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Abstract

The rapidly advancing field of cancer immunotherapy is currently limited by the scarcity of noninvasive and quantitative technologies capable of monitoring the presence and abundance of CD8+ T cells and other immune cell subsets. In this study, we describe the generation of 89Zr-desferrioxamine–labeled anti-CD8 cys-diabody (89Zr-malDFO-169 cDb) for noninvasive immuno-PET tracking of endogenous CD8+ T cells. We demonstrate that anti-CD8 immuno-PET is a sensitive tool for detecting changes in systemic and tumor-infiltrating CD8 expression in preclinical syngeneic tumor immunotherapy models including antigen-specific adoptive T-cell transfer, agonistic antibody therapy (anti-CD137/4-1BB), and checkpoint blockade antibody therapy (anti–PD-L1). The ability of anti-CD8 immuno-PET to provide whole body information regarding therapy-induced alterations of this dynamic T-cell population provides new opportunities to evaluate antitumor immune responses of immunotherapies currently being evaluated in the clinic.

Introduction

The rapidly evolving fields of tumor immunology and cancer immunotherapy have recently led to new immunotherapies, and many more therapies are presently in clinical trials for a variety of cancers. Furthermore, cellular, small molecule, antibody-based immunotherapies, and combinations thereof, are being rigorously tested preclinically for clinical translation. The dynamic tumor microenvironment and tumor heterogeneity have become important topics in both preclinical and clinical studies (1–3) but the ability to monitor changes in the immune status of metastatic cancers is limited. Current methods to monitor lymphocytes from whole blood or biopsies from heterogeneous tumors do not reflect the dynamic and spatial information required to monitor immune responses to therapeutic intervention, many of which elicit whole body changes in immune cell numbers and localization. Therefore, molecular imaging methods that can noninvasively monitor both systemic and intratumoral alterations in immune cell numbers or localization during experimental therapies have the ability to increase the understanding of the dynamics of immunotherapeutic mechanism with the potential to provide translatable methods for predicting and/or assessing clinical immunotherapeutic responses.

Analysis of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) has demonstrated the importance of tumor immune microenvironment and that the presence of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells can predict overall survival in breast, lung, ovarian, melanoma, and colorectal cancers (reviewed in refs. 4 and 5). With the recent clinical successes of immunotherapies that alter the tumor immune microenvironment, including adoptive cell transfer (ACT) of T-cell receptor (TCR)- or chimeric antigen receptor-transduced cytotoxic T cells (6, 7), agonistic antibodies targeting CD137 (4-1BB) and CD40 (8–10), and antibody blockade of the checkpoint inhibitors CTLA-4 and PD-1/L1 (11–13), the ability to noninvasively monitor the tumor immune response to therapy has become of upmost importance.

With this in mind, we have engineered an anti-CD8 antibody fragment (dimer of scFv or cys-diabody; cDb) from the parental rat anti-mouse CD8α YTS169.4.2.1 hybridoma (14) for noninvasive immuno-PET tracking of cytotoxic T cells in murine models of cancer immunotherapy. Immuno-PET combines the specificity and affinity of antibodies with the sensitivity of PET for whole body, quantitative, and noninvasive detection target antigens in vivo (15–17). Intact antibodies are engineered into antibody...
fragments such as the cDb (Fig. 1A) and minibody (dimer of scFv-C\(\text{CL}3\), Mb) to enhance imaging characteristics, such as rapid clearance for high target-to-background images at short times after injection, reduced radiation dose, engineered sites for site-specific conjugation, and the removal of Fc effector functions, among others (17, 18).

