TGFβ Is a Master Regulator of Radiation Therapy-Induced Antitumor Immunity
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Abstract

T cells directed to endogenous tumor antigens are powerful mediators of tumor regression. Recent immunotherapy advances have identified effective interventions to unleash tumor-specific T-cell activity in patients who naturally develop them. Eliciting T-cell responses to a patient’s individual tumor remains a major challenge. Radiation therapy can induce immune responses to model antigens expressed by tumors, but it remains unclear whether it can effectively prime T cells specific for endogenous antigens expressed by poorly immunogenic tumors. We hypothesized that TGFβ activity is a major obstacle hindering the ability of radiation to generate an in situ tumor vaccine. Here, we show that antibody-mediated TGFβ neutralization during radiation therapy effectively generates CD8+ T-cell responses to multiple endogenous tumor antigens in poorly immunogenic mouse carcinomas. Generated T cells were effective at causing regression of irradiated tumors and nonirradiated lung metastases or synchronous tumors (abscopal effect). Gene signatures associated with IFNγ and immune-mediated rejection were detected in tumors treated with radiation therapy and TGFβ blockade in combination but not as single agents. Upregulation of programmed death (PD) ligand-1 and -2 in neoplastic and myeloid cells and PD-1 on intratumoral T cells limited tumor rejection, resulting in rapid recurrence. Addition of anti–PD-1 antibodies extended survival achieved with radiation and TGFβ blockade. Thus, TGFβ is a fundamental regulator of radiation therapy’s ability to generate an in situ tumor vaccine. The combination of local radiation therapy with TGFβ neutralization offers a novel individualized strategy for vaccinating patients against their tumors. Cancer Res; 75(11); 1–11. © 2015 AACR.

Introduction

Recent progress in cancer immunotherapy has demonstrated that unleashing the power of tumor-reactive T cells with immune checkpoint inhibitors is an effective treatment in a substantial percentage of patients with metastatic melanoma, renal cell, and lung cancer (1–3). However, the majority of cancer patients do not respond to these treatments due to the presence of multiple immunosuppressive mechanisms or the absence of tumor-reactive T cells. Therapeutic cancer vaccines have shown some success in inducing T cells specific for tumor antigens, but their efficacy is limited by the intrinsic genomic instability of tumors generating highly mutable targets (4). Thus, a strategy to induce effective antitumor immunity should combine an individualized vaccination approach with targeted interventions to overcome immunosuppression.

Experimental data and clinical observations indicate that radiation therapy (RT) has the potential to convert the irradiated tumor into an in situ vaccine (5). Data in mouse tumors expressing OVA or other model antigens have shown that RT induces cross-priming of T cells to these relatively strong antigens and that T cells contribute to the therapeutic effect of RT (6, 7). Rejection of irradiated tumors is facilitated by RT-induced modulation of chemokines and cell surface molecules that enhance T-cell recruitment (8, 9) and the interaction of CTLs with tumor cells (10–12). RT-elicted cross-priming of tumor-specific T cells depends on generation of the molecular signals that define an immunogenic cell death (13) and requires type I IFN production by infiltrating immune cells (14). However, rejection of nonirradiated metastases and synchronous tumors is usually not achieved by RT alone (15–17) and, despite the widespread use of RT in cancer treatment, the clinical response of metastases outside of the radiation field (abscopal effect) is an extremely rare occurrence (18).

