Investigation of Optical Coherence Microelastography as a Method to Visualize Cancers in Human Breast Tissue
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Abstract

An accurate intraoperative identification of malignant tissue is a challenge in the surgical management of breast cancer. Imaging techniques that help address this challenge could contribute to more complete and accurate tumor excision, and thereby help reduce the current high reexcision rates without resorting to the removal of excess healthy tissue. Optical coherence microelastography (OCME) is a three-dimensional, high-resolution imaging technique that is sensitive to microscale variations of the mechanical properties of tissue. As the tumor modifies the mechanical properties of breast tissue, OCME has the potential to identify, on the microscale, involved regions of fresh, unstained tissue. OCME is based on the use of optical coherence tomography (OCT) to measure tissue deformation in response to applied mechanical compression. In this feasibility study on 58 ex vivo samples from patients undergoing mastectomy or wide local excision, we demonstrate the performance of OCME as a means to visualize tissue microarchitecture in benign and malignant human breast tissues. Through a comparison with corresponding histology and OCT images, OCME is shown to enable ready visualization of features such as ducts, lobules, microcysts, blood vessels, and arterioles and to identify invasive tumor through distinctive patterns in OCME images, often with enhanced contrast compared with OCT. These results lay the foundation for future intraoperative studies. Cancer Res; 75(16); 1–10. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Breast cancer has the second highest mortality rate of all cancers in women (1). It is estimated that in 2014 more than 40,000 people died from the disease in the United States, accounting for 15% of all cancer-related female deaths (1). Surgical excision of the tumor is a critical factor in the treatment of breast cancer. In breast-conserving surgery, the primary aims are to remove all malignant tissue while ensuring a good cosmetic outcome (2). During surgery, the decision of which tissue to excise is guided by a combination of preoperative and intraoperative imaging (3). Margins are often performed days after the surgery. If this analysis indicates that tissue is present close to, or at, the boundary of excised tissue (5), a secondary surgery is often performed to remove additional tissue, and additional radiotherapy is prescribed. It has been reported that approximately 30% to 60% of patients undergoing breast-conserving surgery require a second surgery (6). Such additional surgery has a negative impact on the patient (7), places a significant burden on the healthcare system (8), and increases the likelihood of complications such as wound infection (9). Subsequent boost radiotherapy increases healthcare costs and has accompanying complications (10). Intraoperative diagnostic techniques, such as frozen section and imprint cytology, are currently used to aid in margin assessment. However, these techniques are time consuming and less accurate than postoperative histopathologic analysis, and reported positive margin rates using these techniques are greater than 20% (11). To address this issue, a number of optical imaging techniques have been proposed, including optical coherence tomography (OCT; ref. 12) and Raman spectroscopy (13).

We report on an optical imaging technique with the potential to provide intraoperative high-resolution assessment of tumor margins. Optical coherence microelastography (OCME) is an emerging member of a suite of techniques, known collectively as elastography, that use variations in tissue stiffness to form images (14). In elastography, a mechanical load is imparted to a tissue and the resulting local motion in the tissue is detected using imaging (15). A mechanical property or parameter is then computed and mapped into an image (elastogram), enabling visualization of tissue stiffness. Variants of elastography based on ultrasound (16) and MRI (17) have been developed as diagnostic tools to evaluate suspicious breast lesions, and a number of large clinical studies have been performed (18–20). However, the...
relatively low spatial resolution of existing elastography techniques may limit their suitability for intraoperative tumor margin assessment. OCME achieves microscale resolution by using OCT as the imaging modality (21–24). OCT may be described as the optical analog to ultrasonography (25). The detection of the echo time delays of scattered light waves, rather than of scattered sound waves, conveys to it a spatial resolution of 1 to 10 μm but only to a depth of 1 to 2 mm in breast tissue. OCME and related optical elastography techniques are being developed for a number of applications, most notably in ophthalmology and cardiology, as well as in cancer (23).

