Chemotherapy Acts as an Adjuvant to Convert the Tumor Microenvironment into a Highly Permissive State for Vaccination-Induced Antitumor Immunity
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Abstract

Multiple classes of pharmacologic agents have the potential to induce the expression and release of proinflammatory factors from dying tumor cells. As a result, these cells can in theory elicit an immune response through various defined mechanisms to permanently eradicate disseminated cancer. However, the impact of chemotherapy on the tumor-specific immune response in the context of the tumor microenvironment is largely unknown. Within the tumor microenvironment, the immune response promoted by chemotherapy is antagonized by an immune-suppressive milieu, and the balance of these opposing forces dictates the clinical course of disease. Here, we report that high antigen exposure within the tumor microenvironment following chemotherapy is sufficient to skew this balance in favor of a productive immune response. In elevating antigen exposure, chemotherapy can achieve long-term control of tumor progression without the need of an additional adjuvant. We found that chemotherapy initiated this phenomenon in the tumor microenvironment through an accumulation of dendritic cells, which stimulated CD8+ T cells and the type I IFN pathway. From this conceptual base, we developed a simple approach to cancer therapy combining chemotherapy and vaccination that may be widely applicable. Cancer Res; 73(8); 1–12. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

It is now clear that multiple classes of pharmacologic agents for cancer therapy have the potential to elicit the release of proinflammatory substances from dying tumor cells (1, 2). These substances are able to induce the maturation of dendritic cells and the subsequent activation of tumor-specific CD8+ CTLs. Indeed, it has been shown that, when pretreated with chemotherapy, tumor cells can evoke a robust adaptive immune response upon transfer into mice (1, 2). Furthermore, these mice efficiently reject secondary tumor challenge (1, 2). Several reports over recent years have revealed that the mechanisms underlying the inflammatory effects of chemotherapeutic agents are numerous and diverse, involving for example activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome (3), surface translocation of calreticulin (4), autophagy and ATP release (5), instigation of the endoplasmic reticulum stress response (6), caspase activation (7), or secretion of HMGB1 (8). The immune response produced by tumor cells mixed with chemotherapy—together with our knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying this process—has fueled much of the enthusiasm for combining chemotherapy with immune-based therapy (9). The rationale for this dual approach is that chemotherapy—a mainstay intervention for cancer since the 1940s—has the potential to debulk the primary tumor mass, whereas immune-based therapy has the potential to eradicate disseminated disease and to prevent relapse. Thus, this dual approach represents a synergistic strategy that capitalizes on the strengths of each therapy while circumventing its major weaknesses. Furthermore, it is well established that chemotherapy can induce an inflammatory state in tumor cells (1, 2) and the effects of chemotherapy on the tumor microenvironment in the context of the host immune response are becoming better understood (10–13). While chemotherapy would in theory elicit the release of proinflammatory substances from cancer cells in such a microenvironment, the tumor milieu also contains a host of elements that dampen the antitumor immune response, such as suppressive cytokine networks, immune checkpoints, and regulatory subsets of cells (14). These elements are largely responsible for the failure of immune-based therapy in the clinic. Therefore, in order for a dual approach combining chemotherapy and immune-based...
therapy to be successful for patients with cancer, chemotherapy must be able to overcome the obstacles to immune-based therapy posed by the tumor microenvironment. The purpose of the current study is thus to characterize the effects of chemotherapy on the immune response within the tumor microenvironment and to uncover the mechanisms responsible for these effects.

We found that chemotherapy converts the tumor into a site permissive for the activation of an adaptive immune response within the tumor. Notably, this immune response can be produced with a vaccination-lacking adjuvant, which represents a marked advantage over other types of immune-based therapy. Also, we found that this immune response is driven by the accumulation of dendritic cells in the tumor, followed by their maturation, migration to lymph nodes, and priming of tumor-specific CD8+ CTLs in a type I IFN-dependent manner. Our data further indicate that antigen density within the tumor is an important determinant of the outcome of immune surveillance following chemotherapy. With this concept, we create an effective, universally applicable strategy for cancer therapy based on systemic antigen delivery to the tumor.

