Ovarian Cancer Risk Associated with Inherited Inflammation-Related Variants
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Abstract

The importance of inflammation pathways to the development of many human cancers prompted us to examine the associations between single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in inflammation-related genes and risk of ovarian cancer. In a multisite case–control study, we genotyped SNPs in a large panel of inflammatory genes in 930 epithelial ovarian cancer cases and 1,037 controls using a custom array and analyzed by logistic regression. SNPs with \( P < 0.10 \) were evaluated among 3,143 cases and 2,102 controls from the Follow-up of Ovarian Cancer Genetic Association and Interaction Studies (FOCI) post-GWAS collaboration. Combined analysis revealed association with SNPs rs17561 and rs4848300 in the interleukin gene IL1A which varied by histologic subtype \( (P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.03) \). For example, IL1A rs17561, which correlates with numerous inflammatory phenotypes, was associated with decreased risk of clear cell, mucinous, and endometrioid subtype, but not with the most common serous subtype. Genotype at rs1864414 in the arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase ALOX5 was also associated with decreased risk. Thus, inherited variation in IL1A and ALOX5 seems to affect ovarian cancer risk which, for IL1A, is limited to rarer subtypes. Given the importance of inflammation in tumorigenesis and growing evidence of subtype-specific features in ovarian cancer, functional investigations will be important to help clarify the importance of inherited variation related to inflammation in ovarian carcinogenesis. Cancer Res; 72(5); 1-6. ©2012 AACR.
SNPs in 27 inflammation-related genes in 2 case-control studies and sought replication in the studies of the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium (OCAC).

Materials and Methods
Study protocols were approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Board or ethics panel, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Discovery analysis
Participants included 930 epithelial ovarian cancer cases and 1,037 controls who were enrolled at the Mayo Clinic (MAY) and in the North Carolina Ovarian Cancer Study (NCO; ref. 10, Supplementary Table S1). TagSNPs for ALOX12, ALOX15, ALOX3, CCL11, CCL2, CCL3, CCR3, CRP, CXCL16, IL10, IL15RA, IL18, IL1A, IL1B, IL1RN, IL4R, IL6, IL6R, IL7R, IL8RA, IL8RB, IL9, NOS3, PTGS1, PTGS2, TLR2, and TNF were identified using HapMap CEU data (release 21a, ±10 kb, minor allele frequency [MAF] ≥ 0.05, r² ≥ 0.8, Supplementary Table S2). These and nonsynonymous SNPs (MAF ≥ 0.05) were genotyped using a custom Illumina GoldenGate BeadArray assay; SNPs with call rates below 90% were failed (10). Logistic regression estimated per-allele risk of ovarian cancer (ORs and 95% CIs) adjusted for site, age, race, region of residence, body mass index, hormone therapy use, oral contraceptive use, parity, and age at first birth, to alleviate potential confounding effects.

Replication analysis
Participants were self-reported white women including 3,143 invasive epithelial ovarian cancer cases and 2,102 controls enrolled in studies at Brigham and Women’s Hospital (BWH), the NCI Ovarian Case-Control Study in Poland (POL), the Tampa Bay Ovarian Cancer Study (TBO), the Familial Ovarian Tumor Study (TOR), and a British collaboration (UK) including the UK Ovarian Cancer Population Study, Studies of Epidemiology and Risk Factors in Cancer Heredity - Ovarian Cancer, the UK Familial Ovarian Cancer Registry, and the Welcome Trust Case Control Consortium (11; Supplementary Table S3). SNPs with P < 0.10 in the discovery analysis described above were assessed using Illumina 317k or 610-Quad arrays, with harmonization of alleles and imputation to HapMap v 26 (www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/MACH/) as part of the Follow-up of Ovarian Cancer Genetic Association and Interaction Studies (FOCI) collaboration. Log-additive logistic regression models estimated risk of ovarian cancer adjusted for study site using direct genotype calls or imputed allele dosage values. Combined analysis of discovery and replication data was restricted to self-reported white participants, adjusted for study site, and included tests for heterogeneity between study phases. For SNPs highlighted in combined analysis, we conducted regression including multiple SNPs per gene, and we estimated risks by histologic subtype using polytomous regression to test for heterogeneity. Finally, among 6,253 combined participants with available age data, we ran analyses with and without age adjustment to assess potential confounding effects. P values were not adjusted for multiple testing.