A number of studies have evaluated OCT for imaging of excised human breast tissue (26–31) and lymph nodes (32–34). These studies have indicated that OCT may be used to visualize breast microarchitecture, but that it is difficult to distinguish tumor from stroma in OCT images. OCT contrast between tumor and stroma is based on differences in their respective optical properties (26–31). The contrast in OCME images (microelastograms), alternatively, is provided by differences in tissue mechanical properties. As the stiffness variations in breast tissue are correlated with both anatomical structures and pathologic state (35), OCME has the potential to be used to more accurately identify tumor and complement the contrast provided by OCT (24).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the potential of OCME for imaging breast microarchitecture. To achieve this, we use an OCME system developed in our laboratory to image freshly excised benign and malignant human breast tissue. OCME is compared with coregistered hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)–stained histology, and OCT. The results demonstrate that OCME can be used to visualize features, including ducts, lobules, microcysts, blood vessels, and arterioles, and to distinguish regions of invasive tumor from a background of mature stroma. Overlaid OCME and OCT images highlight the complementary nature of the mechanical and optical contrast of breast tissue. This study paves the way for future intraoperative studies on the use of OCME in the assessment of tumor margins, with the potential to eventually reduce the existing high reexcision rates in breast-conserving surgery.

Materials and Methods

OCT acquires images by illuminating a biological sample with a focused beam of nonionizing, near-infrared light, and detecting the component of this light that is backscattered. Backscattering from different depths within the tissue is separated through a process referred to as low-coherence interferometry, providing a one-dimensional depth scan (A-scan) of the tissue at a specific location. An image is formed by acquiring a sequence of these scans by scanning the focused beam across the sample (B-scan). A three-dimensional (3D) data volume, a C-scan, is constructed by acquiring a sequence of adjacent B-scans. Elastography is performed by minutely varying the mechanical load on the tissue successively from B-scan to B-scan. Additional technical detail is provided in the following sections.

OCME system

A portable OCME imaging system was used in this study (Fig. 1), comprising a spectrometer-based, Fourier-domain OCT system and a mechanical loading apparatus. The light source is a superluminescent diode (Superlum, Ireland) with an optical...
spectrum centered at a wavelength of 835 nm and with a bandwidth (full-width at half-maximum) of 50 nm. To optimize the sensitivity to displacement of the tissue, the interferometer is configured in common-path mode (36), in which the reference reflector is provided by the interface between a glass window and the tissue surface. The OCT axial and transverse resolutions were measured to be 8 and 11 μm (in air), respectively. The optical power incident on the sample was approximately 7 mW. The sensitivity of the system was measured to be 102 decibels at an incident power of 1 mW. The exposure times used to obtain the results presented in this article were in the range of 3 to 15 μs and the period of each A-scan was 100 μs. To acquire B-scans and C-scans, the light beam was scanned in both transverse (x and y) dimensions using a pair of galvanometer mirrors (Scanlab). For OCME imaging, the system acquired B-scans and C-scans in 0.1 s and approximately 16 minutes, respectively. 3D datasets were acquired with dimensions (x × y × z) up to 10 × 10 × 2.25 mm, comprising 1,000 A-scans in each B-scan and 10,000 B-scans in each C-scan. The data were acquired using a custom-made software package written in the C++ language. Signal processing of the raw data was performed in Matlab (Mathworks, v2012b).

