Crosstalk between Glioma-Initiating Cells and Endothelial Cells Drives Tumor Progression
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Abstract

Glioma-initiating cells (GIC), which reside within the perivascular microenvironment to maintain self-renewal capacity, are responsible for glioblastoma initiation, progression, and recurrence. However, the molecular mechanisms controlling crosstalk between GICs and endothelial cells are poorly understood. Here, we report that, in both GICs and endothelial cells, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)–driven activation of nitric oxide (NO) synthase increases NO-dependent inhibitor of differentiation 4 (ID4) expression, which in turn promotes JAGGED1–NOTCH activity through suppression of miR129 that specifically represses JAGGED1 suppression. This signaling axis promotes tumor progression along with increased GIC self-renewal and growth of tumor vasculature in the xenograft tumors, which is dramatically suppressed by NOTCH inhibitor. ID4 levels correlate positively with NOS2 (NO synthase-2), HES1, and HEY1 and negatively with miR129 in primary GICs. Thus, targeting the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis and NOTCH activity in the perivascular microenvironment might serve as an efficacious therapeutic modality for glioblastoma. Cancer Res; 74(16); 1–11. © 2014 AACR.

Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most frequent and aggressive of brain malignancies, with a median survival of only 14.6 months after diagnosis, despite modern surgical and medical therapies (1). Recently, a subpopulation (glioma-initiating cells, GIC) with augmented tumor-initiating potential and stem cell behavior has been identified in glioblastomas (2, 3), suggesting that therapeutic approaches targeting GICs would have enhanced antitumor efficacy (4).

Adult neural stem cells (NSC) are located around capillaries in the subventricular zone (SVZ) and subgranular zone (SGZ). Interactions between NSCs and the vasculature in the SVZ and SGZ maintain NSC properties (5, 6). Similar to NSCs, GICs are enriched in the perivascular microenvironment and interact with the vasculature to maintain self-renewal and proliferative capacities (7). Recent studies have suggested that nitric oxide (NO) secreted from endothelial cells enhances the self-renewal capacity of GICs through the activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling in the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)–induced murine glioma model (8). PDGF signaling is altered in various tumors, including glioblastoma, and promotes self-renewal and tumorigenesis in GICs (9–11). In addition, PDGF signaling is involved in endothelial cell functions, such as migration, proliferation, and tube formation (12). However, the molecular mechanisms controlling GICs and the vasculature remain poorly understood.

Interestingly, PDGF autocrine signaling promotes the proliferation of astrocytes and neural progenitors (13). Inhibitor of differentiation 4 (ID4) promotes tumorigenesis in PDGF-induced oligodendroglia model (14), induces dedifferentiation of Ink4a/Arf−/− mouse astrocytes, and generates GICs through the activation of cyclin E and NOTCH signaling (15). NOTCH signaling plays a crucial role in inducing angiogenesis in the tumor microenvironment (16, 17) and in maintaining stem cell traits in GICs (8, 18–20). However, the specific roles of ID4 in the perivascular microenvironments have not been fully established. In this study, we hypothesized that ID4 functions as a key regulator in connecting PDGF signaling and NO activity in GICs and tumor endothelium.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and conditions

Human glioma cell lines (A172, A1207, and LN229) were purchased from the ATCC and maintained in high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone), 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Life Technologies), and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (Life Technologies). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) and human retina endothelial cells (HREC) were acquired.
grown in endothelial cell growth medium (Lonza). Several glioma-initiating cell lines [X01, X02, X03 (ref. 21), GSC1T, GSC2, Aju14 (ref. 22), AC17, AC20, 84NS, 13NS, 30NS, 83NS, 123NS (refs. 23, 24), 559, 559T, and 448 (ref. 25)] were established from human brain tumors. All GICs tested were cultured using neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with modified N2, B27, EGF (20 ng/mL; R&D Systems), and bFGF (basic fibroblast growth factor; 20 ng/mL; R&D Systems) in suspension or in an adherent culture with laminin-coated flasks as described previously (26). For neurosphere formation assays, cells were seeded at a density of 2 cells per mm² in 12-well plates and then grown in suspension with NBE supplemented with N2, B27, EGF (20 ng/mL), bFGF (20 ng/mL), PDGFB (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGFβ (20 nmol/L; Sigma), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF; 20 ng/mL; Chemicon), interleukin 6 (IL6 and 10 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TNFα (10 ng/mL; R&D Systems), and lipopolysaccharide (LPS; 100 ng/mL; Sigma) as described previously (27). Cytokines were replaced every 3 days, and the neurosphere numbers were determined nine methyl ester; 200 (1,2,4-oxadiazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one; 2 nmol/L; Sigma; ref. 8), DAPT ([1,2,4]oxadiazol-4,3-a-[2H]quinolin-1-one; 2 μmol/L; Sigma; ref. 27), GSNO (100 and 200 nmol/L; Sigma; ref. 8), DAPT (100 and 1,000 nmol/L; Sigma; ref. 18).

