A Potent Vaccination Strategy that Circumvents Lymphodepletion for Effective Anti-tumor Adoptive T Cell Therapy
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Abstract

Adoptive cell therapy (ACT) using tumor-reactive T lymphocytes is a promising approach for treating advanced cancer. Successful tumor eradication depends primarily on the expansion and survival of the adoptively transferred T cells. Lymphodepletion using total body irradiation (TBI) and administering high dose IL2 have been used with ACT to promote T cell expansion and survival to achieve maximal therapeutic effects. However, TBI and high dose IL2 increase the risk for major complications that impact overall survival. Here we describe an alternative approach to TBI and high dose IL2 for optimizing ACT, resulting in dramatic therapeutic effects against established melanomas in mice. Administration of a potent, non-infectious peptide vaccine after ACT dramatically increased antigen-specific T cell numbers leading to enhancement in the survival of melanoma-bearing mice. Furthermore, combinations of peptide vaccination with PD1 blockade or IL2/anti-IL2 antibody complexes led to complete disease eradication and long-term survival in mice with large tumors receiving ACT. Our results indicate that PD1 blockade and IL2/anti-IL2 complexes enhance both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the T cell responses induced by peptide vaccination after ACT. These findings could be useful for the optimization of ACT in cancer patients without the need of toxic adjunct procedures.
Introduction

CD8 T lymphocytes recognize and destroy tumor cells through perforin/granzyme B-mediated lysis or via the production of cytostatic lymphokines (1-4). Tumor-reactive CD8 T cells recognize peptide antigens that associate with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules on the surface of tumor cells (5). In the case of malignant melanoma, peptides can be derived from melanosomal differentiation antigens such as gp100, and tyrosinase-related proteins (6-8). One factor limiting the effectiveness of T cells to recognize tumors is related to the T cell receptor (TCR) antigen affinity, which requires being sufficiently high to enable T cell activation when tumor cells express low density of peptide/MHC-I complexes (9, 10). Since in many instances normal tissues also express the tumor-associated proteins, immunological tolerance precludes the induction of T cells expressing high affinity TCRs, limiting the effectiveness of many therapeutic vaccines (11, 12). In view of this, adoptive immunotherapy utilizing high avidity CD8 T cells has been explored to treat established and aggressive malignant diseases such as melanoma (13, 14). In addition to TCR affinity, other factors may determine the effectiveness of adoptive cell therapy (ACT), such as the ability of the T cells to expand and survive in vivo after adoptive transfer into the tumor-bearing hosts. Lymphokines such as IL2, IL7 and IL15 are critical for expansion and survival of T cells and generating long-lasting memory CD8 T cells (15-17). Some procedures have been used to increase the access of the transferred T cells to these lymphokines such as the co-administration of high dose IL2 (18, 19) and lymphodepletion using total body irradiation (TBI) or
chemotherapy (14, 20-23). Unfortunately these procedures generate severe toxic effects that can be life threatening.

The B16 mouse melanoma model has been widely used and proven to be valuable for developing effective ACT strategies for melanoma patients (24). In this model the use of high avidity CD8 T cells obtained from Pmel-1 TCR transgenic mice was effective against large-established tumors but required lymphodepletion, high dose IL2 and active immunization using a recombinant vaccinia virus vaccine after the T cell transfers (25). Our goal was to determine whether effective ACT against established B16 melanoma could be attained in the absence of the concomitant harmful procedures (high dose IL2, live vaccines and lymphodepletion). We assessed the ability of TriVax (26), a potent, non-infectious peptide-based vaccine to elicit anti-tumor effects of adoptively transferred Pmel-1 T cells. TriVax induced significant tumor regressions in the absence of lymphodepletion and without the need of high doses of IL2. Furthermore, the addition of low dose IL2 in the form of IL2/anti-IL2 antibody complexes (IL2Cx) or PD1 blockade to TriVax resulted in total tumor eradication. These findings may facilitate the implementation of ACT in humans in circumstances that may reduce the overall toxicity of this therapeutic approach.

Methods

Mice and cell lines

C57BL/6 (B6) mice were from Charles River (Wilmington, MA). Congenic B6 (CD45.1) and Pmel-1 mice (CD90.1) were from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Animal care
and experiments were conducted according to our institutional animal care and use committee guidelines. Murine melanoma B16F10 and RMA-S cells were from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Transfected RMA-S/CD80 cells were prepared using a cDNA plasmid encoding for the mouse CD80.