Mechanical loading

To impart a mechanical load to the tissue, the glass imaging window was fixed to an annular piezoelectric transducer (Piezo-mechanik), providing for mechanical loading and optical imaging from the same side of the sample (Fig. 1; ref. 37). To ensure even contact with the window, before imaging, the sample was preloaded from the nonimaging side by displacing a rigid brass plate 0.5 to 1.5 mm beyond the point of initial contact with the sample. The preload corresponds to a bulk strain (change in sample thickness over its initial thickness) in the range of 0.1 to 0.3. It is important to note that this is not the strain that is measured in OCME. During imaging, the transducer imparted an additional, much smaller mechanical load by displacing the tissue surface by up to a maximum of 2.2 μm. It is the strain resulting from this micron-scale actuation that is measured in OCME. During imaging, the transducer imparted an additional, much smaller mechanical load by displacing the tissue surface by up to a maximum of 2.2 μm. It is the strain resulting from this micron-scale actuation that is measured in OCME. The transducer was driven at a frequency of 5 Hz and was synchronized with the OCT acquisition such that consecutive B-scans were acquired in the loaded then unloaded state.

Acquisition parameters and signal processing

Tissue displacement was estimated using 3D, phase-sensitive OCT (23). To ensure consecutive B-scan pairs were correlated, oversampling was used in the y-direction such that B-scans were spaced at 1-μm intervals (24). This ensured that the phase difference between each pair of B-scans was proportional to the axial displacement of the tissue. Weighted averaging and phase unwrapping were used to improve the precision and dynamic range of measurable displacements (24). To provide mechanical contrast, 3D microelastograms were generated from the 3D displacement map by estimating the local strain at each location in the sample using a weighted-least squares linear regression algorithm described previously (38). Local strain is defined as the change of displacement over an axial depth (38). In this study, the local strain was estimated over an axial range of 100 μm, which defined the axial resolution of the OCME system. The transverse (x and y) resolution matched that of the underlying OCT system (11 μm). For a sample undergoing uniaxial compression and under the assumption that stress is uniformly distributed throughout a sample, negative local strain is indicative of tissue stiffness; that is, for a given load, softer regions undergo higher local strain than stiffer regions.

Imaging protocol

Informed consent was obtained from patients and the study approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Western Australia. Fifty-eight samples were imaged, taken from 31 patients undergoing a lumpectomy, mastectomy, or mastectomy with axillary clearance. After excision, a fresh tissue sample was dissected for scanning, with approximate dimensions (x × y × z) of 1.5 × 1.5 × 0.5 cm. Samples were kept hydrated in saline until imaging, which occurred within 4 hours of excision. Each sample was mechanically loaded and imaged, as described above. After imaging, samples were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with H&E following the standard histopathology protocols used at Royal Perth Hospital. The H&E-stained sections were digitally micrographed using an automated system (ScanScope, Leica Biosystems) and manually coregistered with the corresponding en face microelastograms and en face OCT images using in-house viewing software. All images presented correspond to depth locations either 50 or 300 μm from the tissue surface. Interpretation of histology was performed by an experienced pathologist (B. Latham). The en face OCT image corresponding most closely to each microelastogram was chosen from the set of OCT images within the axial range of the microelastogram (100 μm). In Figs. 1–5, the maximum field-of-view in microelastograms and OCT images is 10 × 10 mm. In Figs. 6 and 7, a larger field-of-view (~20 × ~20 mm) was obtained by mosaicking four 10 × 10 mm scans acquired from partially overlapping square regions of the sample. Microelastograms are presented on a linear dimensionless scale using pseudo-color (in millistrain, me, that is, length change per unit length × 10−3) and OCT images are presented on a logarithmic decibel scale using a grayscale colormap. Negative strain in a microelastogram corresponds to strain in the same direction as the applied load, and positive strain corresponds to strain acting in the opposite direction. Because a sample undergoes uniaxial compression in OCME, predominantly negative local strain is expected; however, the mechanical heterogeneity of breast tissue is such that regions of positive local strain are also observed. The mechanisms by which positive local strain can occur are described in detail in the Supplementary Material.

Fused OCT/OCME images were generated by first segmenting out the adipose tissue from the microelastograms using image processing software (GNU Image Manipulation Program, v2.8.2), because OCT is effective in distinguishing this tissue type from other solid tissues due to its distinct optical properties. The remaining OCME data are overlaid on the OCT image. To accentuate the OCME contrast in the fused images, the OCT image transparency is set to 20%.

