Classifying Human Brain Tumors by Lipid Imaging with Mass Spectrometry
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Abstract

Brain tissue biopsies are required to histologically diagnose brain tumors, but current approaches are limited by tissue characterization at the time of surgery. Emerging technologies such as mass spectrometry imaging can enable a rapid direct analysis of cancersous tissue based on molecular composition. Here, we illustrate how gliomas can be rapidly classified by desorption electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (DESI-MS) imaging, multivariate statistical analysis, and machine learning. DESI-MS imaging was carried out on 36 human glioma samples, including oligodendroglioma, astrocytoma, and oligoastrocytoma, all of different histologic grades and varied tumor cell concentration. Gray and white matter from glial tumors were readily discriminated and detailed diagnostic information could be provided. Classifiers for subtype, grade, and concentration features generated with lipidomic data showed high recognition capability with more than 97% cross-validation. Specimen classification in an independent validation set agreed with expert histopathology diagnosis for 79% of tested features. Together, our findings offer proof of concept that intraoperative examination and classification of brain tissue by mass spectrometry can provide surgeons, pathologists, and oncologists with critical and previously unavailable information to rapidly guide surgical resections that can improve management of patients with malignant brain tumors. Cancer Res; 72(3); 1–10. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Critical decisions in medicine often depend upon discriminating normal from diseased tissue. For over a century, one of the central methods for identifying pathologic processes has been the microscopic review of tissue sections by the trained eye of human pathologists. This paradigm has been simple yet powerful and has allowed for tremendous advances in the understanding, classification, and treatment of many human diseases. Over the last few decades, newer modalities have been developed to further enhance the capabilities of microscopy-based tissue diagnosis, particularly for the evaluation of tumors. These tests however remaining largely nonquantitative, require days or even weeks to complete and often assess very specific questions rather than provide comprehensive analytical information. New modalities could further empower the practice of surgical pathology, and assist in defining the extent of a tumor (1), and its relationship to surrounding nonneoplastic tissue (2–5). Such new modalities will need to be applicable in real-time, and be validated using standard histopathology evaluation.

Among the most useful ancillary tests in molecular pathology are those that detect changes in nucleic acids that are at the foundation of tumorigenesis: DNA point mutations and chromosomal translocations (6, 7). Proteomic information is also used regularly in pathology, albeit in a low throughput manner using immunohistochemistry. Mass spectrometry imaging methods have been increasingly applied to cancer research due to its ability to simultaneously map the distribution of large populations of proteins, a feature that is potentially of enormous diagnostic and prognostic value (8). The lipid constituents of tissues, however, remain entirely unused in diagnostic practice. Extensive investigations have established that the lipid composition of tissues can vary with disease state (9). A number of studies focus on the molecular changes that occur in cells, signaling the beginning of malignancy and providing a means for early detection and treatment intervention (10, 11). These lipidomic findings emphasize the importance of determining the composition of lipids in biological tissues for its diagnostic and prognostic value. Despite the diverse lipid composition of various tissues, lipidomic information has until recently been impractical to acquire. Desorption electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (DESI-MS) and related MS imaging methods have now made acquisition of information on specific lipids and their distribution in tissue highly practical.
DESI-MS is one of a recently developed group of ionization techniques in MS in which samples are examined in the ambient environment with minimal pretreatment (12, 13), therefore potentially applicable to real-time analyses. Using DESI, direct lipid analysis from biological samples such as tissue sections can be conducted rapidly and routinely (14). Chemical information obtained can be represented as 2-dimensional (2D) images recording abundances and spatial distributions of specific ions (15). In a single analysis, DESI-MS allows the characterization and imaging of many lipids including fatty acyls, glycerophospholipids, glycerolipids, and sphingolipids (14). DESI-MS imaging experiments have been conducted on human cancerous tissues including bladder (16), kidney (17), prostate (18), and testicular (19) cancers. In a pilot study using a limited number of specimens, DESI-MS imaging enabled discrimination of different WHO grades of astrocytomas based on lipid profiles (20).