**Immunofluorescence assay**

Mice harboring tumors were perfused with PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde. Mouse tumor tissues embedded in paraffin were sliced into 5-μm-thick sections and stained with primary antibodies against NESTIN (MAB1259; 1:200; R&D Systems), CD15 (BD559045; 1:200; BD Biosciences), HES1 (AB5702; 1:200; Chemicon), cleaved caspase-3 (9664; 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology), Ki67 (NCL-Ki67p; 1:300; Novocastra), and vWF (M0616; 1:100; Dako) for 12 hours at 4°C. Frozen brain tumor tissue slides (12–16 μm) were incubated with the following antibodies: ID4 (ab49261; 1:200; Abcam), JAGGED1 (2155; 1:200; Cell Signaling Technology), NOS2 (NO synthase-2; ABN26; 1:200; Millipore), PDGFRα (SC-7878; 1:300; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PDGFRβ (SC-3381; 500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), NIDC (2421; 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology), CD31 (3528; 1:200; Cell Signaling Technology) for 12 hours at 4°C. Nuclei were then stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1 μg/mL) for 5 minutes. Fluorescence images were obtained using a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM5 Pascal, Carl Zeiss).

**FACS assays**

Cells fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde were incubated with antibodies against NESTIN (MAB1259; 1:100; R&D Systems), SOX2 (AF2018; 1:200; R&D systems), CD133 (AC133; 1:10; MACS), TUJ1 (MMS-435p; 1:1000; Covance), GFAP (691102; 1:100; MP Biomedicals Immuno), and OLIG2 (AB9610; 1:100; Millipore) for 12 hours at 4°C. Propidium iodide (2 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) was added 5 minutes before FACS analysis (Beckman Coulter).

**In vitro angiogenesis assay**

In vitro tube formation of HUVECs and HREC5 was evaluated using an in vitro angiogenesis assay kit (Chemicon). A172-CON and A172-ID4 cells were seeded at 5 × 10⁵ cells per 10-cm plate. After 1 day, the conditioned media were harvested and filtered through a 0.2-μm filter (Sartorius Stedim Biotech). Endothelial cells (1 × 10⁵ cells/well) were cultured on Matrigel in basal media with PDGF, EGM (Lonza), or conditioned media collected from A172-CON and A172-ID4 cells at 37°C for 12 hours. The cultures were then photographed (× 40 magnification). Three random view fields per well were examined, and the tubes were counted.

**In vivo tumorigenicity assay**

To establish xenograft models, A1207-CON/ID4 (2 × 10⁶) or GSC1T (5 × 10⁵) cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice (BALB/c nu/nu mice) along with HUVEC-CON/ID4 cells (2 × 10⁶ or 2 × 10⁵ with A1207 cells and 5 × 10⁵ with GSC1T cells). Twenty-one days after tumor implantation, 40% ethanol or 5 mg/kg of MK0752 (in 40% ethanol/60% saline, 50 μL, 100 μg total) was injected directly into the tumor mass using a 1-ml disposable syringe once daily for 7 days, and tumor progression was monitored by measuring tumor size and weight. All mouse experiments were approved by the animal care committee at the College of Life Science and Biotechnology, Korea University (Seoul, Republic of Korea) and were performed in accordance with government and institutional guidelines and regulations.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed by the two-tailed Student t test; *, P < 0.05 and **, P < 0.01 were considered statistically significant. Data are presented as the mean ± SD. A Pearson product–moment correlation coefficient (r) was used to analyze the linear correlation between ID4 and NOS2, NOS1, NOS3, miR129, HES1, and HEY1 levels.

