

## Breast Cancer Racial Disparities: Unanswered Questions

Foluso O. Ademuyiwa<sup>1</sup>, Stephen B. Edge<sup>2</sup>, Deborah O. Erwin<sup>3</sup>, Heather Orom<sup>4</sup>,  
Christine B. Ambrosone<sup>5</sup>, and Willie Underwood III<sup>6</sup>

### Abstract

Breast cancer is the most common noncutaneous cancer diagnosed in women in the United States and is second only to lung cancer as the leading cause of cancer-related mortality. Although mortality rates have been dropping steadily due to a variety of factors including improved treatment modalities and screening, substantial racial differences in outcome between blacks and whites persist. Although differences in health care utilization and access, tumor biology, and cancer management have been elucidated as possible reasons for disparities seen, it is likely that other interactions exist. The purpose of this review is, therefore, to present a comprehensive overview of the literature on racial disparities in breast cancer outcome and highlight potential causative factors that may contribute to disparities seen among blacks and whites with breast cancer. In addition, we make research recommendations by discussing some of the remaining gaps in knowledge that may lead to further understanding of disparities and consequently improved outcomes for all women with breast cancer. *Cancer Res*; 71(3); 640–4. ©2010 AACR.

### Introduction

Projections indicate that in the United States, approximately 207,000 new cases of breast cancer and 40,000 deaths will be reported in 2010 alone (1). Although mortality rates have been dropping since 1990 due to earlier detection and improved treatment modalities, substantial racial disparities in outcome continue to persist. Although the incidence rates for breast cancer in blacks are lower than whites (113 vs. 123.5 per 100,000), mortality rates are disproportionately higher (33 deaths vs. 23.9 per 100,000 cases; refs. 1, 2). Although reasons for the racial disparities in breast cancer have not been fully elucidated, it has been suggested that factors such as differences in tumor biology, health care access, and disease management may contribute to the poorer outcomes observed. The purpose of this article is to present a comprehensive overview of the literature on racial disparities in breast cancer outcome and highlight potential causative factors that may contribute to disparities seen among blacks and whites with breast cancer. Specifically, we review the role of tumor biology, genetic variants in relation to survival disparities, as well as the impact of factors such as screening

and detection and receipt of optimal care on poorer survival among black women with breast cancer. In addition, we discuss some of the remaining gaps in knowledge that may lead to further understanding of disparities and improved outcomes for all women with breast cancer.

### Methods

A PubMed search was used to retrieve articles published in English between 1980 and 2010. MeSH terms used included "breast cancer," "disparities," "racial," "mortality," "incidence," "treatment," "screening," "genetics," "epidemiology," and "pathology." We also utilized editions of the SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results) Cancer Statistics Reviews published by the National Cancer Institute in 2001 and 2007. All articles were peer-reviewed, with the exception of a conference proceeding. Articles that focused primarily on other disadvantaged groups such as Hispanics were excluded.

### Outcome Disparities

A disproportionate number of deaths from breast cancer occur in blacks. Although the overall incidence rates are higher in whites, blacks with breast cancer have a higher breast cancer–specific mortality (33 vs. 23.9 per 100,000). In addition, mortality rates also differ by age group. In 1980, blacks younger than 45 years had higher mortality rates than whites in the same age group (3). Between 1993 and 1996, breast cancer mortality rates among those 35 years and younger in blacks were 2-fold higher than the rates in whites. For those between 40 and 50 years, the rates in blacks were 1.5-fold those of whites; although the two races had more or less similar outcomes for those 55 years and older (4). The 5-year survival rates for all stages also differ by race, with blacks

**Authors' Affiliations:** Departments of <sup>1</sup>Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Surgical Oncology, and <sup>3</sup>Office of Cancer Health Disparities Research, Division of Cancer Prevention and Population Sciences, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York; <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Health and Health Behavior, School of Public Health and Health Profession, University at Buffalo, New York; and Departments of <sup>5</sup>Cancer Prevention and Control, and <sup>6</sup>Urology, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York

**Corresponding Author:** Foluso O. Ademuyiwa, Department of Medicine, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Elm and Carlton Streets, Buffalo, NY 14263. Phone: 716-845-4695; Fax: 716-845-3519; E-mail: foluso.ademuyiwa@roswellpark.org

doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3021

©2010 American Association for Cancer Research.

