Small GTPase RhoE/Rnd3 Is a Critical Regulator of Notch1 Signaling
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Abstract

Aberrations of Notch signaling have been implicated in a variety of human cancers. Oncogenic mutations in NOTCH1 are common in human T-cell leukemia and lymphomas. However, loss-of-function somatic mutations in NOTCH1 arising in solid tumors imply a tumor suppressor function, which highlights the need to understand Notch signaling more completely. Here, we describe the small GTPase RhoE/Rnd3 as a downstream mediator of Notch signaling in squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) that arise in skin epithelia. RhoE is a transcriptional target of activated Notch1, which is attenuated broadly in SCC cells. RhoE depletion suppresses Notch1-mediated signaling in vitro, rendering primary keratinocytes resistant to Notch1-mediated differentiation and thereby favoring a proliferative cell fate. Mechanistic investigations indicated that RhoE controls a key step in Notch1 signaling by mediating nuclear translocation of the activated portion of Notch1 (N1IC) through interaction with importins. Our results define RhoE as a Notch1 target that is essential for recruitment of N1IC to the promoters of Notch1 target genes, establishing a regulatory feedback loop in Notch1 signaling. This molecular circuitry may inform distinct cell fate decisions to Notch1 in epithelial tissues, where carcinomas such as SCC arise. Cancer Res; 74(7); 1-12. ©2014 AACR.
immunoblotting, and immunofluorescence were as previously described (5). Significant increase or decrease of mRNA levels or %bound chromatin throughout the experiments was considered when \( P < 0.05 \).

**RhoE \( \text{loxP/loxP} \) mice**

Mutant mice were generated at inGenious Targeting Laboratory (detailed strategy for generating the animals is described in the Supplementary Information).

The genotyping PCR primers for the RhoE-loxp-mutant allele were as follows:

P1-F: TGCTGGTGGTAAATCTCAGGC
P2-R: ACTCCAGTCATTCCAAGTCTCCCT

**Promoter activity assays**

RhoE-luc, Hey2-luc, HES1-AB-luc, and CSL-responsive luciferase reporter constructs were previously described (2, 5, 22).

**In vitro differentiation assay**

Primary HKCs were brought into suspension and plated on Petri dishes coated with poly-HEMA (10 mg/mL in ethanol, Sigma). At indicated time-points, cells were collected by centrifugation and processed for total RNA preparation (RNeasy, Qiagen).

**In vivo cysts formation assays**

For in vivo cyst formation assays, control and RhoE siRNA-transfected cells were brought into suspension and injected (1.5 \( \times 10^6 \) cells/injection) intradermally in 8-week-old female athymic nude mice. Seven days later, animals were sacrificed and formed cysts were excised and frozen in optimal cutting temperature compound. Sections were analyzed by hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunolabeling with a Keratin 5 and Keratin 10 antibody.

**Results**

**RhoE/RND3 and Notch1 levels correlate in human squamous cell carcinoma**

Because exome sequencing data of oral and skin SCCs did not identify mutations in RhoE (6–8), we sought to determine its expression pattern in patient-derived SCC samples as well as SCC cell lines. We observed down-modulated Notch1 expression levels, lower levels of activated Notch1, and decreased RhoE expression in SCC samples as compared with controls (Fig. 1A and B). A similar analysis of a panel of patient-derived oral or skin SCC samples and normal controls (32 total number of samples) showed a significant suppression of RhoE expression in the SCC samples as compared with the matched controls (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. S1).