These observations suggest that generation of a tumor vaccine by RT may be impeded by other radiation effects. Of particular concern is the activation of TGFβ (19). The latter is mediated by RT-induced reactive oxygen species that cause a conformational change of the latency-associated peptide–TGFβ complex releasing active TGFβ (20, 21). We have previously shown that activated TGFβ reduces radiosensitivity of tumor cells by promoting the DNA damage response (22). Importantly, TGFβ is a powerful immunosuppressive cytokine that hinders cross-priming of T cells by impairing the antigen-presenting function of dendritic cells and the functional differentiation of T cells into effectors (23).
We hypothesized that TGFβ may be a major obstacle to the optimal activation of antitumor T-cell responses by RT. Here, we show that TGFβ neutralizing antibodies administered during RT uncover the ability of RT to induce T-cell responses to endogenous tumor antigens in preclinical models of metastatic breast cancer. Importantly, only the combination of RT with anti-TGFβ, but not each treatment alone, induced T-cell–mediated rejection of the irradiated tumor and nonirradiated metastases in mice, indicating that blocking TGFβ unleashes the potential of RT to generate an in situ tumor vaccine. Although adaptive immune resistance that developed in responding tumors limited the efficacy of this approach, it could be overcome by additional blockade of the immune checkpoint receptor PD-1.

Materials and Methods

Mice

Six-week-old BALB/c female mice from Taconic Animal Laboratory were maintained under pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility at NYU Langone Medical Center (New York, NY). All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Cells and reagents

4T1 and TSA cells were obtained from F. Miller, Michigan Cancer Foundation, Detroit, MI (24) and P.L. Lollini, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy (25), respectively. Cells were authenticated by morphology, phenotype, growth, and pattern of metastasis in vivo and routinely screened for Mycoplasma. Cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen Corporation) supplemented with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L 2-mercaptoethanol, and 10% FBS (Life technologies). 1D11, a pan-isofrom, TGFβ-neutralizing mouse mAb that binds only to active TGFβ (26) or 13C4 isotype mAb was provided by Genzyme Inc. Anti–PD-1 RMP1-14 mAb was purchased from BioXCell.

Tumor challenge and treatment

4T1 model: mice were injected subcutaneously (s.c.) in the right flank with 5 × 10⁴ 4T1 cells on day 0. TSA model: mice were injected s.c. with 1 × 10⁵ TSA cells in the right flank (primary tumor) on day 0, and in the left flank (secondary tumor) on day 2. Perpendicular tumor diameters were measured with a Vernier caliper, and tumor volumes calculated as length × width² × 0.52. On day 12, when tumors reached 60 to 80 mm³, mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of avertin (200 mg/kg), and tumors irradiated with 6 Gy on days 1, 4, 7, and 10. Tumors were scored daily in each tumor.

Flow-cytometric analysis

Single-cell suspensions of collagenase-digested tumors were stained with the following antibodies purchased from eBioscience: fixable viability dye efluor 450, CD69-FITC, PD-1-PE, CD4-PeCy7, CD45-Alexa Fluor 700, CD8a-PE efluor 610, CD40-FITC, CD70-PE, MHC-II IAd-APC, CD11c-PE efluor 610, CD45-APC, CD3-PerCP-Cy5.5, CD11b-PerCP-Cy5.5, EpCAM-PeCy7, PD-L1-PE, and PD-L2-FITC. Phospho-Smad2/3 levels were assessed as previously described (27). Briefly, TDLN cells were stained with anti-mouse CD4-PE and anti-mouse CD8-FITC (eBioscience), fixed, permeabilized (Foxp3 Fixation/Permeabilization Concentrate and Diluent kit, eBioscience), and stained with goat anti-phospho-Smad2/3 (Ser 423/425) followed by APC-labeled donkey anti-goat IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). All samples were acquired with LSRII flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo software (version 7.3.6).

Analysis of IFNγ production by CD8 T cells

A total of 5 × 10⁵ TDLN cells were stimulated ex vivo with 1 μmol/L of the following peptides (GenScript): AH1A5 (SPSYVHYHQ), survivin-1 (GWEPDDNPI), survivin-2 (AFLTVKKQM), and 10% FBS (Life technologies). 1D11, a pan-isofrom, TGFβ-neutralizing mouse mAb that binds only to active TGFβ (26) or 13C4 isotype mAb was provided by Genzyme Inc. Anti–PD-1 RMP1-14 mAb was purchased from BioXCell.