Materials and Methods

Mice

Six- to 8-week-old female C57BL/6 or BALB/c mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute. Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) knockout mice were from the Jackson Laboratory, and IFN-α receptor (IFNAR) knockout mice were a kind gift from Dr. G. Cheng laboratory (University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA). All animal procedures were carried out under protocols approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and in accordance with recommendations for the proper use and care of laboratory animals.

Cells

The TC-1 tumor model was generated by transformation of primary lung epithelial cells from C57BL/6 mice with active Ras together with HPV-16 E6 and E7 oncogenes and the production and maintenance of this cell line has been described previously (15). TC-1 cells were subjected to RapidMAP (Taconic Farms) testing, a panel of PCR tests for rodent viruses, most recently in May 2011 with negative results. CT26 colon carcinoma cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection cell bank, which characterized the cell line for Mycoplasma by the Hoescht stain, PCR, and the standard culture test, all of which had negative results. Cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine, 1 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 2 mmol/L nonessential amino acids, and 50 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin.

Tumor treatment experiments

TC-1 or CT26 tumor cells (10^5 per animal) were inoculated subcutaneously into C57BL/6 or BALB/c mice (10 per group), respectively. Five days later, tumor-bearing mice were treated intratumorally with 20 μg of E7 peptide (aa42-63) or AH1-A5 (SPSYAYHQF), or 50 μg of TA-CIN recombinant protein or isotonic saline control, in conjunction with intraperitoneal cisplatin (5 mg/kg body weight) or saline control. For systemic targeted peptide delivery, mice were treated by tail vein with 200 μg of FITC-CNGRC, FITC-GGGGGG, E7 (aa42-63), or CNGRC-E7 peptide, together with intraperitoneal cisplatin (5 mg/kg) or saline control. Mice were monitored for evidence of tumor growth by visual inspection and palpation twice each week. To assess tumor burden in the lung hematogenous spread model, C57BL/6 mice were each inoculated subcutaneously with 10^5 TC-1 cells and treated as described earlier. Each mouse was then inoculated intravenously with 10^5 TC-1 cells on day 9. The number of pulmonary tumor nodules was counted on day 30. For in vivo experiments with other pharmacologic agents, we used the following doses per injection of each type of chemotherapy: carboplatin (50 mg/kg), doxorubicin (10 mg/kg), and cyclophosphamide (20 mg/kg).

Surface tetramer, intracellular cytokine staining, and flow cytometry

C57BL/6 mice were administered with cisplatin (5 mg/kg) or saline control in conjunction with 20 μg of E7 peptide (aa43-62), 50 μg of TA-CIN, 20 μg of CNGRC-E7 peptide, 20 μg of short Ova peptide (aa257-264), 20 μg of long Ova peptide (aa241-270), 50 μg of Ova protein, or saline control. Mice were boosted twice at the same dose and regimen at 3-day intervals. For tetramer staining, blood and tumor tissue was harvested 1 week after the last peptide or protein injection. Phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled H-2K^d tetramers containing HPV-16 E7 49-57 peptide (RAHY-NIVTF; Beckman Coulter) were used for the analysis of E7-specific CD8+ T cells by flow cytometry (16). For intracellular cytokine staining, splenocytes were harvested 1 week after the last peptide or protein injection. Before intracellular cytokine staining, 6 × 10^6 pooled splenocytes from each vaccination group were incubated with 1 μg/mL E7 peptide (aa49-57), AH1 peptide (SPSYVHQF), or Ova peptide (SIINFEKL), together with GolgiPlug (1,000×; BD Biosciences) for 16 hours. Cells were then harvested and mixed with monoclonal antibodies against CD8 and IFN-γ as we previously described (17). Samples were acquired on a FACS Calibur device using CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences). All analyses were conducted on gated lymphocyte populations.