Results

In the following, we present results of imaging normal and various types of malignant breast tissue. Each result comprises H&E histology, the corresponding en face microelastogram and the en face OCT image. Figure 2 shows two representative examples of benign breast tissue, in which various characteristic features may be observed. Figure 2A–C shows epithelial hyperplasia characterized by increased cell density in the terminal duct lobular...
units (TDLUs) and ducts (D). The region labeled “Region 1” shows atypical ductal hyperplasia and that labeled “Region 2” shows microcyst formation and mild epithelial hyperplasia. Figure 2D–F shows benign atrophic breast tissue, characterized by atrophic ducts and increased interlobular fibrosis. The microelastograms in Fig. 2B and E demonstrate the effectiveness of OCME in delineating features of benign breast tissue. In these microelastograms, TDLUs, ducts and arterioles (Ar) appear as regions of higher negative local strain than surrounding mature stroma (S), suggesting they are of lower stiffness. Mature stroma presents as a uniform texture, indicating mechanical uniformity. In both microelastograms, there is a well-defined boundary between TDLUs, ducts, arterioles, and mature stroma. In several of these features, positive local strain is present around the feature boundary, which accentuates the feature contrast. Intralobular features are also visible in microelastograms. In Fig. 2B, mechanical heterogeneity is visible within the TDLUs in Region 1, likely arising from a distinct mechanical response from intralobular stroma and acini. Several of the same features are visible in the OCT images in Fig. 2C and F, particularly TDLUs and ducts, which manifest as regions of lower optical backscatter than surrounding mature stroma, as reported previously (26, 27). The characteristic honeycomb structure of adipose tissue is also visible, particularly in Fig. 2F. In summary, Fig. 2 demonstrates that multiple features of benign breast tissue are readily identified in microelastograms, and the contrast is complementary to that provided by OCT.

Figure 3 demonstrates the capacity to use OCME to distinguish malignant from benign breast tissue. Mucinous carcinoma, a relatively uncommon invasive carcinoma seen in 2% to 3% of all cases, is typified by nests of tumor cells (T) interspersed between regions of mucin (M; Fig. 3A). The microelastogram shown in Fig. 3B provides clear delineation between the malignant tissue and the surrounding mature stroma (S). Similarly to Fig. 2B and E, stroma appears as a region of uniform local strain. In the region of malignancy, the distinct mechanical properties of tumor and mucin result in highly heterogeneous local strain. The malignant tissue is characterized by intermixed regions of negative and positive local strain, allowing the boundary of the malignant tissue to be identified. In the OCT image (Fig. 3C), there is visible contrast between the tumor and mucin, with the mucin appearing as a dark region, indicating lower optical backscatter. However, the boundary between the tumor and surrounding mature stroma is difficult to discern, as these tissue types have similar optical backscattering properties, highlighting the importance of the additional tissue contrast provided by OCME.

Figures 4 and 5 present representative examples of the most common breast malignancy, invasive ductal carcinoma. In Fig. 4, the central region consists of mature stroma (S) and is surrounded by tumor (Fig. 4A). Above the stroma lies a region of invasive tumor (T), comprising densely packed tumor cells. A number of malignant lobules and ducts, exhibiting characteristics of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and comedo necrosis, also surround the central region of mature stroma. The corresponding microelastogram (Fig. 4B) demonstrates that OCME can distinguish malignant tissue from stroma. The heterogeneity within the involved lobules gives rise to a complex strain pattern, with higher strain...
heterogeneity than is visible in the benign lobules shown in Fig. 2. Similarly to Figs. 2 and 3, the central stromal region of the microelastogram presents a uniform texture. The invasive tumor above the mature stroma presents as a region of high negative local strain, indicating that it is softer than the mature stroma. In the corresponding OCT image (Fig. 4C), the lobules and tumor are readily distinguished from the mature stroma, and individual adipose cells are resolved within the stroma.