Genome-wide microarray analysis

Total RNA was purified from 4T1 tumors with Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. The 260/280 nm ratio was calculated using Nanodrop ND-1000. RNA integrity of each sample was confirmed by capillary electrophoresis resolving the 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA profile on the Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer. Genome-wide microarray analyses were performed with 100 ng of total RNA from three independent biologic replicates per group using Affymetrix mouse genome 430 2.0 arrays (3′ IVT labeling). The data obtained have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (GSE61208). Affymetrix CEL files were normalized using Robust Multichip Average algorithm (30) in GeneSpring GX software (Agilent Technologies) and each probe was normalized to the median value of the control specimens (Sham–isotype). Differentially regulated genes were identified by feature selection algorithm Pavlidis template matching (31) using a P value <0.05, to identify gene sets for subsequent pathway analysis. The most altered canonical pathways and gene networks were identified using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software.

Immunostaining of tumor sections

Tumors were fixed for 1 hour at 4°C in a 4% paraformaldehyde, incubated overnight in 30% sucrose, and frozen in optimum cutting temperature medium. Sections were incubated with 0.1% TWEEN 20 and 0.01% Triton X-100 for 20 minutes, followed by blocking with 4% rat serum in 4% BSA/PBS and staining with PE-Texas Red–anti-mouse CD4 or PE-rat anti-mouse CD8ε (Caltag), and 5 μg/mL 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma). Images were obtained using a Leica SFC400F fluorescence slide scanner. CD4 and CD8 T cells were counted in five randomly selected (× 200) fields in each tumor.
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Statistical analysis

The unpaired Student t test was used for analysis of IFNγ levels, cell number, and phenotype. Treatment effect on tumor growth was assessed using random coefficient regression. The dependent variable was the natural log of tumor volume at all available time points. Exact Mann–Whitney tests were used to compare treatment groups in terms of lung metastasis count on day 28. ANOVA based on ranks was used to test the interactions of RT and 1D11 exposure in terms of their impact on lung metastasis count. Survival differences were assessed using log-rank tests. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate median survival times. All reported P values are two sided and are declared as significant at the level of 5%. The statistical computations were carried out using SAS for windows, version 9.3 (SAS Institute).

Results

Priming of CD8+ T cells reactive to multiple endogenous tumor antigens by RT requires TGFβ blockade

Radiation has been shown to promote DC activation while TGFβ hinders it (23, 32). To determine whether blocking TGFβ improves RT-induced tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells (TIDC) activation, mice bearing established flank tumors from the 4T1 mouse breast carcinoma received i.p. injection of 1D11, a pan-isomorphic neutralizing TGFβ mAb or its isotype control starting at day 12 post-tumor cells injection. In half of the mice in each group, tumors were treated with RT given in 5 daily doses on day 22 (Fig. 1A). TIDC, defined as CD45+CD11c+MHCIi- cells, was analyzed at day 22 for expression of activation markers CD40 and CD70, which plays a critical role in controlling priming versus tolerance induction of CD8+ T cells (33). The percentage of CD40+CD70+TIDC was increased by RT and TGFβ blockade used alone (22.3% ± 4.9 in 1D11 and 30.2% ± 6.2 in RT vs. 15.5% ± 4.9 in control, P < 0.05 and P < 0.005, respectively) but the increase was far larger when they were combined (49.1% ± 10.5 in RT+1D11; P < 0.0005 compared with control; P < 0.0005 compared with 1D11 or RT). Overall, about 80% of TIDC expressed at least one activation marker in mice treated with RT + TGFβ blockade (Fig. 1B and C).