**Table 1.** Breast cancer epidemiology by race

Characteristics	Black	White
Incidence per 100,000	113	123.5
Mortality per 100,000	33	23.9
5-y survival, %	78	90
Stage distribution, %		
Localized	51	61
Distant	8	4
Age of presentation <50 y, %	35.1	20.9

NOTE: Modified from references 1 and 5.

being disadvantaged. For example, for locoregional disease, 5-year survival rates for blacks are only 72% versus 85% for whites (1). Table 1 gives a summary of differences in the epidemiology of breast cancer in blacks and whites.

Because of improved diagnostic and treatment modalities, breast cancer mortality has declined over time; but decreases generally have been smaller and less consistent among blacks than among whites (5). In 1975, the age-adjusted death rate from breast cancer for all races was 31.4 per 100,000. By 1990, the rates had increased slightly to 33.1 deaths per 100,000 but subsequently decreased to 22.8 per 100,000 in 2007, reflecting a 27% decline from 1975. Comparing death rates from 1975 to rates from 2007, whites have enjoyed a 30% (31.8 to 22.2 per 100,000) reduction in mortality whereas rates have actually increased by 6.4% (29.5 to 31.4 per 100,000) in blacks in the same period. If the most contemporary period is considered by comparing rates in 2000 and 2007, whites have had a 15.3% reduction in mortality as opposed to 8.7% in blacks.

Factors that contribute to these trends are not fully understood but thought to reflect population differences in tumor biology, genetic underpinnings of aggressive disease, detection, and potential racial differences in management.

### Racial differences in tumor biology

Although socioeconomic factors and access to care are likely to play a role in poorer survival for black women, they are also more likely to be diagnosed at an earlier age than whites and to have tumors with more aggressive characteristics, which may also factor into poorer outcomes. Black women are frequently diagnosed with tumors with high histologic grade and negative estrogen receptor (*ER*), progesterone receptor (*PR*), and *HER-2* receptor status, as well as high nuclear atypia, mitotic index, S-phase fraction, and tumor necrosis (6, 7). They are also more likely to be diagnosed with basal-like breast cancers, which are negative for *ER*, *PR*, and *HER-2*, and overexpress cytokeratins 5/6 and *HER-1/EGFR* (epidermal growth factor receptor), and have high proliferation rates (8). This subtype has a less favorable prognosis than the others, particularly luminal A subtype, which has the highest survival rates (8). In the Carolina Breast Cancer Study (CBCS), the prevalence of basal-like breast cancer was highest (39%) among black premenopausal women compared with other groups of patients (14%–16%; ref. 8). This has been

observed in other studies in the United States (7, 9). It could be suggested that the preponderance of basal-like or hormone receptor-negative tumors among black women could be due to their younger age at diagnosis, but even in older age groups, blacks are more likely than whites to present with triple-negative disease (10).

Until recently, the reasons for these differences in subtypes have been unknown. Historically, the majority of risk factors for breast cancer are related to lifetime exposures to estrogens and, overall, parity has been associated with reduced risk of breast cancer (11). In the CBCS, however, parity was associated only with reduced risk of luminal A subtype and, in fact, increased risk of basal-like breast cancer by almost 2-fold (12). Of importance, the increased risk of basal-like breast cancer with increasing number of live births was totally ameliorated with breast-feeding. These associations have also been observed in subsequent studies in other populations (13, 14). In the Black Women's Health Study, similar associations with parity and breast-feeding were observed in relation to age at onset, with higher parity noted to increase risk of breast cancer before age 45 among black women (12, 15). Because black women are generally more likely to have a greater number of children at a younger age in the United States, and not to breast-feed, these reproductive factors could account, in part, for the higher proportion of basal-like breast cancers in black women. However, because basal-like breast cancers are also more prevalent among women in Africa, a biological or genetic component cannot be ruled out.