Results
Estimation of ovarian cancer risks associated with minor alleles of SNPs in inflammation-related genes began with analysis of 162 successfully genotyped SNPs in approximately 900 epithelial ovarian cancer cases and 1,000 controls described in Supplementary Table S1. Twenty-one SNPs (in ALOX15, ALOX5, CCL2, CRP, IL18, IL1A, IL1B, IL6, NOS3, and PTGS1) were associated with risk of ovarian cancer per allele P < 0.10. Two SNPs were associated at P < 0.01: ALOX5 (arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase) rs1864414 (OR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.64–0.92, P = 0.004) and NOS3 (nitric oxide synthase 3) rs743507 (OR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.68–0.92, P = 0.003). Analyses within ALOX12, CCL11, CCL3, CCR3, CXCL16, IL10, IL15RA, IL1RN, IL4R, IL6R, IL7R, IL8RA, IL8RB, IL9, PTGS2, TLR2, and TNF did not suggest associations between genotype and risk of ovarian cancer (P > 0.10). Complete results are provided in Supplementary Table S4.

Twenty-one SNPs with P < 0.10 in discovery analysis were evaluated in an additional approximate 3,100 cases and 2,100 controls described in Supplementary Table S3, and, to enable combined analysis, discovery data were reanalyzed excluding self-reported nonwhites and adjusted for only study site. These results and estimates of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (r²) are shown in Table 1. Of 21 SNPs in 10 genes, those in IL1A (interleukin 1, α) and ALOX5 (arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase) were associated at P < 0.01 in combined analysis.

Two highly correlated SNPs in IL1A (rs17561 and rs4848300, r² = 0.990) yielded essentially identical results, showing associations with decreased risk of ovarian cancer in combined analyses (OR = 0.90, 95% CI = 0.83–0.97, P = 0.005). Replication analysis yielded P < 0.05 (Table 1), and results were consistent between discovery and replication phases (Phetogeneity = 0.30; Fig. 1). IL1A rs17561 (MAF = 0.31) is a missense change (A114S), and rs4848300 (MAF = 0.32) resides 3,586 bp from the 3’ end of the gene. As other loosely correlated IL1A SNPs (r² = 0.30) were also associated with ovarian cancer risk (rs3783516 and rs2856838, r² = 0.993, OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 1.03–1.18, P = 0.01), we attempted logistic regression including all 4 IL1A SNPs in the combined data set. However, modeling was uninformative due to convergence issues and unstable parameter estimates.

Of particular interest, analysis of IL1A rs17561 by histologic subtype revealed evidence of heterogeneity (P = 0.03, Fig. 2). Specifically, an inverse association was present for risk of clear cell subtype (P = 0.004), mucinous subtype (P = 0.02), and endometrioid subtype (P = 0.01), yet absent for risk of the more common (54%) serous subtype (P = 0.26). Consistently, the risk estimate for tumors with mixed or unknown histology was intermediate to those for rarer subtypes and serous subtype (OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.75–1.01, Fig. 2).

In combined analysis, the estimated ovarian cancer risk associated with minor alleles at ALOX5 rs1864414 (MAF = 0.18, intron 1), one of the strongest initial discovery set signals, was 0.86 (95% CI = 0.79–0.95, P = 0.002). Results were consistent...
between discovery and replication data sets ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.71$), and, with the exception of POL, risk estimates were less than 1.0 in each study (Fig. 1). Because a correlated ALOX5 SNP was also associated with ovarian cancer risk (rs745986, $r^2 = 0.651$, $OR = 0.89$, 95% CI = 0.82–0.97, $P = 0.01$), we included both SNPs in regression modeling. Results suggested that rs1864414 was driving the association (rs1864414, $OR = 0.75$, 95% CI = 0.62–0.90, $P = 0.002$). ORs for both SNPs in regression modeling. Results suggested that minor alleles at rs17561 and rs1864414 in IL1A were consistently inversely associated with risk of ovarian cancer, and, intriguingly, that the IL1A associations were limited to rarer histologic subtypes.