Next, TDLNs were analyzed to assess the effect of RT and TGFβ blockade on priming of 4T1 tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cells. TGFβ signaling leads to downstream phosphorylation of Smad2/3, which was similarly detected in T cells of untreated mice and mice receiving RT (Supplementary Fig. S1), indicating that baseline production of active TGFβ is sufficient to affect T-cell responses. Administration of 1D11 effectively abrogated TGFβ signaling in T cells of both untreated and RT-treated mice. However, CD8+ T-cell responses to four MHC class I-restricted peptides derived from three endogenous tumor antigens, survivin, Twist, and gp70 (25, 28, 29), were detected only in mice treated with the combination of RT and 1D11 (Fig. 1D–G). The requirement for TGFβ blockade to achieve priming of CD8+ T cells to gp70 was confirmed in the TSA mouse breast carcinoma (Fig. 1H). These data indicate that priming of T-cell responses to endogenous tumor antigens is promoted by tumor irradiation but is blocked by TGFβ.

Inhibition of tumor growth and metastases by the combination of RT and TGFβ blockade

To determine whether priming of tumor-specific T cells by RT and TGFβ blockade was associated with therapeutic activity, we used two experimental settings. First, mice bearing day 12 subcutaneous 4T1 tumors, a time at which this aggressive carcinoma has already metastasized to the lungs (24), were treated as above, but 1D11 administration was continued until day 28 (Fig. 2A). Mice were followed for tumor growth and lung metastases were evaluated at day 28. TGFβ blockade by itself did not have any effect on either growth of the subcutaneous tumor or number of lung metastases (Fig. 2B and C). RT caused a significant growth delay of the irradiated tumor (P < 0.0005 RT vs. control) without any effects on lung metastases. However, when RT was given with TGFβ blockade, both the irradiated tumor and nonirradiated lung metastases were markedly inhibited (P < 0.0005 RT+1D11 vs. all other groups), demonstrating a synergistic interaction of the two treatments.

In the second experimental setting, 1 × 105 TSA cells were injected subcutaneously on day 0 to generate a “primary” tumor and on day 2 in the contralateral flank to generate a “secondary” tumor. Treatment was started when both tumors were palpable, but only the primary larger tumor was irradiated (Fig. 2A). Similarly to what was observed with 4T1 tumor, TGFβ blockade did not have any effect on either of the two tumors (Fig. 2D and E). When combined with RT, however, TGFβ blockade significantly improved response of the irradiated tumor with 81% showing complete regression compared with only 5% with RT alone (P < 0.001). Importantly, growth of the contralateral, nonirradiated tumors was significantly inhibited only when RT was given with TGFβ blockade (P < 0.001). Taken together, these data indicate that TGFβ blockade during radiotherapy allows priming of tumor-specific CD8+ T cells to occur, and both improves the local response to RT and elicits abscopal responses.

Gene signatures associated with immune-mediated tissue rejection are upregulated in irradiated tumors only when TGFβ is blocked

TGFβ is a pleiotropic cytokine that promotes tumor growth and metastases by acting on multiple cell compartments, including the neoplastic cells themselves, the tumor stroma, and the immune system (34). Once tumors were established, blocking TGFβ did not impair growth of 4T1 subcutaneous tumors or lung metastases (Fig. 2), suggesting that at more advanced stages, these processes are less dependent on TGFβ. However, TGFβ inhibition also impairs the DNA damage response thereby increasing radiosensitivity of breast and other cancer cells (22, 35). To gain a
comprehensive portrait of the effects of RT and TGFβ blockade on gene expression in tumors, we performed microarray analysis of 4T1 tumors 4 days after completion of RT. Using Pavlidis template matching (31), we identified 500 genes selectively upregulated in tumors treated with RT + 1D11 (Fig. 3A and B). The enriched dataset was analyzed using IPA software to obtain the molecular pathways differentially expressed in the combination treatment group. The top 20 significantly upregulated canonical pathways were all immune related (Supplementary Fig. S2). Importantly, many of these pathways were found to be upregulated in regressing melanoma metastases from patients responding to immunotherapy (36) and to be associated with a favorable prognosis in human breast tumors (37). Furthermore, the top three self-organizing gene interaction networks generated by IPA indicated activation of a process encompassing the coordinated production of chemokines and cytokines that promote the recruitment of CTLs, and the activation of immune effector function genes and of IFNγ (Fig. 3C). Overall, these data indicate that the dominant change in tumors treated with RT and concomitant TGFβ blockade is activation of immune-mediated tissue rejection pathways (38).