Although imaging of individual ions can be used to distinguish tumor from normal tissue, in most diseases the use of multiple ions enhances diagnostic classification and creates diagnostic categories that often cannot be discerned by examination of single ion data or by the visual examination of broad range mass spectral data. Here, we report on the use of multivariate statistical approaches to provide correlation between lipidomic data acquired by DESI-MS imaging and glioma diagnostic information such as subtype, grade, and concentration. A robust classification method was developed, validating the use of lipid profiles for the near real-time characterization of complex gliomas.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples

All tissue samples were cerebrum tumor tissue obtained from the BWH Neurooncology Program Biorepository collection, and analyzed under approved Institutional Review Board protocol, with informed written consent obtained by licensed neurosurgeons at BWH. Sample list is shown in Supplementary Table S1. Samples were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until sectioned at 14 µm thickness using a Microm HM550 cryostat (Mikron Instruments Inc.), and thaw mounted onto glass slides. The slides were stored in closed containers at −80°C; prior to analysis they were allowed to come to room temperature, and dried under a dessicator for approximately 15 minutes.

DESI-MS analysis

The DESI ion source was a lab-built prototype (15), similar to a commercial source from Prosolia Inc. DESI-MS experiments were carried out in the negative and in the positive ion mode. For more details, see Supplementary Data. The tissues were scanned using a 2D moving stage in horizontal rows separated by a 200 µm vertical step. An in-house program allowed the conversion of the XCalibur 2.0 mass spectra files (.raw) into a format compatible with the Biomap software (21) with which spatially accurate images were assembled. Lipid species were tentatively identified based on collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiments tandem MS experiments and comparison with literature data. DESI imaging of all tissue samples were run in a randomized way using the same experimental conditions. Samples were obtained in 3 different sets, and each set included specimens of different subtypes and grades. Each set was run in a random sequence, and under the same experimental conditions. Replicate data were recorded.

Histopathology analysis

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained serial tissue sections were optically scanned using Mirax Micro 4SL telepathology system from Zeiss. Regions of heterogeneity within tissue sections were digitally assigned by the pathologist and compared with DESI-MS ion images. Tumor content was evaluated by 2 neuropathologists (S.S. and K.L.) through examination of an H&E stained tissue section, which was adjacent to the one used for DESI-MS imaging studies. Specimens were evaluated and scored visually with respect to their percentage of tumor nuclei relative to the total nuclei in the sample. Samples were also characterized and assigned a qualitative from normal to infiltrative to solid, corresponding from low to high cellularity.

Statistical analysis

Samples were divided into training and validation sets for statistical analysis. Each class was defined with similar numbers of specimens, and only specimens with clear diagnosis in accordance with the WHO classification system (22) were used (agreement between 2 neuropathologists). A software tool was developed to enable the selection of regions of interest (ROI) based on visual inspection of the DESI ion image loaded in Biomap. More specifically, a rectangle with x, y coordinates is drawn over an ion image to create a ROI, and the corresponding spectral data is extracted from the ROI, saved in a separate folder, and converted for further analysis using ClinProTools folder 2.2 (Bruker Daltonics). The entire mass spectrum acquired, from m/z 200 to m/z 1000, was imported into ClinProTools and used for classification. ROIs were selected to be constituted of approximately 100 spectra each, so that each specimen weighs somewhat equivalently in a classification model, and to avoid including background signal from the glass. For heterogeneous cases, ROIs were selected based on neuropathologic evaluation and demarcation of ROIs within the tissue section, as visualized on the optical image of the H&E stained adjacent section.

All ROIs were assigned by the neuropathologist for tumor subtype, grade, and cell concentration.

Three distinct classifiers were built to recognize glioma subtype, grade, and tumor cell concentration. Classification models were built with the Support Vector Machine algorithm. For each classifier, the number of input peaks was automatically detected based on clustering of the peak rankings as determined by the SVM hyperplane separation with an upper limit of 25 peaks to be used by the classifier.

The calculated models were used to classify spectra from selected subregions for each validation sample. After classification, the class membership was reported by ClinProTools for every spectrum file with corresponding (x, y) spatial coordinates. To create the overlay image, corresponding H&E stained optical image, ion image, and class image were imported into an image composition program (23), and manually aligned.
using rotation and scaling transforms. For more details, see Supplementary Data.

