

Plasma Homocysteine and Cysteine and Risk of Breast Cancer in Women

Jennifer Lin¹, I-Min Lee^{1,2}, Yiqing Song¹, Nancy R. Cook^{1,2}, Jacob Selhub³, JoAnn E. Manson^{1,2}, Julie E. Buring^{1,2,4}, and Shumin M. Zhang¹

Abstract

Homocysteine and cysteine are associated with oxidative damage and metabolic disorders, which may lead to carcinogenesis. Observational studies assessing the association between circulating homocysteine or cysteine and breast cancer are very limited, and findings have been inconsistent. We prospectively evaluated plasma levels of homocysteine and cysteine in relation to breast cancer risk among 812 incident cases of invasive breast cancer and 812 individually matched control subjects from 28,345 women in the Women's Health Study; these women were ≥ 45 years old, provided blood samples, and had no history of cancer and cardiovascular disease at baseline. Logistic regression controlling for matching factors and risk factors for breast cancer was used to estimate relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). All statistical tests were two sided. Homocysteine levels were not associated with overall risk for breast cancer. However, we observed a positive association between cysteine levels and breast cancer risk; the multivariate RR for the highest quintile group relative to the lowest quintile was 1.65 (95% CI, 1.04–2.61; P for trend = 0.04). In addition, women with higher levels of homocysteine and cysteine were at a greater risk for developing breast cancer when their folate levels were low (P for interaction = 0.04 and 0.002, respectively). Although our study offers little support for an association between circulating homocysteine and overall breast cancer risk, higher homocysteine levels may be associated with an increased risk for breast cancer among women with low folate status. The increased risk of breast cancer associated with high cysteine levels warrants further investigation. *Cancer Res*; 70(6): 2397–405. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Homocysteine, a thiol-containing amino acid, is produced through the catabolism of the essential amino acid methionine. When methionine is in excess, homocysteine is degraded to cysteine through the transsulfuration pathway in vitamin B₆-dependent reactions (1). However, under conditions of negative methionine balance, homocysteine is remethylated to methionine in a process that requires methionine synthase with vitamin B₁₂ as a cofactor and methyltetrahydrofolate as a cosubstrate (1). Cysteine, converted through homocysteine from methionine, is also a precursor amino acid for synthesis of proteins, glutathione, CoA, and

γ -glutamylcysteinylglycine (2). Tissue concentrations of both homocysteine and cysteine are maintained at low levels by tight regulation (2). Disrupted metabolism of homocysteine, resulting from a defect in the transsulfuration or remethylation pathways of homocysteine or by deficiencies of B vitamins required for the pathways, may cause elevation of homocysteine levels (3). Cysteine levels may be elevated with the accumulation of homocysteine or when its catabolism is impaired due to low cysteine dioxygenase (2, 4, 5).

In vitro studies have shown that homocysteine levels are positively associated with proliferation rates of cells in a variety of tumors, including breast tumors (6, 7), as well as with oxidative damage to cells (2, 8, 9). Cysteine has been considered to possess antioxidant properties through its rate-limiting role in biosynthesis of glutathione, the intracellular antioxidant and detoxifying agent (10, 11). However, recent evidence from *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have suggested that cysteine may act as a pro-oxidant agent that causes DNA oxidative damage as a result of the overproduction of free radicals and hydrogen peroxide, leading to gene mutation and subsequent cancer development (2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12–14). Elevated levels of homocysteine and cysteine are also associated with several metabolic disorders, including high body mass index (BMI), high plasma triglyceride levels, hypertension, and abnormal oxidation of low-density lipoproteins (9, 15–19), which may lead to the development of several cancers, including breast cancer (20, 21).

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School; ²Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health; ³Jean Mayer U.S. Department of Agriculture Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University; and ⁴Department of Ambulatory Care and Prevention, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Corresponding Author: Jennifer Lin, Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 900 Commonwealth Avenue East, Boston, MA 02215. Phone: 617-278-0894; Fax: 617-731-3843; E-mail: jhlin@rics.bwh.harvard.edu.

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3648

©2010 American Association for Cancer Research.

Observational studies assessing the association between circulating homocysteine and cysteine and overall breast cancer risk are very limited, and findings have been inconsistent. One case-control study reported a positive association between homocysteine levels and breast cancer risk (22), whereas the other cohort study did not observe such an association (23). To date, circulating cysteine and overall breast cancer risk has only been assessed in one cohort study that reported an inverse association (24). In this case-control study nested in a large female cohort, we prospectively evaluated the association of plasma levels of total homocysteine and cysteine with risk for developing overall breast cancer as well as breast cancer subtypes according to hormone receptor status. Because the efficient metabolism of homocysteine and possibly cysteine relies on adequate levels of B vitamins, we also examined whether B-vitamin status, including plasma levels of folate, pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP; the principal active form of vitamin B₆), and vitamin B₁₂ affected the relation of plasma homocysteine and cysteine to overall breast cancer risk.

Materials and Methods

Study population. Participants in this study were drawn from the Women's Health Study, a completed randomized trial evaluating low-dose aspirin and vitamin E for the primary prevention of cancer and cardiovascular disease (25–27). Beginning in 1992, 39,876 U.S. female health professionals ages ≥45 years and free of cancer and cardiovascular disease participated in the study and completed a questionnaire about their medical history and lifestyle factors. Blood samples were collected from 28,345 women at baseline. Baseline characteristics of women who gave blood samples were largely similar to those who did not (28).

Identification of case and control subjects. Every 6 months during the first year of follow-up and then annually thereafter, participants were asked whether they had been newly diagnosed with breast cancer. We then confirmed the diagnosis for women who reported a diagnosis of breast cancer and those who were deceased through a review of medical records and extracted detailed information on the diagnosis of breast cancer. In the present study, we included 812 women who had a confirmed diagnosis of invasive breast cancer during an average follow-up of 10 years between 1992 and 2004. Each breast cancer case was individually matched to one control with no diagnosis of cancer on age (up to 5 years of difference), ethnicity, menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal, or uncertain), postmenopausal hormone use (never, past, current), and trial randomization date (12-month difference), yielding a total of 812 matched controls.

