**Proline Oxidase Promotes Tumor Cell Survival in Hypoxic Tumor Microenvironments**
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**Abstract**

Proline is a readily released stress substrate that can be metabolized by proline oxidase (POX) to generate either reactive oxygen species (ROS) to induce apoptosis or autophagy or ATP during times of nutrient stress. However, the contribution of proline metabolism to tumorigenesis in hypoxic microenvironments has not been explored. In this study, we investigated the different functions of POX under hypoxia and glucose depletion. We found that hypoxia induced POX expression in cancer cells *in vitro* and that POX upregulation colocalized with hypoxic tissues *in vivo*. In addition, the combination of hypoxia and low glucose showed additive effects on POX expression. Similar to conditions of low glucose, hypoxia-mediated POX induction was dependent on AMP-activated protein kinase activation but was independent of HIF-1α and HIF-2α. Under low-glucose and combined low-glucose and hypoxic conditions, proline catabolized by POX was used preferentially for ATP production, whereas under hypoxia, POX mediated autophagic signaling for survival by generating ROS. Although the specific mechanism was different for hypoxia and glucose deprivation, POX consistently contributed to tumor cell survival under these conditions. Together, our findings offer new insights into the metabolic reprogramming of tumor cells present within a hostile microenvironment and suggest that proline metabolism is a potential target for cancer therapeutics. *Cancer Res;* 72(14); 1–10. © 2012 AACR.

**Introduction**

Research in cancer metabolism has been reenergized by recent advances in the study of pathways controlling cell growth that reveal their close interaction with metabolic pathways (1–3). Tumor cells fuel their metabolism with glucose and glutamine to meet the bioenergetic and biosynthetic demands of proliferation. The Warburg effect, or aerobic glycolysis, has been considered as the central tenet of cancer metabolism and its response to various stresses. With glucose deprivation because of its availability in tumors, its unique metabolism, and its response to various stresses. With glucose deprivation and upregulation of proline oxidase (POX), proline can be metabolized to provide ATP (6). However, the effect of hypoxia on proline metabolism has not yet been explored.

Proline is one of the most abundant amino acids in the cellular microenvironment. Together with hydroxyproline, proline constitutes more than 25% of residues in collagen, the predominant protein (80%) in extracellular matrix (ECM; ref. 7). With the breakdown of collagen by matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), proline is readily available. Unlike other amino acids, proline has its own metabolic enzymes; it is catabolized to pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C) by POX, a.k.a. proline dehydrogenase (PRODH), a mitochondrial inner membrane enzyme; PRODH was identified as one of a few genes rapidly and robustly induced by p53 (8). Subsequently, its role in cell survival, apoptotic cell death, and autophagy in cancer cells was investigated and characterized (9–11). The conversion of hallmark of oncogenesis and tumor progression (2). Recent studies document an important role of glutamine catabolism stimulated by the Myc oncogene in tumor metabolism (3). However, because of the rapid growth of tumors and associated vascular insufficiency, many tumor cells are depleted of oxygen and nutrients, that is, glucose and glutamine. The hypoxic, low-glucose, or combined hypoxic and low-glucose regions in tumors make characterizing tumor metabolism difficult. With these regionally hostile microenvironments, the high bioenergetic demands imposed by transformation require that tumors reprogram their metabolic mode to meet the demands of proliferation and/or survival. Proline as a microenvironmental stress substrate attracted our attention because of its availability in tumors, its unique metabolism, and its response to various stresses. With glucose deprivation and upregulation of proline oxidase (POX), proline can be metabolized to provide ATP (6). However, the effect of hypoxia on proline metabolism has not yet been explored.
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proline to P5C donates electrons, which may directly generate superoxide through flavine adenine dinucleotide (FAD), or enter the electron transport chain to either produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) or generate ATP (6, 12, 13). POX was upregulated by p53, PPARy ligands (a signaling system responding to inflammatory stress) and oxidized low-density lipoproteins to generate superoxide radicals, which initiate apoptotic cell death or prosurvival autophagy depending on the specific stresses (8–11). However, under conditions of nutrient stress, proline could act as an energy source providing ATP (6).