In Fig. 5, a second example of invasive ductal carcinoma is shown, in which the central region consists of mature stroma (S; Fig. 5A). The stroma is surrounded by invasive tumor (T) comprising infiltrating nests of tumor cells that invoke a desmoplastic stromal response. In the microelastogram (Fig. 5B), as seen in Figs. 2–4, uniform strain is visible in the mature stroma. By comparison, the regions with infiltrating tumor present as a highly heterogeneous texture in the microelastogram, characterized by adjacent regions of negative and positive local strain. This heterogeneity is caused by differences in the mechanical properties and structure between the nests of tumor cells and the surrounding immature desmoplastic stroma. The distinct textures in the microelastogram allow the regions of infiltrating tumor to be identified. In the OCT image (Fig. 5C), strands of immature desmoplastic stroma appear as brighter regions (high backscatter) interspersed with darker regions (low backscatter) corresponding to nests of tumor cells. Comparing the contrast provided by OCME and OCT in Fig. 5, similarly to Fig. 3, the border between benign and malignant tissue is more readily identified with OCME.

Figures 2–5 suggest that OCME and OCT are complementary in identifying morphologic features within freshly excised, unstained breast tissue. The results presented here suggest that the mechanical contrast in microelastograms can distinguish regions of invasive tumor from uninvolved mature stroma, whereas the optical contrast in OCT images readily delineates adipose tissue. To better utilize these complementary sources of

Figure 3.
Mucinous carcinoma. A, histology. B, en face microelastogram at approximately 50 μm depth. C, corresponding en face OCT image. M, mucin; S, mature stroma; T, tumor; me, millistrain; dB, decibels. Scale bars, 1 mm.

Figure 4.
Invasive ductal carcinoma. A, histology. B, en face microelastogram at approximately 50 μm depth. C, corresponding en face OCT image. A, adipose tissue; CN, comedo necrosis; DCIS, ductal carcinoma in situ; S, mature stroma; T, tumor; me, millistrain; dB, decibels. Scale bars, 1 mm.
contrast, Figs. 6 and 7 show fused images in which the non-adipose sections of the microelastogram are overlaid on the OCT image. In addition, Figs. 6 and 7 extend the field of view to ~20 × ~20 mm by mosaicking four overlapping square portions of a square image. Figure 6 shows an example of invasive ductal carcinoma surrounded by adipose tissue (A). In the top half of the histology image (Fig. 6A), a region of mature stroma (S) is present. A number of features of normal breast tissue, such as ducts (D) and blood vessels (V), are interspersed within the stroma. The bottom half of Fig. 6A shows a region of invasive tumor (T). The radially advancing edge of the tumor is characterized by nests of tumor cells surrounded by immature desmoplastic stroma advancing into adipose tissue. In the microelastograms, these two regions are distinct. The uniform texture of the mature stroma in the microelastogram, visible in the fused image (Fig. 6B), is similar to that in Figs. 2–5. Ducts and blood vessels present as regions of high negative local strain. The local strain in areas of invasive malignancy is highly heterogeneous, similar to that shown in Fig. 5, and characteristic of malignant cells compromising the structure of the healthy tissue. By comparison, the OCT image (Fig. 6C) delineates the adipose tissue, but provides little contrast between the tumor and mature stroma. Figure 6D–I shows magnified images of the histology, fused microelastogram/OCT and OCT images in involved and uninvolved tissues, corresponding to the locations indicated by the blue and black arrows in Fig. 6A–C, and highlight the additional contrast achieved by incorporating OCME.

Figure 7 shows a second example of a fused microelastogram and OCT image of a sample containing invasive ductal carcinoma. From the histology image (Fig. 7A), the central region consists of mature stroma (S) with invasive tumor (T) advancing radially into

Figure 5.
Invasive ductal carcinoma. A, histology. B, en face microelastogram at approximately 50 μm depth. C, corresponding en face OCT image. S, mature stroma; T, tumor; m, millistrain; dB, decibels. Scale bars, 1 mm.