**Peptides, antibodies and tetramers**

Synthetic peptides representing the CD8 T cell epitopes hgp10025 (KVPRNQDWL), mgp10025 (EGSRNQDWL), LCMV33 (KAVYNFATM), Trp1455 (TAPDNLGYA), the heteroclitic analog Trp1455/9M (TAPDNLGYM) and Ova55 (KVVRFDKL) were from A&A Labs (San Diego, CA). Monoclonal anti-mouse CD40 (FGK45.5) and anti-4-1BB/CD137 (2A) were isolated from hybridoma culture supernatants. Anti-mouse programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1; 10F.9G2), anti-mouse IL2 (JES6-5H4) and anti-mouse OX40/CD134 (OX-86) were from BioXCell (West Lebanon, NH). H-2Db/Trp1455 and H-2Db/hgp10025 tetramers were provided by the NIAID Tetramer Facility (Emory University, Atlanta, GA). Fluorescent antibodies for flow cytometry were from eBioscience, Inc. (San Diego, CA).

**Adoptive cell transfers and immunizations**

B6 mice were injected i.v. with 0.3 to 3 X 10^6 splenocytes from naïve Pmel-1 mice. Mice were vaccinated one day after ACT. TriVax consists of 200 µg synthetic peptide, 100 µg anti-CD40 mAb (clone FKG45.5) and 50 µg poly-IC (Poly-ICLC, Oncovir, Inc., Washington, DC), which was administered as a mixture via the i.v. (tail vein) route. Some mice were immunized s.c. with 200 µg of hgp10025 emulsified in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA/hgp10025) or i.v. with 3 X 10^6 DC pulsed with 10 µg of hgp10025 for 18 h. Dendritic cells (DCs) were generated from bone marrow macrophages cultured for 6 days with 10
ng/mL GM-CSF and 5 ng/mL IL4 and matured with 20 ng/ml TNFα (PeproTech). For PD1 blockade, anti-PD-L1 mAb was administered i.p. (200 µg/dose) on days 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 after TriVax. IL2/anti-IL2 mAb complexes (IL2Cx), were prepared by incubating 2 µg recombinant mouse IL2 with 10 µg anti-mouse IL2 (JES6-5H4) per dose for 18 h at 4 °C. IL2Cx were administered i.p. on days 2, 3, 5 and 7 after TriVax. For adoptive transfer using endogenous, vaccine-generated T cells, B6 mice received 3 X 10^6 Trp1455-specific CD8 T cells generated in B6 mice immunized with Trp1,455-specific CD8 T cells generated in B6 mice immunized with Trp1,455/9MTriVax. CD8 T cells were purified from spleens (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) 10 days after the second immunization, followed by culture with irradiated (60 Gy) B16 cells for 7 days in the presence of 50 IU/ml IL2 and 5 ng/ml IL7 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ). The cells used in ACT were >50% tetramer-positive for Trp1455.

**Evaluation of cellular immune responses**

For measuring antigen-specific CD8 T cell responses, peripheral blood samples or splenocytes were stained with FITC- anti-MHC class II, PerCP Cy5.5- anti-CD8a, and PE-conjugated tetramers (or in some instances APC-anti-CD90.1, for Pmel-1 cells). For intracellular staining, splenocytes were incubated with 5 µg/ml peptide for 6 h at 37 °C and stained for intracellular cytokines, IL2, IFNγ and TNFα. Fluorescence was measured using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Ashland, OR). To evaluate the *in vitro* T cell tumor recognition, 1 X 10^5 purified antigen-specific CD8 T cells (adjusted by tetramer staining) from TriVax immunized mice were co-cultured with various numbers of irradiated (60 Gy) B16 cells in 96-well plates for 2 days. Antigen-induced IFNγ was measured in culture supernatants by
Anti-tumor effects

B6 mice were injected s.c. with 3 or 5 X 10^5 B16 cells and 7 (or 12 days) later, they received Pmel-1 splenocytes or activated Trp1455 reactive T cells. The following day the mice were vaccinated and subsequently received IL2Cx or PD1 blockade as described above. Tumor growth was monitored every 3-4 days in individual tagged mice by measuring 2 opposing diameters with a set of calipers. Results are presented as the mean tumor size (area in mm^2) ± SD for every treatment group at various time points until the termination of the experiment (usually when tumors in the control group reached 2 cm diameter).