**RhoE is a direct transcriptional target gene of Notch signaling in squamous epithelium**

To investigate the possibility that RhoE is a downstream effector of Notch signaling in squamous epithelial cells, we checked its expression in primary HKC infected with an adenovirus expressing activated form of Notch1 (AdN1IC) and detected a significant induction of RhoE mRNA and protein levels upon ectopic expression of activated Notch1 (N1IC; Fig. 2A). This effect was specific for RhoE only because other members of the same family of proteins remained unchanged under similar condition (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). In addition, Notch1 seems to be a specific activator of RhoE expression in keratinocytes because down modulation of Notch2 was not sufficient to modulate RhoE levels (Supplementary Fig. S2C). Alternatively, to mimic endogenous activation of Notch1 by ligand interaction with neighboring cells, HKC were cocultured for 48 hours with NIH3T3 fibroblasts expressing the full-length Jagged 1 ligand (2, 23). Real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) analysis with human-specific primers showed that RhoE mRNA was increased significantly (Fig. 2B) correlating to increased mRNA levels of the Notch target gene Hey2 (Supplementary Fig. S3). Conversely, to assess the effects of suppression of endogenous Notch signaling in HKC on RhoE expression, we relied on two complementary approaches. Treatment with γ-secretase inhibitors, for example (N-[3,5-Difluorophenyl]acetyl-l-α-alanyl-2-phenylglycine-1,1-dimethylethyl ester (DAPT), blocks activation of endogenous Notch receptors (24, 25), whereas retrovirus-mediated expression of a 51-amino-acid peptide corresponding to the amino-terminus of the MAML1 protein (MAM51) provides an effective method to suppress canonical Notch/CSL/MAML-dependent transcription (26). Both conditions resulted in suppression of RhoE mRNA (Fig. 2C, left and D) and protein levels (Fig. 2E). To determine whether de novo protein synthesis is required for suppression of the RhoE expression by DAPT, human primary keratinocytes were pretreated with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide for 2 hours and RhoE levels in the presence or absence of DAPT were assessed by real-time RT-PCR. We observed a decrease of RhoE mRNA expression in the presence of DAPT. When cycloheximide treatment was applied after DAPT wash-out, RhoE mRNA expression returned to control levels (Fig. 2C, right).

We next investigated whether RhoE expression is under Notch control also in the mouse epidermis both in vitro and in vivo. Primary mouse keratinocytes from mice with the Notch1 gene flanked by loxP (Notch1\(^{\text{loxP/loxP}}\)) sites were infected with a Cre-expressing adenovirus (AdCre) for deletion of the Notch1 gene. Efficient loss of Notch1 expression upon infection with AdCre was confirmed by immunoblot analysis with an anti-Notch1 antibody (Fig. 2F). Relative to parallel cultures infected with a control GFP-expressing adenovirus (AdGFP), keratinocytes with deletion of the Notch1 gene exhibited a significantly decreased RhoE mRNA (Fig. 2F). In vivo, conditional keratinocyte-specific deletion of the Notch1 gene in the epidermis upon crossing of the Notch1\(^{\text{loxP/loxP}}\) mice with mice expressing the Cre recombinase from the Keratin 14 promoter, also resulted in markedly decreased RhoE mRNA levels (Fig. 2G).

We next hypothesized that RhoE acts as a direct Notch1/CSL transcriptional target. To determine whether Notch1 transcriptional complex occupies genomic segments of the RhoE promoter in vivo, we used ChIP scanning assay and surveyed approximately 4 kb of genomic DNA upstream of the transcription starting site (TSS) of human RhoE. The scanning ChIP
was performed in primary HKC after immunoprecipitation with an antibody against the endogenous N1IC, and real-time PCR was used for chromatin-binding quantification. We detected a significant enrichment of Notch1 containing complex in the \(-0.4\) kB region of the RhoE promoter (Fig. 3A). Nucleotide sequence analysis of the human RhoE promoter revealed the presence of a consensus CSL-binding site at approximately 0.4 kB upstream of the TSS. Quantitative ChIP assay by real-time PCR using primers targeting the \(-0.4\) kB region containing the CSL-binding site revealed a significant amplification of this genomic fragment. Importantly, binding of activated Notch1 to the RhoE promoter was abrogated upon DAPT treatment (Fig. 3B, left). Cotransfection of an N1IC expression plasmid with a luciferase-linked RhoE promoter construct containing around 2 kb of the genomic region upstream of the RhoE TSS into SCC028 cells resulted in an increased luciferase activity, whereas cotransfection with vector alone failed to do so (Fig. 3C). We next generated a deletion mutation in the \(-0.4\) kB CSL binding (Fig. 3D). The mutated promoter significantly decreased luciferase activity in response to activated Notch1 when compared with the wild-type RhoE promoter (Fig. 3D). These results demonstrate that RhoE is a transcriptional target of Notch1 and that the \(-0.4\) kB CSL-binding site plays a role in Notch-mediated RhoE transcription.