The 169 cDb was engineered because it binds to CD8\(\text{a}\) (Lyt2) expressed on cytotoxic lymphocytes of all mouse strains so it can be used across murine immunotherapy models, unlike the previously developed 2.43 antibody fragments that bind cytotoxic T lymphocytes in Lyt2.2\(^+\) mice (Balb/c and C57BL/6) but not Lyt2.1\(^+\) mice (AKR and C3H; refs. 19, 20). Here, we assess the immuno-PET capabilities of the newly developed 169 cDb to bind to CD8\(\text{a}\) in vivo when radiolabeled with \(^{89}\text{Zr}\) using the bifunctional chelator maleimide-DFO (\(^{89}\text{Zr}\)-malDFO-169 cDb) initially using wild-type mice and CD8-blocking studies. Subsequently, we tested the targeting capabilities of \(^{89}\text{Zr}\)-malDFO-169 cDb to tumor-infiltrating CD8\(^+\) T cells in three syngeneic murine models of immunotherapy: (i) ACT of antigen-specific T cells (OT-I) to mice bearing antigen-positive and antigen-negative EL4 tumors; (ii) agonistic antibody therapy (anti-CD137/4-1BB) for the treatment of CT26 colorectal tumors; and (iii) checkpoint blockade antibody therapy (anti–PD-L1) for the treatment of CT26 colorectal tumors. These models demonstrate not only the capabilities of anti-CD8 immuno-PET to target tumor-infiltrating CD8\(^+\) T cells, but also provide insight into the systemic alterations of CD8\(^+\) T cells that is characteristic to the immunotherapeutic mechanism of action.

**Materials and Methods**

C57BL/6, Balb/c, AKR, and OT-I mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratories and housed and maintained by the Department of Laboratory Animal Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). The UCLA Chancellor’s Animal Research Committee approved protocols for all animal studies. Information regarding the construction of the anti-CD8 169 cDb and routine protein expression and purification, conjugations, \(^{89}\text{Zr}\) radiolabeling, immunoreactivity, micro-PET acquisition, biodistribution, and data analysis can be found in the Supplementary Information.

**Dendritic cell generation**

The development of dendritic cells (DC) from murine bone marrow (BM) progenitor cells was performed as previously published (21). BM cells were cultured overnight in RPMI 1640 (Life
Technologies) with 10% FCS, 1% penicillin, streptomycin, and amphotericin in a Petri dish. Nonadherent cells were re-plated on day 1 at 1 × 10^7 cells/well in 6-well plates with murine IL4 (500 U/mL; R&D Systems) and murine GM-CSF (100 ng/mL; Amgen) for 7 days. DC were resuspended at 2 to 5 × 10^6 cells/mL in serum-free RPMI and pulsed with OVA57-264 peptide (AnaSpec) at a concentration of 10 μmol/L in serum-free media for 90 minutes at room temperature.

OT-1 T-cell expansion

OT-1 splenocytes are harvested from OT-1 mice followed by 3 days of ex vivo activation with 100 μU/mL IL2 and 1 μg/mL OVA57-264 peptide. Then, the activated OT-1 splenocytes were expanded with 100 U/mL IL2 for the following 2 days before ACT.

EL4/EL4-Ova tumor model

C57BL/6 mice received total body irradiation of 900 cGy and then received 4.5 × 10^6 cells/well in 6-well plates with murine IL4 (clone 3H3; BioXCell) or anti-CD137 antibody (clone 3H3; BioXCell) or anti-PD-L1 (clone 10F.9G2; BioXCell) every other day for four treatments. On day 15 after tumor inoculation, mice were injected with 89Zr-radiolabeled malDFO-169 cDb for immuno-PET imaging and biodistribution the following day (22 hours after injection).

Anti-CD137 and anti-PD-L1 CT26 tumor model

Balb/c mice were injected s.c. with 1 × 10^6 CT26 cells in the shoulder. Starting on day 7 after inoculation when the tumors have an average tumor diameter of about 3 to 4 mm, mice were injected i.p. with 12.5 mg/kg of either anti-CD137 antibody (clone 3H3; BioXCell) or anti-PD-L1 (clone 10F.9G2; BioXCell) every other day for four treatments. On day 15 after tumor inoculation, mice were injected with 89Zr-radiolabeled malDFO-169 cDb for immuno-PET imaging and biodistribution the following day (22 hours after injection). Average tumor diameter was calculated using calipers on days 7, 11, and 15 after tumor inoculation.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was performed on cell suspensions from the spleen, peripheral blood, thymus, and lymph nodes. Mashing organs over 75-mm filters (BD Biosciences) in RPMI plus 5% FBS provided single cell suspensions. Following red blood cell lysis using ammonium chloride–potassium lysis buffer, the cells were stained for 1 hour on ice, washed with PBS, and analyzed using a BD FACSCanto. The following antibodies were used for staining: AlexaFluor 488 conjugated 169 cDb, anti-CD4-APC (clone GK1.5), anti-CD8-PE (clone 30-F11), all fluorescent Abs from BioLegend.

