Oncolytic measles virus expressing the sodium iodide symporter to treat drug-resistant ovarian cancer
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Abstract

Edmonston vaccine strains of measles virus (MV) have significant antitumor activity in mouse xenograft models of ovarian cancer (OvCa). MV engineered to express the sodium iodide symporter gene (MV-NIS) facilitates localization of viral gene expression and offers a tool for tumor radiovirotherapy. Here we report results from a clinical evaluation of MV-NIS delivery in patients with taxol and platinum resistant OvCa. MV-NIS was given intraperitoneally every 4 wk for up to 6 cycles. Treatment was well tolerated and associated with promising median overall survival in these heavily pretreated OvCa patients: no dose limiting toxicity was observed in 16 patients treated at high dose levels (10^8 -10^9 TCID50). Median overall survival of 26.5 months compared favorably with other contemporary series. MV receptor CD46 and nectin-4 expression was confirmed by IHC in patient tumors. Sodium iodide symporter expression in patient tumors after treatment was confirmed in 3 patients by ^123^I uptake on SPECT/CTs and was associated with long progression-free survival. Immune monitoring post-treatment showed increased levels of T cells recognizing the tumor antigens IGFBP2 and FRα, suggesting that MV-NIS treatment triggered cellular immunity against the patients' tumor and supporting an immune mechanism in mediating antitumor effects. Our findings support further clinical evaluation of MV-NIS as an effective viral immunotherapy.
Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OvCa) is the second most common malignancy of the female genital tract in the United States, causing an estimated 14,000 deaths in 2013 (1). Despite aggressive initial therapy, including debulking surgery followed by taxane/platinum-based regimens, the majority of the patients relapse. While OvCa is often initially sensitive to platinum-based chemotherapy, patients ultimately develop resistance. For resistant disease, patients are generally treated with agents such as liposomal doxorubicin (2, 3), topotecan (4), weekly paclitaxel (5, 6) or gemcitabine (2). Bevacizumab has also demonstrated some activity (7, 8), but most clinical trials in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer report median overall survival times in the order of 12 months or less (2-8). There is a pressing need for more effective treatments in order to improve the outcome of these patients.

Virotherapy is a treatment approach with mechanisms of action that are not cross resistant with chemotherapy. Moreover, virotherapy approaches with conditionally replicating viruses have the potential to overcome an important limitation of gene transfer approaches using non-replicating vectors, i.e., their limited infection/transduction efficiency (9). Since recurrent ovarian cancer remains confined in the peritoneal cavity in more than 80% of the patients, it provides a therapeutic opportunity for locoregional administration of novel therapeutics, including virotherapy agents. Despite promising preclinical work with different virotherapy agents (10), however, only a handful of clinical virotherapy trials have been reported. Early work with the conditionally replicating E1B attenuated adenovirus Onyx-015 in OvCa showed no evidence of antitumor efficacy (11); this possibly reflected low expression levels of the native adenoviral receptor CAR (coxsackie-adenovirus-receptor) in ovarian tumors (12), a problem that a recently
completed phase I trial with replicating adenovirus AD5.SSTR/TK.RGD (allowing CAR independent infection) (13, 14) attempted to overcome.

Measles virus (MV) is a negative strand enveloped RNA virus (4), with six genes encoding 8 proteins (4). The H-protein is the surface glycoprotein that mediates MV attachment to its three known receptors, the CD46 molecule (15) the signaling lymphocyte activating molecule (SLAM) receptor (predominantly present on activated B, T cells and monocytes) (16) and the recently identified epithelial receptor nectin-4 (17). The F-protein is responsible for cell fusion following viral attachment. Cells infected by MV express F and H proteins on their membranes and, therefore, become highly fusogenic, causing fusion with uninfected neighboring cells, with the characteristic cytopathic effect of syncytia formation. Of note, natural infection with MV has been associated with spontaneous tumor regression in patients with Hodgkin’s disease and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (18, 19). Although the wild type MV can lead to a potentially serious infectious disease, attenuated strains (vaccine strains) of MV have an outstanding safety record (20).