**RNA and protein analyses, placemands and gene transfection, luciferase reporter gene assay, and nitrite level determination by 4,5-diaminofluorescein**

Detailed experimental procedures are provided in the Supplementary Information.

**Results**

PDGF regulates tumorsphere-forming ability of primary GICs

To explore which growth factors and cytokines enable patient-derived GICs to sustain stemness traits, we examined the tumorsphere-forming ability of GICs grown in serum-free medium supplemented with various growth factors and cytokines for 14 days. Notably, similar to EGF and bFGF, growth factors known to maintain stemness in GICs, PDGF promoted tumorsphere formation in all GICs tested (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Information). This result implies that PDGF plays a role in maintaining stemness in GICs.

To confirm these results, we conducted experiments using GICs grown with PDGF. We observed that the percentage of cells in the tumor sphere-forming ability of GICs grown in serum-free medium increased significantly in the presence of PDGF (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Ectopic expression of PDGFB has been shown to induce ID4 in cultured astrocytes (13), and ID4 induces dedifferentiation of neural precursors (14). In this study, we investigated whether ID4 expression is regulated by PDGF in GICs.

To further investigate the relationship between ID4 and PDGF, we performed qPCR analysis in the presence of PDGF. We found that PDGF-induced ID4 expression was significantly up-regulated in all GICs tested (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. S1C). These results suggest that PDGF promotes the expression of ID4 in GICs, which in turn enhances the tumorsphere-forming ability of GICs.

**Discussion**

PDGF regulates the expression of ID4 in GICs

Our results indicate that PDGF regulates the expression of ID4 in GICs, which in turn enhances the tumorsphere-forming ability of GICs. ID4 is a transcriptional activator that regulates the expression of genes involved in cell cycle progression and cell proliferation (15). In this study, we observed that PDGF-induced expression of ID4 was significantly up-regulated in all GICs tested (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. S1C). These results suggest that PDGF promotes the expression of ID4 in GICs, which in turn enhances the tumorsphere-forming ability of GICs.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that PDGF regulates the expression of ID4 in GICs, which in turn enhances the tumorsphere-forming ability of GICs. These findings provide new insights into the mechanisms underlying the tumorigenicity of GICs and suggest potential targets for the treatment of glioblastoma.
PDGF induces ID4 expression and JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling

Our previous studies have demonstrated that ID4 gives rise to GICs from Ink4a/Arf−/− mouse astrocytes by inducing cyclin E and JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling (15). Thus, we performed Western blot analysis to examine ID4 and JAGGED1 protein expression in GICs treated with PDGF. ID4 and JAGGED1 protein levels increased significantly at 3 and 12 hours after PDGF treatment (Fig. 1B). Along with inducing JAGGED1, NOTCH receptor activity was also markedly elevated by PDGF treatment, as determined by NOTCH-dependent luciferase activity and NOTCH downstream target gene (HES1, HES3, HEY1, and HEY2) expression (Fig. 1C and D). Furthermore, inhibition of NOTCH activity by DAPT (a γ-secretase inhibitor) sharply reduced the tumor-sphere-forming ability in X01, X02, X03, and GSC1T GICs (Supplementary Fig. S1D). To test whether PDGF directly regulates GIC stemness through ID4, we established ID4-depleted GICs (X02-shID4 and GSC1T-shID4) using ID4-specific short hairpin RNA interference (shRNAi; Supplementary Fig. S1E). Tumorsphere numbers of X02-shID4 and GSC1T-shID4 cells upon PDGF treatment compared with their control counterparts (Supplementary Fig. S1F). We also found that PDGF failed to induce JAGGED1 protein in ID4-depleted GICs (Fig. 1E). These results suggest that PDGF regulates GIC traits through ID4-mediated activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling activity.