In vivo antibody depletion experiments

C57BL/6 mice (5 per group) were inoculated subcutaneously with 10^5 TC-1 cells per animal and treated with cisplatin (5 mg/kg) and E7 peptide (20 μg) according to the regimen described earlier. Depletion was initiated 1 day before injection of cisplatin and peptide and ended on day 30 after tumor challenge. The following antibody clones were used: CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (2.43), and NK1.1 (PK136). Immunoglobulin G (IgG) was used as an isotype control.

Analysis of tumor-infiltrating populations

To detect tumor-infiltrating CD11c+ dendritic cells, C57BL/6 mice (3 per group) were inoculated subcutaneously with 10^5 TC-1 cells per animal. On day 5, mice were treated intraperitoneally with cisplatin (5 mg/kg) or saline control twice at 3-day intervals. One day after the last injection, tumor tissue was excised from mice, mechanically disrupted into fragments in PBS, washed twice, and digested with 500 U/mL dispase (Godo
with 105 TC-1 cells per animal. On day 5, mice were treated with T-cell activation experiments were stained with FITC-labeled anti-CD8 and PE-labeled E7 and processed as described earlier. Tumor-infiltrating T cells, C57BL/6 (3 per group) were inoculated with tumor and treated with cisplatin and/or intratumoral E7 peptide as described earlier. On day 7, tumor tissue was excised and prepared as described earlier. CD11c+ dendritic cells were incubated with E7-specific CD8+ T cells overnight at a 1:1 ratio. The frequency of IFN-γ-secreting E7-specific CD8+ T cells was determined by flow cytometry.

**ELISA**

C57BL/6 mice (5 per group) were inoculated subcutaneously with 10^6 TC-1 cells per animal. On day 5, mice were treated with cisplatin and/or intratumoral E7 peptide and processed as described earlier. Cells were lysed using Protein Extraction Solution radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA; Pierce), and protein concentration was measured by Coomassie Plus protein assay (Pierce). ELISA was conducted to quantify levels of Mcp-1 and IFN-β according to the manufacturer’s protocol (R&D Systems). All cytokine amounts were normalized to total protein concentration.

**Statistical analysis**

The data presented in this study are representative of 3 independent experiments and are expressed as mean ± SD. The number of samples in each group for any given experiment was greater than 3. Results for flow cytometry analysis and tumor treatment experiments were evaluated by one-way ANOVA and the Tukey–Kramer test. Individual data points were compared using Student t test. The event-time distributions for different mice were compared using the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test. All P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Chemotherapy with high antigen exposure efficiently controls tumor growth**

We first assessed the influence of antigen exposure in the tumor microenvironment on the tumor-specific immune response after chemotherapy. We inoculated C57BL/6 mice with TC-1 tumor cells, which contain the E7 oncogene from human papillomavirus (HPV) type 16 (15), and then treated the mice with various combinations of the platinum-based chemotherapy cisplatin, administered into the peritoneal cavity, together with long E7 peptide (aa 42-63) containing H2-D^b-restricted E7 epitope (aa 49-57) administered either directly into the tumor or into subcutaneous tissue (Fig. 1A). Bitherapy with cisplatin and intratumoral E7 peptide virtually cured TC-1–bearing mice, whereas monotherapy with either of these reagents led to rapid tumor progression and death (Fig. 1B–D). Cisplatin therapy with subcutaneous E7 injection failed to control tumor growth (Fig. 1B–D), indicating that priming of the tumor-specific immune response after chemotherapy occurred only with peptide delivery into the tumor microenvironment. Although the group of tumor-bearing mice treated with intratumoral antigenic peptide following cisplatin remained tumor-free 26 days after tumor challenge, eventually 70% of the tumor-bearing mice in this treatment group developed tumors again 70 days after tumor challenge as shown in Fig. 1D. Furthermore, cisplatin and intratumoral E7 bitherapy almost completely protected mice from development of pulmonary tumor nodules in a hematogenous spread model, whereas monotherapy had only a mild protective effect (Fig. 1E). Similar results were observed with DNA intercalating agents other than cisplatin (Fig. 1F and G). We conclude that chemotherapy converts the tumor into a microenvironment capable of instigating and sustaining both a productive local and systemic tumor-specific immune response after adjuvant-free peptide vaccination.