The therapeutic effect of RT in combination with TGFβ blockade is T-cell dependent

To confirm that TGFβ blockade elicited immune-mediated tumor rejection, tumor sections were stained for T-cell markers. Rare T cells were present in untreated 4T1 tumors and their density was not altered significantly by either RT or TGFβ blockade alone. In contrast, when the two treatments were combined, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells infiltrating the irradiated 4T1 tumors markedly increased (Fig. 4A and B). Importantly, a marked increase in tumor-infiltrating T cells was also seen with TGFβ blockade in nonirradiated tumors of mice bearing two TSA tumors, only one of which was irradiated (Fig. 4C), suggesting that immune-mediated tumor rejection occurs systemically. Consistent with this, depletion of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells abrogated regression of the irradiated 4T1 tumors and restored the number of lung metastases in mice treated with TGFβ inhibition + RT (Fig. 4E–G). Overall, these data demonstrate that tumor-specific T cells activated in mice treated with RT and TGFβ blockade are key mediators of regression of irradiated tumors and of the abscopal responses.

Adaptive immune resistance mediated by PD-1 pathway limits the therapeutic efficacy of RT with TGFβ blockade

Despite the prominent T-cell infiltrate, the majority of 4T1 tumors in mice treated with RT and TGFβ blockade did not undergo complete regression, suggesting that additional immunosuppressive mechanisms hinder effector T-cell function in the tumor. Because interactions between the immune checkpoint receptor PD-1 and its ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2 are an important mechanism inhibiting tumor rejection by T cells...
we next investigated the expression of PD-1 and its ligands in the tumor. Intratumoral CD4+ and CD8+ T cells showed increased expression of the activation marker CD69 in tumors treated with RT, which was further enhanced by TGFβ blockade (Fig. 5A and B). Interestingly, about half of CD69+ T cells coexpressed PD-1, whereas only a minor subset was PD-1−CD69−, suggesting that most PD-1+ T cells are activated tumor-specific T cells (40). Expression of both PD-L1 and PD-L2 was induced by treatment with RT and TGFβ blockade in a small subset of 4T1 cells, identified as EpCAM+CD45− cells, and in a larger subset of intratumoral CD45−CD11b+ myeloid cells (Fig. 5C and D). Irradiation in the absence of TGFβ blockade had only a minor effect on the expression of PD-L1 and PD-L2, while TGFβ blockade had no effect.

We then tested the hypothesis that treatment with PD-1 blocking antibody could further improve the therapeutic effect achieved with RT and TGFβ blockade (Fig. 6A). Blockade of PD-1 alone or in combination with TGFβ blockade did not have any effect on tumor growth or survival (Fig. 6B and C). RT alone, as expected, caused growth delay of the irradiated tumor without an effect on survival. RT given with either TGFβ or PD-1 blockade improved control of the irradiated tumor with 44% and 25% complete regressions, respectively, and a significant extension of survival (P < 0.001 compared with control and RT), but in both groups, all tumors recurred before day 40. In contrast, 75% of the mice treated with RT and blockade of both PD-1 and TGFβ achieved complete regression of the irradiated tumor, and tumor recurrence was delayed, resulting in a significant increase in survival compared with all other groups (P < 0.001). ANOVA based on ranks demonstrated a significant interaction among the three interventions (P = 0.006), suggesting complementary effects. Interestingly, PD-1 blockade in the presence of RT promoted priming of tumor-specific CD8+ T cells in TDLN, and further enhanced the response achieved with TGFβ blockade (Fig. 6D). Thus, when used with RT, anti–PD-1 may have effects at both effector and priming phase that contribute to tumor rejection. Thus, these data support the
rational targeting of multiple inhibitory pathways in combination with RT.