PDGF regulates ID4 by activating NOS–NO signaling

Recent studies revealed that NO secreted from endothelial cells promotes stemness in PDGF-induced gliomas, but not in EGFR-amplified gliomas (8), and that NOS2 plays a pivotal role in GIC proliferation and tumor growth (28). Thus, we examined whether PDGF upregulates ID4 and JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling by activating NOS–NO signaling in GICs. We first measured nitrite (NO2−) and NOS levels in X02, X03, and GSC1T GICs 3 hours after PDGF treatment, and found that PDGF induced NO synthesis in GICs (Fig. 2A). Upon PDGF treatment, NOS2 and NOS3 mRNA levels increased in all five GICs tested (Supplementary Fig. S2A), and NOS2 protein elevated in all three GICs tested (Supplementary Fig. S2A, inset). Depletion of NOS2 and ID4 in GICs led to decrease in cells expressing stem cell markers (CD133, SOX2, and Nestin) in the stem cell culture conditions, whereas markedly increased in cells expressing differentiated astrocyte marker (GFAP), but...
not neuron and oligodendrocyte markers, in the differentiation culture conditions (DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS; Supplementary Fig. S2C). To further examine whether NO directly enhances ID4 expression, we treated S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO; a NO donor) in all four GICs. GSNO induced ID4 expression in all X02, X03, GSC1T, and 4G1 GICs tested (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. S2D). Next, we treated PDGF-pretreated GICs with LNAME (a pan-NOS inhibitor) and 1H-ODQ [a soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC) inhibitor]. NOS and sGC inhibitors significantly suppressed PDGF-induced ID4 mRNA and protein expression in X02, X03, and GSC1T GICs (Fig. 2C and D). These findings suggest that PDGF activates ID4 through the NO−NO−sGC signaling pathway.

**ID4 activates JAGGED1 by suppressing miR129**

Previously, we found that ID4 regulates NOTCH transcription activity, cell proliferation, and tumorsphere formation in human glioma cells A172 and LN229, which express the lower and higher endogenous ID4 levels, respectively (15). In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies. In the present study, we overexpressed ID4 in the A172 glioma cell line for a gain of ID4 function studies, whereas we depleted ID4 expression in two GICs (X02 and GSC1T) and LN229 glioma line for a loss of ID4 function studies.

Expression levels of miR129 significantly increased in ID4-depleted cells and did not decrease in these cells upon PDGF treatment (Fig. 3B), indicating that PDGF decreases miR129 expression through ID4 induction. There are two putative miR129-binding sites in the JAGGED1 promoter, which are completely conserved across several species (Supplementary Fig. S3D). To determine whether JAGGED1 is specifically regulated by miR129, we generated three JAGGED1 3′ UTR constructs with mutations in the putative miR129-binding sites (190 MUT, 1330 MUT, and 190+1330 double MUT; Supplementary Fig. S3E). As compared with JAGGED1 3′ UTR−WT luciferase activity, only JAGGED1 3′ UTR−190+1330 double MUT−luciferase activity was not suppressed by miR129 (Fig. 3C), indicating that miR129 suppresses JAGGED1 by paring with two binding sites. In addition, transfection of X02, GSC1T, and LN229 cells with mature miR129 decreased JAGGED1 protein levels (Fig. 3D). Transfection of 2′-O-methyl antisense RNA oligonucleotides (33, 34) against miR129 (2′-O-methyl-miR129) in A172 glioma cells increased JAGGED1 protein levels in a dose-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S3F). Reconstitution of
miR129 in A172-ID4 cells decreased JAGGED1 protein levels, and PDGF failed to induce JAGGED1 expression in miR129 transfected A172 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3G). Thus, PDGF-induced ID4 increases JAGGED1 by repressing miR129, which would otherwise suppress JAGGED1 protein expression by targeting two binding sites in its 3′UTR.

The PDGF–NOS–ID4 signaling axis also regulates JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling by suppressing miR129 in endothelial cells