**Tumor control by chemotherapy and high antigen exposure is mediated through CD8+ CTLs**

To characterize this tumor-specific immune response, we depleted CD4+ or CD8+ T cells or natural killer (NK) cells in TC-1–bearing mice with monoclonal antibodies and then treated the mice with cisplatin and intratumoral E7 bitherapy. Although elimination of CD4+ T cells and NK cells had no effect on tumor growth (data not shown), depletion of CD8+ T cells abolished tumor control by the combined regimen (Fig. 2A). There were a large number of E7-specific CD8+ T cells in the tumors of TC-1–bearing mice treated with this regimen (nearly 40% of total CD8+ T cells); in contrast, E7-specific CD8+ T cells were barely detectable among groups of tumor-bearing mice treated either with monotherapy (with cisplatin or intratumoral E7) or with...
Chemotherapy induces activation and migration of antigen-loaded dendritic cells into tumor-draining lymph nodes

We next examined the mechanisms by which chemotherapy facilitates development of an adaptive immune response within the tumor microenvironment after adjuvant-free peptide vaccination. We found that following delivery of cisplatin, there was a 10-fold accumulation of CD11c+ dendritic cells in tumor tissue (to 30% of total cells in the tumor; Fig. 3A). To trace the destination and phenotype of these dendritic cells, we coadministered cisplatin and FITC-labeled long E7 peptide (aa 42-63) into the tumor. We then isolated the tumor-draining lymph nodes and assessed the frequency of FITC+ dendritic cells. The amount of FITC+ dendritic cells was amplified over 10-fold in lymph nodes from mice administered with cisplatin compared with isotonic saline control, and codeelivery of the prostaglandin analog BW245C, which inhibits migration of dendritic cells, abrogated this effect (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, FITC+ dendritic cells had higher mean expression of the costimulatory molecules CD40, CD80, and CD86 relative to FITC- dendritic cells (Fig. 3C). We found that dendritic cells isolated from mice treated with intratumoral E7 in conjunction with cisplatin activated E7-specific CTLs over 15 times more strongly than
dendritic cells from mice administered with intratumoral E7 alone, but this effect was lost with coinjection of BW245C (Fig. 3D). In addition, E7-specific CTLs failed to become activated when mixed with dendritic cells from lymph nodes of mice treated with cisplatin alone, showing that the density of E7 antigen within the endogenous TC-1 tumor microenvironment is insufficient for development of a tumor-specific adaptive immune response (Fig. 3D). These data indicate that chemotherapy enriches dendritic cells in the tumor microenvironment; these dendritic cells have the capacity to uptake tumor antigen, whereupon they mature, travel to the draining lymph nodes, and prime tumor-specific CTLs.

**The type I IFN and TLR4 signaling axes are required for the tumor-specific immune response elicited by chemotherapy**

We next explored the molecular signaling axes underlying the priming of an adaptive immune response in the tumor microenvironment after chemotherapy. We found that for over 2 weeks after cisplatin injection, there was a greater than 10-fold rise in the tumor in the concentration of the chemokine CCL2 (MCP-1), which has a major function in recruiting dendritic cells to inflammatory sites (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the levels of IFN-β in the tumor were also persistently elevated over 10-fold after cisplatin injection (Fig. 4B), suggesting a role for the type I IFN pathway in the immune-modulating effect of chemotherapy. In support of this, dendritic cells from transgenic mice deficient in IFNAR failed to uptake FITC-labeled E7 peptide and move into draining lymph nodes after cisplatin and intratumoral E7 injection (Fig. 4C). IFNAR−/− mice also mounted a systemic immune response 5 times weaker than wild-type littermates, after cisplatin and intratumoral E7 bitherapy (Fig. 4D), which correlated with lack of tumor control (Fig. 4E) and reduced survival (Fig. 4F). We conducted parallel experiments in TLR4-deficient mice and found the effectiveness of cisplatin and intratumoral E7 bitherapy to also depend on the TLR4 pathway (Fig. 5A–D), as has been previously suggested (8). Tumor cells are likely a direct source for triggering this pathway in dendritic cells as TC-1 cells treated with cisplatin release a large amount of the TLR4 ligand HMGB1.
We conclude that the type I IFN and TLR4 axes mediate the immune-modulating effect of chemotherapy in the tumor microenvironment. It will be important to further elucidate the precise relationship between the type I IFN and TLR4 axes as it relates to this effect.