Results

DESI-MS lipid profiles from glioma tissue

DESI-MS imaging was carried out on 36 human glioma samples including oligodendrogliomas, astrocytomas, and oligoastrocytomas of different grades and varying tumor cell concentrations. A complete description of the samples used in this study, including information on glioma subtype, grade, recurrence, tumor cell concentration, EGFR copy number status, 1p/19q status, and p53 immunoreactivity is provided in Supplementary Table S1. Although analysis was carried out in both the positive and negative ion mode, results are described using the data obtained in the negative ion mode, in which the most significant discrimination among lipid profiles was observed. Reproducibility was investigated by analyzing different tissue sections of the same sample on different days under the same experimental conditions (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B, Supplementary Information).

The main lipid species observed in the examination of human glioma tissue in the mass range of m/z 200 to 1,000 were saturated and unsaturated fatty acids (FA), glycerophosphoinositols (PI), glycerophosphoserines (PS), plasmalysin glycerophosphoethanolamines (plasmalysin-PE), and sulfatides (ST; ref. 20). Lipid profiles from the samples of the 3 different glioma subtypes and of the samples of different grades within each glioma subtype were evaluated. Different lipid profiles were observed from astrocytoma samples of grades II, III, and IV (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2C). Grade II astrocytoma samples showed the presence of ST species, as well as PS and PI. Conversely, all grade IV astrocytomas analyzed consistently showed absence of sulfatide species, with high abundance of PS and PI species. Interestingly, grade IV astrocytoma, which displays very diverse cytomorphic features on histologic sections (24), yielded reproducible DESI-MS lipid profiles in a range of samples with a lower total abundance of lipids than the low-grade astrocytomas.

The WHO recognizes 2 grades of oligodendrogliial tumors, grade II for well-differentiated tumors, and grade III for anaplastic tumors. Representative lipid profiles are presented in Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B. Oligodendroglioma is composed of neoplastic cells resembling oligodendroglia and characteristically harbors deletions in the 1p and 19q chromosomal arms. Oligoastrocytoma represents a mixed glial tumor that is composed of distinct neoplastic cells resembling both those found in oligodendroglialgia and astrocytoma. The most abundant lipid species observed in the representative spectra of samples G41 (oligodendroglioma grade II) and G22 (oligodendrogloma grade III) are plasmalysin-PE, PS, and PI. Free fatty acids such as palmitic acid FA(16:0), m/z 255.3, stearic acid FA (18:0), m/z 283.3, and arachidonic acid FA(20:4), m/z 303.2, and fatty acid dimers in the region of m/z 500 to m/z 650 were observed in both grades of oligodendroglialomas (16).

The most abundant lipid species observed in the mixed oligoastrocytoma grades II and III are the PS and PI (Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B), with some similarity to oligodendrogliomas. Sulfatides were present in grade III oligoastrocytomas, suggesting an astrocytoma content of the mixed tumor. Similar trends in the increase of relative abundance of PS(40:4), m/z 838.3 with increase of the oligodendrogloma grade are observed in the mixed oligoastrocytomas, likely representing the oligodendroglial character of this mixed glioma. Furthermore, grade II oligoastrocytoma presented higher relative intensities of plasmenyl-PEs and glycerophosphoglycerols PG, when compared with grade III oligoastrocytoma, a trend not as clearly observed for any of the other subtypes of gliomas.

A comparison of the lipid profiles from different subtypes of glioma samples with WHO grade III is shown in Fig. 1A–C. The lipid profile of a grade III astrocytoma contains lipid species of all PS, PI, and ST classes, whereas the grade III oligodendroglioma shows a distinct profile of lipid species with PS(40:4) m/z 838.3, and PI(38:4) m/z 885.5 present at much higher relative abundances than observed in the pure astrocytoma. The grade III oligoastrocytoma sample presents a profile of PS and PI similar to the oligodendrogloma profile, with the presence of ST species at a lower relative abundance.

Morphologic heterogeneity within tumor types can take many forms. One visually striking example of heterogeneous cellular elements are gemistocytic cells. On H&E stained sections these cells seem to have fat/plump, eccentrically placed nuclei, and glassy cytoplasm. In our sample set, we had 3 cases with a distinct population of gemistocytic cells: a GBM (sample G46), a grade II oligodendroglioma (sample G49), and a grade III oligoastrocytoma (G45). Interestingly, these samples containing gemistocytic cells all showed a distinctive lipid profile with abundant signals for a set of fatty acids and fatty acid dimers. In the grade II oligodendroglioma sample G49, we not only detected the phospholipid profile (m/z 700–900) characteristic of oligodendroglialomas (transitional between grade II and grade III; Fig. 2A–D), but we also noted a very abundant signal of free fatty acid, specifically palmitic acid, m/z 255, oleic acid, m/z 281 and arachidonic acid, m/z 303, and fatty acid dimers in the region of m/z 300 to m/z 650. This characteristic profile was observed from all samples harboring gemistocytes, showing the ability of DESI-MS to distinguish the presence of this component in surgical specimens.