Dietary assessment. Upon enrollment into the study, participants also completed a 131-item food frequency questionnaire, which asked the average intake of food and beverages during the past year. Participants chose from nine possible answers ranging from “never or less than once per month” to “six or more times per day.” The response for each food

item was then converted into an average daily intake of the food item in servings per day. Nutrient values in foods were computed by multiplying the frequency of responses by the nutrient content of specified portion sizes based on the U.S. Department of Agriculture food composition data (29) and supplemented by food manufacturers.

The validity and reproducibility of the food frequency questionnaire have been assessed previously (30, 31). The Pearson correlation coefficients between nutrient intakes from the food frequency questionnaire and that from four 1-week dietary records spaced over a year were 0.68 for vitamin B₂, 0.71 for vitamin B₆, 0.65 for vitamin B₁₂, 0.8 for vitamin E (31), 0.57 for vitamin A, and 0.59 for vitamin C (30). The relationships between total intakes of B vitamins and their respective blood levels have been assessed previously in our study; the correlation coefficients between total intakes and plasma levels were 0.52 for folate, 0.46 for vitamin B₆, and 0.24 for vitamin B₁₂ (32).

Laboratory analysis. Both assays of homocysteine and cysteine were conducted at the Jean Mayer U.S. Department of Agriculture Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University. Plasma homocysteine and cysteine were determined using high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection (33). Blood samples for 812 cases and 812 controls were handled identically in random order and shipped in the same batch. Each pair was assayed together and all pairs were analyzed in one run. The laboratory personnel were blinded to case or control status. The mean coefficients of variation for quality control samples (78 repeated samples) were 13.4% and 8.8% for homocysteine and cysteine, respectively.

Statistical analysis. We first log_e-transformed plasma levels of homocysteine and cysteine, which were both skewed from normality. We then categorized the two plasma markers into quintiles based on the distribution in the controls. Differences between case-control pairs in mean concentrations of plasma markers and other continuous covariates were tested using a paired *t* test. McNemar's test was used to compare the difference between case-control pairs in proportions of covariates as categorical variables.

Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for invasive breast cancer, with adjustment for matching factors (described previously), age (in years), randomized treatment assignment (aspirin versus placebo, vitamin E versus placebo), and additionally for risk factors for breast cancer assessed at baseline, including BMI (<25, 25 to <30, ≥30 kg/m²), physical activity (energy expenditure in kcal/wk, in quartiles), family history of breast cancer in a first-degree relative (yes, no), history of benign breast disease (yes, no), age at menarche (<11, 12–13, ≥14 years), parity (0, 1–2, 3–4, ≥5 children), age at first birth (<19, 20–24, 25–29, ≥30 years), smoking status (never, past, current), alcohol consumption (none, >0 to <5, 5 to <15, ≥15 g/d), and age at menopause (<45, 45 to <50, 50 to <52, ≥52 years).

Stratifying analysis for the association between the two plasma markers and breast cancer risk was first conducted according to B-vitamin status, including plasma folate

Table 1. Baseline characteristics (mean \pm SD or %) among invasive breast cancer cases and their matched controls in the Women's Health Study

Characteristics	Cases (n = 812)	Controls (n = 812)	P
Age (y)	56.1 (7.2)	56.0 (7.0)	Matched
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.4 (4.4)	25.6 (4.8)	0.47
History of benign breast disease (%)	42.8	37.8	0.03
Family history of breast cancer (%)	7.8	7.5	>0.9
Mammogram screening test (%)*	63.3	66.3	0.21
Current smoking (%)	13.6	9.5	0.01
Current use of multivitamins (%)	30.3	27.3	0.17
No. of participants with vitamin E treatment (%)	51.6	49.4	0.37
Physical activity (kcal/wk)	936 (1,135)	981 (1,314)	0.37
Age at menarche (y)	12.3 (1.0)	12.4 (1.1)	0.07
Age at first birth (y)	25.1 (3.6)	24.4 (3.4)	0.0005
Parity	2.8 (1.7)	3.1 (1.7)	0.0003
Nulliparous (%)	14.9	11.7	0.06
Postmenopause (%)	62.9	62.9	Matched
Age at menopause (y)	48.1 (5.4)	47.1 (5.6)	0.0002
Current postmenopausal hormone use (%)	62.5	62.5	Matched
Alcohol intake (g/d)	5.2 (9.0)	4.5 (8.1)	0.08
Methionine intake (g/d) [†]	1.92	1.92	0.98
Cystine intake (g/d) [†]	1.03	1.04	0.27
Plasma homocysteine levels (nmol/mL) [‡]	8.8 (5.4–15.9)	8.4 (5.4–15.5)	0.09
Plasma cysteine levels (nmol/mL) [‡]	204.6 (166.8–236.1)	203.1 (164.6–236.6)	0.27

*Information was obtained from the 12-month follow-up questionnaire.

[†]Nutrient intakes were energy adjusted.

[‡]Median value (5th–95th range).

[<8.4 (median levels), \geq 8.4 ng/mL], PLP [$<$ 57.59 (median), \geq 57.59 pmol/mL], and vitamin B₁₂ [$<$ 460.5 (median), \geq 460.5 pg/mL]. We also examined whether the overall association with the two plasma markers was affected by risk factors for breast cancer, including menopausal status (premenopause, postmenopause), hormone therapy use (never, past, current), BMI ($<$ 25, \geq 25 kg/m²), alcohol consumption (none or $<$ 9, \geq 9 g/d), multivitamin use (yes, no), current smoking (yes, no), and benign breast disease (yes, no), as well as dietary intakes of antioxidants, including vitamin A, vitamin C, and β -carotene (in quintiles) and vitamin E treatment (treatment versus placebo). We also examined the association according to tumor hormone receptor status [estrogen receptor positive (ER+), ER negative (ER-), progesterone receptor positive (PR+), PR negative (PR-)]. All stratified analyses were performed by unconditional logistic regression controlling for matching factors as well as risk factors for breast cancer as mentioned above. Tests for multiplicative interactions between plasma markers and modifiers were performed by entering each product term into the multivariate model with a Wald statistic. Tests for trend were performed by fitting the median of the plasma marker for each quintile as a continuous variable in the model. We used SAS statistical software (version 8.2; SAS Institute) for all analyses. All *P* values were two sided.