In this study, we investigated the effect of hypoxia on the expression and functions of POX and explored the differential functions of proline metabolism catalyzed by POX under oxygen and/or glucose deprivation. The evaluation of the importance of proline catabolism in cancer metabolism will provide a better understanding of the tumor metabolic reprogramming in hostile microenvironments.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The human colon (HCT116, HCT15, and HT29), renal (TK10 and 786-o), breast (MCF7 and Hs-578-T), prostate (PC3), melanoma (M14), lung (A549), and ovarian (IGROV1) cancer cell lines were provided by the NCI cell line repository and were cultured in RPMI-1640 or Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 2 mmol/L glutamine as recommended.

The triple-negative metastatic human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and stably transfected with a construct containing 5 copies of the hypoxia-response element (HRE; 5'-CCA CAG TGC ATA CGT GGG CTC CAA CAG GTC CTC TT-3') of the human VEGF-A gene ligated to the cDNA of enhanced GFP (EGFP), which produced MDA-MB-231 HRE–EGFP as previously described (14, 15). MDA-MB-231 HRE–EGFP cells express EGFP under hypoxic conditions as a hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1)-driven hypoxia sensor, which was verified in hypoxic cell cultures by fluorescence microscopy and in corresponding protein lysates by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis with anti-EGFP antibody (BD Biosciences) as previously described (14). Detectable EGFP expression is typically observed within 6 hours of exposure to less than 1% O2 and robust EGFP expression by 20 hours in HRE–EGFP expressing cells in culture (14). All cell lines were authenticated by morphology and growth rate and were Mycoplasma free.

POX promoter activity

Before the assay, the cells were cotransfected with the POX promoter luciferase (POX-Luc) and pRL-null Renilla construct (11). The cells were exposed to normoxia or hypoxia (0.05% O2) at 6 to 10 hours posttransfection. POX promoter activity was assessed with Dual-Luciferase reporter Assay (Promega) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction.

Statistical analysis

All data are representative of at least 3 independent experiments, and all error bars are mean ± SEM. All P values were calculated by the Student 2-tailed t test, unless otherwise noted. Results were considered significantly different at P < 0.05.

Additional methods

Detailed description of methods for hypoxia exposure, real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR), Western blot, tumor xenograft studies, EGFP and POX immunohistochemical staining and colocalization analysis, siRNA transfections, measurement of ROS and ATP, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining, and detection of autophagosomes are available in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results

Hypoxia upregulates the expression of POX in various cancer cell lines in vitro

Hypoxia is an important feature of the microenvironment of solid tumors, and it affects tumor progression by causing genetic instability and driving the changes in tumor metabolism (16). To investigate whether hypoxia affects the expression of POX, we first tested POX promoter activity in a variety of cancer cells, including colon (HT-29, HCT-116, and HCT-15), renal (TK10 and 786-o), breast (MCF7 and Hs-578-T), prostate (PC3), melanoma (M14), lung (A549), and ovarian (IGROV1) cancer cell lines under hypoxia (0.05% O2). Most of the cancer cell lines, except the TK10 renal cancer cell line, showed significant activation of the POX promoter after 24 hours exposure to hypoxia compared with normoxia (Fig. 1A). This transient transfection assay directly measures the promoting effect of a defined fragment of DNA sequence, and its accuracy is influenced by many factors, such as the vector, dose of transfection, and host cell characteristics (17–19). Thus, in parallel, we measured the mRNA levels of POX in the same cell lines, which are expressed as absolute genomic equivalents (17). As shown in Fig. 1B, POX mRNA levels increased in all the tested cell lines with hypoxic exposure (0.05% O2). There was a significant correlation between POX promoter activity and mRNA levels (r = 0.40), which was close to those reported by others (17, 19). HT29 showed a strikingly high expression of POX mRNA, up to 10-fold (Fig. 1B). Using the HT29 cell line, we determined the oxygen concentration- and time-dependent response of POX mRNA and protein levels. As the concentration of oxygen decreased, the increases in POX mRNA expression were observed in a time-dependent fashion. After 24 hours of treatment, there were 1.8-, 5-, and 10-fold increases in POX mRNA at the 5%, 0.5%, and 0.05% O2 concentrations, respectively (Fig. 1C). A time-dependent increase in POX protein expression was also observed in the 0.05% O2 environment (Fig. 1D). Densitometry analysis showed that the changes of POX protein corresponded to those of POX mRNA levels.