It is well established that African Americans have lower circulating levels of serum vitamin D, perhaps due, in part, to higher skin pigmentation, reducing absorption of ultraviolet rays (16). In cell culture and rodent models, vitamin D has shown anticancer properties, but the epidemiologic support for a role in breast cancer risk reduction has been inconsistent. It is possible that the effects of vitamin D may be more important in modulating breast cancer aggressiveness and prognosis than overall cancer susceptibility. Indeed, mice lacking the vitamin D receptor are more likely to develop aggressive *ER/PR*-negative mammary tumors than wild-type mice (17); in human breast cancer cells, vitamin D inhibits the expression of a variety of basal/myoepithelial markers, reverting their transdifferentiation, related to the basal-like subtype (18). Lower serum vitamin D levels have been associated with higher-grade tumors (19) and, in a series of more than 500 women with breast cancer, we observed that serum 25-OHD levels were lowest among women with basal-like breast cancers (Ambrosone and colleagues, unpublished data). Thus, it is plausible that lower vitamin D could contribute to the higher proportion of basal-like tumors among black women. Clearly, genetic, biological, and epidemiologic risk factors for breast cancer subtypes, particularly among black women, need to be further investigated. However, the observation in several studies that increased risk of basal-like breast cancer is associated with higher parity and that breast-feeding reduces this risk, warrants further public health recommendations that breast-feeding be encouraged for all women and, especially for black women, to reduce risk of basal-like breast cancer. In addition, although associations between vitamin D

and breast cancer in black women need to be further investigated, maintenance of adequate vitamin D levels could possibly contribute to lowering the risk of aggressive breast cancer in this population.

#### Racial differences in germline genetic factors

Although certain founder mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* genes have been seen more commonly in particular groups such as Ashkenazi Jewish individuals, the spectrum of deleterious mutations seems to be different from that observed in black women. Although the frequency for both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations may be lower than that observed in white or Ashkenazi Jewish individuals with breast cancer (20–23), a higher frequency of sequence variation of undetermined significance is seen in black women (21). It is unclear whether these variants act to modify risk or disease aggressiveness. Breast cancer characteristics seen in blacks are similar to *BRCA1*-associated tumors. As promoter methylation may be a mechanism for inactivating *BRCA1* in sporadic tumors (24), it is unclear what proportion of blacks with breast cancers have methylation of the *BRCA1* gene that may explain similarities between breast cancer in blacks and *BRCA1*-associated cancers.

Admixture mapping is a useful strategy for discovering disease causing genetic variants that differ in frequency across populations and contribute to complex traits such as oncogenesis. A large genome-wide admixture study in blacks with breast cancer from 6 population-based studies did not find an association between breast cancer risk and African or European heritage at any loci, suggesting that genetic ancestry may not contribute significantly to risk (25). This is controversial, however, given the striking similarities between breast cancer in blacks living in Africa and black Americans in the United States (26). We have shown that blacks with breast cancer in Nigeria and the United States have differences in common breast cancer risk factors such as age at menarche, body mass index, number of pregnancies, age at first birth, and lactation duration, despite similar clinicopathologic features (27). It is therefore plausible that genetic factors (and not reproductive and hormonal risk factors) common to the two groups, but different from those observed in whites, may explain the biologically aggressive disease observed in black women irrespective of location. This underscores the need for pooling of epidemiologic studies in black women to have adequate statistical power to investigate complex interactions between genetic and nongenetic factors in the etiology of aggressive breast cancer and evaluation of these and factors related to access and optimal care to disentangle predictors of poorer survival among black women with breast cancer. Although polymorphisms have been extensively studied in relation to risk of overall breast cancer in white women, there are a very few studies in black women, particularly for genetic polymorphisms, that infer susceptibility to early-onset aggressive breast cancer.