Peptide/MHC-I elution and quantification

A mild acid peptide elution procedure (27) using viable cells was used to compare the amounts of mgp100_{25} and Trp1455 found on B16 cells. Briefly, 1 X 10^9 B16 cells were incubated at 1 X 10^8 cells/ml for 5 min in a mild acid buffer (131 mM Citric Acid, 66 mM Na_2HPO_4, pH 3.0). The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane and concentrated with SepPAKC_{18} cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA). Peptides were separated on an HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with a C_{18} column (Vydac Grace, Columbia, MD). The peptides were collected into 81 fractions that were optimized by the retention times of mgp100_{25} and Trp1455 synthetic peptides. For estimation the amounts of mgp100_{25} and Trp1455 peptides, four adjacent HPLC fractions, predicted to contain these peptides based on HPLC runs using synthetic peptides, were resuspended in culture medium and were pulsed for onto 1 X 10^5 RMA-S/CD80 cells. After 1 h, 3 X 10^5 CD8
T cells (Pmel-1 or Trp1455 specific) were added to the peptide-pulsed RMA-S/CD80 cells and the cultures were incubated for 40 h at 37 °C. Standard curves were performed using serial dilutions of synthetic peptides mgp10025 and Trp1455 pulsed onto the RMA-S/CD80 cells. Culture supernatants were assayed for IFNγ production using ELISA kits. The amounts of peptides contained in the HPLC fractions derived from the B16 cell acid eluate were estimated by measuring the amount of IFNγ produced and comparing these measurements to the values obtained in the linear portion of the synthetic peptide standard curves, taking into account dilution factors and adding the values obtained for all fractions for each peptide that stimulated the T cells.

**MHC binding and stabilization assays**

Peptide/MHC binding and dissociation assays were performed following similar procedures as described by van Stipdonk (28). TAP-deficient RMA-S cells were incubated with serial dilutions of various peptides for 18 h. For peptide-dependent stabilization assays, RMA-S cells were loaded with 20 μg/ml peptides for 1 h, washed, and incubated in AIM-V medium at 37 °C for various time points. After these incubations, surface expression of H-2Db was measured by flow cytometry using FITC-conjugated monoclonal antibody (28-14-8, eBioscience).

**Statistical analyses**

The results are representative of data obtained from at least two independent experiments. Statistical significance to assess numbers of antigen specific CD8 T cells was determined by unpaired Student’s t tests. Tumor sizes between 2 populations throughout time were analyzed for significance using 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All
analyses and graphics were done using Prism 5.01 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). *P*-values less than 0.01 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effects of vaccination in anti-tumor efficacy of ACT**

Three peptide vaccination modes were compared for their ability to enhance the efficacy of ACT with Pmel-1 T cells against 7-day s.c. B16 tumors. Pmel-1 T cells recognize the mgp10025 (EGSRNQDWL), H-2Db-restricted epitope expressed by B16 and normal melanocytes (25). The hgp10025 peptide (KVPRNDQWL) function as a heteroclitic T cell epitope because it binds with higher affinity to H-2Db (29). Peptide hgp10025 administered in IFA, did not decrease the tumor growth rate as compared to the unvaccinated mice (**Fig. 1A**). DCs pulsed with hgp10025 had a modest therapeutic effect while TriVax (hgp10025 peptide mixed with poly-IC and anti-CD40 antibody and administered *i.v.*) (26) had a substantially higher anti-tumor effect. TriVax immunization without Pmel-1 ACT had a negligible therapeutic effect.