IL1 $\alpha$ is a cytokine which is involved in numerous immune responses and inflammatory processes; it is produced by monocytes and macrophages and released in response to cell injury for the induction of apoptosis. A recent analysis of 4,552 SNPs in cytokines reported that IL1A rs17561 is one of only 8 SNPs leading to a predicted intolerable amino acid change, that it is by far the most widely studied cytokine SNP, and that minor alleles here have been "strongly" associated with risk of numerous diseases involving an inflammatory response such as allergic rhinitis, atopy, nasal polyposis, malaria, gingival hypertrophic inflammatory response, systemic sclerosis, severity of periodontitis, antibody responses to periodontal microbiota (14) and, more recently, ankylosing spondylitis (15). Although we are the first to report an association with ovarian cancer risk, a meta-analysis of prospective breast cancer studies has reported increased risk with IL1A rs17561 ($P = 0.008$) as well as modification of the dose–response

### Table 1. SNPs in combined analysis and risk of ovarian cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>rsid</th>
<th>$r^2$ to next</th>
<th>Discovery (829 cases, 941 controls)</th>
<th>Replication (3,143 cases, 2,102 controls)</th>
<th>Combined (3,972 cases, 3,043 controls)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALOX5</td>
<td>rs1076039</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.13 (0.94–1.37) 0.20</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89–1.12) 0.98</td>
<td>1.03 (0.94–1.14) 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs2664593</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.12 (0.95–1.32) 0.18</td>
<td>1.03 (0.92–1.16) 0.60</td>
<td>1.06 (0.96–1.17) 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOX5</td>
<td>rs1864414</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.75 (0.62–0.90) 0.02</td>
<td>0.91 (0.81–1.01) 0.08</td>
<td>0.86 (0.78–0.95) 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs745986</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.84 (0.72–1.00) 0.05</td>
<td>0.91 (0.82–1.01) 0.07</td>
<td>0.89 (0.82–0.97) 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>rs11652585</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.75 (0.58–0.96) 0.02</td>
<td>1.09 (0.93–1.27) 0.28</td>
<td>0.98 (0.86–1.12) 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>rs1800947</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.27 (0.97–1.66) 0.09</td>
<td>0.98 (0.82–1.18) 0.84</td>
<td>1.06 (0.91–1.24) 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1B</td>
<td>rs4937113</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.87 (0.76–1.00) 0.04</td>
<td>1.06 (0.97–1.15) 0.22</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93–1.07) 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1A</td>
<td>rs17561</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–1.00) 0.06</td>
<td>0.91 (0.83–0.99) 0.03</td>
<td>0.90 (0.83–0.97) 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs4843000</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–1.00) 0.05</td>
<td>0.91 (0.83–0.99) 0.04</td>
<td>0.90 (0.83–0.97) 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs738516</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>1.12 (0.98–1.29) 0.10</td>
<td>1.01 (0.93–1.10) 0.78</td>
<td>1.04 (0.97–1.12) 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs2856838</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.13 (0.99–1.28) 0.08</td>
<td>1.09 (1.00–1.19) 0.04</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03–1.18) 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1B</td>
<td>rs7596684</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.87 (0.75–1.02) 0.09</td>
<td>0.92 (0.83–1.02) 0.10</td>
<td>0.90 (0.83–0.99) 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>rs10242595</td>
<td>0.86 (0.74–1.00) 0.05</td>
<td>1.03 (0.94–1.13) 0.53</td>
<td>0.98 (0.91–1.06) 0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS3</td>
<td>rs1800783</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.81 (0.66–0.99) 0.04</td>
<td>1.01 (0.92–1.11) 0.82</td>
<td>0.97 (0.90–1.06) 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs743507</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.81 (0.69–0.94) 0.01</td>
<td>0.97 (0.88–1.06) 0.49</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85–1.00) 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTGS1</td>
<td>rs4837963</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>1.23 (0.99–1.53) 0.06</td>
<td>0.97 (0.85–1.11) 0.67</td>
<td>1.03 (0.93–1.16) 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs10306135</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>1.14 (0.95–1.36) 0.16</td>
<td>1.09 (0.96–1.24) 0.19</td>
<td>1.11 (1.00–1.23) 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs2282169</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>1.21 (1.02–1.43) 0.03</td>
<td>0.96 (0.94–1.18) 0.34</td>
<td>1.10 (1.00–1.21) 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs10531402</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.26 (1.01–1.56) 0.04</td>
<td>0.97 (0.85–1.10) 0.63</td>
<td>1.04 (0.93–1.16) 0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Adjusted for study site; self-reported whites only; bold indicates $P < 0.01$. 