Because perivascularization is known as a niche for GICs (7), we examined whether ID4 is expressed in cells located around the perivascular microenvironment of human GBM specimens. As expected, most of ID4+ cells localized around vWF+ endothelial cells (Fig. 4A). Of interest, ID4 was colocalized with vWF (Fig. 4A), indicating that vWF+ endothelial cells also express ID4. These results raise a question whether the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis also occurs in endothelial cells. Previous studies have demonstrated that JAGGED1–NOTCH (35, 36) and NO signaling (37, 38) play essential roles in angiogenesis of endothelial cells. Upon PDGF treatment, ID4 mRNA, not JAGGED1 mRNA, was increased, whereas both ID4 and JAGGED1 proteins were increased in HRECs and HUVECs, indicating that similar to GICs, PDGF also regulates JAGGED1 expression at the posttranscriptional level in endothelial cells (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. S4A). PDGF suppressed miR129 expression (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Fig. S4B) and increased NOTCH transcriptional activity in HUVECs (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S4C). Overexpression of ID4 and JAGGED1 in HUVECs also increased NOTCH transcriptional activity and NOTCH downstream target genes (HES1, HES3, HEY1, and HEY2; Supplementary Fig. S4C–S4E). ID4 overexpression in HUVECs or PDGF treatment in HUVECs and HRECs increased nitrite production (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Fig. S4F). We further investigated whether NO–NOTCH signaling is also involved in PDGF-driven induction of ID4 expression and NOTCH signaling in the endothelial cells. NO2 levels were upregulated in PDGF-treated endothelial cells (Fig. 4F and Supplementary Fig. S4H). In the endothelial cells, GSNO induced ID4 expression; NO and sGC inhibitors suppressed PDGF-induced ID4 protein expression (Fig. 4F and Supplementary Fig. S4H). Finally, in vitro the tube-forming ability of endothelial cells was significantly increased by PDGF treatment, ID4 or JAGGED1 overexpression, or growing in the conditioned medium derived from ID4-overexpressing glioma cells (Fig. 4G and Supplementary Fig. S4I). Taken together, our results indicate that the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis and JAGGED1–NOTCH activity play crucial roles in not only GICs but also endothelial cells.

ID4-driven activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling is a key between glioma and endothelial cells during tumorigenesis

To understand the significance of ID4-driven activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling in both GICs and endothelial cells of the perivascular microenvironment in tumorigenesis, we first examined in vitro NOTCH activity in A1207–NOTCH–CSL luciferase reporter cells that are cocultured with HUVEC–CON and HUVEC–ID4 cells or HUVEC–NOTCH–CSL luciferase reporter cells that are cocultured with A1207–CON and A1207–ID4 cells. NOTCH activity in glioma cells and HUVECs was significantly elevated as cocultured with HUVEC-ID4 cells and A1207–ID4
cells, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S5A). Next, we assessed the effect of ID4 activation in glioma and endothelial cells on \textit{in vivo} tumorigenesis by coinjecting A1207–CON or A1207–ID4 cells with HUVEC-CON or HUVEC-ID4 cells, as performed previously (20). HUVEC-ID4 cells accelerated A1207–CON and A1207–ID4 tumor growth compared with HUVEC-CON. The most significant increase in tumor growth was observed in the coinjection of A1207–ID4 and HUVEC-ID4 cells (Supplementary Fig. S5B), indicating that ID4 activation in glioma and endothelial cells promotes tumor growth \textit{in vivo}. Next, we examined the \textit{in vitro} tube-forming ability of HUVEC-CON and HUVEC-ID4 cells by treatment of NOTCH (DAPT) and VEGF (bevacizumab) inhibitor, and found that NOTCH inhibition suppressed \textit{in vitro} tube formation of HUVEC-ID4 cells more dramatically than with VEGF inhibition (Supplementary Fig. S5C). These results suggest that NOTCH inhibition is more effective way to suppress the ID4-driven angiogenesis.

To directly address the effect of NOTCH inhibition during ID4-driven tumor progression and angiogenesis, we subcutaneously coinjected GSC1T and HUVEC-ID4 cells,
intratumorally treated with MK0752 (a NOTCH inhibitor; ref. 39) for 7 days, and then examined tumor growth. MK0752 significantly reduced tumor growth at levels similar to those observed from coinjection of GSC1T and HUVEC-CON cells (Fig. 5A). In addition, MK0752 also dramatically suppressed tumor growth from the coinjection of A1207–ID4 and HUVEC-ID4 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3D). Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that glioma stem-like (NESTIN⁺; Fig. 5B), proliferating (Ki67⁺; Fig. 5C), and NOTCH-active (HES1⁺; Fig. 5D) cells were increased in tumors derived from the coinjection of GSC1T and HUVEC-ID4 cells. However, MK0752 treatment caused marked increase of apoptotic cells (cleaved caspase-3⁺; Fig. 5B) with decreases of NESTIN⁻, Ki67⁻, and HES1⁻ cells in tumors derived from the coinjection of GSC1T and HUVEC-ID4 cells (Fig. 5B–D). The similar results were also observed in tumors derived from the coinjection of A1207–ID4 and HUVEC-ID4 cells by MK0752 treatment (Supplementary Fig. S5E for merged images). Taken together, these results show that the ID4-driven activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling in GICs and endothelial cells plays a crucial role in tumor progression.

**PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis-relevant gene signatures in primary GICs**

To evaluate whether expression of genes involved in the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis and NOTCH signaling is specific for GICs, Western blot and qRT-PCR analyses were conducted with 17 GICs cultured under stem cell culture conditions or differentiation culture conditions (23, 40, 41). All ID4, NOS2, PDGFB, PDGFRα, JAGGED1, and NICD protein levels decreased in seven of the 17 GICs grown in differentiation culture conditions (Fig. 6A). ID4 expression showed a significant positive correlation with NOS2, but not NOS1 and NOS3, in GICs (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Figs. S6A and S4B). An inverse correlation was observed between ID4 and miR129 expression (Fig. 6C), whereas positive correlations were observed between ID4 and NOTCH downstream target genes (HES1 and HEY1; Fig. 6D and E).

Figure 5. Activation of NOTCH signaling by ID4 in GICs and endothelial cells plays a crucial role in tumor progression. A, NOTCH inhibitor (MK0752, 5mg/kg) significantly suppressed tumorigenesis of GSC1T GICs coinjected with HUVEC-ID4 cells. B–D, immunofluorescence was used to observe cells positive for NESTIN and cleaved caspase-3 (B); vWF and Ki67 (C); and HES1 and CD15 (D) in tumors derived from GSC1T coinjected with either HUVEC-CON or HUVEC-ID4, followed by MK0752 treatment or not. DAPI was used for nuclear staining; **, P < 0.01 (n = 6); data, mean ± SD.
Next, we determined whether cells located around the perivascular spaces of human GBM express ID4, PDGFB, PDGFRα, NOS2, JAGGED1, and NICD. Human GBM cells expressing ID4, PDGFB, PDGFRα, NOS2, JAGGED1, and NICD were abundant around CD31⁺ endothelial cells. Some of CD31⁺ endothelial cells also expressed ID4, PDGFB, PDGFRα, NOS2, JAGGED1, and NICD (Supplementary Fig. S7). Oncogetic role of PDGF in gliomagenesis has been well demonstrated in RCAS-hPDGFB/Nestin-tva:Cdkn2a⁻/⁻ mice, in which PDGF is induced in Nestin⁺ neural progenitor cells and gives rise to mouse GBM (42). Thus, we examined whether our PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis-relevant genes are upregulated in PDGFB-driven mouse GBM (Supplementary Fig. S8).

Taken together, our results indicate that the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 axis and JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling might specifically occur in GICs and endothelial cells, suggesting that this signaling axis serves as a promising therapeutic target to suppress both GICs and tumor endothelial cells in the perivascular microenvironment.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrate that the PDGF–NOS–ID4–miR129 regulatory axis activates JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling in GICs and endothelial cells. Furthermore, activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signal in GICs and endothelial cells promotes tumor progression along with increased GIC proliferation and angiogenesis. Our findings also provide an anticancer therapeutic rationale targeting NOTCH signaling that is...
required for the maintenance of the tumor perivascular microenvironments composed of GICs and endothelial cells.

Similar to EGF and bFGF (40), PDGF alone is sufficient to maintain the undifferentiated status of GICs by promoting nonadherent neurosphere growth and inducing stem cell markers, such as NESTIN, SOX2, CD133, and CD15, in several GICs derived from patients with GBM. In agreement with our findings, PDGF signaling has been shown to stimulate neurosphere formation and proliferation and suppress differentiation of PDGFRα-expressing B cells (also known as NSCs) in the adult murine SVZ (11, 43). Furthermore, mouse models with PDGF overexpression give rise to brain tumors, and its overexpression occurs with equal frequency in both low- and high-grade gliomas, indicating that PDGF signaling may be implicated in tumor initiation (44). However, precise mechanisms underlying PDGF-driven tumorigenesis are not well understood. In the present study, we demonstrate that PDGF signaling plays a crucial role in ID4-mediated regulation of GICs and endothelial cells by promoting the PDGF–NOS–ID4 signaling axis. This effect might lead to signal transduction in GICs and endothelial cells by maintaining cancer stemness and angiogenesis, respectively. Two previous studies have shown that GIC-specific endogenous NOS2 modulates GIC proliferation and tumor growth in perivascular microenvironment (28) and that transient activation of the NO/cGMP pathway is sufficient to impart a more stem cell–like phenotype to glioma cells (8). However, our findings provide mechanistic insight for understanding how the perivascular microenvironment is maintained during tumorigenesis.