Next we assessed the roles that each of the components of TriVax play in the generation of the antitumor effect with Pmel-1 ACT. The vaccine containing all three components (TriVax), was significantly superior to the administration of peptide alone, peptide plus poly-IC or peptide plus anti-CD40 antibody (**Fig. 1B**). Substitution of the hgp10025 peptide for an irrelevant peptide (Ova55) in TriVax slightly delayed tumor growth (compared to the no treatment control) but was clearly not as effective as hgp10025TriVax. Moreover, the small therapeutic effect observed with TriVax Ova55 was independent of ACT and did
not enhance the expansion of the Pmel-1 cells when adoptively transferred into the tumor-bearing hosts (Fig. 1C). Measurements of the Pmel-1 cell numbers in blood at various time points showed that TriVax was the most effective vaccine in expanding the Pmel-1 cells (Fig. 1C). However, these numbers decreased substantially by days 25-35 (50-75%, respectively), which correlated with the observed resumed tumor growth (Fig. 1B). Similar experiments performed in tumor-free mice also indicated that TriVax was substantially more effective than peptide alone, peptide plus Poly-IC or peptide plus anti-CD40 antibody in expanding Pmel-1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A-B). Moreover, the large decrease in Pmel-1 cell numbers observed in TriVax immunized tumor-bearing mice where the cells disappeared by day 45 (Fig. 1C) was not apparent in tumor-free mice, where more than 50% of the cells remained at a similar time point (Supplementary Fig. S1C).

**Effects of IL2, PD1 blockade and T cell dose in anti-tumor efficacy of ACT**

We have reported that TriVax induces massive T cell responses that are substantially higher than those generated by other modes of vaccination (26, 30). We hypothesized that the number of T cells that expand after vaccination determine the anti-tumor effects of ACT. To further increase T cell numbers we evaluated the use of IL2 in the form of IL2Cx, which have been shown to potentiate in vivo CD8 T cell expansion (31, 32). In addition, since it has been reported that B16 cells express PD-L1, a ligand for the inhibitory receptor PD1 found on activated T cells, we evaluated the use PD1 blockade using anti-PD-L1 antibodies (33-35). The results shown in Figure 2A indicate that in the presence of ACT, the addition of either IL2Cx or PD1 blockade increase dramatically the efficacy of TriVax, where complete tumor regressions were now attained. The improved anti-tumor
effects observed in ACT with the TriVax IL2Cx (or PD1 blockade) combination were accompanied by a substantial improvement in the sustained numbers of expanded Pmel-1 cells (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, the administration of TriVax with IL2Cx or PD1 blockade in the absence of ACT had a small but significant therapeutic effect that did not correlate with the presence of endogenously generated T cells by the hgp10025 peptide (Figs. 2A-B, open symbols).

Next, we compared three doses of Pmel-1 cells and the use of TriVax with IL2Cx or PD1 blockade for their therapeutic effects against 7-day established B16 tumors. Indeed, the number of Pmel-1 cells used for ACT correlated with the anti-tumor efficacy (Fig. 2C). However, regardless of the Pmel-1 cell dose, TriVax alone was not able to achieve complete tumor rejections. Nevertheless, the implementation of IL2Cx or PD1 blockade together with TriVax resulted in numerous tumor rejections.

The tumor size may impact the effectiveness of T cell immunotherapy. ACT using Pmel-1 cells followed by TriVax was used to treat 12-day established B16 tumors (~1 cm diameter). Under these circumstances, ACT followed by TriVax alone reduced the median tumor growth rate only by ~5 days (Fig. 3A). The addition of PD1 blockade to the therapy reduced significantly the median tumor growth rate (~22 days), but no tumor rejections were observed. In contrast, IL2Cx with TriVax resulted in tumor rejection in 80% of the mice. When the frequency of Pmel-1 cells in blood was measured it became evident that the combination using IL2Cx helped to maintain higher numbers of the adoptively transferred T cells as compared to TriVax alone or TriVax/PD1 blockade (Fig. 3B).
Effects of IL2Cx and PD1 blockade in TriVax generated responses after ACT

The above results suggest that PD1 blockade and IL2Cx therapy potentiate the efficacy of Pmel-1 ACT mostly by enhancing T cell expansion induced by TriVax. To further study this possibility we evaluated the overall expansion of adoptively transferred Pmel-1 cells induced by TriVax alone or in combination with PD1 blockade or IL2Cx in tumor-free hosts by enumerating the total numbers of Pmel-1 cells in their spleens. Seven days after TriVax immunization the Pmel-1 cells expanded ~ 20-fold (Fig. 4A). With the addition of PD1 blockade or IL2Cx to TriVax, the T cell expansion increased to 100-fold and 370-fold, respectively.