Chronic inflammation has shown an important role in cancer (12); tumor microenvironments of numerous cancers, including ovarian, have shown high levels of inflammatory proteins, such as cytokines, and aberrations in interleukin 1 $\alpha$, interleukin 6, and tumor necrosis factor $\alpha$ (13). Thus, examinations of genetic variants in these pathways may shed light on inflammation-related carcinogenesis. In the largest study to date, we examined the relationship between SNPs in inflammation-related genes and risk of ovarian cancer using a 2-study discovery analysis followed by examination of 5 studies participating in the OCAC. We found that minor alleles at rs17561 and rs4843000 in IL1A and rs1864414 in ALOX5 were consistently inversely associated with risk of ovarian cancer, and, intriguingly, that the IL1A associations were limited to rarer histologic subtypes.

Interleukin 1 $\alpha$ is a cytokine which is involved in numerous immune responses and inflammatory processes; it is produced by monocytes and macrophages and released in response to cell injury for the induction of apoptosis.
The relationship between cumulative personal diagnostic radiation and breast cancer risk ($P_{interaction} = 0.004$; ref. 16). Another study of breast cancer risk found that $IL1A$ was the most significant of 232 inflammation genes in gene-level and haplotype analyses ($P = 4 \times 10^{-4}$; ref. 17). Of note, a prior ovarian cancer study of approximately 300 participants in total found no association between risk and other SNPs in $IL1A$; they did not examine rs17561 or rs4848300 and were underpowered to detect associations of the type we observed (18).

Epithelial ovarian cancer has several histologic subtypes with characteristic molecular signatures, cytogenetic features, oncologic signaling pathways, and clinical behavior. Nonetheless, for maximal sample size and smaller numbers of tests, molecular epidemiologists have traditionally combined cases and conducted subtype analyses only on SNPs associated with risk overall, as we did here. However, our $IL1A$ findings show that, if multiple rarer subtypes share a common genetic etiology, associations with rarer subtypes (rather than the most common and most studied serous subtype) may drive the result among all cases. These results underscore the need for additional candidate gene or genome-wide studies of clear cell, mucinous, and endometrioid ovarian cancer.

Arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase is a member of the lipoxygenase gene family assisting with conversion of arachidonic acid to leukotrienes, which are important mediators of a number of inflammatory and allergic conditions; it is expressed in the ovarian cancer (8). Interestingly, we previously reported an association with poorer survival and $ALOX5$ rs1864414 and rs4848300 among the MAY cases studied here (serous HR = 1.54, 95% CI = 1.18–2.02, $P = 0.002$; HR = 1.31, 95% CI =
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Figure 2. Per-allele risks of ovarian cancer by histological subtype. IL1A rs171561. ORs and 95% CIs adjusted for study site based on analysis of self-reported whites only are plotted (N cases by subtype are displayed, N = 3,043 controls); $P_{\text{heterogeneity}}$ based on heterogeneity test using polytomous regression.

1.02–1.69, $P = 0.04$, respectively (ref. 19). Even though rs1864414 was not associated with ovarian cancer survival ($P = 0.23$; ref. 19), we evaluated whether the minor allele may be under-represented among cases studied here leading to a false inverse association with risk. We saw no association with rs1864414 genotype and time to enrollment and no difference in risk estimates by mean time of enrollment, and therefore do not expect that the inverse association reported here is due to survival bias.

In conclusion, SNPs in $ALOX5$ and $IL1A$ seem to harbor common inherited variants associated with modest differences in risk of ovarian cancer. Efforts to expand current data on inflammation and this deadly disease are a high priority.
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