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Abstract

Signals mediated by CXCL12 (SDF1) and its receptor CXCR4 are centrally involved in cancer progression, both directly by activating cancer cells and indirectly by inducing angiogenesis plus recruiting T regulatory and plasmacytoid dendritic immune cells. Here, we show that in ascites isolated from ovarian cancer patients, both CXCL12 and CXCR4 are controlled by the tumor-associated inflammatory mediator prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), which attracts myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) into the ascites microenvironment. In this setting, PGE2 was essential both for expression of functional CXCR4 in cancer-associated MDSCs and for production of its ligand CXCL12. Frequencies of CD11b+CD14+CD33+CXCR4+ MDSCs closely correlated with CXCL12 and PGE2 levels in patient ascites. MDSCs migrated toward ovarian cancer ascites in a CXCR4-dependent manner that required COX2 activity and autocrine PGE2 production. Inhibition of COX2 or the PGE2 receptors EP2/EP4 in MDSCs suppressed expression of CXCR4 and MDSC responsiveness to CXCL12 or ovarian cancer ascites. Similarly, COX2 inhibition also blocked CXCL2 production in the ovarian cancer environment and its ability to attract MDSCs. Together, our findings elucidate a central role for PGE2 in MDSC accumulation triggered by the CXCL12-CXCR4 pathway, providing a powerful rationale to target PGE2 signaling in ovarian cancer therapy.
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Introduction

Stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF1, now designated as CXCL12) is overproduced by stromal and tumor cells in different tumor microenvironments (1–4). CXCL12 exerts multiple tumor-promoting functions, either directly through its cognate receptor CXCR4 present on cancer cells enhancing tumor growth, migration, and invasiveness, or indirectly by recruiting endothelial progenitors, needed for tumor angiogenesis (3, 5, 6). Moreover, regulatory T cells (Treg) and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC), which play a crucial role in immune evasion, are attracted to the tumor environment through CXCL12 (6–8). By recruiting and retaining these immunosuppressive cells, the tumor microenvironment limits the effectiveness of immune responses (9).

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC; ref. 10, 11) have emerged as critical elements of cancer-induced immune dysfunction. MDSCs carry out their suppressive functions at the site of tumor growth (10) and represent a heterogeneous population of immature myeloid cells involving immature precursors of macrophages, granulocytes, and dendritic cells (DC), capable of suppressing immune response in vitro and in vivo (12). MDSCs residing within the tumor environment are deficient in costimulatory-molecule expression, and inefficient in lymphoid homing (13). Instead, they abrogate adaptive immune responses to cancer cells, as shown in experimental animal models and human cancer patients (14).

High activity of the ovarian cancer microenvironment to attract other types of suppressive cells (6–8) suggests that, in addition to accelerated development of MDSCs in cancer setting, the predominance of MDSCs in ovarian cancer microenvironment may result from their enhanced attraction and/or retention. Although the molecular mechanisms that regulate the development and function of MDSCs in the cancer setting have been extensively studied, particularly in the mouse system (13, 15–21), the mechanisms guiding MDSCs to human cancer environments remain poorly understood.

Guided by prior mouse studies showing the involvement of PGE2 in the regulation of CXCL12 production in cancer-associated fibroblasts (22), and the ability of PGE2-producing tumor cells to enhance CXCR4 expression on differentiating mouse MDSCs (23, 24), we tested the relevance of PGE2 in the
regulation of the CXCR4-CXCL12 interplay in human ovarian cancer—infiltrating MDSCs. Our data show that the CXCR4-CXCL12 axis is the key pathway mediating the attraction of monocytic MDSC into the tumor environment of ovarian cancer patients, with PGE₂ responsible for the induction of both functional CXCR4 and CXCL12. The ability of COX2 inhibitors and PGE₂ receptor blockers to reverse the chemokine responsiveness of fully developed MDSCs and to decrease the levels of CXCL12 produced in the ovarian cancer environment provides a new tool to counteract immune suppression in therapeutic regimens aimed at restoring immune surveillance in cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Human ovarian cancer ascites and sera were obtained from previously untreated patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer in stage III or IV, after obtaining written informed consent. The nature and possible consequences of the studies were explained. All specimens were provided under the protocols approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board (IRB0406147) or the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Institutional Review Board (CIC02–15). Patients underwent a primary surgical debulking procedure for clinical staging. Fresh ascites were obtained intraoperatively, and went a primary surgical debulking procedure for clinical staging. Fresh ascites were obtained intraoperatively, and blood draws were done either immediately preoperatively or at the first postoperative visit prior to any adjuvant therapy.

