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Abstract

Ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) is an attractive target for anticancer agents given its central function in DNA synthesis, growth, metastasis, and drug resistance of cancer cells. The current clinically established RNR inhibitors have the shortcomings of short half-life, drug resistance, and iron chelation. Here, we report the development of a novel class of effective RNR inhibitors addressing these issues. A novel ligand-binding pocket on the RNR small subunit (RRM2) near the C-terminal tail was proposed by computer modeling and verified by site-directed mutagenesis and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques. A compound targeting this pocket was identified by virtual screening of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) diverse small-molecule database. By lead optimization, we developed the novel RNR inhibitor COH29 that acted as a potent inhibitor of both recombinant and cellular human RNR enzymes. COH29 overcame hydroxyurea and gemcitabine resistance in cancer cells. It effectively inhibited proliferation of most cell lines in the NCI 60 human cancer panel, most notably ovarian cancer and leukemia, but exerted little effect on normal fibroblasts or endothelial cells. In mouse xenograft models of human cancer, COH29 treatment reduced tumor growth compared with vehicle. Site-directed mutagenesis, NMR, and surface plasmon resonance biosensor studies confirmed COH29 binding to the proposed ligand-binding pocket and offered evidence for assembly blockade of the RRM1-RRM2 quaternary structure. Our findings offer preclinical validation of COH29 as a promising new class of RNR inhibitors with a new mechanism of inhibition, with broad potential for improved treatment of human cancer.
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Introduction

Cancer remains a leading cause of death worldwide. In the United States alone, annual incidence exceeds one million with more than 500,000 deaths. There is still an unmet need for novel highly effective and selective antitumor agents with low toxicity that do not readily elicit tumor resistance. An attractive target is ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), the only enzyme responsible for the de novo conversion of ribonucleoside diphosphate (NDP) to deoxyribonucleoside diphosphate (dNDP; refs. 1–3). RNR is the key regulator of intracellular dNTP supply (4). Maintenance of a balanced dNTP pool is a fundamental cellular function because the consequences of imbalance in the substrates for DNA synthesis and repair include mutagenesis and cell death. RNR expression and activity is therefore tightly regulated both in the cell cycle and at the DNA damage checkpoints (3, 5). Targeted inhibition of RNR depletes dNTPs, and could lead to aberrant replication forks, S-phase checkpoint activation, and cell-cycle arrest (5).

Human RNR is composed of α subunits (RRM1) that contain the catalytic site and two binding sites for enzyme regulators and β subunits (RRM2) with a binuclear iron cofactor that generates the stable tyrosyl radical necessary for catalysis (6). Reduction of NDP to dNDP at the RRM1 catalytic center requires formation of the active quaternary structure, and transfer of radicals generated in the RRM2 subunit (·45 kDa) to the RRM1 subunit (·85 kDa) via a proposed 35 Å proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) pathway (7). Until recently, the active quaternary structure of RNR holoenzyme as well as the PCET pathway was unclear (8). However, the C-terminal tail of RRM2 is involved in the RRM1-RRM2 interface formation and radical transfer (9).

Normal cells with a low proliferative status express very low levels of RNR, whereas neoplastic cells overexpress RNR to manufacture dNTP pools to support DNA synthesis and proliferation. While both RRM1 and RRM2 are required for RNR
hologenezyme activity, each subunit has differing significance in cancer. Overexpression of RRM2 promotes transformation and tumorigenic potential via its cooperation with several activated oncopgenes (10). Conversely, overexpression of RRM1 suppresses malignant potential in vivo (11). In cancer cells in vitro, increased expression of RRM2 increased drug-resistance and invasive potential, whereas RRM2 suppression reversed drug resistance and decreased proliferation (12–15). Clearly, RNR is directly involved in tumor growth, metastasis, and drug resistance. Therefore RNR, especially the RRM2 subunit, is an important target for anticancer agents. Strategies for RNR inhibition include free-radical quenching (radical scavenger), dinuclear iron center disruption (iron chelator), interfering with catalysis and regulation at the RRM1 subunit by nucleoside analogs, perturbation of critical interactions between subunits, and inhibition of RRM1 or RRM2 expression (3, 16, 17).