The glucose distribution in tumors is thought to follow patterns similar to that of oxygen (20). In addition, tumor cells with an activated aerobic glycolytic (Warburg effect) or anaerobic glycolytic (hypoxic cells) pathway use much more glucose
than oxidative cells to generate ATP. They tend to deplete their surroundings of glucose. Thus, we tested the effects of hypoxia with or without glucose deprivation on POX mRNA and protein expression (Fig. 1E and F). Consistent with the previous report (6), the low-glucose condition (1 mmol/L) increased both POX mRNA and protein expression. The combination of hypoxia and low glucose had an additive effect on POX expression. The relative luciferase activity and POX results represent the fold change in mRNA levels of cells exposed to hypoxia over those exposed to normoxia.

**Figure 1.** Hypoxia upregulates POX expression in vitro. POX promoter activity (A) and mRNA expression (B) were measured in various cancer cells as indicated after 24 hours of hypoxia (0.05% O$_2$) treatment. The results represent the fold change in relative luciferase activity and POX mRNA levels of cells exposed to hypoxia over those exposed to normoxia. C, oxygen concentration- and time-dependent response of POX mRNA expression in HT29 colorectal cancer cells. D, time-dependent effect of 0.05% O$_2$ on POX mRNA expression in HT29 cells. E, the effect of hypoxia and/or low glucose (1 mmol/L) on POX mRNA expression in HT29 cells. F, POX protein expression in HT29 cells exposed to hypoxia (Hy) and/or low glucose (LG; 1 mmol/L) for 24 hours. **P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001 compared with normoxic control (Ctr).

POX expression increases in the hypoxic tumor microenvironment in a human breast cancer mouse xenograft model

To confirm the upregulation of POX by hypoxia in the microenvironment of the whole tumor in vivo, we developed a human breast cancer cell line, MDA-MB-231 HRE-EGFP, which expresses the EGFP reporter gene under the control of a promoter containing HREs that bind to and are induced by HIF-1. Thus, we can locate hypoxic regions, in which hypoxia stabilized HIF-1 leading to EGFP expression, in MDA-MB-231 HRE-EGFP tumor xenografts grown orthotopically in mice by monitoring EGFP expression. We first characterized the expression of POX mRNA in MDA-MB-231 HRE-EGFP under hypoxic conditions (0.3%–0.5% O$_2$) in tissue culture. As expected, POX mRNA showed a time-dependent increase under hypoxia (Supplementary Fig. 2), and HIF-1–controlled EGFP expression was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy. We then carried out POX and GFP immunohistochemistry using tissue slides from MDA-MB-231 HRE-EGFP tumor xenografts. The left panel of Fig. 2B shows a diagram of how MDA-MB-231 HRE-EGFP tumor xenografts were sectioned and what sections that were cut throughout the tumor were analyzed by immunohistochemistry. The right panel of Fig. 2A shows representative images of EGFP and POX immunohistochemical staining of slides from the tumor center, which typically
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contain most of the hypoxic regions of the tumor. Hypoxic regions identified by EGFP expression also had the highest staining for POX. Although the POX and EGFP staining levels were lower in the top and body portions of the tumor, POX showed the same trend of staining intensity as seen with EGFP. Colocalization analysis showed that Pearson’s correlation coefficients of POX and EGFP in most slides were more than 0.5 ($P < 0.001$), robustly indicating that POX and EGFP expression colocalized (Fig. 2B).

**Hypoxia-induced POX expression is dependent on AMPK activation, but not HIF-1α or HIF-2α**

The AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a sensor of cellular energy status; it is found in all eukaryotes and is activated under conditions of high AMP/ATP following stresses such as hypoxia or nutrient deprivation (21). Thus, we determined the potential role of AMPK in hypoxia-induced POX expression by using a specific inhibitor of AMPK activation, 6-[4-(2-Piperidin-1-ylethoxy)phenyl]-3-pyridin-4-ylpyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine, which is known as Compound C. Phospho-AMPKα was assessed by Western blot. As reported, exposure of HT29 cells to hypoxia for 24 and 48 hours activated AMPK, which could be inhibited by Compound C (50 μmol/L; Fig. 3B). Figure 3A and B clearly show that the activation of AMPK positively regulated both mRNA and protein expression of POX. Compound C decreased POX expression almost to unstimulated basal levels. These results were confirmed in TK10 and Hs-578-T cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Although HIF-1 and HIF-2 are critical transcription factors that activate transcription of target genes in response to hypoxia in tumors (22), our results suggested that both HIF-1α and HIF-2α are not essential for hypoxia-induced POX expression (Supplementary results and Supplementary Fig. 3).