Mass spectrometry imaging

One of the advantages of imaging tissue sections by DESI-MS in comparison with profiling the mass/intensity distributions from tissue extracts is the preservation of morphologic features, providing means for visual differentiation of areas with heterogeneity and infiltration levels within the entire tissue section, and for building accurate reference systems. Negative ion mode DESI-MS ion images of sample G23, an oligodendrogloma grade III with 95% tumor cell concentration, are shown in Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5H. A relatively homogeneous distribution of detected lipid species was observed in the ion images obtained for this sample, as seen in the ion images of the most abundant ions.

In contrast, sample G22, a glioblastoma with an overall 80% tumor cell concentration, shows marked heterogeneity according to DESI-MS ion images (Fig. 3A–F). As before, the isomer assignments are not completely unambiguous even though
tandem mass spectrometric data has been obtained on ions. Two regions with distinctive lipid profiles were observed from this section (Fig. 3G–H). Detailed histopathology evaluation of an H&E stained adjacent tissue section (Fig. 3I) revealed that the region delineated with blue is of grade IV astrocytoma (GBM) with nearly 100% tumor cell concentration and focal vascular proliferation, whereas the region delineated with red is composed of mainly gray matter infiltrated by glioma cells, with lower tumor cell density (~30%). Indeed, the lipid profile detected in the pure glioblastoma region was consistent with the characteristic lipid profile of other glioblastoma samples, whereas a distinctly different lipid profile was detected in the region of infiltrated gray matter. Figure 3J shows a plot of intensity of discriminating lipid species as a function of their location. The capability of DESI-MS imaging to clearly distinguish these 2 regions based on the lipid composition shows its potential in detecting tumor margins and regions of higher and lower malignancy with an approximate spatial resolution of 200 μm. Another similar example is shown in Supplementary Fig. S6A–S6F for sample G40, an oligodendroglioma grade II.

Statistical analysis

Although qualitative association of lipid profile constitution and spatial distribution with grade and subtype of gliomas can be generally observed from high-density specimens, a more suitable approach is to conduct statistical evaluation of the entire data set. The high dimensionality of 2D imaging data together with visual alignment to optical imaging of tissue provides an opportunity to develop robust classification models with the potential to streamline surgical pathology.

Classification models were built to recognize glioma subtype and grade based on the WHO tumor classification system (22). A preliminary model was also built to assess tumor cell concentration in low, medium, and high density subsets based on the overall histopathology evaluation of the specimen. A list of the samples used to build the models is given in Supplementary Table S2. All 3 classifiers had overall recognition capabilities more than 99%, and cross-validation more than 97% (Table 1). Using the peak calculation criteria reported in the methods section, the software recognized 128 peaks for the subtype dataset, 123 peaks for the grade dataset, and 136 for the concentration dataset as being statistically significant between m/z 200 to 1,000. Classification models were built from these peak lists with an upper limit of 25 peaks to be used to distinguish classes (Supplementary Table S3). Although chemical identification of the ions is not required for classification purposes, most of the lipid species selected by the classifier were identified based...
Discussion

This work shows for the first time a comprehensive lipidomic-based diagnostic approach for the classification of gliomas, the most common primary human brain tumor. Classification of DESI-MS imaging data showed both high recognition capability and robust cross-validation. In addition, DESI-MS imaging allowed discrimination of tumor from infiltrated brain hence delineating tumor margins. In all, the work provides a new modality to bridge neurosurgery and neuropathology and to help foster improved clinical decision making (25).