Isolation of ovarian cancer ascites-infiltrating cells and MDSCs

Human ovarian cancer ascites were collected aseptically and infiltrating primary cells harvested by centrifugation. CD11b⁺ MDSCs were obtained after centrifugation of ascites, followed by RBC lysis and positive magnetic selection of CD11b⁺ cells (CD11b EasySep Isolation kit; Stem Cell Tech). The isolated cells were CD11b⁺ >95% pure. Control CD11b⁺ cells were isolated from healthy donoruffy coats, using the same method.

Flow cytometry

Two- and 3-color cell surface and intracellular immunostaining analysis was done using Beckman Coulter Epics XL or Accuri flow-cytometer. Ovarian cancer–isolated cells were stained with the following antibodies CCR2-PE, CCR5-PE, CCR6-PE, CXCR1-PE, CXCR4-PE, CD11b-FITC, CD14-PE, CD33-APC, CD34-PE/Cy7 (BD and eBioscience). Rat IgG2α-PE, IgG1-FITC, IgG1-APC, and IgG1-PE/Cy7 isotype controls, and rat IgG2α-FITC isotype control were from BD Pharmingen.

Chemotaxis assay

Chemotaxis assays were done as previously described (25). rhu-CXCL-12α (5–50 ng/mL; R&D) in IMDM+0.5% FBS or ovarian cancer ascites were used as chemotaxis media. When indicated, cells were pretreated for 10 minutes with CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 (1,000 ng/mL; Sigma) and CCR5 antagonist vicriviroc (1,000 ng/mL) before chemotaxis experiments to block the CXCR4 and CCR5-dependent chemotaxis. For desensitization, CXCL12 or CCL5 were added to the cells in the upper chamber 10 minutes before the chemotaxis experiment. The concentrations of the blocking agents used did not have any significant impact on the viability of cultured cells, as determined by the live cell counts.

Isolation of peripheral blood naive CD8⁺ T-cell populations and CD3/CD28 in vitro effector generation

Naive CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ CD45RO⁻ T cells were isolated from PBMCs by negative selection using the naive CD8⁺ T-cell enrichment cocktail (Stem Cell Tech), resulting in a uniform population of CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ CD45RO⁻ cells. CD8⁺ T cells were stimulated with CD3/CD28 Dynabeads (5 μL/mL; Invitrogen Dynal AS) in the presence or absence of ovarian cancer ascites-isolated MDSCs. CFSE staining of CD8⁺ T cells (Invitrogen) was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions. On day 4 and 5, expanded CD8⁺ T cells were analyzed for the expression of granzyme B expression and proliferation.

ELISA

Ovarian cancer ascites were collected into collection tubes, centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 minutes and the supernatants were immediately stored at −80°C until use. Ovarian cancer ascites were used immediately after defrosting and were not subjected to further freeze-thaw cycles. Ovarian cancer ascites and conditioned media generated by culturing ovarian cancer ascites-infiltrating primary cells for 48 hours were analyzed for CXCL12/SDF-1 and CCL5 by indirect sandwich ELISA (R&D) and PGE₂ by competitive parameter immunoassay according to the manufacturer’s protocol (R&D).

Taqman analysis of mRNA expression

mRNA levels of CD11b, IL-10, IDO1, ARG1, NOS2, COX2, CXCR4, CXCL12/SDF-1 were analyzed in ovarian cancer–ascites infiltrating primary cells versus patient’s matched PBMCs and ovarian cancer ascites-isolated CD11b⁺ cells versus control CD11b⁺ cells (isolated from normal blood), either after their isolation or after the overnight incubation in the presence or absence of the COX2 inhibitor Celecoxib (20 μmol/L), EP2 antagonist AH6809, EP4 antagonist AH23848, and EP3 antagonist L798106. The concentrations used did not have any significant impact on the viability of cultured cells, as determined by the live cell counts. Taqman analysis was done as previously described (25) on the StepOne Plus System (Applied Biosystems). The expression of each gene was normalized to HPRT1 and expressed as fold increase (2^ΔCT), where ΔCT = CT (Target gene) − CT (HPRT1).

Statistical analysis

All data were evaluated using GraphPad Prism 5 software and analyzed using Student t test (2 tailed), with P < 0.05 considered as significant (P <0.05 marked †; P <0.01 marked ‡; P < 0.001 marked §§). A linear correlation between 2 continuous variables was tested with the R² coefficient of determination. When indicated, the data from multiple different patients and control donors are expressed as means and SD from N donors (see the N values in the figure legends). The data from representative experiments was obtained from triplicate
cancers with cells from an individual donor. Each of such independent experiments was reproduced at least 3 times.