Of importance and in contrast to variable expression of receptors for other viral vectors, two of the three receptors for the measles virus are consistently expressed at high levels on ovarian tumors. This includes the CD46 receptor or complement cofactor protein (21), the expression of which allows tumor cells to evade complement-mediated lysis (22) and nectin-4 (23).
The sodium iodide symporter (NIS) is a membrane ion channel expressed on thyroid follicular cells that allows iodide trapping. NIS expression in thyroid tissue has been exploited for more than 50 years in clinical practice for thyroid imaging (with $^{123}$I or Technetium 99$^{m}$), or ablation (with $^{131}$I), and for systemic therapy of well differentiated thyroid malignancies (24). MV-NIS, a recombinant measles virus strain of the Edmonston vaccine lineage expressing the NIS gene, has the same vector backbone as the MV-CEA virus we tested in a recently completed phase I trial in recurrent ovarian cancer patients (25) except for the transgenes (Supplementary Figure 1). For MV-CEA, human CEA was inserted at position 1 upstream of the measles nucleocapsid (N) gene. For MV-NIS, the NIS cDNA was inserted downstream of the measles hemagglutinin (H) gene. Of note, transgene location for MV-NIS results in viral proliferation advantage as compared to MV-CEA, due to a transcriptional gradient in MV genome transcription (4), which facilitates viral manufacturing in higher titers.

In *in vitro* experiments, MV-NIS induced the characteristic cytopathic effect of syncytia formation in ovarian cancer cells, but not in non-transformed cells such as normal human dermal fibroblasts or mesothelial cells, and led to concentration of radioiodine isotopes ($^{125}$I) in infected ovarian cancer cells (26). In addition, MV-NIS had significant antitumor activity, both in subcutaneous and orthotopic SKOV3ip.1 models leading to growth arrest and significant prolongation of survival in orthotopic models (26). Imaging, following Tc-99M or $^{123}$I administration, demonstrated strong uptake in peritoneal tumors suggesting that the NIS transgene could be used to localize viral gene expression.
Given the favorable performance features with MV-NIS, we launched a phase I/II trial in women with treatment-resistant ovarian cancer. The goals were to, a) determine the safety and tolerability of intraperitoneal administration of MV-NIS in patients with treatment-resistant ovarian cancer; b) assess, in a preliminary fashion, the antitumor efficacy of this approach by following CA-125 levels, radiographic response, time to progression and survival, c) characterize expression of the MV receptors CD46 and nectin-4 in the patient tumor tissue; d) characterize viral gene expression using NIS expression as the surrogate, e) assess viremia, viral replication and MV shedding and persistence; and f) determine humoral immune response to the injected virus.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient Selection**

Eligible patients had persistent, recurrent or progressive epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer after prior treatment with platinum compounds and taxanes. Histologic confirmation of the original or recurrent tumor was required. Patients had to be ≥ 18 yo with adequate hematologic, liver and kidney function, as defined by absolute neutrophil count (ANC) ≥ 1,500/mL; platelets ≥ 100,000/mL; hemoglobin ≥ 9 gm/dL; total bilirubin ≥ upper limit of normal; and creatinine ≥ 1.5 x upper limit of normal. Patients had to be immune to MV as shown by antimeasles IgG levels ≥ 20 ELISA units/mL, determined by enzyme immunoassay (Diamedix). Exclusion criteria included platinum sensitive disease; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 3 or 4; chemotherapy, immunotherapy or biologic therapy ≤ 4 wk before study entry. Patients were also excluded if they had an HIV-positive test or history of other immunodeficiency, organ transplantation, history of chronic hepatitis B or C, intra-abdominal disease > 8 cm at the time of registration, intrahepatic disease or disease beyond
the peritoneal cavity. This study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Treatment**

Construction of the MV-NIS virus has been previously described (27): a schematic representation of the MV-NIS genome is included in Supplementary Figure 1. Clinical lots of the virus were produced by the Mayo Clinic Gene and Virus Therapy Shared Resource (GVTSR). During the course of the study a new FDA approved vector production methodology was developed by GVTSR employing HeLa as the producer cell line, which allowed production of clinical grade vector in higher titers as compared to the original Vero cell based methodology(28). All patients underwent laparotomy or laparoscopy, for placement of the intraperitoneal catheter (Bard Access Systems). Peritoneal adhesions were lysed if technically possible. If ascites was present, it was drained through the peritoneal catheter before the viral administration. Patients received infusion of the MV-NIS diluted in 500 mL of normal saline over 30 minutes. Treatment was repeated monthly for up to 6 cycles, provided that toxicity was acceptable and there was no evidence of disease progression.

**Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis**

The standard cohorts-of-three design (29) was applied. There were two dose levels ($10^8$ and $10^9$ TCID50). Maximum tolerated dose was determined based on the MV-CEA trial results and impacted by manufacturing limitations at the time of trial initiation. Three patients were treated per dose level and observed for 4 weeks before accrual to the next higher dose level was initiated. Intra-patient dose escalation was not allowed. Toxicity was assessed using Common
Terminology Criteria Version 3.0. Dose limiting toxicity was defined as grade $\geq 3$ hematologic toxicity except for grade 3 ANC lasting $<72$ hours, elevation of serum creatine $\geq 2X$ the baseline, any other nonhematologic toxicity grade $\geq 3$, viremia lasting for $\geq 6$ weeks from last viral administration, grade 2 symptomatic bronchospasm or urticaria, and any grade 3 or higher allergic reactions. Following completion of the dose escalation phase of the trial, 10 patients were treated at the MTD to better characterize treatment safety and efficacy. Time to progression was defined as date of study enrollment to date of progressive disease; overall survival was defined as date of study enrollment to date of death or last follow-up in living patients. Time to progression and overall survival were summarized using a Kaplan-Meier approach.

Laboratory evaluation

Before treatment, patients had a history and physical exam performed, as well as a complete blood count (CBC), prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), chemistry group, urinalysis, chest x-ray, HIV testing, CA-125 measurements, and electrocardiogram. CBC, chemistry group, PT and aPTT were repeated on day 8, day 15, and before retreatment (cycles 2-6). In addition, peritoneal aspirates (or peritoneal lavage samples if no ascites) were obtained at baseline, day 3, day 8, and before all subsequent cycles. The peritoneal aspirate was tested for the presence of the virus by Vero cell overlay and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), and anti-MV IgG antibodies. Patients’ blood, urine and mouth gargle specimens were tested for the presence of the virus (viremia and shedding) at multiple time points (Supplementary Figure 2). Patient’s immune competence [CD4, CD8 counts, immunoglobulins, complement, delayed-typed hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction to Candida, purified protein derivative, tetanus and trichophyton], and humoral immunity against
the virus were also tested at multiple time points (Supplementary Figure 2). NIS expression in infected tumor was assessed by $^{123}$I SPECT/CT imaging, as outlined in Supplementary Figure 3.

**Assessment of antitumor response**

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors criteria (30) were applied for response assessment. Computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging and CA-125 measurements were done at baseline and before retreatment on cycles 2-6.

**Detection and quantitation of Measles Virus N-gene RNA by QRT-PCR in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, mouth gargle and urine specimens**

Total RNA was extracted using either Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen, Cat # 15596-026) and ethanol precipitation (urine and mouth gargle specimens) or the PaxGene Blood RNA kit (Qiagen, Cat # 762164). Blood for isolation of PBMC’s was collected using the PAXgene Blood RNA tubes as recommended by the manufacturer. Briefly, the QRT-PCR assay has been optimized for primers and probe, with Invitrogen-ABI TaqMan One-Step RT-PCR Master Mix Reagent and run on the Roche480 machine. The 50 μl qRT-PCR reaction volume was used to amplify a 61 base pair MV N genomic RNA target, in the presence of 0.3 mM each forward primer (5’-GGGTTGGCAGCTTTGA3’) reverse primer (5’-AGAAGCCAGGGAGGCTACAGA3’) a 0.2 mM Blackhole Quencher labeled probe (5’/-56-FAM/TGGGCAGCTTGCATTGC3BHQ_1/-3’), 4mM MgCl, and 1 μg or a maximum volume of 5 μL of total RNA isolate. One cycle of RT reaction (30 min at 48°C) is applied followed by an activation step (10 min at 95°C), and 45 cycles of amplification (15 sec 95°C and 1 min 60°C), with fluorescence measured during the extension. A standard curve of
10-fold dilutions of an RNA fragment obtained in vitro transcription and containing $10$ to $10^7$ MV-N gene copies/ml is used in the assay. Calculation of copy number was determined using the standard curve and the Roche480 machine Absolute quantification software.