Table 1. 89Zr-malDFO-169 cDb radiolabeling, protein dose, and immunoreactivity characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiolabeling efficiency</td>
<td>98.3 ± 1.1%</td>
<td>97-99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiochemical purity</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.4%</td>
<td>99-99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific activity</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.5 μCi/μg</td>
<td>3.5-4.9 μCi/μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein dose injected</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.9 μg</td>
<td>9.4-12.2 μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoreactivity</td>
<td>86.6 ± 1.6%</td>
<td>84.9-88.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: n = 8 except for immunoreactivity, where n = 3.

Results

The engineered anti-CD8 169 cys-diabody retained binding to CD8 T cells

The anti-CD8 169 cys-diabody was engineered from the previously described anti-CD8 169 minibody (19) and was purified to >95% purity (Fig. 1B) with a yield of 9.2 mg/L cell culture supernatant. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) confirmed the correct molecular weight of approximately 55 kDa with a small amount of higher molecular weight multimers (Fig. 1C).

The 169 cDb was conjugated site-specifically to maleimide-Alexa Fluor 488 (mal488) with a dye-to-protein molar ratio of 1:5:1 and a recovery of 55% after purification. The mal488 was covalently coupled to the monomeric 169 cDb as seen by fluorescence detection on the SDS-PAGE analysis (Fig. 1B). SEC profiles of both the mal488-169 cDb and native 169 cDb were very similar (Fig. 1C, left), indicating the conjugate retained its cross-paired dimeric diabody structure. Flow cytometry using the mal488-169 cDb on primary cells isolated from the peripheral blood, thymus, spleen, and lymph nodes from both Lyt2.2–C57BL/6 and Lyt2.1+ AKR mice demonstrated that the engineered cDb retains the ability to bind CD8+ T cells expressed on all mouse strains (Fig. 1D).

89Zr-radiolabeled anti-CD8 169 cDb specifically targets CD8 T cells in vivo as detected by immuno-PET

Similar to mal488 conjugation, the site-specific maleimide-DFO (malDFO) conjugation to the 169 cDb did not disrupt the diabody bivalent conformation as shown by SEC (Fig. 1C, right). The 89Zr radiolabeling efficiency, radiochemical purity, specific activity, protein dose injected, and immunoreactivity are reported in Table 1.

In order to test for CD8 specificity in vivo, 89Zr-malDFO-169 cDb was injected into either wild-type AKR mice or CD8-blocked AKR mice that received a bolus co-injection of 3 mg/kg (~60 μg) nonradiolabeled 169 cDb (Fig. 2A). Immuno-PET acquisition at 4, 8, and 22 hours after injection demonstrated specific targeting to the spleen and lymph nodes in unblocked mice as early as 4 hours after injection, clearance from the circulation over time, and high contrast images at 22 hours after injection. Transverse CT and PET/CT images demonstrated targeting to the inguinal lymph nodes and spleen of wild-type mice (Fig. 2B). Ex vivo biodistribution of wild-type and CD8-blocked mice confirmed CD8 specificity in vivo and significantly decreased uptake in lymphoid organs of CD8-blocked mice (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Table S1).
Anti-CD8 immuno-PET detects antigen-specific tumor targeting of adoptively transferred T cells

ACT therapy is based on the infusion of a large number of tumor antigen-specific T cells. We reasoned that this treatment approach would be a suitable initial platform to test the ability of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb to detect TILs using anti-CD8 immuno-PET. C57BL/6 mice bearing s.c. EL4 and EL4-Ova tumors were injected with CD8$^+$ T cells expressing the MHC-I-restricted TCR specific for OVA isolated from OT-I mice (Fig. 3A). Five days after adoptive T cell therapy (ACT), the tumor-bearing mice were injected with $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb and imaged 22 hours after injection (Fig. 3B). Anti-CD8 immuno-PET detected increased uptake in the EL4-Ova tumor compared with the antigen-negative EL4 tumor that was confirmed by ex vivo biodistribution (Fig. 3B and C and Supplementary Table S2). Transverse images showed distribution of activity throughout the EL4-Ova tumor and only diffuse uptake in the antigen-negative EL4 tumor (Fig. 3C, bottom and Supplementary Fig. S1). Importantly, uptake in the draining axillary lymph node of the EL4-Ova tumor was the highest of all lymphoid organs and is detectable by immuno-PET even though s.c. dorsal DC vaccination, combined with high dose IL2 therapy, was expected to cause inguinal lymph node detection due to antigen-specific T-cell proliferation (Supplementary Fig. S1). Inguinal lymph nodes have decreased in size compared with wild-type mice due to whole body irradiation, reflecting a reduction in total lymphocyte numbers, and have decreased uptake compared with wild-type mice in ex vivo biodistribution (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary Table S2).