RhoE potentiates Notch1 activity in SCC cells and normal keratinocytes \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}

Next, we examined the biologic significance of RhoE for downstream Notch signaling in SCC cells and observed a suppression of Notch target genes expression upon depletion of RhoE levels with two unique siRNA oligonucleotides (Fig. 4A and B and Supplementary Fig. 4SA). Although the effects of RhoE depletion for the SCC cells were not very striking, they were comparable in magnitude with pharmacologic inhibition of Notch signaling using DAPT (Supplementary Fig. S4B). To explore the effects of a complete suppression of RhoE on Notch1 signaling, we used primary mouse keratinocytes derived from mutant animals, where the first two exons of the...
the RhoE gene are surrounded by loxP sites and transduced them with control AdGFP or AdCre constructs. In agreement with our previous findings, we were able to show that lack of functional RhoE in the mouse epidermis results in decrease of mRNA levels of the Notch target gene Hes1 (Fig. 4C). Notably, the expression of other Rho family members was not affected upon RhoE depletion (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). These results suggest that RhoE is not only a new direct target of Notch1, but also may be required for the ability of Notch to induce its downstream targets. To further address this question, we transfected primary HKC with RhoE siRNA and subsequently infected them with AdN1IC or control AdGFP. Real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that the basal levels of Notch1 targets Hey2 and Hes1 were reduced by RhoE depletion (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). These results suggest that RhoE is not only a new direct target of Notch1, but also may be required for the ability of Notch to induce its downstream targets. To further address this question, we transfected primary HKC with RhoE siRNA and subsequently infected them with AdN1IC or control AdGFP. Real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that the basal levels of Notch1 targets Hey2 and Hes1 were reduced by RhoE depletion (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B).
knockdown (Fig. 4D). To avoid off-target effects of the siRNA, a second RhoE siRNA was used for transfection (Supplementary Fig. S4C). Moreover, the induction of these transcripts by activated Notch1 was also blocked in the RhoE siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 4D). Similar results were obtained for Hes1 protein levels after immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4E). In addition, silencing RhoE expression in SCC028 cells resulted in a significant suppression of Notch1 target Hey2 promoter activity when compared with cells transfected with a control siRNA (Fig. 4F). Applying identical conditions, we observed inhibition of luciferase activity from a reporter construct carrying the promoter of another Notch1 target gene, Hes1 (Hes1-AB). The specificity of the assay was tested with a mutated Hes1 promoter construct devoid of CSL-binding sites in its sequence (Hes1-DAB-luc), which did not respond to RhoE depletion (Fig. 4F).

Because activated Notch1 is a key inducer of growth arrest and commitment to differentiation in both SCC cells and normal HKC (1, 2, 27) and RhoE was previously implicated in control of HKC differentiation (16), we depleted RhoE using siRNA in SCC cells and looked at their differentiation profile. We observed a down modulation of the differentiation marker Keratin 1 (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. S5A, with a second independent RhoE siRNA). To dissect the effect of RhoE on the differentiation program of squamous epithelium and ask the question whether it is part of a disrupted Notch signaling in the system, we used primary HKC and looked at the “suspension” model of Notch-mediated keratinocyte differentiation induced upon loss of attachment to the surface (5, 28). We observed a strong increase of RhoE expression upon keratinocyte differentiation mirroring the expression level of Keratin 1 (Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B). When RhoE expression was knocked down by siRNA approach, the suspension conditions failed to induce Keratin 1 expression (Fig. 5B). In agreement with these findings, overexpression of N1IC was not able to induce expression levels of Keratin1 and Involucrin in keratinocytes with RhoE depletion (Fig. 5C and D).

We next determined the relevance of RhoE in this context in vivo. Primary HKC transfected with control or RhoE siRNA were injected into immunodeficient nude mice at the dermal–epidermal junction, that is, a location allowing the formation of multilayer cysts closely resembling the differentiation profile of the normal epidermis. In control mice, as expected, the injected cells gave rise to cornified epithelial cysts with well...
distinguishable keratinocyte layers starting from a proliferating basal layer and finishing with one or two cornified layers (Fig. 5E, left). However, RhoE siRNA-transfected keratinocytes formed lesions with a significantly expanded basal proliferating layer and missing cornified envelope (Fig. 5E, right). The knockdown of RhoE in the cysts was confirmed by real-time RT-PCR as well as Western blot analysis (Supplementary Fig. S7B), and the effect of RhoE knockdown on cyst formation was reproduced using a second RhoE siRNA (Supplementary Fig. S7C). Immunofluorescence analysis of these cysts further confirmed the expansion of the basal layer of keratinocytes as shown by the increase of Keratin 5 and decrease of Keratin 10 expression in the RhoE siRNA-transfected cells versus control (Fig. 5F). Therefore, our results strongly suggest that RhoE counteracts the "basal cell-like" phenotype in the epidermis, and suppression of RhoE expression results in an increased proliferation and the failure to commit to differentiation in keratinocytes both in vitro and in vivo.