Assessment of CD46 and Nectin-4 expression in ovarian tumors

Immunohistochemistry for CD46: The primary antibody CD46 (EPR4014) (AbCam Cat# ab108307) was diluted 1:500, and slides were incubated overnight, at 4°C in a humidified chamber, then incubated with a Donkey Anti rabbit IgG-B biotinylated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotech. Inc., Cat # sc-2040) for 60 minutes at room temperature, followed by a detection step with Vectastain ABC and Peroxidase substrate DAB kits (Vector Labs. Inc., PK-6100 and Cat # SK-4100), counterstained using Accustain solution (Sigma, Cat # GSH-116), then dehydrated and mounted using VectaMount H-5000 permanent mounting solution (Vector labs Cat# H-5000).

Immunohistochemistry for Nectin 4: The primary antibody Nectin4 MAB2659 (R&D Systems Cat# AF2659) was diluted 1:500 and the slides were incubated overnight, at 4°C in a humidified chamber. For the next step, a secondary antibody reagent part of a Tissue staining Goat HRP-DAB system kit was used (Abcam Cat# CTS008) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After the detection step the slides were counterstained using Accustain solution, dehydrated and mounted using VectaMount H-5000 solution.

Assessment of humoral immune response against MV

This was tested using the Diamedix Immunoassay, as per the manufacturer’s instructions.
Assessment of cellular immune response against OvCa antigens

IFN-gamma and IL-4 ELIspots were performed as previously described except that a 48 hour rather than a 10-day format was used (31). To detect anti-specific T cell immunity a degenerate panel of peptides derived from either the folate receptor alpha (FRα) (FR30, FR56, FR113, and FR238) or insulin like growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP2) (IGFBP2.17, IGFBP2.22, IGFBP2.249, and IGFBP2.293) previously described were used (32, 33). The plates were read on an AID ELISpot reader (Cell Technology, Inc., Columbia MD, reader software v.3.1.1.). A positive response was defined as a frequency that was both detectable (i.e., > 1:100,000) and significantly (p < 0.05, two-tailed t test) greater than the mean of control no-antigen wells. Results are presented as the sum of the antigen-specific effector T cells for each peptide and each antigen.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Sixteen patients with recurrent ovarian cancer were treated in this phase I trial. Table 1 summarizes the patients’ characteristics. All participating patients had platinum resistant disease and had been heavily pretreated having received a median of 4 chemotherapy regimens for recurrent disease.

Toxicity

Given the excellent safety of the closely related MV-CEA virus in our other recently completed phase I trial (25), only the highest viral doses tested in the MV-CEA trial were explored in the MV-NIS study (10^8 and 10^9 TCID50). Three patients received treatment at the 10^8 TCID50 dose
level and three at the $10^9$ TCID50 dose level. An additional 10 patients were then treated at the $10^9$ TCID50 MTD expansion dose in order to better characterize the safety of the proposed phase II dose, and in a preliminary manner, assess antitumor efficacy. No significant or dose-limiting toxicity was observed. Figure 1 summarizes cycle 1 toxicity for all study patients; all observed toxicities were grade 1 and 2. Most common toxicities in all cycles were abdominal discomfort (grade 1: 5 patients, 31%; grade 2: 3 patients, 19%), fatigue (grade 1: 4 patients, 25%; grade 2: 2 patients, 12.5%), fever (grade 1: 2 patients, 12.5%; grade 2: 1 patient, 6%), and neutropenia, (grade 1: 3 patients, 19%; grade 2: 3 patients, 19%). There was one incident of grade 3 neutropenia and bilirubin elevation in a patient who received $10^9$ TCID50 following the second treatment cycle. This patient experienced no significant toxicity in the first cycle; she, however, developed a probable allergic reaction during the second treatment cycle consisting of grade 2 hypotension, followed by grade 2 fever spikes with rigors, grade 3 elevation of direct bilirubin and grade 3 neutropenia. Blood and urine cultures and QRT-PCR of blood, urine and mouth gargle specimens ruled out an infectious etiology, including MV infection. Of note, this patient was treated with a viral lot prepared with the original (Vero cell based) production methodology. No allergic reactions were observed in any of the subsequent 13 patients who received virus prepared with the newer HeLa cell based methodology (28). Immunosuppression has been observed following wild type MV infection and can be associated with DTH suppression, bacterial infections, and reactivation of tuberculosis (34). Immunosuppression is, however, very infrequent following measles vaccination (35). Similar to the MV-CEA trial, in this study no evidence of treatment induced immunosuppression was observed. Specifically there were no treatment-related infections and no significant change in CD4, CD8, immunoglobulin or
complement levels (data not shown). In addition, no patient developed suppression of an initially positive DTH reaction.