Functional assessment of the expanded Pmel-1 cells revealed that production of IFNγ, IL2 and TNFα induced by antigen stimulation was similar in mice receiving TriVax alone or combined with PD1 blockade or IL2Cx (Fig. 4B). The expression of PD1 on the T cells could have an impact on their effectiveness against PD-L1 expressing tumor cells. Figure 4C shows that the levels of PD1 were lower on the Pmel-1 cells obtained from TriVax/IL2Cx and TriVax/PD1 blockade groups as compared to TriVax alone. The levels of PD1 in all cases were higher as compared to those observed in naïve Pmel-1 T cells. The possibility that IL2Cx and PD1 blockade could also impact the qualitative response of the adoptively transferred Pmel-1 cells after TriVax was examined. Tumor recognition by the Pmel-1 cells will have an impact in the therapeutic benefit of ACT. Pmel-1 cells from mice that received ACT/TriVax combined with either IL2Cx or anti-PD-L1 antibodies were much more effective in recognizing B16 cells in vitro as compared to the Pmel-1 cells obtained from mice that received TriVax alone (Fig. 4D). Thus, the addition of IL2Cx or PD1 blockade in ACT/TriVax improved both quantitatively and qualitatively the Pmel-1
response. Notably, costimulatory antibodies to OX40 and 4-1BB, which are known to enhance the magnitude and quality of T cell responses to vaccination, were not as effective as anti-PD-L1 in increasing T cell numbers or in potentiating the therapeutic effect of ACT/TriVax (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Efficacy of ACT using TriVax generated polyclonal CD8 T cells

The source of CD8 T cells for ACT in humans can vary, such as utilizing in vitro expanded tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), or genetically modified CD8 T cells transduced with genes encoding TCR chains for a specific tumor epitope. Another source of antigen-specific T cells are those generated through vaccination and subsequently expanded in tissue culture prior to ACT. We explored this approach by generating antigen-specific CD8 T cells through TriVax immunization using the heteroclitic Trp1455/9M peptide. ACT using vaccine-derived CD8 T cells specific for the Trp1455 was effective in reducing the rate of tumor growth and the concomitant use of PD1 blockade or IL-2Cx further increased the therapeutic effect (Fig. 5A). Nevertheless, in contrast to the results obtained with Pmel-1 ACT, no complete tumor regressions were observed with the Trp1455 ACT, even though a higher number of T cells were used for the adoptive transfers. The possibility that the Trp1455-specific CD8 T cells failed to expand after adoptive transfer and TriVax immunization was excluded by the results showing that on day 25 post-tumor inoculation, >60% of the peripheral blood CD8 T cells in all the treated mice were Trp1455 specific (Fig. 5B). Likewise, in a similar experiment performed in tumor-free mice, the transferred Trp1455 T cells expanded ~6-fold after TriVax or TriVax plus PD1 blockade and combination with IL2Cx resulted in ~17-fold T cell expansion (Fig. 5C). A single Trp1455/9M TriVax immunization, in the absence of ACT induces ~5% antigen specific CD8
T cells in blood, 6 days after vaccination and that by day 18 (corresponding to day 25-post tumor injection), these numbers decrease to ~2% (H. Cho and E. Celis, unpublished). Thus, the high responses observed in Figure 5B most likely resulted from the transferred CD8 T cells. Supporting the assumption that most of the Trp1455-specific T cells observed after ACT and TriVax are derived from the transferred cells is the data shown in Figure 5D (the same experiment presented in Figure 5C), where it is demonstrated that all of the antigen specific cells were derived from the donor (CD45.2) mice.

As mentioned previously, it has been reported that decreasing the numbers of lymphocytes in the host, for example via TBI prior to ACT can enhance the anti-tumor therapeutic effect (20, 23). However, we observed that TBI-induced lymphodepletion, administered 1 day prior to ACT with Trp1455-463/9M specific CD8 T cells did not further augment therapeutic effects (Supplementary Fig. S3A) or antigen-specific CD8 T cell levels (Supplementary Fig. S3B) in the TriVax treated mice regardless of whether they received or not IL2Cx.