There is clinical experience for three RNR inhibitors, hydroxyurea, 3-aminopyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone (3-AP, Triapine), and GTI2040. Hydroxyurea has a 30 year history as a cancer therapeutic agent and blocks DNA synthesis by reducing the RNR free radical (18). However, resistance to hydroxyurea is readily developed, limiting its usefulness. 3-AP, which is in human phase II clinical testing, relies on iron chelation. Toxicities reported from the phase I trial were hypoxia, respiratory distress, and methemoglobinemia, apparently due to iron chelation in the red blood cells of the patient (19). We observed that 3-AP was associated with iron chelation in inhibition of the alternate β subunit, p53R2 (20). The RRM2 antisense oligonucleotide, GTI2040, showed strong antitumor activity in animal models; however, it had no significant benefit in human phase II clinical testing, relies on iron chelation. Toxicities reported from the phase I trial were hypoxia, respiratory distress, and methemoglobinemia, apparently due to iron chelation in the red blood cells of the patient. We observed that 3-AP was associated with iron chelation in inhibition of the alternate β subunit, p53R2 (20). The RRM2 antisense oligonucleotide, GTI2040, showed strong antitumor activity in animal models; however, it had no significant benefit in human phase II trials (21, 22). In summary, the current RNR inhibitors have drawbacks, including short half-life, enzyme recovery, and strong iron chelation. Effective and specific RNR inhibitors for the clinic have yet to be developed. We present here the development using structure- and mechanism-based approaches of a novel potent RNR inhibitor, COH29, which binds a novel pocket located at the RRM1 and RRM2 interface. COH29 could overcome the known drawbacks of the existing RNR inhibitors and is a potentially attractive antineoplastic agent.

Materials and Methods

Protein expression, purification, qualitative, and quantitative analysis

Native hRRM1 and hRRM2 and mutant hRRM2 for in vitro activity assays were expressed in E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) (Stratagene), purified by Ni-NTA (Novagen) affinity chromatography, and qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed as previously described (23). Vector pET28α containing the hRRM2 cDNA (pET-hRRM2) was the template for site-directed mutagenesis essentially, as previously described (23). Oligonucleotide primers synthesized using an Applied Biosystems DNA/RNA synthesizer (Model 392) were designed to generate the following mutations by PCR: Gly223Val, Ser263Lys, Gly267-Tyr369Phe, and Met372Phe.

For nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies, perdeuterated proteins were prepared by growing cells in 2H2O-M9 medium containing [U-2H] glucose (24). Details are provided in Supplementary Data.

Virtual-screening workflow

A working database prepared from known RRM2 inhibitors combined with 1,441 compounds from the cleaned Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP) NCI Diversity Set free-for-public access database of compounds representing the NCI library of 250,253 compounds (NCI2000) was virtually screened against pocket 5 using SYBYL FlexX docking tool (Tripos-Certara, Inc.). DTP compounds that successfully docked into pocket 5 were ranked using an embedded consensus docking score (25) and compared with known hRRM2 inhibitors. The 80 compounds with the highest docking scores and binding energies superior to the known inhibitors were compiled into a hit list, and obtained from NCI for in vitro validation. Details of the procedure have been described previously (26).

In vitro activity and screening assay

The activities of recombinant hRRM2/hRRM1 were measured using a modified [3H] CDP reduction assay (5) as previously described (23). Measurement of RNR activity in cell lysates was done as previously described (27, 28). Hit compounds (100 μmol/L) were initially screened using an in vitro RNR activity.

hRRM2 structure model, docking, and NMR validation

We previously described the protein structure model (23). See the Supplementary Data for details of the docking protocols. The FlexX docking procedure was conducted as previously described (26). One-dimensional (1D) 1H NMR and saturation transfer difference NMR (29) experiments were carried out and analyzed as detailed in the Supplementary Data.