The above results were consistent with the observation of Pandhare and colleagues (6), that is, the activation of POX under low-glucose conditions is mediated by activated AMPK and the AMPK activator, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide.
POX contributes to the survival of cancer cells in response to hypoxia and glucose deprivation

As mentioned earlier, POX degrades proline to either generate ROS for apoptosis or prosurvival autophagy or produce ATP according to different stresses (6, 9–11). We examined the effects of upregulated POX on cell viability and the production of ATP and ROS under hypoxia and/or low-glucose conditions. As shown in Fig. 4A, with HT29 cells treated for 48 hours, low-glucose (1 mmol/L) decreased cell proliferation by 46.5%, whereas hypoxia (0.05% O2) produced only a small decrease (7.3%). The combination of the 2, however, was synergistic, producing a 72% decrease. The POX inhibitor, dehydropoline (DHP; 10 mmol/L) further reduced cell viability under all conditions, but especially with low glucose and hypoxia (73.2% and 60.4%, respectively), suggesting that proline degradation by POX participated in a compensatory mechanism for survival. The addition of exogenous proline (5 mmol/L) had little effect on the proliferation, suggesting basal medium proline or endogenous proline was enough for the adaptive changes.

Next, we examined the production of ROS and ATP under those conditions to dissect their contributions to cell survival. Hypoxic stress significantly increased ROS production, and this increase could be partially decreased by DHP (Fig. 4B). Exogenous proline addition (5 mmol/L) further increased the production of ROS, which was also inhibited by DHP. Interestingly, with hypoxia treatment for 48 hours, ATP levels were not significantly decreased with or without DHP (Fig. 4C), indicating that upregulated POX with hypoxia had a marked effect on ROS but not on the maintenance of ATP. In contrast, low-glucose, itself, had little effect on either ROS or ATP in HT29 cells. Nevertheless, when DHP was added under low-glucose conditions, intracellular ATP, but not ROS, decreased significantly (Fig. 4B and C), suggesting that with glucose deprivation, proline was a source of energy rather than a source of metabolic signaling. In cells exposed to combined hypoxia and low glucose, ATP production was dramatically reduced, and this was further decreased by DHP. Under this extreme condition, the addition of exogenous proline significantly improved ATP levels (Fig. 4C), but ROS did not show any obvious change (Fig. 4B).

Figure 4. POX contributes to the survival of cancer cells in response to hypoxia and glucose deprivation. HT29 cells were treated with low glucose (1 mmol/L) and/or hypoxia (0.05% O2) for 48 hours. DHP (10 mmol/L), the POX inhibitor, and/or 5 mmol/L proline were given to the cells at the same time as indicated. A, proliferation assay was carried out using the WST method. Intracellular ROS (B) and ATP production (C) were carried out using the DCF assay and luciferase-based assay, respectively. D–F, POX siRNA (siPOX) was used to knock down POX expression when cells were treated with low glucose and/or hypoxia. Proliferation, ROS, and ATP production were detected as described above.
To avoid any potential nonspecific side effects of the POX inhibitor DHP and confirm the above results, we used POX siRNA (siPOX) to knock down POX expression in a series of analogous experiments. The decreased expression of POX was confirmed by Western blot and more than 80% of POX was knocked down (Supplementary Fig. S4). POX knockdown resulted in decreased cell proliferation with low glucose, hypoxia, and combined low glucose and hypoxia (Fig. 4D). POX knockdown significantly decreased ROS production with hypoxia, but only modestly with low glucose (Fig. 4E). As was seen with DHP inhibition, ATP production was markedly decreased with POX knockdown under low-glucose conditions, whereas it showed no change with hypoxia (Fig. 4C and F). These results corroborated the above results obtained with POX inhibition by DHP, suggesting that proline degradation by POX led to distinct endpoints depending on the type of metabolic stress. With low glucose, proline is used as a source of energy, whereas with hypoxia, proline metabolism is a mechanism for ROS signaling. Because POX contributed to cell survival, and not cell death under hypoxia, we hypothesized that ROS induced by POX occurred to achieve protective autophagy, rather than apoptosis. This hypothesis was confirmed by the following experiments.