**T cell epitope levels on tumor cells determine the effectiveness of ACT**

The results presented above indicate that ACT using Pmel-1 CD8 T cells, specific for the mgp10025 was more effective than ACT utilizing Trp1455-specific T cells. One possibility that might explain these differences would be if the antigen avidity of the TCR transgenic Pmel-1 cells was higher than the vaccine generated Trp1455 T cells. However, peptide titration curve comparisons between these T cells revealed that the Trp1455-specific T cells exhibited a substantially higher avidity (~30-fold), as compared to Pmel-1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Another possible explanation for the superior therapeutic


effect of Pmel-1 cells could be if the B16 cells expressed higher levels of mgp10025/H-2Db than Trp1455/ H-2Db. To study this possibility, MHC-I binding peptides on B16 cells were eluted with mild acid treatment and the amounts of the two T cell epitopes were measured as described in “Methods”. The results indicated that ~100-fold more of mgp10025 was obtained from the B16 acid eluates as compared to Trp1455 (Supplementary Fig. S4B).

The peptide/MHC-I density on the B16 cells for a specific epitope will be determined by numerous factors such as peptide binding affinity for its MHC-I (36) and by the amount of peptide epitope produced by the MHC-I antigen-processing pathway. Using MHC-I stabilization assays, we compared the capacity of mgp10025 and Trp1455 to bind to empty H-2Db molecules expressed by RMA-S cells. Notably, Trp1455 was ~100 times more effective in binding to H-2Db as compared to mgp10025 and the positive control LCMV33 (Supplementary Fig. S4C). The peptide dissociation off rate from MHC-I will also affect the cell surface density of peptide/MHC-I complexes (37). Both mgp10025 and Trp1455 had similar dissociation rates (Supplementary Fig. S4D). These results suggest that the higher amounts of mgp10025/H-2Db levels on B16, as compared to the levels of Trp1455/H-2Db are not due to stronger peptide/MHC-I binding affinity or to slower MHC-I dissociation rates of the mgp10025 epitope.

Discussion

Although ACT represents one of the most promising modes of cancer immunotherapy, its implementation in the clinic remains challenging, and in some instances the results are suboptimal. The Pmel-1 TCR transgenic mouse has aided in the development and implementation of ACT protocols in human cancer patients. Studies by Restifo and
collaborators, demonstrated that many experimental conditions play a critical role in determining the efficacy of ACT against established B16 melanoma (24, 25, 38-40). Early studies showed that vaccination using live recombinant vaccinia virus (rVV), and the administration of high dose IL2 (~6X10^6 IU, or 3.6mg/Kg/day, 4 days) were necessary for obtaining tumor rejection (25). The use of live rVV vaccines generates safety issues in humans, so it is not surprising that it has not been broadly implemented in ACT clinical protocols. On the other hand, high dose IL2 has been used in the clinic, but the systemic toxic effects that sometimes are lethal are of great concern (41, 42). Other studies demonstrated that lymphodepletion induced by TBI further increased the efficacy Pmel-1 ACT, and accordingly this procedure has been translated into the clinic (14, 21, 22). It has been proposed that TBI potentiates ACT, by increasing the access of the transferred T cells to cytokines, removing inhibitory T regulatory cells and through a gut-injured microbial translocation that releases immunostimulatory TLR ligands (20, 43).