Results

**High expression of CXCR4 on cancer-isolated monocytic MDSCs mediates their attraction to CXCL12-producing ovarian cancer-infiltrating cells**

CXCR4 is overexpressed on tumor-infiltrating suppressive pDCs (7), with high local levels of its ligand CXCL12 predicting reduced survival of ovarian cancer patients (26). To address the relative role of CXCL12 in MDSC accumulation, we analyzed the expression of chemokine receptors on cancer-infiltrating MDSCs and their migratory responsiveness. Bulk tumor ascites contained high numbers of CD11b+ cells, mostly composed of monocytic MDSCs, as determined by their uniform expression of CD33 and CD34 (Refs. 10, 14; Fig. 1A). Such CD11b+CD33+CD34+ MDSCs lacked expression of the costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD83, with most cells expressing CD14, typical of the monocytic subset of MDSCs (Refs. 10, 14, 18; Fig. 1A and Supplementary Fig. S1). These cells expressed high levels of typical MDSC-associated suppressive factors (10, 14, 18), including arginase-1, IL-10, IDO1, IL-4Rα (CD124) and COX2 (Fig. 1B), and strongly suppressed CTL development (Fig. 1C). Such ascites-associated CD11b+ monocytic MDSCs showed uniformly high expression of CXCR4 (Fig. 1D and E), when compared with blood-isolated monocytic cells (Fig. 1E, bottom), in addition to lower levels of expression of CCR2, CCR5, and CCR1.

In addition to the high expression of CXCR4 on isolated monocytic MDSCs (Fig. 1D and E), we observed that the numbers of MDSCs strongly correlated with the levels of CXCR4 ligand, CXCL12/SDF-1, in the tumor environment (Fig. 2A). The local gene expression of CXCL12 and secretion of CXCL12 protein was profoundly higher compared with levels in patient's blood (Fig. 2B). In contrast, CCL5/Rantes was expressed at significantly lower levels (Fig. 2C), and did not correlate with the tumor infiltration of MDSCs (data not shown). Moreover, migration of tumor-isolated MDSCs could be effectively suppressed by CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 or by CXCL12 desensitization, but not with CCR5 antagonist vicitriviroc or CCL5 desensitization (Fig. 2D). In accordance with these data, tumor-isolated MDSCs showed strong responsiveness to recombinant CXCL12 (Fig. 2E).

![Figure 1. CXCR4-CXCL12-mediated accumulation of monocytic MDSCs.](https://www.aacrjournals.org)

Figure 1. CXCR4-CXCL12-mediated accumulation of monocytic MDSCs. MDSC-associated phenotype and functions of ovarian cancer ascites-isolated CD11b+ cells. A, characterization of ovarian cancer–isolated CD11b+ CD14+ CD15+ CD33+ CD34+ HLA-DRlowCD80 CD83+ cells. High percentage of CD11b+ cells (8.9%–50.0%, mean 24.2%, N = 7) was present within the ascites total primary cell population. B, relative expression of IL10, ARG1, IDO1, IL4Rx, CXCR4, and COX2, in control and ovarian cancer–isolated CD11b+ cells (N = 7, ovarian cancer ascites-isolated; N = 5, blood-isolated, control). Histograms present data of a single representative experiment with different donors as mean ± SD. C, suppression of CFSE-labeled allogeneic naive CD8+ T-cell proliferation (CD3/CD28 stimulation; ref. 39) in the presence or absence of ovarian cancer–infiltrating primary cells or ovarian cancer–isolated monocytic MDSCs (N = 7). Percentages indicate the fraction of proliferating CD8+ cells. The gray squares represent the lymphocyte-specific gates, used to exclude (CFSE-unlabelled) MDSCs. D, top, uniform expression of CXCR4+ on CD11b+ MDSCs from ovarian cancer ascites. D, bottom, CXCR4 is elevated on cancer-isolated CD11b+ monocytic cells (filled histogram) compared with control blood-isolated CD11b+ monocytic cells (unfilled, thick line). B, CXCR4 expression in ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs (CD11b+ CD33+) in patient’s blood (Fig. 2E, bottom), in addition to lower levels of expression of CCR6 and CCR7 receptors. B, left, representative data from 1 of 7 different cancer patients is shown. B, right, cumulative data from 7 different cancer patients, expressed as mean ± SD. **, P < 0.05; ****, P < 0.001.
Positive feedback between COX2 and PGE2 is responsible for both CXCR4 expression on monocytic MDSCs and the production of CXCL12 in ovarian cancer

Guided by the reported ability of PGE2, a factor implicated in CXCR4 induction in murine cells (23, 24), and the production of CXCL12 in mouse tumor-associated fibroblasts (22), we tested the potential role of PGE2 in the regulation of CXCL12 production and MDSC accumulation in ovarian cancer patients. We observed a strong correlation between the expression of CD11b and COX2 expression (Fig. 3A) and between CXCL12 concentrations and the local production of PGE2 (Fig. 3B). In accordance with the potential causative role of PGE2 in the ovarian cancer-associated CXCL12 production, the expression and secretion of CXCL12 in ovarian cancer ascites cells was inhibited by COX2 blockade (Fig. 4A and B), closely reflecting the degree of inhibition of COX2 expression and PGE2 release (Fig. 4A and B).