**Efficacy**

Best objective response was stable disease in 13/16 patients (81%); this included 2/3 patients treated at dose level 1, and 1/13 patients at dose level 2. Responses of the tumor marker CA-125 were observed in two patients, both treated with $10^9$ TCID50. Median duration of stable disease was 67 days, (range 54-277 days). Median overall survival for all study patients was 26.6 mos, 95% CI: 16.3-37.3 mos, which correlates with the observed median OS of 38.4 mo who received the higher doses of $10^8$ and $10^9$ TCID50 in the MV-CEA trial (25) and compares favorably with contemporary trials targeting the same platinum resistant patient population, including trials employing bevacizumab based regimens, which ranges from 6-12 mo (3, 5-8). Of note, survival outcomes in the completed MV-CEA trial (25) were dose dependent with patients receiving $10^8$ TCID50 or higher doses of the virus surviving significantly longer (median OS 38.4 mo) as compared to patients who received lower doses (median OS 10.6 mo). Table 2 summarizes outcomes in both trials according to viral dose received.

Despite our initial hypothesis that a heavier tumor burden would facilitate oncolysis and antitumor effect, we have observed very intriguing evidence of clinical efficacy in patients with low disease burden as highlighted in the following study patient example. The patient was diagnosed with grade 3 serous papillary carcinoma, underwent hysterectomy, salpingo-oophorectomy and tumor debulking followed by standard adjuvant chemotherapy consisting of intravenous paclitaxel. After four chemotherapy treatment cycles, the patient was found to have
progressive disease in the pelvic area and was referred to our institution. At that time she had optimal secondary debulking performed with microscopic residual disease remaining at the end of the procedure. Following intraperitoneal port placement, patient proceeded to receive six cycles of intraperitoneal MV-NIS. As per the trial eligibility criteria, the patient was measles immune at study entry, with a high rubella IgG titer of 94.8 EU/ml (positive ≥ 20 EU/ml). The patient’s tumor marker CA-125 decreased from 70 U/mL pretreatment to 20 U/mL post-treatment. SPECT/CT imaging showed NIS expression with $^{123}$I uptake in sites of pelvic implants indicating residual disease. Following six treatment cycles with MV-NIS, a second look laparoscopy was performed as prespecified per protocol and multiple biopsies were obtained. There was no viable tumor remaining; only fibrosis and residual sclerotic reaction was observed. Figure 2 depicts the pathologic findings at baseline (2A) as well as the complete absence of viable tumor (pathologic CR) at the time of the second look laparotomy (2B). The patient remained disease free for 25 months at which time she presented with extra abdominal relapse consisting of metastatic disease in a pericardial lymph node.

Expression of the MV-NIS receptor CD46 and nectin-4 in tumor specimens

Immunohistochemical analysis of baseline tumor samples from study patients showed moderate or high expression of CD46 and nectin-4 MV receptors in all patients (Figures 2C and 2D respectively). Specifically 3/14 (21%) patients had moderate CD46 expression and 10/14 (71%) patients had high CD46 expression, while 1/14 patients (7%) had moderate nectin-4 expression and 13/14 (93%) had high nectin-4 expression. Of note, the one patient who was negative for CD46 had high nectin-4 expression.
Assessment of Viral Biodistribution and Shedding

There was no evidence of shedding as tested by quantitative RT-PCR in mouth gargle and urine specimens for any of the study patients at the prespecified time points, and no detection of viral genomes in peripheral blood.

Assessment of immune response to measles virus

Figure 3 depicts mean serum antimeasles antibody levels in the serum at baseline and on study completion according to dose levels. As per study eligibility, all patients were measles immune at baseline. There was no significant change in the measles antibody titers in blood and peritoneal fluid (data not shown) during the course of the trial, as compared to baseline.

Detection of the NIS transgene

$^{123}$I imaging SPECT/CT was performed at baseline of cycles 1 and 2 and on days 3, 8 and 15 of cycle 1. In case of a positive scan, additional imaging was obtained on day 25. Imaging during cycle 2 was performed only if positive results were obtained in cycle 1 at corresponding time points. NIS expression as imaged by $^{123}$I uptake was observed in 3 of the 13 treated at $10^9$ TCID50. Figure 4 shows representative imaging in one of the study patients. Her $^{123}$I scans were positive on day 8 and 15 of cycle 1 and days 8, 15 and 21 of cycle 2, indicating viral gene expression in pelvic tumor deposits following repeat administration of the virus, despite preexisting humoral immunity to MV. Imaging was negative at baseline both at cycle 1 and prior to retreatment at cycle 2. A second patient had $^{123}$I positivity indicating NIS expression, on day 8 of cycle 1, and the third patient on day 15 of cycle 1.
Treatment with MV-NIS augments endogenous immunity against tumor antigens.