#### Racial differences in detection

Although early detection with screening mammography has contributed to declines in breast cancer mortality; not all

women have benefited equally. Even though blacks are less likely to undergo regular mammograms than whites (28), it is unlikely that differences in detection account for the entirety of outcome disparities. It is difficult to estimate the risk attributable to overall outcome due to multiple other factors that influence outcome.

Factors responsible for differences in mammography rates are multifactorial and include a lower likelihood of receiving a recommendation for mammography from a health care provider, lack of insurance, or access to regular health care (29, 30). Although adjusting for socioeconomic and insurance status has often resulted in reports that blacks have greater odds of having a recent mammogram than whites (31), multivariate models suggest that fewer blacks receive regular mammograms than whites, consequently continued effort is needed to reduce access barriers for blacks not regularly screened. Given guidelines that recommend women begin mammography at age 40 or 50, black women may be at increased risk for delayed diagnosis even if they are compliant with current screening guidelines, as greater than 10% of breast cancer cases in blacks are diagnosed in women younger than 40 years compared with 5% of whites (32). Therefore, the optimal age for breast cancer screening in blacks still needs to be defined. This is also particularly important, given the disproportionate mortality in younger black women with breast cancer. If one considers the increased breast density in this group, mammography may not be the best choice. Perhaps, race- and/or age-directed screening with ultrasonography or even more sophisticated techniques with novel biomarkers ought to be developed in the future.

#### Racial differences in management

An important factor that also contributes to the racial gap in mortality is the observed difference in clinical management of the disease. It is plausible that black women have more comorbidities that preclude them from receiving established standards, or perhaps they are not receiving standard therapy due to cultural beliefs/distrust, or alternatively they have more problems tolerating equivalent doses of chemotherapy than their white counterparts. These are some potential reasons why black women with breast cancer frequently receive sub-optimal care; however, more dedicated research to fully elucidate these factors is needed.

For example, a large SEER-Medicare study involving more than 55,000 women showed that blacks were less likely than whites to be treated at high-quality hospitals (defined as hospitals with the top quartile rates of radiation following breast-conserving surgery) and consequently less likely to receive definitive primary therapy, a finding partially explained by having surgery at a high-quality hospital (33). When blacks do undergo breast-conserving surgery, they are less likely to receive radiation than whites. In this study, the odds of radiation omission were more pronounced in blacks who lived in high-poverty areas or in areas farther from cancer centers (34). Interestingly, these factors did not affect radiation use among whites.

The favorable impact of adjuvant chemotherapy on outcomes is minimized when full doses of therapy are not given as

planned. Griggs and colleagues revealed that despite controlling for clinical and sociodemographic characteristics, blacks with breast cancer had systematic differences in chemotherapy administration as opposed to whites (35). They had a higher tendency to receive less relative dose intensity and more first-cycle dose reductions. Underlying reasons for these discrepancies remain unclear, as biological reasons such as lower neutrophil counts or chemotherapy tolerance were not associated with these disparities. In addition to receiving less than full doses of chemotherapy, significant delays in initiating chemotherapy are associated with lower survival (36, 37). Although, Hershman and colleagues did not find significant racial differences in chemotherapy, initiation delays in women who were 65 years or older with early-stage breast cancer (36), a larger study involving almost 108,000 women found a higher risk of delays in blacks and Hispanics than in whites (38).

Blacks on chemotherapy protocols seem to benefit from chemotherapy with racial differences in stage-specific outcome versus whites. An analysis of patients on Southwest Oncology Group Protocols between 1974 and 2001 showed that blacks with breast cancer had increased mortality than whites despite being on the same chemotherapy protocols (39). This finding does not support the assumption that standardized treatments result in consistent outcome but supports the argument that black race has an independent detrimental effect on outcome.

