluorescence was present in the positive tumor but has largely cleared from the negative tumor and other organs.

**MamAb-680 detects tumor cells in ALNs**

Previously, it was not reported that ZR-75.1 cells form ALN metastases. To determine this, 5 \times 10^6 ZR-75.1/Luc cells were implanted into the right MFP of estrogen-pelleted mice. Three weeks after implantation, tumors were surgically removed. Two weeks later, the tumor had regrown and bioluminescence imaging showed metastasis to the ALN (Fig. 3C). Hence, ZR-75.1 cells are a suitable model for ER^+ breast cancer lymph node metastasis.

To investigate whether MamAb-680 can be delivered through the lymphatics and are selectively retained in ALN bearing mammaglobin-A expressing metastases, agent was injected peritumorally into the MFP. At 24 hours postinjection, a strong fluorescence signal was obtained from the area of the ALN (Fig. 3D), which colocalized with the bioluminescence image of the luciferase expressing metastases (3C). After imaging, the metastases were removed and determined to be composed of cancer cells by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and pathologic examination (3E).

Agent selectivity for positive lymph nodes was also determined using an orthotopic model of lymph node metastasis. ZR-75.1/Luc cells were directly injected into the ALN using ultrasound image guidance (Fig. 5A) and were detected by bioluminescence (Fig. 5B). MamAb-680 was delivered by MFP injection and was observed to have traversed to the lymph node within 4 hours (Fig. 5C). Fluorescence signal was retained in lymph nodes implanted with mammaglobin-A-positive cells (Fig. 5D) long after clearance from the MFP and negative lymph nodes. Positive lymph nodes were resolved as early as 4 hours and were detected up to at least 7 days postinjection. Our results show that *in vivo* lymphatic imaging using MamAb-680 provided a specific and durable signal in mammaglobin-A expressing lymph node metastases.

One million MDA-mb-231 cells were injected into the ALN as a mammaglobin-A-negative control using the same method and amounts described above, then MamAb-680 was injected into the MFP and imaged (Fig. 5E). A corresponding postinjection bioluminescence image confirmed the presence of cells in the ALN (Supplementary Fig. S3A). Minimal signal was detected in the draining lymphatics at 4 hours postinjection and no signal was observed at 24 hours (Fig. 5F). At 48 hours, agent was cleared from the animal. Hence, the fluorescence signal is specific for mammaglobin-A-expressing cells in the ALN. As an additional control, ALN were sham injected with PBS and Matrigel (no cells). In this case, the same result was observed as seen for the mammaglobin-A-negative cells above (Supplementary Fig. S3D and E).
To determine the sensitivity of the agent, a range in number of ZR-75.1/Luc (1,000 to 1 million) were injected into ALN via ultrasound guidance. For confirmation of successful cell implantation, bioluminescence images were acquired and as few as 1,000 cells were detected (Fig. 6A). Four hours after cell injection, MamAb-680 was injected into MFP proximal to the ALN and fluorescence images were acquired 24 hours after injection (Fig. 6B). Bioluminescent and fluorescent signals were quantified by drawing a ROI encompassing the tumor cells in the ALN. Signal intensity decreased with cell number and at least 1,000 cells were detectable above background (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. S4A and B).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the development of a targeted method for noninvasive detection of breast cancer cells in lymph nodes. Using a novel molecular imaging agent (MamAb-680) and a mouse model, we have demonstrated the noninvasive detection of breast cancer lymph node metastases bearing a specific marker, mammaglobin-A. The agent was generated by conjugating a NIR fluorescent dye to a monoclonal antibody that is determined to be highly specific for binding to mammaglobin-A.

Because breast cells are found in ALNs primarily in the case of regional metastasis, markers generally specific for breast cells can be used for detection of nodal disease. Here, we have used mammaglobin-A that is expressed in a percentage of all types of breast cancer, including lymph node positive samples, and its expression in normal tissues is restricted to the intraductal epithelia of the mammary gland. Mammaglobin-A mRNA was not detected in any other tissue including normal lymph nodes. Gene expression profiling of breast epithelial cells versus lymphoid cells has shown that mammaglobin-A is a robust mRNA for distinguishing epithelial cells from lymphoid cells (29), which is in agreement with the results reported herein.

IHC staining for mammaglobin-A in a breast tissue microarray revealed that 45.2% of lymph nodes with macro metastases were positive for mammaglobin-A. This was lower than frequencies (48%—84%) reported previously by several groups using different antibodies (30, 40–43). Although

---

Figure 3. Representative images demonstrating in vivo selectivity and spontaneous metastasis into the ALN by luciferase expressing ZR-75.1 human breast cancer cells. A, in vivo fluorescence image of mouse bearing positive (ZR-75.1) and negative (MDA-mb-231) xenograft tumors, 24 hours postinjection of agent. Autofluorescence background signal is observed throughout the animal. Note the bright signal in the positive tumor and that agent has cleared from the entire mouse, including the negative tumor at this time point. B, fluorescence image of a ZR-75.1 MFP tumor-bearing mouse that was reinjected with 250 μg of unlabeled mammaglobin-A monoclonal antibody, and 4 hours later followed by injection of 50 μg MamAb-680. The image was acquired 24 hours after injection with labeled probe. C, bioluminescence image of luciferase activity in the primary tumor (yellow arrow) and ALN metastasis (red arrow). D, after peritumoral injection (24 hours) of MamAb-680, the same mouse was imaged for fluorescence, and signal was observed to emit from the mammaglobin-A expressing (ZR-75.1) ALN metastasis (red arrow) and primary tumor (yellow arrow), following clearance of MamAb-680 from the MFP. E, H&E staining of the ALN metastasis from the same mouse. It was noted that the shape of the metastasis matched the elongated shape observed in both the bioluminescence and fluorescence images.
mammaglobin-A is a member of the secretoglobin family and is generally considered to be secreted, our results showed that mammaglobin protein is associated with the surface of breast cancer cells, which is in agreement with Zuo and colleagues (34). Hence, the membrane-associated mammaglobin-A can be utilized as a molecular marker for targeting imaging agents to breast cancer metastases.