Surface plasmon resonance analysis

The surface plasmon resonance measurements were conducted using Biacore T1000 equipped with hRRM2 immobilized onto research grade CM4 sensor chips. See the Supplementary Data for further details.

Real-time cell proliferation, viability assays, and cell-cycle analysis

The human epidermal carcinoma cell line KB was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Penicillin–Streptomycin (GibcoBRL). Development of the gemicitabine-resistant clone (KB-Gem) and hydroxyurea-resistant clone (KB-HUR) have been described previously (12, 13). Human normal dermal fibroblasts (N HDF) and umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from Clonetics, Lonza. Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

Cell proliferation was monitored using a W200 real-time cell electronic sensing analyzer (RT-CES) 1X6 workstation (Area Biosciences; ref. 30). Each well of the RT-CES plate was seeded with 2,500 cells. Twenty-four hours later, the index and curve of cell proliferation of quadruplicate samples were monitored and plotted every half hour for 120 hours. Viability was assessed by MTS assays was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions (CellTiter 96 AQueous Assay reagent; Promega) on
10 replicates of 2,500 cells per well in a 96-well plate treated with test drugs for 72 hours. The IC<sub>50</sub> was the concentration of compound that reduced viability to 50% of a DMSO control. Cell-cycle distribution and apoptosis were determined using a Becton Dickinson FACScalibur flow cytometer to detect propidium iodide and Annexin V signal as previously described (30).

**Mouse tumor xenografts**

On the basis of protocol 07050 approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of City of Hope (Duarte, CA), Female NSG mice (NOD/SCID/IL2Rgamma null, from Jackson Laboratories) aged 8 to 10 weeks were supplied by Animal Resources Committee of City of Hope. Each mouse was injected with 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> Molt-4 or TOV-112D cells (obtained from the ATCC) subcutaneously in the right flank and tumor volume was monitored (0.5 mm<sup>3</sup>/L<sup>2</sup>). After the tumors reached approximately 70 mm<sup>3</sup>, COH29 in 30% solutol was administered daily by gavage in a one- or two-dose schedule. Mice were sacrificed on the 28th day after cancer cell transplantation.

**COH29 HPLC-MS/MS assay**

COH29 in mouse plasma was measured using an high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric assay. All reagents were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Instrumentation consisted of an Agilent 1100 Capillary LC system (Agilent Technologies) in line with a Micromass Quattro Ultima Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (Micromass). Further details are given in the Supplementary Data.

**Results**

**Protein structure description**

To design a novel class of specific RRM2 inhibitors, we used the only human RRM2 structure then available, PDB ID 2UW2. The main structural motif of hRRM2 is eight bundled long helices (α<sub>G</sub> to α<sub>H</sub>) with connecting shorter helices (α<sub>1</sub> to α<sub>3</sub>) and loops. The unusual α-barrel structure has three layers of helices hosting a diiron-radical cofactor and a structurally flexible C-terminal tail (C-loop; Fig. 1A) invisible by current biophysical techniques, but known to be at the RRM1–RRM2 C-loop region.

**Figure 1.** Identification of the hRRM2 ligand binding pocket and the key compounds. A, two views of cartoon diagram of hRRM2 with the top level (helices α<sub>G</sub>, α<sub>H</sub>; cyan), middle level (helices α<sub>D</sub>, α<sub>E</sub>, α<sub>F</sub>; violet), bottom level (α<sub>A</sub>, α<sub>B</sub>, α<sub>C</sub>; yellow), dinuclear irons (orange), radical harboring Y176 (blue), and pocket 5 colored green. C-loop, C-terminal, N-terminal, M1–M2 interface, and M2–M2 interface are marked. The figure was drawn with SybylX1.3. B–E, structure and IC<sub>50</sub> of the key compounds COH4, COH20, and COH29, which have substitutions in the binding and/or pharmacophore groups from COH3.
interface and involved in the radical transfer (9). The exact active quaternary structure of the mammalian class Ia RNR holoenzyme is still unknown. The model of the R1R2 holoenzyme complex built based on the E. coli subunit structures, where the top layer αH and the C-loop are close to the RRM1-RRM2 interface, is the most accepted (31, 32).