**RhoE binds to Notch1 and mediates its translocation to the nucleus**

Our findings suggest that RhoE and Notch1 are linked by a molecular pathway, whereby activated Notch1 signaling increases the transcription of RhoE, whereas suppression of RhoE levels abrogates downstream Notch1 signaling. Given the trafficking function of several other small GTP-ases (29–32), we hypothesized that RhoE may influence Notch1 transport into the nucleus. Indeed, in U2OS osteosarcoma cells stably expressing Flag-RhoE and infected with AdN1IC, N1IC was found in a complex with RhoE upon reciprocal immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis (Fig. 6A). The interaction in vivo was additionally confirmed by reciprocal immunoprecipitation of endogenous N1IC or RhoE followed by Western blotting for endogenous RhoE or N1IC, respectively, in SCC4 cells (Fig. 6A). GST pull down assay using recombinant N1IC (aa1758-aa2556)-GST fusion protein and Western blot analysis for endogenous RhoE or N1IC, respectively, revealed in extracts from SCC4 cells, immunoprecipitated with an anti-N1IC antibody, and analyzed by Western blot analysis for importin-α and -β1 (Fig. 6E). Importantly, this N1IC/importin-β1 interaction required the presence of RhoE because we observed a decrease of N1IC binding to importin-β1 when RhoE expression was down-modulated (Fig. 6F). Similar findings were obtained using a second set of RhoE siRNA oligos (Supplementary Fig. S9A and S9B).

**RhoE is essential for recruitment of activated Notch1 to its target gene promoters in SCC cells**

We next hypothesized that by mediating the translocation of N1IC to the nucleus, RhoE regulates the ability of N1IC to affect transcription of its target genes. First, we investigated the binding of NICD to the Hes1 promoter after infection with V5-tagged AdN1IC in primary HKC previously transfected with control siRNA versus cells with RhoE siRNA. Knockdown of RhoE expression inhibited the binding of activated Notch1 to the CSL-binding site of the Hes1 promoter as assessed by quantitative ChIP/real-time PCR analysis using a primer pair able to amplify the CSL-binding site of the human Hes1 promoter (Fig. 7A, right). Similarly, when immortalized HKC were forced to differentiate, ChIP using an antibody against endogenous N1IC detected decrease of binding upon depletion of RhoE expression (Fig. 7A, right). To assess whether this is a reflection of a block of cytoplasmic-nuclear translocation of the N1IC, we transfected SCC4 cells with RhoE or control siRNA. RhoE down modulation (using two different sets of RNAi oligos) prevented accumulation of activated Notch1 in the nuclear fraction of the cells (Fig. 7B). To further confirm the defect of Notch1 nuclear translocation upon RhoE depletion, we developed a coculture system in which U2OS cells stably expressing full-length Notch1 with a GFP tag at the intracellular part were transfected with control or RhoE siRNA and 24 hours later seeded on NIH3T3 cells expressing a control or Jagged2 plasmid to initiate ligand-dependent cleavage of the GFP-Notch1 construct. Down modulation of RhoE expression by siRNA prevented the translocation of intracellular Notch to the nucleus (Fig. 7C). Importantly, the block in N1IC nuclear translocation upon RhoE depletion was comparable with the effect of DAPT in the same cells (Fig. 7D). Together, these data suggest that RhoE is essential for the transduction of downstream Notch signaling in keratinocytes and the binding of activated Notch1 on the promoter regions of its direct target genes.