POX induces protective autophagy, but not apoptosis under hypoxia

It is well known that hypoxia can increase both apoptotic cell death and autophagy, the latter of which is a survival strategy of cancer cells (23, 24). An important question is whether ROS production from upregulated POX under hypoxia mediates apoptosis or protective autophagy. To this end, we first monitored the apoptotic marker PARP and cleaved PARP by Western blot in HT29 cells. Hypoxia (0.05% O₂) increased the production of cleaved-PARP (Supplementary Fig. S5A). However, POX siRNA (siPOX) slightly increased rather than decreased this hypoxic effect. This small increase was likely due to the transfection procedure itself as the negative control siRNA (siNeg) showed the same effect. TUNEL staining confirmed this result (Supplementary Fig. S5B and C). After HT29 cells were treated by hypoxia with or without siPOX or siNeg for 72 hours, TUNEL staining was carried out and the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells (apoptotic cells) was calculated. As compared with normoxia, hypoxia increased the percentage of apoptotic cells from 10% to 62.5%. SiPOX did not show any obviously different effects from siNeg.

Hypoxia-induced autophagy is known to involve the activity of AMPK (24). The fact that AMPK mediated POX upregulation by hypoxia further strengthened our hypothesis that POX may

![Figure 5. POX induces protective autophagy under hypoxia. A, after HT29 cells were transfected with POX siRNA or scrambled negative siRNA for 18 hours, cells were exposed to hypoxia (0.05% O₂) or treated with Compound C at the same time for different time periods. Autophagic LC3-I and II were measured by Western blot. B, after cells were exposed to hypoxia for 48 hours, beclin-1 mRNA expression was examined by real-time RT-PCR. C, autophagosome accumulation was tracked by GFP-LC3II virus transduction. Mutated GFP-LC3 (G120A) was used as the negative control. D, the percentage of GFP-LC3-positive cells was calculated. E, HT29 cells were given the autophagy inhibitor chloroquine (CQ; 5 and 10 μmol/L) while treated with hypoxia (0.05% O₂). Proliferation assays at different time points were carried out using the WST method. *P < 0.001 compared with normoxia; **P < 0.05; ***P < 0.01 compared with hypoxia.](https://cancerres.aacrjournals.org)
activate autophagy. Thus, we investigated the induction of autophagy by hypoxia and the involvement of AMPK and POX in this induction in HT29 cells. As shown in Fig. 5A, hypoxia (0.05% O2) dramatically induced the formation of LC3II, whose amount correlated well with the number of autophagosomes. The AMPK inhibitor, Compound C, significantly inhibited the induction of autophagy by hypoxia. After we knocked down AMPK-induced POX expression by siRNA; the formation of LC3II was partly blocked whereas siNeg had no effect. To ensure that this is a dynamic and complete autophagic process, we blocked the fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome using bafilomycin A1. Bafilomycin A1 led to a dramatic accumulation of the unprocessed LC3-II. In addition, beclin-1, one of the central regulators of autophagy (25), showed a similar pattern of changes as LC3II (Fig. 5B). The production of autophagosomes following GFP-LC3II viral transduction further validated the above results (Fig. 5C and D). The percentage of GFP-LC3II-positive cells was much higher under hypoxia than normoxia (35.4% and 2.6%, respectively). Compound C and siPOX decreased the percentage of GFP-LC3II-positive cells to 10.8% and 16.05%, respectively. Furthermore, to ascertain that hypoxia-induced autophagy maintained cell survival but did not sensitize cells to cell death, we treated cells with the autophagy inhibitor chloroquine. As shown in Fig. 6E, 2 concentrations of chloroquine (5 and 10 μmol/L) significantly decreased cell proliferation, suggesting that sustained autophagy promoted cell survival, a finding consistent with the effects of POX on cell viability.

**POX-induced ROS is necessary for hypoxia-induced autophagy**

To confirm that ROS produced by POX is indeed responsible for autophagy, we examined the effect of the ROS scavenger N-acetylcysteine (NAC) on the formation of LC3II and the accumulation of autophagosomes under hypoxia. As shown in Fig. 6A, NAC (5 mmol/L) significantly decreased the production of LC3II induced by hypoxia (0.05% O2) in HT29 cells. It also decreased the amount of cells displaying punctuate distribution of GFP-LC3II (Fig. 6B and C). We carried out proliferation assays to assess the contribution of hypoxia-induced ROS to cell viability. The defense against ROS-mediated stress provided by NAC significantly improved the cell growth (Fig. 6D), indicating that hypoxia-induced ROS can damage cells and decrease cell viability under our experimental conditions. Because POX is not the only contributor for hypoxia-induced ROS and ROS could serve diverse functions, it is plausible that ROS generated by POX is necessary for protective autophagy.