**Ligand-binding pocket identification**

We identified five ligand-binding pockets by mapping the hRRM2 structure. We discounted four pockets for either being too close to the iron center or too shallow. The largest cavity (pocket 5) had the greatest potential for RNR inhibition and was encased by 32 amino acid residues from the hRRM2 αE, αF, and αH helices, and the C-loop and is sufficiently far from the iron center to avoid ligand-induced iron chelation (Fig. 1A).

We tested these five pockets against known RRM2 ligands using the SYBYL FlexX built-in docking site search algorithm, which identifies the most fitted sites by mapping the entire protein (25). The majority of the test database compounds of about 100 known RR ligands, including the inhibitors 3-AP, hydroxyurea, and the hydroxyurea derivative Schiff bases of hydroxysemicarbazide (SB-HSC), were docked into pocket 5 of hRRM2 by FlexX, consistent with the SiteID prediction. The most potent SB-HSC inhibitor of RRM2, compound HSC21 (20), docked at pocket 5 with numerous hydrogen bonds and charge interactions with the protein, indicating a reasonable shape and energetic fit, validating this pocket for further inhibitor screening.

**Inhibitor identification, SAR, and ligand-based optimization**

We virtually screened the structure diversified NCI Diversity Set (NCI2000) for novel RRM2 inhibitors, then tested a hit list of 80 compounds using an *in vitro* RNR activity assay. Ten compounds inhibited the activity of the recombinant human RRM2/RRM1 complex by more than 50%, and four by more than 80%. Of these, compound NSC#659390 had the most RRM2/RRM1 complex by more than 50%, and four by more than 80%. Of these, compound NSC#659390 had the most favorable solubility and toxicity, and we used this formula to synthesize compound COH3 for further study.

COH3 is an aromatically substituted thiazole compound consisting of ring systems A and B flanking a linking group (Fig. 1B). We explored the pharmacophore features by structure–activity relationship (SAR) analysis of 20 COH3 analogs (33). RNR inhibition was abolished by removing the 3, 4-dihydroxyl group from the phenyl ring, replacing it by 3, 5-dihydroxyl, or adding one more OH group, suggesting the dihydroxyl functional group at ortho position is mandatory for inhibition. Deleting the linking group, or replacing the binding group with bulkier or hydrophobic groups decreased RNR inhibition. Adding 3, 4-OH groups on the benzene (B) ring binding group (COH20, Fig. 1D) increased inhibition of recombinant RNR compared with COH3. We concluded that inhibition of RNR by the compound was likely due to radical quenching by the 3, 4-dihydroxyl group, which is proposed as the pharmacophore. The pharmacophore model that we generated for lead compound optimization is shown in Supplementary Fig. S1.

**Ligand-binding mode by computer modeling**

Pocket 5 is v-shaped with polar and charged residues at the surface opening and the tip touching the residues close to the interior diiron center (Figs. 1A, 2A). Docking studies of COH20 suggested several binding poses; however, the flat conformation had the best docking score and consistency with the experimental results. In this conformation, the pharmacophore group 3, 4-dihydroxyl of COH20 formed hydrogen bonds with Tyr323 and Met350, whereas the binding group extended outside the pocket, implying that structural hindrance between it and the charged surface residues Asp271 and Glu334 prevented deep docking into the pocket. This is consistent with our SAR analysis showing that the bulkiness and hydrophobicity of the binding group attenuated inhibition. Other important features are that Tyr323 is the only pocket 5 tyrosine residue with a hydroxyl group of redox potential, and that the Arg330 at the edge of the pocket, between Tyr323 and Asp271, forms multiple hydrogen bonds with COH20 and caps the pocket.