Although a few studies have revealed that suppression of miR129 promotes tumor progression in gastric, endometrial, and liver cancer (45–47), there are no mechanistic explanations demonstrating its oncogenic function. We found that ID4-mediated suppression of miR129, a JAGGED1-targeting miR, induces constitutive activation of JAGGED1–NOTCH signaling in GICs and endothelial cells. In silico miRNA prediction software programs show that miR129 could also regulate other stemness regulatory genes, such as SOX2 and TCF4. Thus, miR129 plays more diverse roles in controlling normal stem cells and cancer stem cells.

Similar to previous studies that the PDGF and NOS signaling pathways are potential therapeutic targets in GICs (8, 29, 48), targeting NOTCH signaling dramatically reduced tumor growth by suppressing GICs and angiogenesis. We used a subcutaneous xenograft system to examine the effects of Notch signaling inhibitor in both GICs and endothelial cells. However, this system could not completely represent the brain tumor microenvironment. Gianni and colleagues have compared the histopathologic and genetic features of patient GBMs, subcutaneous, and intracranial xenograft tumors and demonstrated how subcutaneous and intracranial xenograft models display several similarities and differences in reflecting human brain tumors (49). In their study, two types of xenograft tumors displayed similar mitotic activity and gene alterations, and these features could reflect their patient tumor characteristics. However, there were several discrepancies observed between subcutaneous and intracranial xenograft tumors: (i) a relative scarcity of histopathologic features of GBM patient tumors, such as presence of mild microvascular proliferation and necrosis, in intracranial xenograft tumors, and (ii) the limited ability of subcutaneous xenograft tumors in evaluating mouse survival and infiltrating properties. Having the strengths and weaknesses of each model in mind, an orthotopic xenograft model will also be applied in the future studies to validate the effect of ID4–Notch–signaling inhibition on tumor progression.

Our results showed that genes relevant to the PDGF–NOS–ID4 signaling axis and JAGGED1–NOTCH pathway are specifically expressed in primary GICs and that ID4 expression levels correlate positively with NOS2, HES1, and HEY1 and negatively with miR129 in GICs. Thus, these results suggest that combinational therapy using a NOTCH inhibitor for targeting GICs and endothelial cells of the perivascular microenvironment and conventional chemo- or radiotherapy for targeting non-GIC glioma cells may provide a novel therapeutic approach to more effectively eliminate tumors like GBM.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: H.-M. Jeon, H. Kim
Development of methodology: H.-M. Jeon, S.-H. Kim
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): H.-M. Jeon, S.-H. Kim, J.B. Park, S.H. Kim, K. Joshi, I. Nakano
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): H.-M. Jeon, S.-H. Kim, X. Jin
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: H.-M. Jeon, S.-H. Kim, H. Kim
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): H.-M. Jeon, S.-H. Kim, S.H. Kim, K. Joshi, I. Nakano, H. Kim
Study supervision: H. Kim

Acknowledgments
The authors thank all members of the Cell Growth Regulation Laboratory for helpful discussion and technical assistance, and Dr. Eric Holland for providing PDGFB-driven glioma in the RCAS-bPDGFB/Nestin-tva:Cdkn2a−/− mice.

Grant Support
This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MEST; 2011-0017544), the American Cancer Society (MRSG-08-108-01-MC), and NIH/NCI (1R21CA135013-01AI).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received June 8, 2013; revised May 4, 2014; accepted May 13, 2014; published OnlineFirst June 24, 2014.

References
2. Hemmati HD, Nakano I, Lazareff JA, Masterman-Smith M, Geschwind DH, Bronner-Fraser M, et al. Cancerous stem cells can arise...


Crosstalk between Glioma-Initiating Cells and Endothelial Cells Drives Tumor Progression


Cancer Res  Published OnlineFirst June 24, 2014.