Underuse of endocrine therapy in early-stage hormone receptor-positive disease is also associated with inferior outcomes. A study between 1999 and 2000 found that blacks were less likely to receive adjuvant hormonal therapy than whites (71% vs. 80%) even after controlling for stage, comorbidity, age, insurance, and medical oncology referral (40).

Given the worse health outcome for blacks compared with whites, ensuring access to clinical trials to improve therapies for this group has been a focus of the NIH. Unfortunately, the proportion of nonwhite clinical trial participants declined significantly between 1996 and 2002, particularly for breast, lung, and colorectal cancers (41). Lack of trust in the health care system, not being offered participation by physicians, and lack of access to health care in general and thus clinical trials are possible explanations for these differences (42, 43). In addition, ethnic-related variability in stage of diagnosis and trial exclusion criteria may also contribute to disparities in clinical trial participation.

In summary, although the literature has provided important insights into the magnitude of disparities in clinical management, a major unanswered question is at which point in the process of care disparities arise, particularly for women, within similar socioeconomic classes. Several programs to evaluate the quality of breast cancer care have been developed by professional organizations. These processes are potentially modifiable and are therefore useful tools in addressing racial disparities. In fact, studies have shown that vulnerable groups such as older blacks with early-stage breast cancer are less likely to receive adequate care than whites even after adjusting for tumor characteristics and comorbidities (44). Data specific to younger women with breast cancer are not readily available, yet they are fundamental to fully understanding differences in outcome in this population of patients. Omission of requisite care may be related to failed referral, and this may be more common in minorities (40). Programs to address these disparities must, therefore, include systems to track or "navigate" vulnerable patients in the medical system.

## Conclusion

Although several intervention programs to reduce breast cancer disparities have been instituted recently, the persistent magnitude suggests that current strategies may be inadequate. We have shown that although a substantial amount of information is known about racial differences in outcome and associated factors, several gaps in knowledge exist. Addressing racial disparities in breast cancer, therefore, requires a multidisciplinary approach with innovative research to answer questions that are generally considered "outside the box" of traditional health care research. Disparities in access and quality of care may be eliminated by understanding and addressing cultural and economic barriers. Biological differences in tumors in all racial groups need careful study to allow personalization of care and optimization of outcomes. Closing these gaps in knowledge will in the future hopefully translate to interventions that lead to improved outcomes for all women with breast cancer.

## Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Received August 16, 2010; revised November 16, 2010; accepted November 22, 2010; published OnlineFirst December 6, 2010.

## References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer Statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010;60:277-300.
- Reis LAG, Eisner MP, Kosary CL. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1973-1998. Bethesda, MD. National Cancer Institute; 2001.
- Gray GE, Henderson BE, Pike MC. Changing ratio of breast cancer incidence rates with age of black females compared with white females in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1980;64:461-3.
- Marbella AM, Layde PM. Racial trends in age-specific breast cancer mortality rates in US women. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:118-21.
- Altekruse SF, Kosary CL, Krapcho M, Neyman N, Aminou R, Waldron W, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2007. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2007.
- Chen VW, Correa P, Kurman RJ, Wu XC, Eley JW, Austin D, et al. Histological characteristics of breast carcinoma in blacks and whites. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1994;3:127-35.
- Bauer KR, Brown M, Cress RD, Parise CA, Caggiano V. Descriptive analysis of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative, progesterone receptor (PR)-negative, and HER2-negative invasive breast cancer, the so-called triple-negative phenotype: a population-based study from the California Cancer Registry. *Cancer* 2007; 109:1721-8.
- Carey LA, Perou CM, Livasy CA, Dressler LG, Cowan D, Conway K, et al. Race, breast cancer subtypes, and survival in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study. *JAMA* 2006;295:2492-502.