**Site-directed mutagenesis study**

We validated pocket 5 and the ligand-binding mode by site-directed mutagenesis of twelve pocket 5 residues and two
A space between COH20 and Gly233-hRRM2 of the protein interactions and unambiguously confirmed Arg330 involvement in the ligand binding (Supplementary Fig. S2; Supplementary Table S1).

Structure-based optimization of the lead compounds

We conducted another round of lead optimization based on structural features of the ligand-binding pocket and the proposed flat conformation ligand-binding mode, in which there is a space between COH20 and Gly233-hRRM2 of the αE-helix deep in the pocket (Fig. 2A). First, we tested whether replacement of Gly233 with valine, which is longer and bulkier, could provide extra protein-ligand interactions. The G223V mutant retained most of the enzyme activity (80% of wild-type, Table 1; Supplementary Fig. S3B); however, 10 μmol/L COH20 markedly decreased the in vitro relative activity to 10% for G233V vs. 60% for wild-type protein, Fig. 2B). The point mutation contributed 50% activity loss, indicating Gly233 is involved in the inhibition and Val233 significantly contributes to this as predicted. By NMR analysis, G233V caused significant line broadening of COH20 (Supplementary Fig. S2E), showing the mutation changed the ligand–protein interaction exchange rates or binding kinetics. The sum of the results suggested that the inhibitory activity of COH20 could be optimized by addition of a side chain. We synthesized and tested compounds with a variety of side chains (33). The most effective was COH29 (Fig. 1E), N-(4-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5-phenylthiazol-2-yl)-3,4-dihydroxybenzamide, which had an extra phenyl ring structure from the linking aminothiazole ring of COH20 (Fig. 1D). COH29 inhibited recombinant RRM1/RRM2 activity in vitro with an IC50 of 16 μmol/L. Docking of COH29 to hRRM2 showed a similar binding mode in pocket 5 as COH20 with the extra phenyl ring touching Gly233 as predicted (Supplementary Fig. S3A). Mutagenesis studies confirmed residues Asp271, Tyr323, Phe326, Val327, and Met350 are involved in COH29 binding.

Table 1. Relative enzyme activity of wild-type and mutant hRRM2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Relative activity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>100 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E291A</td>
<td>99.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand-binding pocket</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G233V</td>
<td>85.7 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F236A</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F240A</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S263L</td>
<td>29.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G267V</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D271A</td>
<td>86.9 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y323F</td>
<td>57.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F326A</td>
<td>64.3 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R330A</td>
<td>91.6 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E334R</td>
<td>18.8 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N345A</td>
<td>70.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M350G</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-loop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y369F</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M372F</td>
<td>89.6 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Protein activity expressed as percentage of dCDP formation (see Materials and Methods). Enzyme activity was measured in the presence of 0.5 μmol/L hRRM1 and 2 μmol/L hRRM2 in 100 μL total sample volume. Each value is the average of at least four determinations with deviations < 0.3.

C-loop residues (Table 1). A non-pocket mutant E291A served as internal control. Six pocket residue mutations significantly altered or abolished RNR activity, indicating that they are critical to enzyme function and stability. We tested the effect in vitro of 10 μmol/L COH20 on wild-type protein and six mutants; recombinant wild-type hRNR activity was inhibited by 40%, and RRM1/RRM2-R330A activity by more than 75%, equating to a 40% increased sensitivity (Fig. 2B). We found similar results with G233V and D271A mutants, implicating these residues in ligand binding. In contrast, for both the S263L and E334R mutations inhibition was attenuated, indicating the positive roles for these residues in the inhibitor binding. The 40% increased COH20 inhibition by the C-terminal mutant M372F indicated that COH20 disturbed C-terminal function of the wild-type protein. One-dimensional (1D) 1H NMR and saturation transfer difference NMR validated these ligand–protein interactions and unambiguously confirmed Arg330 involvement in the ligand binding (Supplementary Fig. S2; Supplementary Table S1).