Anti-CD8 immuno-PET detects tumor-infiltrating CD8$^+$ T cells after agonistic anti-CD137 immunotherapy

We then tested the ability of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb immuno-PET to image endogenous T-cell responses to cancer induced by immune-activating antibody therapy. Balb/c mice bearing s.c. CT26 tumors underwent agonistic anti-CD137 antibody therapy (Fig. 4A) that induced tumor regression (Fig. 4B) as demonstrated previously (22).
anti-CD137-treated mice showed higher intratumoral probe accumulation when compared with both anti-CD137-treated/CD8-blocked and untreated tumor-bearing mice that was validated by ex vivo biodistribution (Fig. 4C and E and Supplementary Table S3). Transverse images of anti-CD137-treated mice showed uptake throughout the tumor, indicating cytotoxic T-cell infiltration, although the CT26 tumors of untreated mice showed a peripheral rim of uptake around the tumor (Fig. 3C, bottom and Supplementary Fig. S3). IHC analysis of CD8 expression of CT26 tumors showed the majority of the rim is CD8 negative but some CD8 staining can be detected at distinct locations, indicating that the rim uptake of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb could be partially due to resident CD8$^+$ T cells but also possibly due to nonspecific uptake resulting from ruptured vasculature and the enhanced permeability and retention effect (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Anti-CD8 immuno-PET and ex vivo biodistribution confirmed a significant increase of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb uptake in lymphatic organs of anti-CD137-treated mice compared with both anti-CD137-treated/CD8-blocked and untreated mice (Fig. 4C and E and Supplementary Table S3). Compared with the ACT model, agonistic anti-CD137 therapy caused a systemic increase in size of lymph nodes (about fivefold; Supplementary Fig. S2) as previously reported (23), resulting in enhanced detection of inguinal lymph nodes (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Fig. S3). Tumor-to-blood ratios of anti-CD137-treated, anti-CD137-treated/CD8-blocked, and control tumor-bearing mice confirmed CD8-specific targeting to the CD137-treated tumors (Fig. 4F). Flow cytometry analysis of harvested tumors confirmed significant increase of CD45$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the anti-CD137-treated tumor compared with the untreated tumor (Fig. 4G).

Anti-CD8 immuno-PET detects tumor-infiltrating CD8$^+$ T cells in mice responding to checkpoint blockade immunotherapy

It has been previously reported that 25% or 33% of Balb/c mice bearing CT26 s.c. implanted tumors demonstrated complete tumor regression in response to anti–PD-1 or anti–PD-L1 checkpoint blockade therapy, respectively, although nonresponding...
Figure 4. Anti-CD8 immuno-PET of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb in the CT26/anti-CD137 immunotherapy model. A, Balb/c mice bearing s.c. CT26 tumors were treated with anti-CD137 therapy every other day for four treatments and anti-CD8 immuno-PET was acquired on day 16 after tumor implantation. B, tumor growth curves of CD137 treated and untreated mice (average tumor diameter). C, on day 8 after immunotherapy initiation, CD137-treated mice, CD137-treated/CD8-blocked mice, and control mice (no anti-CD137 therapy) were injected with $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb and immuno-PET images were acquired at 22 hours after injection. D, CD8 IHC of untreated CT26 tumors or anti-CD137-treated CT26 tumors indicate the presence of increased CD8$^+$ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. E, ex vivo biodistribution at 22 hours after injection of $^{89}$Zr-malDFO-169 cDb of CD137-treated mice, CD137-treated/CD8-blocked mice, and control mice ($n = 3$ per group). Full biodistribution analysis is in Supplementary Table S3. F, tumor-to-blood ratios of CD137-treated mice, CD137-treated/CD8-blocked mice, and control mice. G, flow cytometry analysis of tumors harvested on day 15 was used to determine the percentage of CD45$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells in the CT26 tumors ($n = 3$). †, $P < 0.005$; ‡, $P < 0.0005$. 