**Discussion**

We describe here a novel role for RhoE in the control of Notch-mediated differentiation of SCC cells. RhoE expression is suppressed in human SCCs and down modulation of RhoE levels leads to suppressed differentiation potential. Our results demonstrate that RhoE is a novel Notch1 effector, which is essential for binding of activated Notch to its downstream targets and has ability to modulate the differentiation program in SCC cells. Recently, it became evident that loss-of-function mutations in NOTCH1 are very frequent in skin and oral SCCs (6–8). These studies also demonstrated that aberrations in Notch signaling in SCCs are important for tumor progression rather than initiation and predict that loss-of-function of the Notch pathway serves as a second "hit" to allow cells with
Figure 4. Depletion of RhoE inhibits downstream Notch signaling. A, SCC4, SCC13, and SCC15 cancer cell lines were transfected with control and RhoE siRNA and the levels of the Notch target genes Hey2 and HES1 were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR analysis using 36B4 as an internal control. B, protein levels of Hey2, HES1, and RhoE in the same cells were analyzed by Western blot analysis using actin levels as an internal loading control. C, inducible keratinocyte-specific deletion of the RhoE gene and resulting effects on Notch signaling and keratinocyte differentiation. Left top, schematic representation of the murine RhoE gene and of the expected recombination products leading to its inducible tissue-specific deletion. After induction of Cre recombinase activity (the loxP sites are indicated by arrows), the genomic portion harboring the first two exons were deleted. Left bottom, PCR analysis of genomic DNA of offspring from the RhoE loxp/loxp homozygous mice: the primers amplify a larger fragment including the loxp site and wild-type genomic DNA is presented as a control. Middle, real-time RT-PCR analysis of mRNA isolated from primary keratinocytes derived from mutant RhoE loxp/loxp mice and infected with an adenovirus expressing the Cre recombinase or an Ad-GFP control. At 2 days after infection, levels of HES1 were decreased in cells with Cre activity upon calcium-mediated induction of differentiation. Depletion of RhoE mRNA levels are shown on the right. (Continued on the following page.)
Continued. D, primary HKC under growing adherent conditions were transfected with control (scrambled siRNA) or RhoE siRNA, and 48 hours later were infected with adenoviruses expressing either GFP (AdGFP) or the activated form of Notch1 (AdN1IC). Twenty-four hours later, expression of Notch1 target genes, Hey2 and HES1, was analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. E, RhoE and HES1 expression in the same cells was analyzed by Western blot analysis. F, SCC028 cells transfected with control or RhoE siRNA were transfected with luciferase reporters for Hey2 (Hey2-luc, 0.5 mg), wild-type HES1 containing both CSL-binding sites in the promoter region (HES1-AB-luc, 0.5 mg), or HES1-AB-luc with mutated CSL-binding sites together with a Renilla minimal reporter (0.05 mg) for internal normalization. Twenty-four hours after transfection, luciferase activity was measured and normalized with Renilla activity. Error bars represent SD calculated on the basis of three independent measurements.

Figure 5. RhoE ablation suppresses growth and commitment to differentiation in vitro and enhances the proliferative phenotype in vivo. A, SCC4, SCC13, and SCC15 cells were depleted of RhoE expression using siRNA and Keratin 1 mRNA was analyzed 48 hours later using real-time RT-PCR. B, primary HKC transfected with control or RhoE siRNA were kept under growing conditions (time point 0) or induced to differentiate by suspension or the indicated times. Keratin1 mRNA levels were analyzed by real-time RT-PCR. C, knockdown of RhoE inhibits the Notch1-mediated induction of Keratin 1 and Involucrin. Primary HKC under growing adherent conditions were transfected with control or two different RhoE siRNAs, and 48 hours later infected with adenoviruses AdGFP or AdN1IC. Twenty-four hours later commitment of keratinocytes to differentiation was analyzed by Keratin 1 or Involucrin mRNA levels. D, HKC transfected with control or RhoE siRNA were treated as in C, and analyzed for RhoE, Keratin 1, and Involucrin protein levels by Western blot analysis using tubulin as a loading control. E, human HKC transfected with control or RhoE siRNA were injected into immunodeficient nude mice at the dermal–epidermal junction. To minimize individual animal variations, the same mice were injected in parallel with control siRNA transfected keratinocytes. Seven days later cysts were harvested, and tissues were processed. F, the same cysts from above experiments were stained with a Keratin 5-specific antibody (green staining in the right panel). Shown are multilayer cysts with well-differentiated keratinocytes building a cornified envelope in the control samples and a large “basal” layer of proliferating keratinocytes with increased Keratin 5 expression (green staining) and decreased Keratin 10 expression (red staining) in the RhoE siRNA tissues. Nuclei were stained in blue (Hoechst staining). Error bars represent SD, calculated on the basis of three independent measurements.