Figure 3. COH29 interferes with the RRM1–RRM2 interaction. Representative sensorgrams of the interaction of 0.0–50.0 μmol/L COH29 with immobilized full-length hRRM2 (A), C-terminal truncated hRRM2 (B), 0.0–1.0 μmol/L hRRM1 with immobilized hRRM2 (K0 = 0.07844 μmol/L; C), and COH29 (0.0–50.0 μmol/L; D) and 0.5 μmol/L hRRM1 interaction with immobilized hRRM2.

COH29 binds RRM2, interfering with RRM1–RRM2 interactions

We used surface plasmon resonance biosensor experiments to study real-time COH29–hRRM2 interaction. There was a dose-dependent interaction between immobilized full-length hRRM2 and COH29, reflected in the initial rising portion of the sensorgram (Fig. 3A). Removal of 41 C-terminal amino acids
from hRRM2 greatly decreased COH29 binding (Fig. 3B), showing the C-terminal tail is important. Next, binding of RRM1 to immobilized RRM2 was confirmed over a range of concentrations of RRM1 (0–1 µmol/L; Fig. 3C). When a fixed concentration of RRM1 (0.5 µmol/L) in the presence of escalating COH29 concentrations (0–50 µmol/L) was tested with immobilized RRM2, COH29 interfered with RRM1–RRM2 binding in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3D). COH29 enhanced RRM1–RRM2 interaction at low dose (12.5 µmol/L) but disrupted the complex at higher dose (>25 µmol/L).

**COH29 inhibits intracellular hRNR activity**

We showed that in cultured KB human cancer cells endogenously expressed RNR activity was approximately 50% decreased after 24 hours incubation with 10 µmol/L COH29 (Fig. 4A), whereas hRRM2 protein level was unaffected in both KB and TOV-112D cells (Fig. 4B). We compared the efficacy of COH29 to hydroxyurea and gemcitabine in KB cells. The IC50 of COH29 is 8 µmol/L, similar to that of hydroxyurea (180 µmol/L) in cell proliferation assays (Fig. 4C). Consistent with this, real-time KB cell growth was essentially halted by addition of 20 µmol/L COH29 at 24 hours, whereas cell growth continued after addition of either 20 µmol/L gemcitabine or 1 mmol/L hydroxyurea for approximately 60 to 70 hours (Fig. 4D).

Depletion of dNTP pools is a consequence of RNR inhibition. We assayed the levels of dATP, dCTP, and dGTP at 4, 8, and 24 hours of exposure of MOLT-4 cells to 10 µmol/L COH29. The dNTP levels were differentially affected, but by 24 hours, all pools were greatly decreased (Fig. 4E–G). Unbalanced dNTP pools should activate the replication checkpoint and result in S-phase arrest. Indeed, KB cells were arrested at S-phase after 48 hours of COH29 treatment (Supplementary Fig. S4, bottom), and underwent apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S4, top and middle).

**COH29 and COH20 cytotoxicity in human cancer cells**

The cytotoxicity of COH20 and COH29 was examined with the NCI broad panel of 60 human cancer cell lines. Impressive inhibition of most of the cancer cell lines was observed in *in vitro* MTT cytotoxicity assays, with ovarian cancer and leukemia cells being the most sensitive to 10 µmol/L COH29 and to COH20 (Supplementary Fig. S5). COH29 is more effective than COH20 in most of the cell lines. Importantly, COH29 was 10-fold less toxic to human normal fibroblast cells (NHDF) and HUVECs (Fig. 5A and B) than to the KB cancer cell line (IC50 of 82 µmol/L in NHDF).