One of the major downstream signaling pathways regulated by AMPK is the mTOR pathway (26). It is known that AMPK can induce an autophagic response by suppressing mTOR activity (27). Previous work from our laboratory has shown that mTOR inhibition by rapamycin increased POX and

![Figure 6](cancerres.aacrjournals.org)
stimulated proline degradation (6). In addition, work by others showed that the Akt/mTOR pathway was able to induce autophagy mediated by ROS (28). Thus, to assess involvement of the mTOR pathway in the AMPK-POX-ROS-autophagy pathway, we examined the activation of mTOR and its downstream factor S6. As previously reported (26, 27, 29), we showed that phospho-mTOR and phospho-S6 were decreased with hypoxia, and that this effect could be reversed by the AMPK inhibitor, Compound C (Fig. 6E). However, POX siRNA had no effect on the activation of mTOR and S6, which argues against a role for mTOR in POX-induced autophagy with hypoxia.

Autophagy can also be induced under conditions of nutrient limitation, providing a mechanism for maintaining cell viability (30). Our experiments showed that low-glucose conditions did induce autophagy, but knockdown of POX using siRNA did not change the conversion of LC3I to LC3II (data not shown). This may be because POX was used to produce ATP for direct energy provision rather than for ROS signaling.

Discussion

Hypoxia and nutrient depletion are important characteristics of the tumor microenvironment. One of the hallmarks of tumor cells is their metabolic reprogramming to adapt to these unfavorable conditions (31, 32). Metabolic changes in tumor cells can confer survival advantages and contribute to malignant progression (32, 33). Deprivation of both oxygen and glucose can result in the activation of AMPK, which is a critical factor for tumor cells to mediate those metabolic changes (21, 34). Once stimulated, AMPK inhibits energy-consuming processes and activates energy-producing processes to restore energy homeostasis by direct activation of metabolic enzymes or by inducing specific gene expression, which controls processes relevant to tumor development, including cell-cycle progression, protein synthesis, cell growth, and survival (35, 36). Our previous report has shown that POX induction by glucose withdrawal is AMPK dependent (6). Pharmacologic activation of AMPK by AICAR resulted in a dramatic induction of POX (6). Therefore, as shown in this study, it is not surprising that POX upregulation by hypoxia is not related to HIF-1α or HIF-2α, the master regulators of cell response to hypoxia, but rather because of signaling from the hypoxia-activated AMPK pathway.

Our results show that induction of POX by AMPK signaling contributes to tumor cell survival, POX is a multifunctional enzyme and its structure underlies its multiple functions in a model tested in Thermus thermophilus (12). The pair of electrons transferred from proline to FAD at the active site of POX may directly reduce solvent oxygen to produce superoxide (12, 13). However, an adjacent α-helix structure can be conformationally shifted to block the access of FAD to solvent oxygen. Alternatively, the electrons may be donated to the electron transport chain to generate ATP (6, 13). As summarized in Fig. 7, our current work has revealed the different functions of POX under hypoxia and glucose depletion. POX activation produces ATP under low-glucose stress, whereas hypoxia with adequate glucose activates POX-mediated ROS production. As an adaptation to the anaerobic environment, glycolysis is induced and tumors maximize the use of limited quantities of available glucose (37). When glucose supply is limited, cancer cells may be forced to select proline as part of alternative energy source because the ECM/proline source has a marked advantage over fatty acids and glutamine, which like glucose also require delivery by the circulation. Although proline is not an efficient substrate for generating ATP, its contribution could be significant in the metabolic adaptation of cancer cells in response to nutrient limitation. Our results suggest that the oxidation of proline by POX may represent a switching point between ATP and ROS production, which results in distinct outcomes according to the specific tumor microenvironmental stresses, for example, glucose deprivation or hypoxia. The mechanism mediating this switch remains unknown and needs to be further explored. Nevertheless, resulting from the induction of POX, the degradation of proline can augment ATP production under low-glucose conditions and induce ROS generation under hypoxic conditions, both of which are processes that help tumor cells to survive. ATP is used for direct energy provision, whereas ROS are used for protective autophagy rather than apoptotic cell death.