Results

Among the baseline characteristics presented in Table 1, breast cancer patients were different from control subjects with higher prevalence of history of benign breast disease and current smoking. In addition, cancer patients had slightly higher levels of plasma homocysteine and cysteine than control subjects, although differences between the cancer and control groups were not statistically significant. Baseline intakes for dietary methionine and cystine (oxidized dimer of cysteine) were also not different in the cancer and control groups.

Plasma levels of homocysteine and cysteine were moderately correlated with each other, but neither was related to dietary intakes of methionine and cystine (Table 2). Homocysteine levels were moderately inversely correlated with B-vitamin levels, dietary folate intake, and intakes of antioxidants, whereas cysteine levels were only weakly correlated with B-vitamin levels. In addition, the two plasma markers were not correlated with alcohol consumption and current cigarette smoking. Cysteine was somewhat related to BMI, whereas no relation could be observed between homocysteine and BMI (Table 2).

Plasma levels of homocysteine were not associated with overall breast cancer risk in models with simple and multivariate

Table 2. Partial correlation coefficients between plasma levels of homocysteine and cysteine and related markers among control subjects ($n = 812$) adjusted for matching factors

	Plasma levels	
	Homocysteine (nmol/mL)	Cysteine (nmol/mL)
Plasma levels*		
Homocysteine (nmol/mL)	1.00	0.53 [†]
Cysteine (nmol/mL)	0.53 [†]	1.00
Cysteinylglycine (nmol/mL)	0.54 [†]	0.44 [†]
Folate (ng/mL)	-0.31 [†]	0.18 [†]
PLP (pmol/mL)	-0.18 [†]	0.10
Vitamin B ₁₂ (pg/mL)	-0.20 [†]	0.15 [†]
Dietary intake*		
Methionine (g/d)	-0.02	0.03
Cystine (g/d)	-0.03	0.02
Folate (g/d)	-0.23 [†]	0.03
Total vitamin A intake (IU/d)	-0.20 [†]	-0.01
Total vitamin C intake (mg/d)	-0.17 [†]	0.02
Total β -carotene intake (μ g/d)	-0.15 [†]	-0.02
Alcohol consumption (g/d)	0.01	0.002
Vitamin E (treatment vs placebo)	-0.04	0.003
Tobacco consumption (cigarette/d)	0.08	0.004
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.02	0.13

NOTE: Matching factors include age, month, and year of blood return; date of blood draw; fasting status; postmenopausal status; postmenopausal hormone use; and trial randomization date.

*Nutrient intakes and concentrations were log_e transformed.

[†] $P < 0.0001$.

adjustments (Table 3). However, higher plasma cysteine was moderately associated with an increased risk for overall breast cancer risk (Table 3). Women in the highest quintile relative to those in the lowest group had a multivariate RR of 1.65 (95% CI, 1.04–2.61, P for trend = 0.04). Additional adjustment for screening mammography or for dietary intakes of methionine and cystine did not change the associations with the two plasma markers (data not shown). The associations were also unchanged when the two plasma markers along with cysteinylglycine levels were all included in the multivariate models (data not shown). Additional sensitivity analysis by excluding women diagnosed with breast cancer during the first 2 years of follow-up ($n = 127$ cases) revealed that the association with cysteine levels was somewhat attenuated (P for trend = 0.17). Dietary intakes of methionine and cystine did not seem to be related to breast cancer risk (Table 3).

B-vitamin levels seemed to affect the association between homocysteine levels and overall breast cancer risk (Table 3). Specifically, homocysteine levels were positively associated with risk for developing breast cancer among women with lower folate and PLP levels; the multivariate RRs in the highest quintile relative to the lowest group were 1.60 (95% CI, 0.93–2.74; P for trend = 0.01) for women with lower folate levels and 1.31 (95% CI, 0.80–2.15; P for trend = 0.06) for women with lower PLP status. Similarly, the positive association between cysteine levels and breast cancer risk was mainly present among women who had lower levels of folate and PLP (Table 4). The multivariate RRs in the highest group of plasma cysteine relative to the lowest group were 2.93 (95% CI, 1.73–4.96; P for trend = 0.0001) and 1.93 (95% CI, 1.16–3.21; P for trend = 0.005), respectively, among women with lower folate and PLP levels. By contrast, vitamin B₁₂ status did not affect the overall association with either homocysteine or cysteine levels (Table 4).

Stratifying analyses according to breast cancer risk factors (including BMI, alcohol consumption, current smoking, multivitamin use, benign breast disease, menopausal status, and hormone therapy use) and dietary antioxidant intakes (including vitamins A and C, β -carotene, and vitamin E supplementation) did not significantly change the association of plasma homocysteine or cysteine with breast cancer risk (P values for interactions ≥ 0.12). Plasma homocysteine was also not significantly associated with risk for breast cancer subtypes according to tumor hormone receptor status (Table 5). However, higher plasma cysteine was marginally associated with an increased risk for developing ER+ and/or PR+ breast tumors. Women in the highest quintile group relative to those in the lowest group had a RR of 1.36 (95% CI, 0.92–2.02; P for trend = 0.05), 1.32 (95% CI, 0.87–2.01; P for trend = 0.06), 1.27 (95% CI, 0.83–1.95) for developing ER+, PR+, and ER+/PR+ breast cancer, respectively. In addition, higher homocysteine and cysteine levels were each associated with an increased risk for developing ER+ and/or PR+ breast cancer among women with lower folate status (P values for interaction ≤ 0.01).