Although our initial phase I studies were not designed to collect and preserve T cells for functional analysis, the observed clinical benefit in some of the study patients in the context of minimal residual disease raised the possibility that in addition to oncolysis, other mechanisms such as the development of an antitumor immune response might be contributing to the favorable clinical outcomes. We therefore collected pre- and post-treatment specimens in a subgroup of study patients to investigate the possible immunotherapeutic potential of this approach and address the vaccine effects of MV and the role of antitumor immunity in the clinical efficacy of MV treatment. Figure 5 shows the results of an IFN-γ ELIspot analysis of the specimens obtained from 4 MV-NIS treated patients, demonstrating that MV treatment activates tumor antigen-specific T cells. In that experiment, peripheral blood T cells were stimulated with a pool of HLA-DR epitopes derived from the folate receptor alpha (FRα) or insulin like growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP2). Both of these antigens are highly expressed in a high percentage of ovarian cancer patients and some patients with ovarian cancer demonstrate natural immunity to these antigens (32, 36). As shown, patients treated with MV-NIS augmented immune responses to both antigens but not to tetanus (TT) which was added as a control for specificity of the immune response. Similar results were obtained when an IL-4 ELISPOT analysis for the same antigens was performed. In addition, pre- and post-treatment sera samples, available from 31 patients that received either MV-CEA or MV-NIS, were tested to detect antibodies targeting FRα, HER-2, CEA, and IGFBP2; no responses to any of the antigens were generated. Collectively, the generation of IFN-γ T cell response and no antibody response would suggest that MV treatment predominantly elicits the generation of Th1 mediated immunity, despite the presence of IL-4 secreting T cells.
Discussion

This trial confirmed the safety of an engineered measles virus strain expressing the NIS transgene, given IP, for the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer and demonstrated early evidence of antitumor activity. No dose-limiting toxicity was observed in doses as high as $10^9$ TCID50. The most common toxicities were mild (grade 1-2, abdominal pain, fatigue, fever and neutropenia). This is consistent with the excellent safety record of the oncolytic measles virus platform in other malignancies (independent of the route of administration) including intratumoral and resection cavity (recurrent head and neck, recurrent glioblastoma) (37); intravenously with or without cyclophosphamide (multiple myeloma) (38, 39); and intrapleurally (mesothelioma) (40).

The observed median overall survival of 26.5 mo in this group of heavily pretreated patients (median of four chemotherapy regimens for recurrent disease) is compelling. Of note, this survival outcome is very similar to the overall survival in the MV-CEA trial at comparable dose levels (38.4 mos at doses $10^8$ TCID50 or higher vs only 10.6 mo for patients who received lower MV-CEA doses). The survival of patients treated with MV compares favorably with contemporary series of novel therapeutics in patients with platinum-resistant or refractory ovarian cancer where the observed overall survival ranges between 6 to 12 months (7, 41-44). It is of note that this promising outcome was observed in the context of preexisting antiviral immunity (Figure 3).
It is also interesting that the long median overall survival in study patients was associated with a relatively short median time to progression. Although the latter could reflect the very aggressive imaging schedule followed in this trial with CTs obtained every month, the survival benefit could also be indicative of a different mechanism of action, beyond oncolysis, contributing to the antitumor effect, such as an immune-based mechanism. Although the correlative analysis in this trial was designed to examine the oncolytic mechanism of action, several findings suggest immune mediated antitumor activity. Specifically, there were CA-125 responses in MV-CEA treated patients even at doses as low as $10^3$ TCID50 (25); moreover, the clinical benefit that patients derived (including pathologic complete response) in the context of microscopic residual disease (see Results section) raises the possibility of an immune-mediated antitumor effect. Although immunologic analysis could be performed for only a small subgroup of study patients, the immune response data support this hypothesis. The results shown in Figure 5 indicate the development of a Th1 response against ovarian cancer antigens such as folate receptor alpha and IGBP2.