Chemotherapy enables vaccine adjuvant-free priming of a tumor-specific immune response against self-tumor antigen

To extend our study outside the realm of viral-associated cancer—which presents a straightforward target for immune control due to the expression of foreign tumor antigen—we adopted the CT26 model of colon cancer, which was derived by chemical carcinogenesis (18). The epitope AH1-A5 of gp70 has previously been identified as a self-antigen in the CT26 model capable of expanding tumor-reactive CTLs (19). Thus, we treated CT26-bearing mice with cisplatin together with intratumoral AH1-A5 peptide (Fig. 6A). This combined regimen led to sustained, stable control of disease (Fig. 6B), prolonged survival of mice (Fig. 6C), and a higher frequency of AH1-A5-specific CTLs (Fig. 6D) compared with either monotherapy (with cisplatin or intratumoral AH1-A5) or bitherapy with cisplatin and subcutaneous AH1-A5. We conclude that chemotherapy supports the priming of an adaptive immune response within the tumor against foreign as well as self-tumor antigen. Thus, the immune-based therapy described here represents a universal approach, which may be effective against a wide spectrum of cancer types.

Chemotherapy together with targeted systemic delivery of antigen to the tumor is an effective approach to control cancer

Because downregulation or loss of tumor antigen is a prevalent—and sometimes predominant—mode of tumor immune escape (20), the delivery of exogenous antigen into the tumor microenvironment may be a requirement in the
clinical setting. However, intratumoral injection is often impractical as a means of antigen delivery, particularly in the context of disseminated cancer or a tumor embedded deeply within solid tissue. To overcome this hurdle, we used the CD13-binding peptide CNGRC to target antigen to tumor tissue after systemic injection. CD13 is an aminopeptidase abundantly present on the surface of tumor endothelial cells, and thus represents a suitable target for antigen delivery to the tumor (21, 22). After intravenous injection of FITC-labeled CNGRC peptide into TC-1–bearing mice, more than 5% of cells harvested from tumor tissue were FITC⁺ (compared with only 0.1% of cells from tumor of mice administered with FITC-labeled GGGGG control peptide; Fig. 7A); of these FITC⁺ cells, more than 15% were CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (Fig. 7B). Furthermore, tumor-infiltrating CD11c⁺ dendritic cells isolated from mice administered by intravenous route with CNGRC-conjugated E7 peptide had 5 times greater capacity to activate E7-specific CTLs compared with those from mice administered with unconjugated E7 peptide (Fig. 7C). Combined delivery of cisplatin together with CNGRC-E7 had much a greater systemic E7-specific CTL-mediated immune response than mice administered with either agent alone (Fig. 7G and H). Thus, we have provided a proof-of-principle demonstration that the therapy developed here can be readily and conveniently applied to the clinical management of a wide variety of human cancer types.

In summary, our study reveals for the first time that the tumor microenvironment is converted by chemotherapy into a site permissive for vaccination to elicit a local and systemic immune response without the need for additional adjuvant. This immune response is driven by the accumulation of dendritic cells in the tumor, followed by their maturation, migration to lymph nodes, and priming of tumor-specific CD8⁺ T cells in a type I IFN-dependent manner. Our data further indicate that antigen density within the tumor is an important determinant of the outcome of immune surveillance following chemotherapy. These findings have significant clinical implications and introduce a new direction for the development of immune-based therapy for cancer.