(Continued.)
"initiating" oncogenic mutations to progress and develop into tumors. In this context, the role of Notch as a major driver of squamous differentiation becomes critical because the key feature of squamous neoplasia of all types is disrupted commitment to differentiation. Interestingly, the majority of the identified NOTCH1 mutations in SCCs are heterozygous. This observation suggests that although loss of a single copy is probably sufficient to functionally decrease Notch activity, the remaining level of intact signaling may be essential to prevent excessive growth, as SCCs, specifically those in the skin, are known for lack of aggressiveness and slow progression. Hence, downstream effectors of the Notch pathway involved in the differentiation program of squamous cells become essential for controlling growth of SCC cells. From our data, it seems that depletion of RhoE in SCC cells causes inappropriate differentiation phenotype probably through altered Notch downstream signaling. Although several studies described earlier how ligand binding activates Notch function through the proteolytically mediated generation of an active intracellular domain of Notch (35), the direct translocation of the intracellular domain to the nucleus remains elusive. Here, we identify RhoE as an essential player in this process. RhoE enables the binding of NICD to importin-β and thus ensures the proper translocation of NICD to the nucleus. We argue that the Notch1

Figure 6. RhoE binds to N1C and promotes its importin-mediated nuclear import. A, coimmunoprecipitation of N1C by RhoE. Cellular extracts from U2OS cells stably expressing Flag-tagged RhoE and infected with AdN1C were immunoprecipitated using Flag (for RhoE) antibody followed by Western blotting for N1CD and RhoE. Endogenous association between N1C and RhoE in SCC4 cells was analyzed by communoprecipitation of N1C or RhoE followed by Western blot analysis for the indicated proteins. B, GST pull down assay was also performed: RhoE binds to recombinant N1C fused to GST but not to GST alone. C, N1C and RhoE colocalize in the cytoplasm and the nucleus of SCC4 cells by immunofluorescence staining using antibodies for endogenous RhoE and N1C. The images were then analyzed by confocal microscopy. D, representative images of SCC4 cell with Duolink fluorescence (red spots) generated by the interaction of the anti-RhoE and anti-N1C antibodies (DAPI was used to stain the nucleus). Negative control images were generated by omitting the RhoE antibody. E, N1C interacts with Importin family members. Cellular lysates of SCC4 cells were tested for the endogenous association between N1C and importin β by immunoprecipitation with anti-N1C versus control immunoglobulin G (IgG) followed by Western blotting for importin α and β. F, depletion of RhoE abrogates the binding between N1C and importin β. SCC4 cells were transfected with either scrambled or RhoE siRNA and 48 hours later subjected to immunoprecipitation with an anti-N1C antibody followed by Western blotting using anti-importin β and Notch1 antibodies. Rabbit IgG was used as a control (left). The decrease of immunoprecipitated importin β was measured using UNISCANIT software. RhoE knockdown was measured by immunoblotting (right).
target RhoE may influence Notch regulation through a positive feed-back loop, which regulates the translocation of the activated form of Notch to the nucleus. Alterations in this mode of action may result in growth-related disorders such as cancer. It was reported that RhoE expression is reduced in some cancer cells (36, 37) and this is linked to its antiproliferative function (38) given the fact that RhoE function, due to its lack of GTPase activity, is primarily regulated on transcriptional level. Our finding that in human SCCs, down modulation of Notch1 expression correlates with deregulated expression of RhoE, underscores the relevance of these observations for the development and growth of keratinocyte-derived cancers. As recently reported, Notch signaling in HKC does not have a general growth inhibitory effect, but it rather inhibits the expansion of "stem cell"-like populations partially through inhibition of ROCK1/2 and MRCKα expression (5). Because RhoE functions as an inhibitor of ROCK signaling in various cell types, the inhibition of the expansion of "stem cell"-like population by Notch signaling in SCC cells may be mediated through the Notch1 → RhoE pathway.
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