**Figure 4.** Effect of COH29 on RNR activity and cell growth. A, time course of RNR activity in the presence of 20 µmol/L COH29 in KB cells in culture. The assay was conducted in triplicate, shown are the mean ± SD. B, Western blot analysis of lysates of KB and TOV112D cell lines treated with 20 µmol/L COH29 for the times indicated. Loading control is β-actin. C, 72-hour cell viability assay for KB cells in the presence of COH29, gemcitabine (Gem), or hydroxyurea (HU). Shown as an insert in the graph is the estimated IC50 for each compound. D, real-time proliferation curves of KB cells over 96 hours after the addition of RNR inhibitors as indicated. Each trace was an average of 4 replicates. E–G, dNTP pools in MOLT-4 cells at different time points in the presence and absence (control) of 10 µmol/L COH29.
COH29 overcomes resistance to gemcitabine and hydroxyurea

We used clones of KB resistant to hydroxyurea (KB-HUR), and gemcitabine (KB- gemcitabine) as model systems to test COH29 in drug-resistant cells. COH29 retained activity in hydroxyurea and gemcitabine-resistant cells in 72 h toxicity assays, with an IC50 of 8 µM in KB-HURs and KB-Gem (Fig. 5C and D). In contrast, hydroxyurea was far less cytotoxic in the KBHURs line than in the parental line (IC50 320 vs. 180 µmol/L, respectively). As expected, gemcitabine was far less active in the resistant clone than in the KB parental line, as shown by the IC50 increasing from 9 to 1,000 µmol/L. The real-time growth curves for KB-HURs in the presence of hydroxyurea (2–50 µmol/L) and COH29 (2–250 µmol/L) clearly showed that the hydroxyurea-resistant cells remained sensitive to COH29 (Supplementary Fig. 5A). Real-time growth curves for KB-Gem in the presence of gemcitabine (20–500 µmol/L) and COH29 (2–250 µmol/L) similarly showed that COH29 cytotoxic activity is strong and is unaffected by gemcitabine resistance (Supplementary Fig. 5B).

In vivo tumor growth inhibition and activity

We investigated the antitumor activity of COH29 using murine tumor xenograft models of MOLT-4 leukemia cells or TOV11LD ovarian cancer cells, which were implanted and allowed to grow until the tumor was measurable at the subcutaneous site before oral administration of COH29 was begun. COH29 resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of tumor xenograft growth (Fig. 6B). Tumor growth was significantly inhibited compared with the control group.

Preliminary investigation of the pharmacokinetics of intravenous versus oral formulations of COH29 showed that following per os administration in female Balb/c nude mice at a dose 800 mg/kg in 30% solutol, COH29 was quickly absorbed with a mean T_max of 0.5 hours, and was slowly eliminated with a mean terminal elimination t½ of 10 hours (Fig. 6C). The COH29 peak plasma concentration was 10 µmol/L. COH29 decreased to approximately 0.2 µmol/L by 24 hours. Compared with the intravenous route of administration, the oral bioavailability of COH29 was determined to be 25%. COH29 was also well tolerated in mice, with no appreciable side effects observed up to a daily dose of 500 mg/kg.

RNR activity in extracts of the MOLT-4 tumor xenografts shown in Fig. 6A was dramatically lower in the tumors from mice that had been treated with COH29 for 12 days (Fig. 6D), confirming that COH29 inhibits its target in vivo. Consistent with decreased RNR activity, intratumoral dNTP pools were also decreased in xenografts from mice treated with COH29 versus control (Fig. 6E–G).

Discussion

Using a structure- and mechanism-based approach, we designed and developed a novel class of RNR inhibitors with potential clinical use, having better drug resistance and cytotoxicity profiles than the clinically established agents. COH29 inhibits RNR activity in vitro in the micromolar range and is active in tissue culture and human tumor xenografts in mice. Using the NCI panel of 60 cancer cell lines, we established that COH29 was broadly active, with ovarian cancer and leukemic
cell lines being particularly susceptible. In contrast, cultured non-malignant human cells, dermal fibroblasts and HUVECs, were 10 times less sensitive to COH29 in viability assays, an encouraging indication that this compound would be relatively non-toxic in vivo where normal cell replication is lower than in cell culture. COH29 did not affect the expression of RRM2 in vitro, and we conclude that in vitro inhibition of RNR is via a direct effect on the enzyme activity and not by regulation of protein expression. Furthermore, in cell cultures and in tumor xenografts, we consistently detected the primary biologic consequence of RNR inhibition—perturbation of dNTP pools. Consistent with inhibition of RNR and its established role of catalyzing the rate-limiting step in dNTP synthesis and therefore DNA synthesis (1, 2), we observed S-phase arrest in cell cultures treated with COH29.