**Discussion**

Recent successes of immunotherapy have shown that unleashing the power of the immune system to fight cancer can change the outcome for metastatic disease. Although responses are seen in a relatively small percentage of patients, the durability of the response is far superior to other types of treatment (41, 42). Therefore, combination treatments that can extend the benefits of immunotherapy to more patients are under active investigation.

We previously proposed that RT has effects that may complement those of at least some immunotherapies (43), a concept that has recently gained broader support (44, 45). Here, we show that irradiation of tumors unresponsive to targeting of two key immunosuppressive pathways alone, or even in combination, enables effective antitumor immune responses.

Accumulating evidence suggests that the presence of substantial preexisting tumor-specific T cells predicts which patients will respond to immunotherapy (46), emphasizing the inability of most currently tested treatments to effectively induce de novo T-cell priming to endogenous tumor antigens. Although RT has been previously shown to prime T cells to model antigens expressed by tumors (6, 7), evidence that it can prime T-cell responses to less immunogenic endogenous tumor antigens has been limited (47). We have uncovered that RT-induced T-cell priming is impeded by radiation-induced TGF \(\beta\). TGF \(\beta\) blockade has effects on multiple immune cells that contribute to tumor control (34). Radiation elicits TGF \(\beta\) activation (20, 21); notably, our data show that blocking TGF \(\beta\) in established tumors is insufficient to slow growth of the primary tumor or its lung metastases. Although we cannot...
exclude the contribution of other immune cells to the therapeutic
effect achieved with RT and TGFβ blockade, depletion of T cells
completely abrogated the inhibition of the primary irradiated
tumor and nonirradiated lung metastases, demonstrating the
critical role of T cells. When TGFβ was neutralized in irradiated
tumors, we detected T cells reactive against multiple tumor anti-
genoms, including the antiapoptotic protein survivin and the tran-
scription factor Twist, which promotes epithelial to mesenchymal
transition and metastasis (48). Thus, TGFβ blockade is likely to be
required to greatly enhance radiation-induced vaccination to
breast and other cancers.

The prominent IFNγ signature and T-cell infiltration in tumors
of mice treated with RT and TGFβ blockade are associated with
development of adaptive immune resistance mediated by the PD-
1 pathway (49). In fact, PD-L1 and PD-L2 were upregulated on
both cancer cells and myeloid cells in tumors of mice treated
with this combination. Increased expression of PD-1 was also seen in T
cells infiltrating irradiated tumors, and was larger in the presence of
TGFβ blockade. Administration of anti–PD-1 antibody enhanced tumor regression and delayed recurrence, demonstrating that PD-1 limits the function of T cells primed by RT and TGFβ blockade. Interestingly, tumor antigen-specific IFNγ production by CD8+ T cells was enhanced by PD-1 blockade in the presence of RT, suggesting that improved priming of tumor-specific T cells may contribute to the therapeutic effect when PD-1 blockade is combined with RT-induced vaccination. Overall, data suggest a model whereby TGFβ controls priming of tumor-specific T cells by RT-released antigens, and PD-1 negatively modulates T-cell activation by acting at both the induction and effector phases of the antitumor response.

Despite the improved responses achieved with addition of
anti–PD-1 to RT and TGFβ blockade, tumors still recurred within
a relatively short time after discontinuation of anti–PD-1 treat-
ment. It is possible that prolonged administration of anti–PD-1
would have further delayed or prevented recurrence. Alternatively, although T-cell responses to multiple tumor antigens were induced by RT and TGF-β blockade, it is possible that the critical antigenic target for tumor rejection is a tumor-specific mutant antigen (50) that might be lost allowing for tumor escape from immune control.

Overall, our findings support targeting of the PD-1 pathway in future clinical trials testing the combination of RT and TGF-β blockade, currently being tested in metastatic breast cancer patients (NCT01401062).
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