9. Lund MJ, Trivers KF, Porter PL, Coates RJ, Leyland-Jones B, Brawley OW, et al. Race and triple negative threats to breast cancer survival: a population-based study in Atlanta, GA. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009;113:357–70.
10. Gapstur SM, Dupuis J, Gann P, Collila S, Winchester DP. Hormone receptor status of breast tumors in black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic white women. An analysis of 13,239 cases. *Cancer* 1996;77:1465–71.
11. Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM. Reproductive factors and breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:36–47.
12. Millikan RC, Newman B, Tse CK, Moorman PG, Conway K, Dressler LG, et al. Epidemiology of basal-like breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;109:123–39.
13. Kwan ML, Kushi LH, Weltzien E, Maring B, Kutner SE, Fulton RS, et al. Epidemiology of breast cancer subtypes in two prospective cohort studies of breast cancer survivors. *Breast Cancer Res* 2009;11:R31.
14. Shinde SS, Forman MR, Kuerer HM, Yan K, Peintinger F, Hunt KK, et al. Higher parity and shorter breastfeeding duration: association with triple-negative phenotype of breast cancer. *Cancer* 2010;116:4933–43.
15. Palmer JR, Wise LA, Horton NJ, Adams-Campbell LL, Rosenberg L. Dual effect of parity on breast cancer risk in African-American women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:478–83.
16. Ginde AA, Liu MC, Camargo CA Jr. Demographic differences and trends of vitamin D insufficiency in the US population, 1988–2004. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:626–32.
17. Zinser GM, Suckow M, Welsh J. Vitamin D receptor (VDR) ablation alters carcinogen-induced tumorigenesis in mammary gland, epidermis and lymphoid tissues. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2005;97:153–64.
18. Pendas-Franco N, González-Sancho JM, Suárez Y, Aguilera O, Steinmeyer A, Gamallo C, et al. Vitamin D regulates the phenotype of human breast cancer cells. *Differentiation* 2007;75:193–207.
19. Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Koo J, Hood N. Prognostic effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in early breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3757–63.
20. Newman B, Mu H, Butler LM, Millikan RC, Moorman PG, King MC. Frequency of breast cancer attributable to BRCA1 in a population-based series of African American women. *JAMA* 1998;279:915–21.
21. Nanda R, Schumm LP, Cummings S, Fackenthal JD, Sveen L, Ademuyiwa F, et al. Genetic testing in an ethnically diverse cohort of high-risk women: a comparative analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in American families of European and African ancestry. *JAMA* 2005;294:1925–33.
22. Gao Q, Tomlinson G, Das S, Cummings S, Sveen L, Fackenthal J, et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among clinic-based African American families with breast cancer. *Hum Genet* 2000;107:186–91.
23. John EM, Miron A, Gong G, Phipps AI, Felberg A, Li FP, et al. Prevalence of pathogenic BRCA1 mutation carriers in 5 US racial/ethnic groups. *JAMA* 2007;298:2869–76.
24. Hedenfalk I, Duggan D, Chen Y, Radmacher M, Bittner M, Simon R, et al. Gene-expression profiles in hereditary breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:539–48.
25. Fejerman L, Haiman CA, Reich D, Tandon A, Deo RC, John EM, et al. An admixture scan in 1,484 African American women with breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18:3110–7.
26. Huo D, Ikpatt F, Khramtsov A, Dangou JM, Nanda R, Dignam J, et al. Population differences in breast cancer: survey in indigenous African women reveals over-representation of triple-negative breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4515–21.
27. Ademuyiwa F, Neuhausen S, Adebamowo C, Ogundiran T, Rotimi C, Colilla S, et al. Early Onset Breast Cancer in Black Women of African ancestry: Genetic or Environmental Influence? Anaheim, CA: American Association for Cancer Research; 2005.
28. Pearlman DN, Rakowski W, Ehrlich B, Clark MA. Breast cancer screening practices among black, Hispanic, and white women: reassessing differences. *Am J Prev Med* 1996;12:327–37.
