





AACR SPECIAL CONFERENCE IN CANCER RESEARCH Cellular Responses to Environmental DNA Damage

December 1-6, 1991 Banff Springs Hotel, Banff, Alberta, Canada

CONFERENCE CO-CHAIRPERSONS

PHILIP C. HANAWALT / Stanford, CA

MALCOLM C. PATERSON / Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM

Keynote Addresses

RICHARD B. SETLOW / Upton, NY BRUCE N. AMES / Berkeley, CA

DNA Repair: Basic Mechanisms

LAWRENCE GROSSMAN / Baltimore, MD ERROL C. FRIEDBERG / Dallas, TX PHILIP C. HANAWALT / Stanford, CA GWEN B. SANCAR / Chapel Hill, NC BENNETT VAN HOUTEN / Burlington, VT

Lesions

BEA SINGER / Berkeley, CA DOUGLAS E. BRASH / New Haven, CT RUFUS S. DAY III / Edmonton, Alberta, Canada ARTHUR P. GROLLMAN / Stony Brook, NY RICHARD J. REYNOLDS / Los Alamos, NM MUTSUO SEKIGUCHI / Fukuoka, Japan PETER SETLOW / Farmington, CT

Systems

JÖHN M. BOYLE / Manchester, England RONALD D. LEY / Albuquerque, NM STUART LINN / Berkeley, CA LOUISE PRAKASH / Rochester, NY BARRY S. ROSENSTEIN / Providence, RI BETSY M. SUTHERLAND / Upton, NY

Inducible Responses

WILLIAM L. CARRIER / Oak Ridge, TN BRUCE DEMPLE / Cambridge, MA ALBERT J. FORNACE, JR. / Bethesda, MD GUY G. POIRIER / Sainte-Foy, Quebec, Canada STEPHEN G. SEDGWICK / London, England RONALD YASBIN / Baltimore, MD

Mutagenesis

BARRY W. GLICKMAN / Toronto, Ontario, Canada JOHN M. ESSIGMANN / Cambridge, MA VERONICA M. MAHER / East Lansing, MI MICHAEL M. SEIDMAN / Rockville, MD GRAHAM C. WALKER / Cambridge, MA

Information and Application Forms

American Association for Cancer Research Public Ledger Building, Suite 816 Sixth and Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106 215-440-9313 (FAX)

Human Population Response Heterogeneity

ROBERT H. HAYNES / Toronto, Ontario, Canada RICHARD J. ALBERTINI / Burlington, VT MICHAEL A. BENDER / Upton, NY DANIEL W. NEBERT / Cincinnati, OH THOMAS R. SKOPEK / Chapel Hill, NC DAVID W. YANDELL / Boston, MA

Intragenomic DNA Repair Heterogeneity

VILHELM A. BOHR / Bethesda, MD REGINALD A. DEERING / University Park, PA DAREL J. HUNTING / Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada GEORGE J. KANTOR / Dayton, OH LEON H. F. MULLENDERS / Leiden, Netherlands MICHAEL J. SMERDON / Pullman, WA

Human Repair Gene Cloning

CHRISTINE A. WEBER / Livermore, CA RICHARD A. GATTI / Los Angeles, CA JOHN P. MURNANE / San Francisco, CA KIYOJI TANAKA / Osaka, Japan CRISTINE TROELSTRA / Rotterdam, Netherlands GORDON F. WHITMORE / Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Human Genetic Disease

JAMES D. REGAN / Oak Ridge, TN JAMES E. CLEAVER / San Francisco, CA ALAN R. LEHMANN / Brighton, England ROBERT W. MILLER / Bethesda, MD MALCOLM C. PATERSON / Edmonton, Alberta, Canada LARRY H. THOMPSON / Livermore, CA

Carcinogenesis

CURTIS C. HARRIS / Bethesda, MD PETER A. CERUTTI / Epalinges, Switzerland HELENE Z. HILL / Newark, NJ ALAIN SARASIN / Villejuif, France I. BERNARD WEINSTEIN / New York, NY

Aging

RONALD W. HART / Jefferson, AR RAYMOND J. MONNAT, JR. / Seattle, WA JOHN PAPACONSTANTINOU / Galveston, TX KURT RANDERATH / Houston, TX Y.-H. EUGENA WANG / Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Deadline for Applications: September 16, 1991

COVER LEGEND



David Paul von Hansemann (pictured left) studied medicine in Berlin, Kiel, and Leipzig. After defending his doctoral dissertation in 1886 under Julius Cohnheim, Hansemann worked as an assistant to Rudolph Virchow and then held in succession the positions of lecturer, nominal professor, and honorary professor of pathological anatomy at the University of Berlin. He had a special interest in cancer and, in 1890, described the concept of anaplasia, postulating that the increased growth potential of the cancer cell was accompanied by a loss of differentiation and that asymmetrical mitoses were a characteristic of cancer [Arch. Pathol. Anat. (Virchow's), 119: 299, 1890]. Hansemann contrasted his theory of anaplasia [from the Greek, ana, backward + plassein, to form] to the more popular theory of embryonalism; embryonal tissues remained undifferentiated, while anaplastic tissues had once been differentiated. These theories were further refined in his monograph Studien uber die Spezificitat den Altruismus und die Anaplasie der Zellen published in 1893. Hansemann's contemporaries considered his ideas very controversial. Hansemann died in 1920, several months after Albert C. Broders (right) of the Mayo Clinic published his method of tumor grading.

Broders graduated from the Medical College of Virginia in 1910 and then studied pathology at the Mavo Clinic. Prior to the 1920s, surgeons had little if any basis for predicting a patient's prognosis after removal of a malignant tumor. In 1919, Broders, by then an associate surgical pathologist, studied a series of 537 cases of epithelioma of the lip that had been excised at the Mayo Clinic. Broders graded each of these neoplasms as 1, 2, 3, or 4, depending on the degree of differentiation, and found a strong correlation between tumor grade and patient prognosis (JAMA, 74: 656, 1920). More than 90% of patients with well-differentiated tumors (grade 1), two-thirds of those with grade 2 tumors, 25% of those with grade 3 tumors, and none of the patients with anaplastic, poorly differentiated tumors (grade 4) survived. Thus, using David von Hansemann's concept of anaplasia, Broders had developed the first useful system of grading cancers. The concept of tumor grading was quickly applied to other types of cancers and has enjoyed widespread use since 1920.

Both Dr. Manfred Sturzbecher of West Berlin and Professor Dr. Chr. Thierfelder at the Humboldt-Universitat zu Berlin in East Berlin provided copies of the photograph of David Paul von Hansemann. It is originally from a collection of photographs of faculty members of the University of Berlin. We thank Dr. Sturzbecher for a reprint of a Hansemann biographical sketch that appeared in the Bavarian Academy of Science's *Neue Deutsche Biographie* in 1966. The photograph of Broders was obtained through the courtesy of the National Library of Medicine.

James R. Wright, Jr., M.D.