Here we demonstrate that TriVax, a non-infectious subunit vaccine was capable of generating substantial anti-tumor effects in the Pmel-1 ACT model. When compared with peptide-pulsed DCs (currently the golden standard of the field), TriVax was more than twice as effective. Although increasing the number of Pmel-1 cells enhanced the anti-tumor efficacy, no complete tumor regressions were observed when TriVax alone followed ACT. Thus, we considered 2 additional approaches to further promote the expansion of Pmel-1 cells to achieve maximal therapeutic benefits. In vivo use of IL2Cx increases the expansion of antigen-stimulated CD8 T cells (32). The main advantage of utilizing IL2Cx over IL2 is that the complexes remain for longer times in circulation, allowing the use of lower cytokine doses (0.1mg/Kg/day). Also, some anti-IL2 antibodies, such as the one we
used here, block IL2 binding to CD25 (high affinity IL2R), but allow binding to CD122 (intermediate affinity IL2R), promoting the expansion of activated and memory T cells w/o expanding T regulatory cells (31). Engagement of the PD1 receptor on T cells by its ligands (PD-L1 or PD-L2) during antigen stimulation inhibits TCR activation, limiting T cell expansion (35). PD1 blockade using anti-PD-L1 mAbs improves T cell activation and function (34). Our results showed that additions of IL2Cx or anti-PD-L1 to TriVax increased TriVax driven expansion of adoptively transferred Pmel-1 cells by 10- and 4-fold, respectively, as compared to TriVax alone, and the therapeutic benefits of were clearly evident, achieving in many cases complete tumor rejections. These results suggested that by simply increasing Pmel-1 numbers, using higher T cell doses for ACT or by including IL2Cx or PD1 blockade, maximal therapeutic benefit was achieved. Nevertheless, the results also showed that IL2Cx and PD1 blockade increased the qualitative potency (anti-tumor recognition and decreased PD1 expression) of Pmel-1 cells. Tumor recognition by Pmel-1 cells from mice receiving ACT/TriVax with either IL2Cx or anti-PD-L1 antibodies recognized B16 tumor cells >10-fold better than Pmel-1 cells from mice receiving TriVax alone. We do not know what specific changes in Pmel-1 cells are induced by IL2Cx or PD1 blockade, resulting in increased anti-tumor reactivity. One possible mechanism for enhancing anti-tumor recognition could be an improvement in T cell avidity by increasing expression levels of costimulatory/adhesion T cell receptors such as CD8/Ick, CD2 and Lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1). An important observation was that the addition of IL2Cx and to some extent PD1 blockade prevented the observed loss of the Pmel-1 cells expanded by TriVax in tumor-bearing hosts, which could be explained by the reduced levels of PD1 induced by these adjuncts.
Notwithstanding, the overall results indicate that the therapeutic anti-tumor potentiation induced by IL2Cx and PD1 blockade in this model of ACT followed by TriVax is derived by an enhancement of both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the CD8 T cell response.

We also presented evidence that polyclonal, vaccine-generated CD8 T cells were effective in ACT/TriVax. However, in contrast with Pmel-1 ACT, no complete tumor rejections were obtained with the Trp1455/9M-TriVax-generated T cells with IL2Cx or PD1 blockade. The higher anti-tumor efficacy of the Pmel-1 T cells compared to the Trp1455/9M-generated CD8 T cells may be explained the observations that B16 contained ~100-times more mgp10025/H-2Db than Trp1455/H-2Db complexes. We attempted to induce endogenous, vaccine-generated CD8 T cells using hgp10025TriVax for ACT. However, the antigen avidity of the endogenous hgp10025TriVax generated T cells was 10-fold lower as compared to the Pmel-1 cells and these cells failed to recognize tumor cells (Supplementary Fig. S5). It is likely that the Pmel-1 cells express a TCR in the high end of antigen affinity spectrum because of the procedure used to select the T cells from which the TCR genes were clones (6). Nonetheless, the therapeutic anti-tumor effects obtained in ACT using the vaccine-generated Trp1455/9M T cells were not meager, especially when combined with IL2Cx or PD1 blockade and offer an alternative to those instances where high affinity TCR genes are not available for transduction into effector T cells.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Effects of peptide-based vaccines on the therapeutic efficacy of ACT.

(A) B6 mice (4/group) were inoculated s.c. on day 0 with 3 X 10^5 live B16 cells, and adoptively transferred on day 7 with 3 X 10^5 naïve Pmel-1 CD8 T cells followed by immunization on day 8 (arrow) with either TriVax, hgp100_25 in IFA, or DCs pulsed with hgp100_25. (B) Tumor-bearing mice that received ACT as described in panel A, were immunized one day later with various combinations of peptide, poly-IC and anti-CD40 mAb as indicated. Non-vaccinated mice (No Vax) and Ova55 TriVax-vaccinated mice with and w/o ACT were included as controls. (C) Presence of antigen-specific CD8 T cells in blood observed at various time points in the mice that received ACT from the experiment in panel B. For panels A and B, tumor sizes are presented as tumor areas in mm^2. Points, mean for each group of mice; bars, SD. P value was calculated using two-way ANOVA test comparing with the non-vaccinated group. For panel C, each symbol denotes the % tetrramer positive cells related to total CD8 T cells in an individual mouse in each group.

Figure 2. PD1 blockade and IL2Cx enhance the effects of TriVax, further increasing the therapeutic efficacy of ACT.