In accordance with the driving role of PGE2 in the CXCL12-mediated attraction of MDSCs to the tumor microenvironment, the ability of supernatants from 48 hour-cultured ovarian cancer ascites cells to attract ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs to the ovarian cancer environment was dependent on COX2 activity and was suppressed following COX2 inhibition during the generation of the ovarian cancer cell-conditioned media (Fig. 4C). In accordance with the previous report showing that epithelial cells are the predominant source of CXCL12 in the ovarian cancer environment (7), the COX2-dependent CXCL12 expression was particularly pronounced in total ascites cells, with only marginal levels expressed in the CD11b+ fraction (Fig. 4A).

Key role of PGE2–COX2 feedback in the persistence of CXCR4 expression by ovarian cancer–associated monocytic MDSCs and production of CXCL12

Interestingly, overnight COX2 inhibition reduced not only CXCL12 and CXCR4 expression in ovarian cancer ascites cells (Fig. 4A and D), but also the expression of endogenous COX2 in ovarian cancer ascites primary cells and ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs (Fig. 4A), indicating the crucial role of an intact positive feedback loop between PGE2 and COX2 in the

---

**Figure 2.** CXCL12-driven accumulation of cancer-associated monocytic MDSCs. A, correlation between CXCL12 levels and frequency of CD11b+CD33+ cells in ovarian cancer ascites from different patients. The percentage of CD11b+CD33+ cells in ovarian cancer ascites was determined by flow cytometry analysis after staining of ovarian cancer ascites-infiltrating primary cells, harvested from fresh ascites by centrifugation (N = 5 patients). The regression line and corresponding r2 value is shown. OvCa, ovarian cancer. B, CXCL12 expression (left; N = 19 vs. N = 5) and protein levels (right; N = 17; 1509 pg/mL vs. N = 8; 193 pg/mL) in ovarian cancer ascites from different patients compared with cancer patient sera. C, CXCL12 versus CCL5 levels in ovarian cancer ascites from 17 different patients. D, specific migration of ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs to ascites in the absence or presence of CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100, CCR5 antagonist vircriviroc, or CXCL12 and CCL5 desensitized. E, responsiveness of ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs to rhCXCL12 (50 ng/mL) and ovarian cancer ascites. All data (A–E) were confirmed in 3 to 7 independent experiments. The bars represent cumulative data from 3 to 7 different donors, expressed as mean ± SD. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
PGE₂ signaling in fully developed MDSCs isolated from ovarian cancer patients is critically important for their continued expression of CXCR4 and responsiveness to CXCL12, promoting the attraction and retention of MDSCs in the tumor environment.

Correlation of ovarian cancer-associated CXCL12 levels with the local PGE₂ production and local infiltration of CD11b⁺CD14⁺CD33⁻ MDSCs substantiate the physiologic role of PGE₂ in controlling monotypic MDSC accumulation in human cancer. Although the current data show that PGE₂ is responsible for the induction of functional CXCR4 and CXCL12-mediated attraction of MDSCs, the current results also implicate that COX2- and PGE₂- antagonism may help to overcome additional CXCL12-dependent mechanism of cancer progression, that include the direct impact of CXCL12 upon tumor growth and invasiveness (7, 26) as well as local accumulation of CXCR4-expressing suppressive pDCs and Tregs in cancer tissues and bone-marrow of cancer patients (7, 27).

In line with the possibility that local PGE₂ gradient in the tumor-surrounding environment allows for the gradual recruitment of migrating MDSCs or MDSC-precursors via CXCL12-CXCR4, cancer cell inoculation has been shown to induce the appearance of VEGFR²⁺ CXCR4⁺ CD11b⁺ cells in the blood of cancer-bearing mice (24). However, at present, we cannot exclude the possibility that MDSCs or MDSC-precursors are attracted into tumor microenvironment via alternative pathway and tumor-produced PGE₂ induces CXCR4 expression and MDSC retention in the CXCL12-enriched tumor environment. Although tumor cells themselves or tumor-associated stromal cells (e.g., fibroblasts (22), mesothelial cells (28), and vascular endothelial cells (29) may overproduce PGE₂ spontaneously (see Supplementary Fig. S2 for the variable levels of PGE₂ production by the non-MDSC component of ovarian cancer ascites), an alternative possibility is that tumor cell-derived factors, for example mucins in the initial induction of the first wave of COX2 expression in infiltrating monocytic cells, as proposed in the colorectal cancer system (30).