Figure 6.
Invasive ductal carcinoma. A, histology. B, en face fused OCT image and microelastogram at approximately 50 μm depth, showing OCME in color and OCT in gray scale. C, corresponding en face OCT image. A, adipose tissue; D, duct; S, mature stroma; T, tumor; and V, blood vessel. Blue arrows in A–C indicate the region of tumor corresponding to D, F, and H. Black arrows in A–C indicate the region of mature stroma corresponding to E, G, and I. dB, decibels; m, millistrain. Scale bars in A–C, 3 mm; scale bars in D–I, 0.5 mm.
adipose tissue (A). In the fused image (Fig. 7B), the microelastogram shows the characteristic patterns observed in Figs. 2–6. The central stromal region corresponds to a uniform strain pattern, suggesting that it is mechanically homogeneous. The advancing edge of the tumor, comprising nests of tumor cells in desmoplastic stroma, corresponds to the heterogeneous strain pattern also observed in the invasive tumors shown in Figs. 5 and 6. Similarly to Fig. 6C, OCT readily distinguishes the adipose tissue but provides low contrast between tumor and stroma (Fig. 7C). The much higher contrast between benign and malignant tissue in the microelastogram is highlighted in the magnified images in Fig. 7D–I, which correspond to the locations indicated by the blue and black arrows in Fig. 7A–C.

Discussion and Conclusion

In this article, we have presented representative microelastograms selected from the 58 fresh, unstained breast tissue samples scanned. In benign and malignant tissue, we observe lobules, ducts, microcysts, blood vessels, and arterioles. These features exhibit high negative local strain, enabling them to be distinguished from surrounding mature stroma. In areas of invasive malignancy, often characterized by nests of tumor cells interspersed within immature desmoplastic stroma, microelastograms display a heterogeneous pattern, characterized by regions of negative and positive local strain (Figs. 5–7). Mature stroma manifests as regions of comparatively uniform negative local strain (Figs. 2–7). Positive local strain acts to accentuate feature contrast in areas of high mechanical heterogeneity, for example, around the boundaries of features and in areas of malignancy where tumor cells are interspersed with desmoplastic stroma (24). Positive local strain is described in greater detail in the Supplementary Material. These distinct strain patterns provide the basis for OCME to be used to distinguish between benign mature stroma and tissue with invasive malignancy.

The results presented here also demonstrate that OCME provides contrast that is additional and complementary to that provided by OCT imaging alone. Whereas OCT readily distinguishes mature stroma and tumor from adipose in tissue, microelastograms exhibit high contrast between tissues with similar optical backscattering properties, such as at the boundary between mature stroma and invasive tumor. We have demonstrated that this complementarity may be effectively used through image fusion. In so doing, we are following the well-established precedents of image fusion set in medical imaging techniques such as MRI, X-ray CT, and PET (39).

The high degree of heterogeneity in the mechanical properties of invasive tumor observed in this study is consistent with laboratory studies of breast tissue surfaces conducted on the nanometer scale using atomic force microscopy (40, 41). These studies have reported that cancer cells are typically softer than normal breast cells and that stroma, often present in malignant lesions, is typically stiffer than cancer cells. This combination results in substantial mechanical heterogeneity on the microscale probed by OCME (41). Macroscopically, the elevated stiffness often associated with tumors, and sensed during palpation, is dominated by the stromal response to malignancy, rather than by the tumor cells (41).