mice showed tumor progression (24). In our study, Balb/c mice bearing week old CT26 tumors underwent anti–PD-L1 checkpoint blockade therapy (Fig. 5A) and were segregated into two groups termed responders (>8 mm avg. tumor diameter) and nonresponders (>8 mm avg. tumor diameter) at day 14 after tumor inoculation (Fig. 5B). Similar to previous reports (24), about 25% of treated mice showed delayed tumor progression at day 14. 89Zr-malDFO-169 cDb immuno-PET of anti–PD-L1 responders showed higher tumor uptake when compared with tumors of anti–PD-L1 nonresponders that was confirmed by ex vivo biodistribution (Fig. 5C and D and Supplementary Table S4). Similar to the CT26/anti-CD137 therapy model, transverse images demonstrated distinct intratumoral probe uptake in anti–PD-L1 responding mice, indicating the presence of intratumoral CD8⁺ T cells and a peripheral rim of activity in anti–PD-L1–nonresponding mice (Fig. 5C, bottom and Supplementary Fig. S5). Unlike anti-CD137 therapy that demonstrated enhanced inguinal lymph node detection, anti–PD-L1 responders and nonresponders did not show enhanced detection of CD8⁺ T lymphocytes in the inguinal lymph nodes (Fig. 5C) but the inguinal lymph nodes doubled in size (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Compared with the ACT and anti-CD137 therapy models, the tumor-to-blood ratio of the mice that responded to anti–PD-L1 therapy was insignificant when compared with nonresponders (Fig. 5E) even though analysis of harvested tumors confirmed an increase of CD45⁺CD8⁺ T cells in the tumors responding to anti–PD-L1 therapy compared with nonresponding and control.
untreated tumors (Fig. 5F). Interestingly, probe uptake in the tumors of anti–PD-L1 nonresponders was similar to tumor uptake to control untreated CT26 tumors and the percentage of CD45+CD8+ T cells was similar (Fig. 4D and F). Importantly, anti-CD8 immuno-PET was able to detect increased intratumoral CD8+ T lymphocytes in mice responding to checkpoint blockade therapy.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrate that anti-CD8 immuno-PET provides an integrated readout that is reflective of both systemic and intratumoral alterations in CD8+ T cell numbers due to three mechanistically different models of immunotherapy. For example, anti-CD8 immuno-PET detection of nontumor draining lymph nodes and the spleen in the ACT model is decreased, resulting from whole body irradiation, it is enhanced in the anti-CD137 model due to the systemic agonistic activity of the therapy on immune cells throughout the body, and does not change greatly for anti–PD-L1 therapy due to the restricted expression of PD-L1 on tumor cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells within the tumor. The successful noninvasive imaging of CD8+ T cell responses to cancer was achieved by targeting a physiologically expressed surface molecule using a clinically compatible approach.

The 89Zr–radiolabeled 169 cDb demonstrated specific targeting to CD8 in vivo as detected by immuno-PET. The engineered C-terminal cysteine allowed for site-specific conjugation of the bifunctional small reagent reagent-DFO away from the binding site of the cDb to avoid decreased immuno-reactivity upon conjugation. Importantly, the cDb retains its cross-paired, bivalent structure and does not form monovalent scFvs upon mild conjugation. Importantly, the cDb retains its cross-paired, bivalent structure and does not form monovalent scFvs upon mild conjugation (25). Compared with the previously engineered 169 minibody fragment used for 64Cu immuno-PET (19), the cDb showed less aggregation, slower blood clearance, and enhanced lymph node and spleen targeting at 22 hours after injection. The cDb exhibited high renal accumulation due to the lower molecular weight of the cys-diabody (~55 kDa) compared with the minibody (~80 kDa), the renal filtration cutoff of approximately 60 kDa, and the use of the residualizing radiometal 89Zr.

Detecting small regions of interest using PET is inherently difficult due to the partial volume effect whereby activity in small regions of interest near or below the resolution of the scanner, such as lymph nodes, is underestimated (26). This underestimation varies due to scanner resolution and the positron range of the radionuclide used, but can be compensated for using partial volume correction (26, 27). Even with this limitation, we have shown anti-CD8 immuno-PET can detect lymph nodes in wild-type mice and in a model of T-cell repopulation after hematopoietic stem cell transplant (19, 20).