Discussion

In this large prospective case-control study, we observed no association between plasma homocysteine and overall risk for developing breast cancer. However, higher homocysteine levels were associated with an increased risk among women with lower status of folate. Higher plasma cysteine was associated with an increased risk for breast cancer, and the positive association was primarily present among women with lower folate and, perhaps, PLP status. In addition, the increased risk for breast cancer with cysteine levels was more pronounced in ER+ and/or PR+ breast cancer. Dietary methionine and cystine intakes were not associated with risk for breast cancer.

Although total homocysteine levels were not associated with overall breast cancer risk in our study, women with higher homocysteine levels had an increased risk for breast cancer when their folate levels were low. Our finding of the

joint relationship between plasma homocysteine and folate to breast cancer risk was also reported in another case-control study (22). Sufficient levels of B vitamins, including folate, are important for the metabolism of homocysteine, for which the plasma levels are elevated even when serum folate levels are in the low reference range (34, 35). It is, therefore, possible that homocysteine accumulation resulting from folate deficiency enhances its adverse effects on breast cancer development. More studies are warranted to confirm the relationship between homocysteine and folate in relation to breast cancer development.

We also found that elevated plasma cysteine was associated with an increased risk for developing overall breast cancer. Lower folate status, likely resulting in homocysteine accumulation and further conversion of cysteine, also seemed to amplify the positive association between cysteine and breast cancer risk. Our findings are in contrast with two other studies showing either an inverse association between cysteine levels and breast cancer risk (24) or an effect modification of the COMT genotype on the association (36). Discrepant findings among the three studies may be attributable to heterogeneity of the study populations, as we noted that cysteine levels in our study were much lower (mean levels were 203 nmol/mL

in controls) relative to those in the other two studies (mean levels were >270 nmol/mL in controls). Nevertheless, the correlation coefficients of cysteine with homocysteine and B-vitamin levels in our study were of similar magnitude to those in another prospective study (24). Given the null relationship of cysteine levels to several antioxidant intakes, alcohol consumption, and smoking in this study population, it is possible that factors other than oxidative effects may explain the link between cysteine and breast cancer risk.

A recent study has suggested a possible causal role for cysteine in body weight regulation; elevated cysteine levels were associated with high BMI, independent of serum lipids, plasma cysteinylglycine, and serum γ -glutamyltransferase, an enzyme that converts glutathione into cysteine (37). In rats, increasing cysteine intake markedly increases body weight gain (38), whereas dietary restriction of methionine, the precursor for cysteine, decreased visceral fat mass (39). Obesity is a risk factor for breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women and a prognostic factor for breast cancer progression and mortality (40–44). It is, therefore, possible that the adverse effects of cysteine on energy balance may also contribute to breast cancer development. Nevertheless, cysteine levels were only weakly correlated with BMI in this

Table 3. RRs and 95% CIs of invasive breast cancer according to quintiles of plasma homocysteine and cysteine as well as of dietary methionine and cystine intakes in the Women's Health Study

	Quintile					<i>P</i> _{trend}
	1 (Lowest)	2	3	4	5 (Highest)	
Plasma levels						
Homocysteine (nmol/mL)	<6.79	6.79–<7.95	7.95–<9.13	9.13–<11	≥11	
<i>n</i> cases/controls	182/164	134/161	130/163	184/162	182/162	
Simple RR*	1.00	0.75 (0.54–1.05)	0.72 (0.51–1.01)	1.01 (0.73–1.40)	1.02 (0.73–1.43)	0.33
Multivariate RR†	1.00	0.61 (0.47–0.97)	0.63 (0.44–0.90)	1.00 (0.70–1.42)	0.92 (0.64–1.32)	0.61
Cysteine (nmol/mL)	<185.2	185.2–<198	198–<209	209–<219.7	≥219.7	
<i>n</i> cases/controls	138/163	150/162	196/163	172/162	156/162	
Simple RR*	1.00	1.23 (0.87–1.74)	1.73 (1.18–2.54)	1.55 (1.05–2.30)	1.41 (0.93–2.13)	0.11
Multivariate RR†	1.00	1.29 (0.89–1.88)	1.93 (1.27–2.94)	1.67 (1.08–2.57)	1.65 (1.04–2.61)	0.04
Dietary intake						
Methionine (g/d)	<1.61	1.61–<1.82	1.82–<2.0	2.0–<2.23	≥2.23	
<i>n</i> cases/controls	163/158	177/160	145/160	159/159	150/153	
Simple RR*	1.00	1.05 (0.76–1.44)	0.83 (0.60–1.14)	0.95 (0.69–1.32)	0.96 (0.69–1.33)	0.62
Multivariate RR†	1.00	1.06 (0.75–1.49)	0.82 (0.58–1.17)	0.98 (0.69–1.40)	0.93 (0.65–1.32)	0.55
Cystine (g/d)	<0.91	0.91–1	1–<1.08	1.08–<1.16	≥1.16	
<i>n</i> cases/controls	185/161	156/172	173/157	126/143	154/157	
Simple RR*	1.00	0.76 (0.56–1.04)	0.94 (0.69–1.28)	0.76 (0.54–1.06)	0.86 (0.63–1.18)	0.43
Multivariate RR†	1.00	0.82 (0.59–1.15)	0.95 (0.68–1.31)	0.81 (0.56–1.16)	0.82 (0.58–1.15)	0.29

*Simple models were adjusted for matching variables and age (in year) and randomized treatment assignment to aspirin and vitamin E (aspirin versus placebo, vitamin E versus placebo).