29. O'Malley MS, Earp JA, Hawley ST, Schell MJ, Mathews HF, Mitchell J. The association of race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and physician recommendation for mammography: who gets the message about breast cancer screening? *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:49–54.
30. Mandelblatt JS, Gold K, O'Malley AS, Taylor K, Cagney K, Hopkins JS, et al. Breast and cervix cancer screening among multiethnic women: role of age, health, and source of care. *Prev Med* 1999;28:418–25.
31. Rakowski W, Clark MA, Rogers ML, Weitzen S. Investigating reversals of association for utilization of recent mammography among Hispanic and non-Hispanic Black women. *Cancer Causes Control* 2009;20:1483–95.
32. Johnson ET. Breast cancer racial differences before age 40—implications for screening. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2002;94:149–56.
33. Keating NL, Kouri E, He Y, Weeks JC, Winer EP. Racial differences in definitive breast cancer therapy in older women: are they explained by the hospitals where patients undergo surgery? *Med Care* 2009;47:765–73.
34. Mandelblatt JS, Kerner JF, Hadley J, Hwang YT, Eggert L, Johnson LE, et al. Variations in breast carcinoma treatment in older Medicare beneficiaries: is it black or white. *Cancer* 2002;95:1401–14.
35. Griggs JJ, Sorbero ME, Stark AT, Heininger SE, Dick AW. Racial disparity in the dose and dose intensity of breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2003;81:21–31.
36. Hershman DL, Wang X, McBride R, Jacobson JS, Grann VR, Neugut AI. Delay of adjuvant chemotherapy initiation following breast cancer surgery among elderly women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2006;99:313–21.
37. Lohrisch C, Paltiel C, Gelmon K, Speers C, Taylor S, Barnett J, et al. Impact on survival of time from definitive surgery to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:4888–94.
38. Fedewa SA, Ward EM, Stewart AK, Edge SB. Delays in adjuvant chemotherapy treatment among patients with breast cancer are more likely in African American and Hispanic populations: a national cohort study 2004–2006. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4135–41.
39. Albain KS, Unger JM, Crowley JJ, Coltman CA Jr, Hershman DL. Racial disparities in cancer survival among randomized clinical trials patients of the Southwest Oncology Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2009;101:984–92.
40. Bickell NA, Wang JJ, Oluwole S, Schrag D, Godfrey H, Hiotis K, et al. Missed opportunities: racial disparities in adjuvant breast cancer treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:1357–62.
41. Murthy VH, Krumholz HM, Gross CP. Participation in cancer clinical trials: race-, sex-, and age-based disparities. *JAMA* 2004;291:2720–6.
42. Harris Y, Gorelick PB, Samuels P, Bempong I. Why African Americans may not be participating in clinical trials. *J Natl Med Assoc* 1996;88:630–4.
43. Swanson GM, Ward AJ. Recruiting minorities into clinical trials: toward a participant-friendly system. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995;87:1747–59.
44. Haggstrom DA, Quale C, Smith-Bindman R. Differences in the quality of breast cancer care among vulnerable populations. *Cancer* 2005;104:2347–58.

# Cancer Research

The Journal of Cancer Research (1916–1930) | The American Journal of Cancer (1931–1940)

## Breast Cancer Racial Disparities: Unanswered Questions

Foluso O. Ademuyiwa, Stephen B. Edge, Deborah O. Erwin, et al.

*Cancer Res* 2011;71:640-644. Published OnlineFirst December 6, 2010.

**Updated version** Access the most recent version of this article at:  
doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3021](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3021)

**Cited articles** This article cites 41 articles, 7 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/71/3/640.full#ref-list-1>

**Citing articles** This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:  
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/71/3/640.full#related-urls>

**E-mail alerts** [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

**Reprints and Subscriptions** To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at [pubs@aacr.org](mailto:pubs@aacr.org).

**Permissions** To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link  
<http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/71/3/640>.  
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.