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that a broad range of pharmacologic agents for cancer therapy fundamentally alters the tumor microenvironment into a site that permits a productive tumor-specific local and systemic immune response. These
results show that while the tumor might predominately exist in an immune-suppressive state, this state is highly dynamic and can be reversed by pharmacologic intervention. Thus, our data provide impetus for the development and clinical translation of novel immune-based strategies for cancer therapy.

We found that antigen delivery into the tumor in the context of chemotherapy was sufficient to generate a strong antigen-specific CD8+ CTL immune response, which led to effective and persistent control of local and disseminated disease. Notably, our approach applies broadly regardless of the type of antigen (peptide or protein) or the class of chemotherapy. Furthermore, the approach can be extended to antigens not directly associated with the tumor. For example, we observed that intratumoral injection with foreign peptide (Ova) led to the generation of Ova-specific CD8+ T cells as well as therapeutic antitumor effects against non-Ova–expressing tumors (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Several potential mechanisms may account for the observed antitumor therapeutic effects generated by the intratumoral injection of Ova. For example, the uptake of Ova by tumor stromal cells, such as CD11b+ myeloid derived cells, may lead to the processing and presentation of Ova peptide through the class I MHC pathway, rendering CD11b+ myeloid cells susceptible to Ova-specific CD8+ T-cell–mediated killing. Zhang and colleagues previously observed that treatment of tumors with a chemotherapeutic drug could lead to the loading of CD11b+ tumor stromal cells with tumor-specific peptide presented by MHC class I molecules (23). Thus, our study is consistent with Zhang and colleagues’ study in that the CD11b+ tumor stromal cells are capable of processing and presenting the antigenic peptide making them sensitized for T-cell–mediated killing. In addition, the antitumor effect generated by our approach may lead to the release of tumor antigen, such as E7 in TC-1 tumors, resulting in enhanced cross-priming of CD8+ T cells reactive against intrinsic E7. Thus, the antitumor effect observed following the intratumoral injection of antigen, such as Ova, may be accounted for by different mechanisms.

In addition to being effective using a variety of antigens, our approach does not require administration of exogenous adjuvants beyond chemotherapy. The vast majority of vaccination regimens for cancer or infectious disease rely on adjuvants to create a potent immune response. However, very few adjuvants have been approved for clinical use, and the administration of
adjuvants carries intrinsic risks of substantial side effects. Thus, our study has important translational value. From a scientific point of view, the ability of chemotherapy to elicit an adaptive immune response within the tumor in the absence of vaccine adjuvants indicates that the tumor microenvironment is an ideal site for the priming of CTLs. Notably, delivery of antigen into subcutaneous tissue—the most common vaccination site—without adjuvants did not elicit a detectable immune response. This discovery challenges the prevailing notion of the tumor as an inherently immune-suppressive site and underscores the dynamic nature of interactions between the tumor and the immune system. We posit a model in which the tumor is at equilibrium in an immune-suppressive state imposed by an inhibitory cytokine milieu, an extensive checkpoint network, and an abundance of regulatory immune cells. This immune-suppressive state is opposed by a variety of components, including proinflammatory factors, stimulatory immune cells, and effector CTLs. Our study reveals that chemotherapy shifts this balance of forces in favor of an immune-supportive state within the tumor.

In the current study, we have observed the accumulation of CD11c+ dendritic cells in the tumor after treatment with cisplatin, which led to enhanced activation of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells subsequent to intratumoral injection of antigen. We have explored several factors that may contribute to the observed phenomenon. We observed that MCP-1 (CCL2) was upregulated following chemotherapy (Fig. 4A). MCP-1 was previously shown to be closely related to the migration of dendritic cells (24, 25). Thus, the upregulation of MCP-1 may account for the accumulation of CD11c+ dendritic cells in tumor loci, although we cannot exclude the contribution of other molecules to this process.