†Multivariate models were adjusted for matching variables denoted in the legend and additionally for BMI [weight (kg)/height (m)²: <25, 25–<30, ≥30 kg/m²], physical activity (energy expenditure in kcal/wk, in quartiles), family history of breast cancer in a first-degree relative (yes, no), history of benign breast disease (yes, no), age at menarche (≤11, 12, 13, ≥14 years), parity (0, 1–2, 3–4, ≥5 children), age at first birth (≤19, 20–24, 25–29, ≥30 years), smoking status (never, past, current), alcohol consumption (never, 0.1–<5, 5–<15, ≥15 g/d), and age at menopause (<45, 45–<50, 50–<52, ≥52 years).

Table 4. RRs and 95% CIs of invasive breast cancer and quintiles of plasma homocysteine and cysteine according to plasma B-vitamin levels in the Women's Health Study

	Homocysteine			<i>P</i> _{interaction}	Cysteine			<i>P</i> _{interaction}
	Cases/ controls	Simple RR*	Multivariate RR†		Cases/ controls	Simple RR*	Multivariate RR†	
Folate status				0.04				0.002
Folate <8.4 ng/mL								
Q*1	37/48	1.00	1.00		60/94	1.00	1.00	
Q2	53/62	1.12 (0.63–2.00)	1.06 (0.58–1.94)		70/79	1.45 (0.90–2.32)	1.46 (0.89–2.41)	
Q3	53/78	0.90 (0.51–1.57)	0.90 (0.50–1.62)		98/83	1.89 (1.21–2.95)	2.18 (1.35–3.51)	
Q4	106/95	1.47 (0.87–2.49)	1.63 (0.94–2.82)		80/78	1.61 (1.02–2.55)	1.62 (0.99–2.63)	
Q5	138/112	1.67 (1.00–2.80)	1.60 (0.93–2.74)		79/61	2.16 (1.33–3.51)	2.93 (1.73–4.96)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.007	0.01			0.002	0.0001	
Folate ≥8.4 ng/mL								
Q*1	143/111	1.00	1.00		75/65	1.00	1.00	
Q2	80/97	0.64 (0.43–0.94)	0.59 (0.39–0.89)		78/82	0.82 (0.52–1.31)	0.78 (0.48–1.27)	
Q3	73/83	0.66 (0.44–0.99)	0.62 (0.40–0.95)		95/76	1.06 (0.67–1.68)	1.08 (0.66–1.76)	
Q4	75/64	0.90 (0.58–1.38)	0.84 (0.54–1.31)		89/81	0.94 (0.59–1.49)	0.90 (0.56–1.46)	
Q5	43/47	0.69 (0.42–1.14)	0.61 (0.36–1.02)		77/98	0.67 (0.42–1.07)	0.65 (0.40–1.06)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.22	0.09			0.18	0.18	
PLP status				0.11				0.07
PLP <57.6 pmol/mL								
Q*1	60/63	1.00	1.00		69/87	1.00	1.00	
Q2	67/66	1.06 (0.64–1.77)	1.04 (0.61–1.78)		68/83	1.06 (0.67–1.68)	1.14 (0.70–1.85)	
Q3	57/95	0.64 (0.39–1.05)	0.67 (0.40–1.12)		115/86	1.68 (1.09–2.58)	1.94 (1.22–3.08)	
Q4	107/82	1.36 (0.85–2.18)	1.59 (0.97–2.62)		80/75	1.35 (0.86–2.14)	1.50 (0.92–2.43)	
Q5	120/93	1.36 (0.85–2.16)	1.31 (0.80–2.15)		79/68	1.42 (0.88–2.29)	1.93 (1.16–3.21)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.05	0.06			0.07	0.005	
PLP ≥57.6 pmol/mL								
Q*1	120/96	1.00	1.00		66/72	1.00	1.00	
Q2	66/93	0.58 (0.38–0.88)	0.56 (0.36–0.87)		80/78	1.18 (0.74–1.89)	1.12 (0.69–1.83)	
Q3	69/66	0.85 (0.55–1.32)	0.78 (0.50–1.24)		78/73	1.25 (0.78–2.02)	1.25 (0.76–2.07)	
Q4	74/77	0.76 (0.49–1.17)	0.76 (0.48–1.19)		89/84	1.20 (0.76–1.90)	1.15 (0.71–1.87)	
Q5	61/66	0.77 (0.49–1.21)	0.69 (0.43–1.12)		77/91	1.01 (0.63–1.62)	1.00 (0.61–1.64)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.37	0.23			0.95	0.96	
Vitamin B ₁₂ status				0.59				0.23
Vitamin B ₁₂ <460.5 pg/mL								
Q*1	64/62	1.00	1.00		75/86	1.00	1.00	
Q2	61/73	0.78 (0.47–1.29)	0.71 (0.41–1.20)		77/90	1.04 (0.66–1.62)	1.10 (0.69–1.76)	
Q3	61/80	0.74 (0.45–1.22)	0.73 (0.44–1.23)		100/93	1.23 (0.80–1.90)	1.37 (0.87–2.17)	
Q4	103/85	1.22 (0.76–1.95)	1.33 (0.81–2.19)		80/74	1.29 (0.82–2.04)	1.33 (0.82–2.15)	
Q5	106/99	1.07 (0.67–1.69)	1.01 (0.62–1.65)		63/56	1.31 (0.80–2.16)	1.54 (0.90–2.63)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.25	0.27			0.16	0.07	
Vitamin B ₁₂ ≥460.5 pg/mL								
Q*1	116/97	1.00	1.00		60/73	1.00	1.00	
Q2	72/86	0.71 (0.47–1.08)	0.65 (0.42–1.01)		71/71	1.23 (0.76–2.00)	1.17 (0.71–1.93)	
Q3	65/81	0.67 (0.43–1.02)	0.63 (0.40–0.98)		93/66	1.77 (1.10–2.85)	1.89 (1.15–3.12)	
Q4	78/74	0.89 (0.58–1.36)	0.82 (0.52–1.29)		89/85	1.30 (0.82–2.06)	1.22 (0.75–1.97)	
Q5	75/60	1.05 (0.68–1.64)	0.95 (0.60–1.52)		93/103	1.14 (0.72–1.80)	1.16 (0.72–1.88)	
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.75	0.89			0.62	0.58	

NOTE: The ranges of homocysteine in the quintile groups were <6.79, 6.79 to <7.95, 7.95 to <9.13, 9.13 to <11, and ≥11. The ranges of cysteine in the quintile groups were <185.2, 185.2 to <198, 198 to <209, 209 to <219.7, and ≥219.7.