Similarly to previously reported feasibility studies of OCT on breast tissue (26, 27, 29), the diagnostic accuracy of OCME has not been reported here, as the goal of this study is to establish the contrast provided by OCME in breast tissue. Given the promise of the results presented here, subsequent work will focus on determining the sensitivity and specificity of the presence of malignant tumor in tumor margins. Such a study will require OCME to be performed on the intact tumor mass, as has been reported for OCT imaging of tumor margins (12). The data acquired in this feasibility study will serve as a training set for pathologists before their participation in blind studies to assess the diagnostic accuracy of OCME. To attempt such an
advances in OCT technology have enabled data acquisition using intraoperative techniques, such as frozen section, which enables on-face imaging of breast tissue. En face images were acquired in approximately 16 minutes. Our experience with OCT in breast tissue, dissected in Western Australia in 2009, required 10 million A-scans per second, permitting volume imaging in approximately 20 seconds (43). Using this technique, in combination with the mosaicking used in Figs. 6 and 7, 30 × 30 mm en face images could be generated in approximately 3 minutes. This timeframe compares favorably with existing intraoperative techniques, such as frozen section, which typically takes approximately 25 minutes (44). In addition, recent advances in OCT technology have enabled data acquisition speeds of >1,000,000 A-scans per second, permitting volume acquisitions in <1 second (45), suggesting that entire lumpectomy samples could feasibly be scanned in <1 minute. However, we note that further technical development of the rapid acquisition technique (43) is required to achieve microelastograms of the quality presented in Figs. 2–7.

OCT is one of a number of emerging optical elastography techniques (23). These techniques can be classified according to the method used to introduce a mechanical load and include compression (24), shear wave (46), and magnetomotive techniques (47). Compressive loading is used in OCME (24). In shear wave OCT, a pulsed or periodic load generates surface/shear waves that are detected using OCT (46). In magnetomotive OCT, magnetic nanoparticles are distributed in the tissue and actuated using an external magnetic field to produce localized displacements (47). Each variant of optical elastography would appear to have particular advantages suited to specific applications. For example, wave-based, non-contact techniques providing absolute quantification of tissue stiffness appear to be most suited to measuring delicate, relatively homogeneous tissues, such as the cornea (46). For intraoperative margin assessment, where rapid assessment of highly heterogeneous tissues over relatively large areas is required, but quantification of absolute stiffness may not be needed, compression techniques, such as OCME, appear to be more suitable (23).

In common with all compression-based elastography techniques (15), mechanical contrast in OCME is obtained by measuring local strain at each location in the sample at the expense of spatial resolution in the direction of strain measurement. To estimate local strain, the slope of axial displacement is measured over a depth range of 100 μm, representing in our case a 12.5× degradation in resolution compared with the native OCT axial resolution. In the transverse plane (x- and y-dimensions), by contrast, OCME retains the OCT resolution (11 μm in our case). Despite the inherent reduction in axial resolution, the results presented here demonstrate that OCME is readily able to distinguish microarchitecture within breast tissue.

Beyond intraoperative assessment of excised tumor, OCME may be suitable in other clinical scenarios, mirroring the proposed applications of OCT in breast cancer imaging. For example, development of a handheld OCT probe, similar to commercially available handheld OCT probes, would enable intraoperative assessment of the tumor cavity. Needle-based elastography probes could guide both tumor excision and needle biopsies by providing high-resolution imaging deep within the breast (48). OCME could also be used to assess axillary lymph node involvement in breast cancer metastasis, and initial results have been reported (24). Beyond breast cancer, a recent article has proposed the use of a closely related optical elastography technique in prostate cancer (49).

In conclusion, the visualization of mechanical contrast in breast tissue provided by OCME represents a new mechanism for the identification of malignant tissue on the microscale, with the potential to provide a new means of intraoperative assessment of tumor margins. In this first major study, we have performed a detailed analysis of representative examples of OCME of human breast tissue and demonstrated strong correspondence between microelastograms and coregistered histology. The study also reveals the additional and complementary nature of OCME contrast compared with that of OCT. These results lay the foundation for future evaluation of OCME as an intraoperative technique for the assessment of tissue excised during breast-conserving surgery.
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