Due to immunotherapy-induced alterations of CD8 expressed in the antigen sink, i.e., spleen and lymph nodes, the optimal protein dose will be dependent on the immunotherapeutic mechanism of action and the ability to consistently target intratumoral CD8+ T cells will rely on a fine balance between blocking the CD8 antigen sink and displacing tumor uptake. The importance of protein dose for tumor epitope targeting has been demonstrated previously where a natural antigen sink exists in imaging studies targeting HER2 (28), neuropilin-1 (29), and EGFR (30), and antibody-drug conjugate studies targeting TENB2 (31). A recent publication by Muylle and colleagues studying 89Zr–rituximab immuno-PET in patients with CD20+ B-cell lymphomas demonstrates the importance of antigen sink and protein dose to cells to obtain consistent lymphoma detection that will be relevant for reproducible anti-CD8 immuno-PET in the clinic (32). In the future, enhanced tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cell targeting might be achieved in the models presented here by optimizing the anti-CD8 cys-diabody dose for each immunotherapy.

Anti-CD8 immuno-PET is a powerful method used to specifically monitor endogenous CD8+ T cells noninvasively without the need for ex vivo manipulation of lymphocytes. Direct radiolabeling of lymphocytes ex vivo allows for monitoring initial cell migration of adoptively transferred cells, but suffers from radionuclide half-life, probe dilution due to cell division and potential toxic effects of the radionuclide on radiosensitive lymphocytes (33–35). Reporter gene transduction of cells ex vivo benefits from signal amplification due to cell division, repeat monitoring, and longitudinal tracking of genetically engineered cells (36–39). However, reporter probes demonstrate high background in clearance organs and reporter genes require development of nonimmunogenic reporters for translation (37, 40). Small molecule metabolic probes that do not require ex vivo cellular manipulation, such as 2-deoxy-2-[(18F)]fluoro-D-glucose ([18F]-FDG) and 1-[2-deoxy-2-[(18F)]fluoroorabinoanoyl] cysteine ([(18F)]-FAC), are either not specific for cytotoxic lymphocytes alone ([18F]-FDG) or they target proliferating lymphocytes in secondary lymphoid organs and fail to detect TILs ([18F]-FAC ref. 41).

Anti-CD8 immuno-PET was able to detect tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cell alterations in three immunotherapeutic models that have shown great promise in the clinic. The development of analogous imaging agents for human use would be of great utility, particularly in light of the recent advances in clinical immunoncology, including FDA approvals of checkpoint inhibitors such as ipilimumab, nivolumab, and pembrolizumab, and the bispecific blinatumomab for T-cell recruitment. A fully human imaging agent specific for human CD8 can be arrived at through humanization of existing anti-CD8 monoclonal antibodies or de novo isolation of fully human antibodies by phage display (42). Alternative scaffolds, such as single domain camelid antibodies labeled with 18F or 64Cu for PET, have demonstrated utility in the detection of the macrophage mannose receptors, MHC Class II, and CD11b expressed on myeloid cells in preclinical models (43, 44). However, such agents might require humanization to reduce potential immunogenicity. With any imaging agent specific for human CD8 T cells, preclinical testing would require the use of transgenic expressing human CD8 or humanized mouse models, such as NSG mice reconstituted with human peripheral blood mononuclear cells or CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells, which can be used to establish models of tumor immunotherapy. Furthermore, it will be essential to evaluate potential effects of administration on T cell viability, proliferation, and function in vivo. Finally, radiation dose estimates need to be calculated based on the biodistribution and time-activity curves of potential CD8 PET tracers in preclinical models, in order to determine appropriate levels of protein and radioactivity that can be administered to patients.

The potential utility of immuno-PET for imaging immune cell subsets in humans is supported by many previous clinical studies using radiolabeled intact antibodies targeting T and B lymphocytes for detection of inflammation in vivo using planar gamma imaging and single photon emission CT (45). In oncology,
gamma camera imaging of $^{131}$I-tositumomab or $^{111}$In-ibrutinumab mice can be used to confirm CD20 targeting prior to or in conjunction with radioimmunotherapy (46). Success of these approaches for imaging immune cell subsets using intact antibodies suggests that the transition to bespoke engineered antibody fragments for immuno-PET should be feasible and favorable. Importantly, we believe immuno-PET monitoring of lymphocytes and other immune cell subsets could transform the ability to profile the tumor immune microenvironment and antitumor immune responses in the context of cancer immuno-therapy in the clinic.
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