(A) B6 mice (4/group) with 7-day B16 tumors received 3 X 10^5 Pmel-1 cells followed by TriVax on day 8 (arrow). Mice w/o ACT were also vaccinated for comparison. All mice that received ACT and treated with TriVax plus IL2Cx rejected their tumors. P values were calculated using two-way ANOVA. (B) Pmel-1 frequencies were evaluated by tetramer analysis using blood samples from mice in panel A. Points, values from each mouse; horizontal lines, average value of each group. (C) Tumor-bearing mice (4/group) received the indicated number of Pmel-1 cells followed by
TriVax on day 8. Anti-PD-L1 mAb, normal rat IgG or IL2Cx were administered as described in “Methods”. Gray bars, time period of PD1 blockade or IL2Cx therapy. All of the mice that received IL2Cx rejected their tumors. At the high Pmel-1 cell dose, all the anti-PD-L1 mAb treated mice rejected their tumors. **=2/4 mice in this group rejected their tumors. *=1/4 mice in this group rejected its tumor.

**Figure 3. Therapeutic effects of ACT/TriVax against advanced B16 tumors.** (A) B6 mice (5/group) were injected s.c. with 5 X 10⁵ B16 cells, and on day 12 received 1 X 10⁶ Pmel-1 cells followed by TriVax on day 13 (arrow) and PD1 blockade or IL2Cx. P values were calculated using two-way ANOVA. *= 4/5 mice rejected their tumors. (B) Antigen-specific CD8 T cells evaluated by tetramer analysis on day 25 using blood samples. Points, value for each mouse; horizontal lines, averages of the group. P values were calculated with unpaired Student’s t tests.

**Figure 4. IL2Cx and PD1 blockade improve quantitatively and qualitatively T cell responses after ACT/TriVax.** Tumor-free B6 mice (4/group) received 3 X 10⁵ Pmel-1 cells followed by TriVax with or w/o anti-PD-L1 mAb or IL2Cx, administered as described in Figure 2A. (A) On day 14 after TriVax the total number of Pmel-1 cells (CD90.1) were enumerated in the spleens. P values were calculated with unpaired Student’s t tests. (B) Antigen-induced production of cytokines evaluated by intracellular staining after stimulation with hgp10025 peptide. Points, values for each mouse; horizontal lines, averages of each group. (C) The expression of PD-1 on Pmel-1 CD8 T cells evaluated by flow cytometry. MFI, median fluorescence intensity. (D) Antigen-induced IFNγ production of purified CD8 T cells evaluated by ELISA after stimulation with various numbers of B16
cells. The same number of CD8/CD90.1 cells from all treatment groups were placed in each culture. Dotted lines are for comparison on the efficacy of the various T cells to recognize the tumor cells (i.e., amount of antigen required to obtain the same amount of IFNγ).

**Figure 5. Anti-tumor therapeutic effects of ACT/TriVax using endogenous vaccine generated T cells.** (A) B6 mice (4/group) were inoculated s.c. with 3 X 10^5 B16 cells, and adoptively transferred on day 7 with 3 X 10^6 Trp1455-specific CD8 T cells followed by TriVax (arrow). PD1 blockade or IL2Cx were administered as described in the previous figures. *P* values were calculated using two-way ANOVA. (B) Frequencies of Trp1455-specific CD8 T cells in blood samples evaluated by tetramer analysis on day 25 post-tumor inoculation. *Points*, values for each mouse; *horizontal lines*, group averages. (C) In a parallel experiment congenic CD45.1 B6 mice were adoptively transferred Trp1455-specific CD8 T cells, generated in B6 (CD45.2) mice. 18 days later, the number of adoptively transferred CD8 T cells in spleen were estimated. *P* values calculated with unpaired Student’s *t* tests. (D) Expression of CD45.2 in the tetramer positive (Tet+) CD8 T cells indicates that all of the antigen specific cells were derived from the donor mice.
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Figure 2

(A) Tumor Size (mm²) over Days Post Tumor Injection with treatments:
- No Vax
- TriVax
- TriVax+αPD-L1
- TriVax+IL2Cx

(B) % Tetrramer Positive CD8 T Cells over Days Post Tumor Injection with treatments:
- No Vax
- TriVax+αPD-L1
- TriVax+IL2Cx

(C) Tumor Size (mm²) over Days Post Tumor Injection for different CD8 counts:
- 1 x 10⁶ CD8
- 3 x 10⁵ CD8
- 1 x 10⁵ CD8

Legend:
- ACT
- No ACT

Statistical significance indicated by:
- *p<0.05
- **p<0.01
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