Autophagy is a cellular self-catabolic process whereby cytoplasm and cellular organelles are degraded in lysosomes for amino acid recovery and energy recycling (38). It is often induced in cancer cells under the stress of oxygen and/or nutrient limitation, providing a survival mechanism to maintain cell viability (38). Numerous reports have shown that stress-triggered autophagy depends on the AMPK pathway (24, 39). The identified downstream targets of AMPK to
regulate autophagy include mTOR (40), p27 (41), and eukaryotic elongation factor-2 kinase (eEF-2 kinase; ref. 42), and others. This study indicates that POX is an additional downstream target of AMPK to activate autophagy. POX-generated ROS under hypoxia seems to be essential for the induction of autophagy. Although overexpression of beclin-1, the important autophagy inducer and marker, has shown its association with tumor hypoxia and its capability of predicting poor prognosis of various cancers (43, 44), the mechanism about its upregulation by hypoxia remains unclear. Protein kinase C (PKC) delta was reported to induce beclin-1 by dissociating the Bcl-2/Beclin 1 complex in the early stage of hypoxic response (45). Chippa and colleagues identified Beclin-1 as a potential downstream target of AMPK in turning on the autophagic cascade (46), which was supported by our study showing that POX induced beclin-1 depending on AMPK activation (Fig. 5B).

A number of reports showed that the proline concentration is increased in various tumors (47, 48). An important source of free proline stems from the degradation of collagen I, the predominant protein in the ECM of tumors (7). Kakkad SM and colleagues reported that hypoxia could induce the degradation of collagen (15). Previous work from our laboratory showed that glucose depletion activated MMP-2 and MMP-9, which degrade collagen I in the ECM, and a concomitant increase in intracellular proline levels was observed (6). Intracellular protein that is being degraded by autophagy may also provide an important source of free proline. Ample sources of proline ensure its availability as an alternative stress substrate. This study shows that inhibition of POX by DHP or siRNA significantly decreased proliferation, the generation of ATP, or the production of ROS, whereas exogenous proline addition only slightly influenced those effects, suggesting that endogenous proline is the main source of stress substrate under the experimental conditions used here.

It should be noted that we investigated the function of upregulation of POX by hypoxia and/or glucose deprivation only in HT29 colon cancer cells, although we observed the induction of POX expression in various cancer cells. The downstream effects of POX upregulation may be tissue or context specific and need further study.

In summary, we have shown that hypoxia and/or glucose deprivation induce the expression of POX in various cancer cells. And POX is a critical factor in the AMPK signaling network for adaptive metabolic induction under conditions of hypoxia and glucose deprivation, at least in HT29 colon cancer cells. The induction of proline catabolism contributes an important mechanism by which tumor cells switch to a survival mode. These findings offer new insight into our understanding of metabolic changes in tumor cells beyond the well-recognized Warburg effect of aerobic glycolysis.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: W. Liu, K. Glunde, J.M. Phang
Development of methodology: W. Liu, Z.M. Bhujwalla
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): W. Liu, K. Glunde, Z.M. Bhujwalla, A. Sharma, J.M. Phang
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): W. Liu, J.M. Phang
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: W. Liu, K. Glunde, Z.M. Bhujwalla, J.M. Phang
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): W. Liu, V. Raman, J.M. Phang
Study supervision: W. Liu, J.M. Phang

Acknowledgments
The authors thank Dr. Zuqiang Zhu for insightful comments; Donna Butcher for technical help of EGFP and POX immunohistochemistry; and Dr. De Chen and Dr. Stephen Lockett for the colonolization analysis.

Grant Support
This research was supported (in part) by the Intramural Research Program of the NIDH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, and extramural NIH grants P50 CA103175 and CA154725.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received January 9, 2012; revised April 20, 2012; accepted May 11, 2012; published OnlineFirst May 18, 2012.

References
Proline Oxidase Promotes Tumor Cell Survival in Hypoxic Tumor Microenvironments

Wei Liu, Kristine Glunde, Zaver M. Bhujwalla, et al.

Cancer Res  Published OnlineFirst May 18, 2012.

Updated version  Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-0080

Supplementary Material  Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2012/05/18/0008-5472.CAN-12-0080.DC1

E-mail alerts  Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions  To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions  To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.