*Simple models were adjusted for the same variables as in Table 3.

†Multivariate models were adjusted for the same variables as in Table 3.

Table 5. RRs and 95% CIs of invasive breast cancer and quintiles of plasma homocysteine and cysteine according to hormone receptor status in the Women's Health Study

	Homocysteine			Cysteine		
	Cases/controls	Simple RR*	Multivariate RR [†]	Cases/controls	Simple RR*	Multivariate RR [†]
ER status						
ER+						
Q*1	147/122	1.00	1.00	105/126	1.00	1.00
Q2	106/126	0.68 (0.48–0.97)	0.64 (0.44–0.93)	118/131	1.13 (0.79–1.64)	1.12 (0.76–1.63)
Q3	93/122	0.62 (0.43–0.90)	0.59 (0.41–0.87)	153/132	1.44 (1.01–2.05)	1.55 (1.07–2.25)
Q4	151/136	0.92 (0.65–1.30)	0.91 (0.64–1.29)	142/124	1.42 (0.99–2.04)	1.42 (0.97–2.07)
Q5	144/131	0.92 (0.65–1.29)	0.81 (0.57–1.17)	123/124	1.23 (0.84–1.79)	1.36 (0.92–2.02)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.78	0.78		0.12	0.05
ER–						
Q*1	29/32	1.00	1.00	21/26	1.00	1.00
Q2	20/26	0.91 (0.40–2.07)	0.95 (0.38–2.37)	25/23	1.49 (0.64–3.48)	1.87 (0.71–4.90)
Q3	22/29	0.83 (0.38–1.82)	0.90 (0.37–2.19)	33/22	2.20 (0.95–5.09)	3.18 (1.22–8.31)
Q4	21/16	1.55 (0.64–3.75)	1.95 (0.71–5.37)	20/22	1.22 (0.51–2.93)	1.37 (0.52–3.63)
Q5	30/19	1.90 (0.84–4.27)	1.78 (0.71–4.48)	23/29	1.03 (0.46–2.34)	1.07 (0.42–2.72)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.07	0.13		0.98	0.95
PR status						
PR+						
Q*1	127/109	1.00	1.00	94/114	1.00	1.00
Q2	96/118	0.66 (0.45–0.96)	0.61 (0.41–0.91)	104/117	1.13 (0.77–1.67)	1.12 (0.75–1.68)
Q3	89/108	0.67 (0.46–0.99)	0.63 (0.42–0.94)	129/115	1.41 (0.96–2.06)	1.49 (1.00–2.23)
Q4	127/120	0.90 (0.62–1.29)	0.89 (0.61–1.31)	131/107	1.52 (1.04–2.23)	1.52 (1.02–2.27)
Q5	130/112	0.99 (0.69–1.44)	0.88 (0.60–1.29)	111/114	1.21 (0.82–1.81)	1.32 (0.87–2.01)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.49	0.90		0.12	0.06
PR–						
Q*1	44/40	1.00	1.00	30/35	1.00	1.00
Q2	29/32	0.77 (0.38–1.56)	0.61 (0.28–1.34)	38/33	1.35 (0.67–2.69)	1.36 (0.64–2.90)
Q3	26/42	0.50 (0.25–0.98)	0.45 (0.22–0.94)	55/37	1.77 (0.91–3.46)	2.00 (0.97–4.12)
Q4	41/31	1.18 (0.61–2.28)	1.37 (0.66–2.87)	26/38	0.79 (0.38–1.61)	0.84 (0.39–1.80)
Q5	43/36	1.04 (0.54–1.98)	0.96 (0.48–1.95)	34/38	1.00 (0.51–2.00)	1.11 (0.52–2.39)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.57	0.55		0.64	0.92
ER+ and PR+						
Q*1	125/103	1.00	1.00	91/107	1.00	1.00
Q2	90/112	0.62 (0.42–0.92)	0.57 (0.38–0.84)	100/113	1.09 (0.73–1.62)	1.08 (0.71–1.63)
Q3	82/107	0.61 (0.41–0.90)	0.55 (0.37–0.84)	121/113	1.30 (0.88–1.92)	1.37 (0.91–2.06)
Q4	126/118	0.87 (0.60–1.26)	0.86 (0.59–1.27)	129/104	1.49 (1.01–2.21)	1.49 (1.00–2.24)
Q5	127/109	0.96 (0.66–1.40)	0.83 (0.56–1.23)	109/112	1.17 (0.78–1.76)	1.27 (0.83–1.95)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.58	0.97		0.16	0.09
ER– and PR–						
Q*1	27/26	1.00	1.00	18/19	1.00	1.00
Q2	14/20	0.66 (0.25–1.73)	0.75 (0.25–2.29)	21/19	1.28 (0.50–3.31)	1.55 (0.52–4.64)
Q3	15/28	0.46 (0.19–1.11)	0.52 (0.19–1.43)	25/20	1.50 (0.59–3.82)	2.22 (0.74–6.66)
Q4	20/14	1.29 (0.50–3.35)	1.58 (0.50–4.97)	18/19	1.07 (0.41–2.78)	1.06 (0.36–3.14)
Q5	27/16	1.68 (0.69–4.08)	1.45 (0.51–4.08)	21/27	0.84 (0.34–2.06)	0.88 (0.33–2.56)
<i>P</i> _{trend}		0.13	0.31		0.60	0.70

NOTE: The ranges of homocysteine in the quintile groups were <6.79, 6.79 to <7.95, 7.95 to <9.13, 9.13 to <11, and ≥11. The ranges of cysteine in the quintile groups were <185.2, 185.2 to <198, 198 to <209, 209 to <219.7, and ≥219.7.