Figure 6. Immune response to a self-tumor antigen elicited by chemotherapy and intratumoral (i.t.) peptide injection. BALB/c mice were inoculated subcutaneously with CT26 tumor cells and treated with various combinations of cisplatin (Cis) and the self-tumor antigen AH1-A5, as indicated. Immune cell subsets were analyzed 1 week after the last antigen delivery. A, schematic diagram of the therapy regimen. B, scatter plot of tumor growth kinetics. C, Kaplan–Meier survival plot. D, left, flow cytometry dot plot depicting the frequency of IFN-γ-secreting CD8+ T cells (top right quadrant) in the circulation. Right, bar graph quantification of the data (mean ± SD). *P < 0.05.
In the current study, we focused on the TLR4 and type I IFN activation pathways for the generation of antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells. It has previously been shown that the anticancer immune response induced by chemotherapy is dependent on the contribution of TLR4 and involves HMGB-1 action on TLR4 (8). We have observed that tumor cell treatment with cisplatin can lead to the release of HMGB1 (see Supplementary Fig. S2). The release of HMGB1 is likely important for the activation of the TLR4 pathway. Previously, it has been shown that the type I IFN pathway is involved in the maturation and migration of dendritic cells and T-cell priming (26). Consequently, we focused on the type I IFN pathway. We have observed that the activation of both the type I IFN and TLR4 pathways is important for the generation of antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells.

By examining the influence of Mcp-1 along with the TLR4 and type I IFN pathways, we have identified a potential mechanism through which the immune-supportive state is established in the tumor. In particular, chemotherapy induces the infiltration of dendritic cells into the tumor. Upon encounter with antigen, these dendritic cells undergo activation and migration to draining lymph nodes where they stimulate tumor-specific CTLs. The infiltration of dendritic cells into the tumor is most likely mediated by the chemokine Mcp-1, as we observed elevated levels of this chemokine in the tumor.
following chemotherapy. Furthermore, the maturation of dendritic cells and their migration to lymph nodes is dependent on the type I IFN pathway, as: (i) IFN-β levels were increased in the tumor after chemotherapy, and (ii) IFNAR-deficient mice exhibit defective migration of antigen-loaded dendritic cells into tumor-draining lymph nodes, as well as severely compromised immune response and antitumor effects following vaccination. The release of type I IFN in dendritic cells is most likely triggered through the TLR4 pathway, as the immune-stimulatory effects of chemotherapy are abolished in TLR4-deficient mice. Notably, tumor cells treated with chemotherapy release high levels of HMGB1, a ligand for TLR4. Taken together, one possible scenario underlying the immune-stimulatory effects of chemotherapy on the tumor microenvironment is: (i) chemotherapy causes tumor cells to release HMGB1. (ii) HMGB1 binds to TLR4 on resident dendritic cells and causes them to secrete Mcp-1 and type I IFN, (iii) Mcp-1 attracts a large number of dendritic cells into the tumor, whereas type I IFN induces their maturation and migration into draining lymph nodes, and (iv) antigen-loaded dendritic cells stimulate cognate CTLs to attack the tumor. Apparently, there are other potential pathways and/or sequences that may contribute to the observed phenomena in our study. In addition, we observed that chemotherapy also reduces the number of systemic myeloid-derived suppressor cells in tumor-bearing mice (Supplementary Fig. S3) and shifts the macrophage population in the tumor toward a stimulatory M1 phenotype (Supplementary Fig. S4).

This model also explains our observation that antigen density within the tumor is an important determinant of the immune response and clinical outcome. Consistent with this idea, we found that within the lymph nodes, dendritic cells loaded with tumor antigen had higher activation status than dendritic cells without antigen. Thus, we conclude that a foreign antigen loaded with tumor antigen had higher activation status than dendritic cells without antigen. Furthermore, this technology could in principle be readily applied to any tumor type with defined antigen. In a case in which the tumor does not possess any such defined antigen, we propose that a foreign antigen—for example, a viral antigen that many individuals have preexisting memory CTLs against—may instead be targeted to the tumor. We therefore believe that this approach may warrant future clinical translation.
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