In developing classifiers, the training sets used specimens showing classic histologic features. Complex specimens resulting from recurrence were evaluated in the validation set. Despite the challenging nature of these test specimens, diagnostic information for the validation set was in clear agreement with the histopathology evaluation. This included 15 specimens evaluated for subtype, 17 specimens tested for grade, and 20 specimens for concentration. For example, specimen G41_0, which was diagnosed by histopathologic

on CID tandem MS experiments and comparison with literature data (Supplementary Table S4).
evaluation as oligodendroglioma grade II/III with high tumor cell concentration (95%), was classified as 96% oligodendroglia by the subtype classifier and 33% grade II and 67% grade III by the grade classifier. Specimen G41_1 was tested and correctly classified as high tumor cell concentration (100%) by the concentration classifier. Sample G8, astrocytoma grade IV was tested solely in the subtype classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

One only discrepant result was noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Only 11 discrepant results were noted for specimens of the validation set, which is noteworthy due to the heterogeneity and complexity of brain tumors. Note that discrepancy is defined very strictly as meaning not simultaneously satisfying all 3 criteria. A misassignment of concentration, or grade, or stage is interpreted as discrepant. Five of these were from the tumor cell concentration classifier, which correctly classified it as 98% astrocytoma.

Figure 3. Tumor heterogeneity and infiltration is assessed by DESI-MS imaging. Negative ion mode DESI-MS ion images of glioblastoma G33 showing the distribution of (A) m/z 788.8, PS(36:1); (B) m/z 834.2, PS(40:6); (C) m/z 885.4, PI(38:4); (D) m/z 830.3, PS(34:4); (E) m/z 857.3, PI(36:4); and (F) m/z 303.3, FA(20:4).

Grade IV (GBM) with focal gliosarcoma, which is a GBM variant characterized by biphasic tissue pattern with alternating areas displaying glial and mesenchymal differentiation (22). The classifiers indicated a subtype distribution between the 3 different subtypes, and 90% of the tested spectra to be of grade II character. The current classifiers have not been trained to recognize this subtype of GBM, which has a high content of connective tissue. Classification of specimen G49 also resulted in a priori discrepancy with diagnosis. The histopathology evaluation of the specimen indicates an oligodendrioglioma grade II with very high concentration of gemistocytic cells. The classifiers recognize this specimen as a grade III astrocytoma with high tumor cell concentration, which would seem to reflect the highly gemistocytic area analyzed.

Specimen G49 was diagnosed as an astrocytoma grade II, although a prior resection at an outside hospital was diagnosed as a diffuse astrocytoma. FISH results for evaluation of 1p19q deletion showed 1p deletion in 16/50 nuclei and 19q deletion in 4/50 nuclei, suggesting an oligodendroglial component to this tumor, but still do not explain the classification results as being 100% of oligodendroglioma subtype. The histologic diagnosis of astrocytoma is often challenging in the clinical setting, this glioma type is characterized by poor
diagnostic concordance and reproducibility (26, 27). In an effort to dissect the heterogeneity in the oligoastrocytoma class, IHC was carried out to evaluate the status of p53 (26) in addition to considering 1p19q status. The overall results are reported in Supplementary Table S1, with 7/8 specimens tested to be positive for p53 expression. Figure 4A–B shows the difference in p53 expression for the negative specimen G12 and for the positive specimen G21 (1p19q evaluation failed), indicating clearly the difference between the 2 specimens diagnosed as oligoastrocytomas. A strong nuclear staining for p53 often correlates with TP53 mutation and is more typical for low-grade astrocytomas, suggesting an astrocytic character to these tumors. The heterogeneity in this subtype likely explains some of the limitations of the subtype classifier in discriminating oligoastrocytomas from astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas.

Because spatial information is retained and associated with the spectra throughout the classification process, results can be visualized as a class image using a color code corresponding to each of the classes of a given classifier and aligned to the optical image of an adjacent section. This allows to validate results with expert histopathology evaluation of the tissue, and could become a visualization mean of mass spectrometry-based diagnosis. G26 is a very homogenous grade IV