Our successful development of COH29 is based on the novel binding pocket, we identified (33), which is located in a position close to the R1-R2 interface that makes it potentially capable of multiple functional and biologically relevant effects. Notably, at the pocket apex, the helix E residues Gly233 and Phe236 are close to the interior diiron center; near the surface, residues Asp271, Arg330, and Glu334 form a charged cluster opposite the hydrophobic moiety capable of specific aromatic interactions formed by residues Phe236, Phe240, Tyr323, and Phe326. The C-loop of hRRM2 encloses the pocket close to the RRM2-RRM1 interface. Potential inhibitory ligand modes of action include: interference with RRM1-RRM2 holoenzyme assembly and radical transfer, disturbance of the iron center, and blocking the oxygen passage channel. The surface plasmon resonance biosensor experiments confirmed that COH29 binds hRRM2, involving the hRRM2 C-terminal tail; more importantly, COH29 interferes with RRM1-RRM2 interactions, therefore disrupting holoenzyme complex formation. This study suggested a new RNR inhibition mechanism acting via interference with the RRM2 side of the RRM1-RRM2 interface.
Currently, many groups are investigating several proposed mechanisms for RNR inhibition (34–36). Recently, Luo and Gräsland proposed a binding pocket in a similar position to our pocket 5 in a molecular docking study of metal complexes of Triapine (3-AP) using a mouse RRM2 model (36). They found two binding pockets because of the smaller size of their ligand, which also docked deeper into the iron site. A mechanism of ligabilization of the RRM2 diferric center by 3-AP and subsequent 3-AP action as an iron chelator was proposed. In line with their finding, we noted that mutations around the tip of pocket 5 significantly reduced the enzyme activity (F236A, G267V; Table 1; Supplementary Fig. S3B), supporting disturbance of the iron center by 3-AP. We note that clinically 3-AP produced iron chelation–related toxicities (19) that we predict COH29 will avoid as our models indicate that it does not bind as deep into the iron site.

COH29 is a potential radical quencher due to the 3, 4-dihydroxybenzene group, which has a reduction potential lower than tyrosine (37). In a similar compound, 3, 4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA), the 3, 4-dihydroxy group was readily oxidized and served as a radical trap (37). Substitution of the C-terminal residue in the PCET pathway, Tyr356 in E. coli RRM2 (Tyr369 in hRRM2), with DOPA resulted in the formation of a DOPA radical intercepting the radical transfer pathway and diminishing RNR activity (38, 39). COH29 binds close to the C-terminal loop and helix, and it is possible that it inhibited the enzyme activity by ligand-radical interception. Therefore, the ligands of the novel binding pocket could have multiple modes of inhibition of RNR activity, which makes the pocket an important and effective target for therapeutic agent design. The multiple functional features of the pocket and the structural features of COH29 may allow for multiple modalities of interference with RRM2 functions, in turn resulting in the high efficacy of the inhibitor.

We also compared the activity of COH29 to the clinically established RNR inhibitors hydroxyurea and gemcitabine, neither of which are specific RNR inhibitors (17), in tumor cell cultures. In addition to off-target effects, acquired resistance to these drugs has limited their clinical effectiveness. For nearly two decades, we have used the KB epithelial cell line to study RNR and have derived hydroxyurea- and gemcitabine-resistant clones (12, 13). Interestingly, we observed no increase in RRM2 expression in response to COH29. Similar to our results with COH29, others have found that 3-AP is active in KB-HURs (40). Taken together with preliminary evidence that COH29 is orally bioavailable and is well tolerated in mice, all evidence indicates that COH29 is an attractive antineoplastic agent for further development.
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