We have reported for the first time that ZR-75.1 breast cancer cells form spontaneous metastases to ALNs in nude mice. MDA-mb-231, an invasive breast cancer cell line, is widely used in breast cancer lymph node metastasis studies, and these cells require at least 6 to 8 weeks after implantation to form detectable metastases (44). However, ZR-75.1 cells were detected in the ALN as early as 5 weeks after xenografting the cells into the MFP. Hence, ZR-75.1 cells are a robust model for breast cancer lymph node metastasis studies.

In vivo fluorescence imaging has emerged as an excellent method for the noninvasive imaging of tissues with high spatial resolution. Optical imaging allows for the real-time visualization of specific molecular markers, in disease, prognosis, staging, and therapy monitoring (45). Our in vitro and in vivo studies demonstrated a superb high selectivity of the agent, where 8.6 ± 0.8-fold enhancement of positive tumor was observed relative to the negative tumor. Although mammaglobin-A is expressed in normal breast, its distribution is limited to the epithelia, which is not accessible to the agent, which is injected into the parenchyma.

To determine the sensitivity of our method, we developed a novel, orthotopic xenograft model for lymph node metastasis, where precise numbers of cells were injected into the ALNs using ultrasound image guidance. As few as 1,000 cells were quantitatively detected in the ALN. Hence, our method may overcome the problem of interobserver variation in classification of metastatic disease as macrometastasis (>2 mm), micrometastasis (between 0.2 and 2 mm), or individual tumor cells or groups of cells (ITC, <0.2 mm; refs. 46, 47). One thousand cells represent a volume of approximately 1 nL, which is well below the size of a micrometastasis. In addition, detection of ITCs in prenodal lymph before colonization in the lymph node was shown to be possible.

In the future, the level of detection may be improved by using a fluorescent dye with longer excitation and emission wavelengths, for example, 800 to 900 nm; or through the use of another imaging modality, such as detection of positron or single photon emission, MRI, nanoparticle, or NIR dye-based PAT; or by using a more sophisticated fluorescence imaging system, for example, with spectral unmixing or fluorescence lifetime capability, compared with the surface radiance (planar) imaging system used in this study. In addition, our fluorescent targeted agents could be used intraoperatively for image-guided surgery to remove involved nodes and leave uninvolved nodes (48). To add therapeutic capability, targeted gold nanoparticles could be used for both noninvasive imaging via PAT as well as delivering therapy through...
photothermal ablation (49). The targeted nanoparticles could thus be heated using laser light to destroy cells and tissue adhered to the agent (i.e., “molecular surgery.”) Regional control in the lymph nodes after targeting metastatic cancer could eliminate the need for surgery to remove the diseased nodes and therefore reduce significant complications (e.g., lymphedema). Furthermore, cancer imaging of metastatic lymph nodes could provide response assessment to traditional therapeutic options such as cytotoxic or molecular targeted chemotherapy, and axillary radiotherapy.

According to the pharmacokinetics study, the optimal time for imaging was 24 hours after administration of the agent, at which time the agent had reached peak levels in the involved node and was cleared from surrounding tissues. However, positive lymph nodes were detected as early as 4 hours. Because this antibody-based agent is relatively large compared with synthetic peptide-based ligands, the time required for SLN uptake and clearance could be improved by the development of a smaller mammaglobin-A–specific ligands or peptibodies.

According to our IHC data, mammaglobin is expressed in 45.2% of lymph node positive samples. Therefore, agents specific for additional markers expressed in lymph node metastases will be required, in combination with the mammaglobin-A targeting probe, to detect all breast cancer lymph node metastases. For example, it has been reported that the combination of mammaglobin-A and cytokeratin-19 (CK19) is an optimal molecular marker set for the detection of clinically
actionable metastases in breast lymph nodes with 90% sensitivity and 94% specificity (29). Unfortunately, CK19 is not a cell-surface marker. Through gene expression profiling and IHC validation of protein expression in patient tissue samples, we are in the process of identifying additional cell-surface markers that in combination with mammaglobin-A will detect all breast cancer lymph node metastases.

In summary, our results demonstrate that the breast cancer targeted agent, MamAb-680 can be used for the noninvasive, in vivo detection of cancerous cells in mouse ALNs with high resolution and specificity. This targeted imaging strategy has potential for future translation into the clinic for SLN assessment and intraoperative surgical guidance. In the future, this agent may be improved by development of small peptide-based ligands and through the possible attachment to nanoparticles for delivery of imaging contrast and therapy.
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