Ovarian cancer-associated PGE₂ promotes the MDSC expression of COX2 (Fig. 4A), the key enzyme regulating PGE₂ synthesis (see Supplementary Fig. S2 for the consistently high levels of PGE₂ production by ovarian cancer-isolated monotypic MDSCs), thereby creating a positive feedback loop where PGE₂ produced by MDSCs helps to maintain the CXCL12 responsiveness and local retention of CXCR4⁺ MDSCs. Although this last mechanism can contribute to a vicious cycle amplifying the persistence of MDSCs within cancer settings, the requirement for continued production of PGE₂ in the accumulation of MDSCs allows for new modes of their pharmacologic modulation.

Because high level of CXCL12 in ovarian cancer ascites represents a negative prognostic factor of ovarian cancer patients (26), and PGE₂ also controls the production of CCL22 (25), another chemokine involved in recruiting CCR4⁺ Tregs and a negative prognostic factor in ovarian cancer (4), our current data highlight the key role of PGE₂ in the accumulation of multiple types of cancer-associated suppressive cells (4, 7, 27) and provide additional rationale for PGE₂ targeting in ovarian cancer therapy.

In this last regard, we observed that pharmacologic inhibition of PGE₂ production suppresses the production of CXCL12
in ovarian cancer ascites cells (Fig. 4A and B). Moreover, even short-term exposure of the fully developed ovarian cancer–isolated MDSCs to COX2 inhibitors (or EP2- and EP4-blockers) suppresses their expression of CXCR4 (Fig. 4A and D) and migratory responsiveness to recombinant CXCL12 (Fig. 4C).

Because overproduction of COX2 and PGE2 is a hallmark of many tumor types (31–33), the presently defined mechanism is likely applicable to the local accumulation of MDSCs observed in different cancer types (5, 9), and to additional (MDSC independent) pathways of promoting tumor growth in different forms of cancer, with similarly wide therapeutic implications.

Although chemokines play a crucial role in immune and inflammatory reactions, they have an equally important role in the development of a variety of cancers, being involved in cell transformation, survival, growth, metastasis, and tumor-associated angiogenesis (34). CXCL12 expressed by the tumor-associated fibroblasts promotes the progression of breast cancer by directly enhancing tumor growth and by recruiting endothelial progenitor cells that are required for tumor neoangiogenesis (3). COX2 and PGE2 are also involved in tumor stroma formation by recruiting stromal fibroblasts via the CXCL12-CXCR4 axis (22), and mediating the angiogenic effects of bFGF and VEGF by enhancing CXCR4 expression in microvascular endothelial cells (35), and by inducing proangiogenic chemokines, CXCL5 (epithelial cell–derived neutrophil activator 78), CXCL8 (IL-8) and CXCL12 (Refs. 22, 36; and the current data).

In addition to the currently shown central role of PGE2 in MDSC accumulation, PGE2 has recently been shown to bias the chemokine production of DCs, abrogating their CCL19–, CCL10–, CCL11–, CCL5–, and CCL19-mediated ability to attract naïve, effector, and memory T cells and NK cells (25, 37, 38). Instead, PGE2 promotes the CCL22-driven interaction of DCs with undesirable Treg cells (25), known to be preferentially expanded and recruited to cancer tissues (8), where the levels of CCL22 and Treg infiltration have a strong negative prognostic value (4). The key role of PGE2 in the induction of CCL22 and CXCL12, the chemokines attracting MDSCs, Treg cells and suppressive pDCs to different tumors (4, 7, 8, 27), and its ability to suppress the local influx of CTLs, Th1, and NK cells (25, 37, 38), suggests the possibility of targeting PGE2 to correct the balance between the effector and suppressive cells at the tumor sites.

Overall, our current data help to understand the biology of MDSCs arising in the cancer setting, pointing to the causative role of a tumor-associated inflammatory mediator, PGE2, in one of the key aspects of mononuclear MDSC biology, that is, their accumulation. They also provide rationale for including inhibitors of PGE2 synthesis and function to counteract the CXCL12-mediated immune-mediated and nonimmune mechanism of tumor progression in the therapy of cancer patients.
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