*Simple models were adjusted for the same variables as in Table 3.

†Multivariate models were adjusted for the same variables as in Table 3.

population, suggesting that other unknown factors are also likely to play a role in the relationship between cysteine and breast cancer development.

We also found that the increased risk for breast cancer with elevated cysteine levels was more relevant to ER+ and/or PR+ breast cancer. *In vitro* studies have suggested that accumulation of homocysteine, a precursor of cysteine, results in elevated concentrations of *S*-adenosylhomocysteine, which bind to COMT and inhibits the COMT-mediated metabolism of several carcinogenic catechol estrogens, including 2-hydroxy-E2 and 4-hydroxy-E2 (45). The consequence may lead to an increased risk for developing estrogen-dependent cancers (45). It is possible that the accumulation of homocysteine causes elevated cysteine levels and results in pathogenic effects on estrogen-dependent breast tissue.

The limitations of this study include the representativeness of a single blood specimen to assess circulating homocysteine and cysteine as well as blood specimen stability over time in the freezers. However, prior work from other prospective studies has shown that correlations over time for several biochemical tests tend to be very stable with little or no sign of deterioration during storage (46–49). In addition, data showing that dietary methionine and cysteine intakes were not correlated with plasma homocysteine and cysteine levels are unexpected. Although we cannot rule out the possibility of measurement errors in these two nutrient intakes, the tight regulation in circulating cysteine may be less affected by normal dietary intakes (5). The correlation

between cysteine levels and dietary methionine intake obtained in our study was also comparable with that in the other large prospective study (24). Finally, our findings may be subject to chance as we have performed many comparisons.

In conclusion, our study suggests that women with higher homocysteine and cysteine levels may be at an increased risk for breast cancer when their folate levels are low. The mechanistic factors underlying the relationship between cysteine and breast cancer risk warrants more investigation in future studies.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank the entire Women's Health Study staff and the Endpoints Committee of the Women's Health Study, and Eduardo Pereira and Natalya Gometskaya for technical assistance with the manuscript.

Grant Support

National Cancer Institute grants CA104871, CA47988, and CA126846, and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute grants HL043851 and HL080467.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received 10/05/2009; revised 12/10/2009; accepted 01/05/2010; published OnlineFirst 03/02/2010.

References

- Finkelstein JD. Methionine metabolism in mammals. *J Nutr Biochem* 1990;1:228–37.
- Stipanuk MH. Sulfur amino acid metabolism: pathways for production and removal of homocysteine and cysteine. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2004;24:539–77.
- Perla-Kajan J, Twardowski T, Jakubowski H. Mechanisms of homocysteine toxicity in humans. *Amino Acids* 2007;32:561–72.
- Stipanuk MH, Ueki I, Dominy JE, Jr., Simmons CR, Hirschberger LL. Cysteine dioxygenase: a robust system for regulation of cellular cysteine levels. *Amino Acids* 2009;37:55–63.
- Stipanuk MH, Dominy JE, Jr., Lee JI, Coloso RM. Mammalian cysteine metabolism: new insights into regulation of cysteine metabolism. *J Nutr* 2006;136:1652–9S.
- Sun CF, Haven TR, Wu TL, Tsao KC, Wu JT. Serum total homocysteine increases with the rapid proliferation rate of tumor cells and decline upon cell death: a potential new tumor marker. *Clin Chim Acta* 2002;321:55–62.
- Wu LL, Wu JT. Hyperhomocysteinemia is a risk factor for cancer and a new potential tumor marker. *Clin Chim Acta* 2002;322:21–8.
- Dudman NP, Hicks C, Wang J, Wilcken DE. Human arterial endothelial cell detachment *in vitro*: its promotion by homocysteine and cysteine. *Atherosclerosis* 1991;91:77–83.
- Hogg N. The effect of cyst(e)ine on the auto-oxidation of homocysteine. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1999;27:28–33.
- Faintuch J, Aguilar PB, Nadalin W. Relevance of *N*-acetylcysteine in clinical practice: fact, myth or consequence? *Nutrition* 1999;15:177–9.
- Droge W. Cysteine and glutathione deficiency in AIDS patients: a rationale for the treatment with *N*-acetyl-cysteine. *Pharmacology* 1993;46:61–5.
- Saez G, Thornalley PJ, Hill HA, Hems R, Bannister JV. The production of free radicals during the autoxidation of cysteine and their effect on isolated rat hepatocytes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1982;719:24–31.
- Andine P, Orwar O, Jacobson I, Sandberg M, Hagberg H. Extracellular acidic sulfur-containing amino acids and γ -glutamyl peptides in global ischemia: postischemic recovery of neuronal activity is paralleled by a tetrodotoxin-sensitive increase in cysteine sulfinate in the CA1 of the rat hippocampus. *J Neurochem* 1991;57:230–6.
- Lehmann A, Hagberg H, Orwar O, Sandberg M. Cysteine sulphinate and cysteate: mediators of cysteine toxicity in the neonatal rat brain? *Eur J Neurosci* 1993;5:1398–412.
- Ozkan Y, Ozkan E, Simsek B. Plasma total homocysteine and cysteine levels as cardiovascular risk factors in coronary heart disease. *Int J Cardiol* 2002;82:269–77.
- Frauscher G, Karnaukhova E, Muehl A, Hoeger H, Lubec B. Oral administration of homocysteine leads to increased plasma triglycerides and homocysteic acid—additional mechanisms in homocysteine induced endothelial damage? *Life Sci* 1995;57:813–7.
- Blom HJ, Engelen DP, Boers GH, et al. Lipid peroxidation in homocysteinemia. *J Inher Metab Dis* 1992;15:419–22.
- El-Khairy L, Ueland PM, Nygard O, Refsum H, Vollset SE. Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease risk factors as determinants of total cysteine in plasma: the Hordaland Homocysteine Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1999;70:1016–24.
- Gatt A, Makris A, Cladd H, et al. Hyperhomocysteinemia in women with advanced breast cancer. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2007;29:421–5.
- Vona-Davis L, Howard-McNatt M, Rose DP. Adiposity, type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome in breast cancer. *Obes Rev* 2007;8:395–408.
- Xue F, Michels KB. Diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and breast cancer: a review of the current evidence. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:s823–35.
- Chou YC, Lee MS, Wu MH, et al. Plasma homocysteine as a