### Table 1. Classification results for subtype, grade, and concentration classifiers applied to validation set of samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>WHO diagnosis</th>
<th>Subtype</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0</td>
<td>A-IV; high</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>A-IV; recurrent A-IV</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G26</td>
<td>A-IV; recurrent OA-III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G28</td>
<td>A-IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G31</td>
<td>A-IV; recurrent A-III/GBM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G22</td>
<td>A-IV; recurrent A-IV, High</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G33</td>
<td>A-IV; high</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G36</td>
<td>A-IV; focal gliosarcoma</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G35</td>
<td>A-IV; high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G30</td>
<td>A-IV-O; recurrent; high</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G29</td>
<td>A-IV-O; recurrent OA-III</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>A-IV-O; high (80%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G27.0</td>
<td>A-IV-O; recurrent O-II</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G27.1</td>
<td>A-IV-O; recurrent O-II</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10</td>
<td>O-II, med</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G40</td>
<td>O-II, infiltrated</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G22.0</td>
<td>O-II focal O-III; High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G22.1</td>
<td>O-II focal O-III; High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G33.0</td>
<td>O-II focal O-III; High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G33.1</td>
<td>O-II focal O-III; High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G49</td>
<td>O-II; gemistocytes, High</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G41.0</td>
<td>O-II/III; recurrent O-II, High</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G41.1</td>
<td>O-II/III recurrent O-II, High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20</td>
<td>OA-II; residual A-II; med (30%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13</td>
<td>OA-II/III; recurrent OA-II/III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G45</td>
<td>OA-III; residual OA-III, High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Astrocytoma (A), Oligoastrocytoma (OA), Oligodendroglioma (O).

NOTE: Gray areas correspond to specimens used in a corresponding training set; italicized results are in apparent disagreement with diagnosis and further discussed; boldface type results highlight validation samples not used in any of the training sets.

Cross-validation (leave-out 20%/10 iterations).

G33 tested on a region of 696 spectra for subtype, and on region of 192 spectra for grade; G26 tested on region of 744 spectra for grade; G40 tested on a region of 900 spectra.
astroglioma (GBM), which is clearly visible from the class imaging results (Fig. 5A), whereas G33 heterogeneity is clearly observed with the infiltrative region classified as a different tissue type with oligodendroglioma character (Fig. 5B).

The utility of visualizing class images is shown in Fig. 6 for sample G40. This is an oligodendroglioma grade II with tumor cells infiltrating white matter. The region of heterogeneity is further investigated by placing a transparent overlay of classification results on the optical image, revealing aggregates of tumor cells. This region seems as a weaving of low, medium, and high concentrations of tumor cells, and also presents with a potential region of progression to a higher grade. Tumor heterogeneity is common on histopathology evaluation of gliomas, but the operative criteria for prognostic and therapeutic decision making is based on the presence of high-grade areas, even if present focally. DESI mass spectrometry analysis of surgical tissues has the potential to reconcile both by providing detailed diagnostic information in near real-time to guide therapy.

Many of the PI, PS, and ST species that were qualitatively observed to be different between subtypes of gliomas (Fig. 1A–C) were recognized by the subtype classifier. In addition, some peaks not easily observed by visual inspection of the mass spectra, such as PS (40:5), m/z 836.2, were recognized by the classifier. Although the identity of most of these species is still being investigated, a few are recognized as free fatty acids, such as arachidonic acid, m/z 303.2. The 2 most significant peaks recognized by the grade classifier were m/z 885.4, PI(18:0/20:4), and m/z 838.3, PS (18:0/22:4), which had also been qualitatively recognized as varying between different grades within each subtype, in terms of relative intensities (20).

This study validates the preliminary indications of discrimination between astrocytoma types by DESI imaging seen in a preliminary study. It also suggests that the analytical performance characteristics of the methodology are adequate to the challenge of reproducibility and information content to allow classification of tissue into the many subtypes represented by the various combinations of type, grade, and concentration encountered in the brain. This ruggedness in performance together with the relative simplicity of the imaging methodology and especially the fact that the tissue is examined without prior chemical treatment makes the approach highly

---

**Figure 4.** p53 expression in 2 oligoastrocytoma samples. A, specimen G12, OA grade II (negative) and (B) specimen G21, OA grade III (positive). Different expression of p53 indicates difference between the 2 specimens diagnosed as oligoastrocytomas.

**Figure 5.** Classification results can be visualized as a class image using a color code corresponding to each class and registered to the optical image of an adjacent section. Subtype, grade, and concentration classification for (A) sample G26 (homogeneous) and (B) sample G33 (heterogeneous).
encouraging for development as an intraoperative procedure. We anticipate that the recent development of nondestructive solvent systems for DESI-MS imaging should enable even higher and more accurate correlation between MS lipidomic data and tissue morphology (28). The molecular information obtained by DESI-MS imaging analysis of glioma tissue can also provide means of understanding the biochemical processes occurring during malignancy. We anticipate that the metabolic variations being captured by DESI-MS imaging may contribute to a reevaluation of the traditional classification systems in medicine.
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