The collective analysis of the MV-CEA and MV-NIS trial data indicate a dose effect in the observed antitumor activity and impact on survival, which suggests that improvement of oncolysis could further optimize results with MV-based therapy. This hypothesis is further strengthened by recent data deriving from an MV trial in myeloma, where responses of disseminated disease were accomplished following intravenous administration of high MV-NIS doses to patients lacking neutralizing antibodies against MV (39). Given the fact that the majority of ovarian cancer patients have neutralizing antibodies against the virus (39), we are
studying means to avoid immune capture of the virus and thus facilitate its delivery to the tumor, such as using mesenchymal stem cells for viral delivery (45).

Based on the results of the phase I/II study of MV-NIS reported here, we have designed a randomized phase II trial comparing intraperitoneal administration of MV-NIS with the treating physician’s chemotherapy of choice for patients with recurrent ovarian cancer and low disease burden, where the virus has a higher likelihood of also working as effective immunotherapy. Given the favorable toxicity profile of the virus as compared to all standard chemotherapy approaches, outcomes to be evaluated in the study include patient reported outcomes such as quality of life in addition to efficacy and toxicity assessment. This trial will also include a prospective immunologic analysis, and thus is expected to provide additional information on a possible immune-mediated mechanism of action of MV-NIS and guide future steps including combinatorial strategies with other immunomodulatory approaches such as immune checkpoint blockade (46, 47).

In summary, intraperitoneal administration of MV-NIS in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer, was associated with compelling survival outcomes and merits further prospective testing. Moreover, this study has generated mechanistic hypotheses regarding a novel immune based mechanism of MV action that we are planning to explore in additional trials.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1:** Adverse events possibly, probably or definitely related to Rx at cycle 1.

**Figure 2:** (A) Pre MV-NIS treatment biopsy from perirectal region showing high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. (B) Abdominal wall nodule biopsy following six cycles of MV-NIS in the same patient. There is dense fibrosis, but no evidence of viable tumor indicating a pathologic complete response to treatment. Representative images showing overexpression of MV receptors CD46 (C) and nectin-4 (D) in tumors of study patients.

**Figure 3:** No significant change in anti-MV antibody titers was observed following MV-NIS treatment. Results are presented per dose level.

**Figure 4:** NIS expression as imaged by I$^{123}$ uptake in one of the study patients; I$^{123}$ scan was negative at baseline (A) but become positive on day 8 of cycle 1 (B).

**Figure 5:** Generation of Th1 immune responses in ovarian cancer patients undergoing treatment with measles virus. Panels A-C show mean pre-treatment and post-treatment ELIspot responses for 4 treated patients against tumor antigens and tetanus toxoid (TT). Each symbol is calculated from 12 replicates. Panel D shows the mean pre- and post-treatment antibody responses to various purified tumor antigens as well as TT. Each bar represents the mean (SEM) levels antibodies calculated from duplicate samples from 31 OvCa patients treated with measles virus.
Table 1: Patient Characteristics (N=16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>59.4 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dose level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (10^8 TCID50)</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (10^9 TCID50)</td>
<td>13 (81.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (68.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 (31.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ascites present, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight*</td>
<td>13 (81.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior Treatments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>16 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation Therapy</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># prior chem. regimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*small amount present by imaging at study enrollment
Table 2: Outcomes in MV-CEA and MV-NIS Trials are Impacted by Dose Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose Level</th>
<th>N Patients</th>
<th>Median TTP (range mo)</th>
<th>Median OS (range mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV-CEA (all dose levels)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7 – 9.1)</td>
<td>12.3 (1.3 – 83.5+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV-CEA (10^3 – 10^7 TCID50)*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7 – 9.1)</td>
<td>10.6 (1.3 – 79.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV-CEA (10^8 – 10^9 TCID50)*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.7 (1.8 – 7.5)</td>
<td>38.4 (7.2+ – 83.5+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV-NIS (10^8 – 10^9 TCID50)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9 – 25.7)</td>
<td>26.5 (7.0 – 44.4+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV-CEA or NIS 10^8-10^9 TCID50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.7 (0.9 – 25.7)</td>
<td>29.3 (7.0 – 83.5+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3

Antimeasles Antibody Levels
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Figure 4
Figure 5
Oncolytic measles virus expressing the sodium iodide symporter to treat drug-resistant ovarian cancer
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