- metabolic risk factor for breast cancer: findings from a case-control study in Taiwan. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007;101:199–205.
23. Zhang SM, Willett WC, Selhub J, et al. Plasma folate, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, homocysteine, and risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:373–80.
 24. Zhang SM, Willett WC, Selhub J, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Hankinson SE. A prospective study of plasma total cysteine and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12:1188–93.
 25. Ridker PM, Cook NR, Lee IM, et al. A randomized trial of low-dose aspirin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in women. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:1293–304.
 26. Lee IM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, et al. Vitamin E in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer: the Women's Health Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005;294:56–65.
 27. Cook NR, Lee IM, Gaziano JM, et al. Low-dose aspirin in the primary prevention of cancer: the Women's Health Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005;294:47–55.
 28. Zhang SM, Buring JE, Lee IM, Cook NR, Ridker PM. C-reactive protein levels are not associated with increased risk for colorectal cancer in women. *Ann Intern Med* 2005;142:425–32.
 29. U.S. Department of Agriculture, A.R.S. USDA Nutrient DataBase for Standard Reference, Release 10. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page, <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp>; 1993.
 30. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122:51–65.
 31. Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Litin LB, Willett WC. Reproducibility and validity of an expanded self-administered semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire among male health professionals. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135:1114–26; discussion 1127–36.
 32. Lin J, Lee IM, Cook NR, et al. Plasma folate, vitamin B-6, vitamin B-12, and risk of breast cancer in women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87:734–43.
 33. Araki A, Sako Y. Determination of free and total homocysteine in human plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J Chromatogr* 1987;422:43–52.
 34. Kang SS, Wong PW, Norusis M. Homocysteinemia due to folate deficiency. *Metabolism* 1987;36:458–62.
 35. Selhub J, Jacques PF, Wilson PW, Rush D, Rosenberg IH. Vitamin status and intake as primary determinants of homocysteinemia in an elderly population. *JAMA* 1993;270:2693–8.
 36. Goodman JE, Lavigne JA, Wu K, et al. COMT genotype, micronutrients in the folate metabolic pathway and breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2001;22:1661–5.
 37. Eshorbagy AK, Refsum H, Smith AD, Graham IM. The association of plasma cysteine and γ -glutamyltransferase with BMI and obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2009;17:1435–40.
 38. Stipanuk MH, Londono M, Lee JI, Hu M, Yu AF. Enzymes and metabolites of cysteine metabolism in nonhepatic tissues of rats show little response to changes in dietary protein or sulfur amino acid levels. *J Nutr* 2002;132:3369–78.
 39. Malloy VL, Krajcik RA, Bailey SJ, Hristopoulos G, Plummer JD, Orentreich N. Methionine restriction decreases visceral fat mass and preserves insulin action in aging male Fischer 344 rats independent of energy restriction. *Aging Cell* 2006;5:305–14.
 40. Weiderpass E, Braaten T, Magnusson C, et al. A prospective study of body size in different periods of life and risk of premenopausal breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1121–7.
 41. Loi S, Milne RL, Friedlander ML, et al. Obesity and outcomes in premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:1686–91.
 42. Ryu SY, Kim CB, Nam CM, et al. Is body mass index the prognostic factor in breast cancer?: a meta-analysis. *J Korean Med Sci* 2001;16:610–4.
 43. Majed B, Moreau T, Senouci K, Salmon RJ, Fourquet A, Asselain B. Is obesity an independent prognosis factor in woman breast cancer? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;111:329–42.
 44. Enger SM, Greif JM, Polikoff J, Press M. Body weight correlates with mortality in early-stage breast cancer. *Arch Surg* 2004;139:954–8; discussion 958–60.
 45. Zhu BT. Medical hypothesis: hyperhomocysteinemia is a risk factor for estrogen-induced hormonal cancer. *Int J Oncol* 2003;22:499–508.
 46. Pischon T, Hotamisligil GS, Rimm EB. Adiponectin: stability in plasma over 36 hours and within-person variation over 1 year. *Clin Chem* 2003;49:650–2.
 47. Kanaya AM, Wassel Fyr C, Vittinghoff E, et al. Adipocytokines and incident diabetes mellitus in older adults: the independent effect of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:350–6.
 48. Feskanich D, Ma J, Fuchs CS, et al. Plasma vitamin D metabolites and risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1502–8.
 49. Giovannucci E, Liu Y, Rimm EB, et al. Prospective study of predictors of vitamin D status and cancer incidence and mortality in men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006;98:451–9.

Cancer Research

The Journal of Cancer Research (1916–1930) | The American Journal of Cancer (1931–1940)

Plasma Homocysteine and Cysteine and Risk of Breast Cancer in Women

Jennifer Lin, I-Min Lee, Yiqing Song, et al.

Cancer Res 2010;70:2397-2405. Published OnlineFirst March 2, 2010.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3648](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3648)

Cited articles This article cites 48 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/70/6/2397.full#ref-list-1>

Citing articles This article has been cited by 9 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/70/6/2397.full#related-urls>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/70/6/2397>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.