PD-1 Blunts the Function of Ovarian Tumor-Infiltrating Dendritic Cells by Inactivating NF-κB


Abstract

The PD-1-PD-L1 immune signaling axis mediates suppression of T-cell–dependent tumor immunity. PD-1 expression was recently found to be upregulated on tumor-infiltrating murine (CD11cCD11b–CD8–CD209a+) and human (CD11cCD19+) myeloid dendritic cells (TIDC), an innate immune cell type also implicated in immune escape. However, there is little knowledge concerning how PD-1 regulates innate immune cells. In this study, we examined the role of PD-1 in TIDCs derived from mice bearing ovarian tumors. Similar to lymphocytes, TIDC expression of PD-1 was associated with expression of the adapter protein SHP-2, which signals to NF-κB; however, in contrast to its role in lymphocytes, we found that expression of PD-1 in TIDC tonically paralyzed NF-κB activation. Further mechanistic investigations showed that PD-1 blocked NF-κB–dependent cytokine release in a SHP-2–dependent manner. Conversely, inhibition of NF-κB–mediated antigen presentation by PD-1 occurred independently of SHP-2. Collectively, our findings revealed that PD-1 acts in a distinct manner in innate immune cells compared with adaptive immune cells, prompting further investigations of the signaling pathways controlled by this central mediator of immune escape in cancer.

Introduction

The immune system has a complex but crucial role in modulating malignancies. Correlations between presence or absence of infiltrating immune cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and prognosis have been found in many cancers (1). Infiltrating immune cells in the TME include primarily CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, dendritic cells (DC), macrophages, and regulatory T cells (Tregs; ref. 2). Although infiltration by T cells has been shown to correlate with better outcome, some subsets of adaptive immune system cells, such as regulatory (CD4FOXP3+) T cells (Tregs) in ovarian cancer, have been shown to correlate with poor prognosis (3–5). In the context of innate immune cells, while the infiltration of suppressor cells such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) have been shown to correlate with disease progression and poor prognosis, the role of infiltrating DCs is not clearly understood (6–8). DCs are the sentinel antigen-presenting cells (APC) that shape the outcome of immune response by presenting antigen and providing other necessary signals to T cells. In various tumors, including ovarian, up to 40% of the infiltrating immune cells comprise of DCs (9, 10), yet their role in TME is debatable due to conflicting observations relating to promotion or control of disease progression (7, 11–14). Recent evidence suggests that tumor-infiltrating DCs (TIDC), as cancer progresses, may switch their role from immunostimulatory to immunosuppressive (9), which could to some extent shed light on why conflicting observations are made about the role of DCs in the tumors. Such transitions may be facilitated in TME by various soluble factors such as VEGF, IDO, TGFβ1, arginase 1, induction of miRNAs, and expression of surface molecules such as TIM-3, PD-L1, and programmed cell death-1 (PD-1; refs. 15–20). Our previous work showed that over the course of ovarian cancer progression the TIDCs became increasingly PD-1 positive and that this expression of PD-1 paralyzed the TIDCs by inhibiting their ability to respond via production of cytokines or costimulatory molecules expression (10).

PD-1 is an inhibitory receptor that is found primarily expressed on antigen-activated T and B cells. PD-1 expression on tumor-infiltrating T cells is associated with blunted effector responses and exhaustion (21). Upon TCR ligation, PD-1 interacts with its ligand PD-L1, and is phosphorylated on tyrosine residues in the immune receptor tyrosine–based inhibitory motif (ITIM) of its cytoplasmic tail followed by recruitment of Src homology domain-containing phosphatase-2 (SHP-2; ref. 22). Activated SHP-2 subsequently dephosphorylates proximal signaling...
complexes of the TCR-like ZAP70/CD3ζ diminishing down-stream PI3K and AKT activation, leading to inactivation and death of T cells (23). In contrast to T cells, ligation of PD-1 on B cells leads to inhibition of B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling via recruitment of SHP-2 to PD-1 cytoplasmic tail, resulting in dephosphorylation of BCR proximal signal transduction molecules like Syk, PLCγ2, and Erk1/2 (24). In addition to its expression on T cells and B cells, PD-1 is also known to be expressed on and affect the functions of innate immune cells such as macrophages, monocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, and more recently it has been shown to be expressed on dendritic cells (DC) as well (10). Early evidence suggests that PD-1 suppresses innate cell function (10). However, how PD-1 regulates innate immune cells remains unexplored and of particular importance is whether or not it acts tonically or as co-receptor.

In this study, we analyzed distal signaling of PD-1 in ovarian TIDCs. We show that PD-1 is expressed on and affect the functions of DCs obtained from human ovarian tumors and ascites. We also show that PD-1 on DCs inhibits the NF-κB activation and hence a range of NF-κB–inducible genes. We further addressed the mechanism by which PD-1 suppresses critical DC functions such as costimulatory molecule expression, antigen presentation, and cytokine release. Suppression of DC function appears to be largely mediated through SHP-2–dependent inhibition of NF-κB activation. However, the findings also suggest that there are SHP-2–independent mechanisms as well. These findings have implications for the development and use of PD-1 and/or PD-L1 blockade therapeu tic strategies for cancer immune therapy.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Female C57BL/6J (B/6J) mice, 4 to 12 weeks old, from local breeding colonies or the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) were used for experimentation. Animal care and use was in accordance with institutional guidelines.

DC isolation from patient samples

This study was approved by the Mayo Institutional Review Board. Blood samples were obtained from normal donors (n = 15) and ovarian cancer patients (n = 11). Tumor (n = 15) and ascites (n = 11) samples were obtained from ovarian cancer patients. Tumor specimens were minced into <1 mm3 pieces, isolated into single cells using gentleMACS tissue dissociator (Miltenyi), passed through a 40 μm filter, and processed by ficoll gradient as previously described (10, 25). CD11c+ cells used in in vitro assays were isolated from the samples using human CD11c+ (BDCA-1+) DC isolation kit (Miltenyi). Blockade of human PD-1 was accomplished using a purified PD-1 antibody from BioLegend (Cat. # 329912).

Cell lines and tumor implantation

ID8 tumor cells, obtained from Dr. K. Roby, University of Kansas, in 2005 were derived from immortalized ovarian epithelial cells generated by repeated passage in culture and were grown in DMEM (10, 26). They were last authenticated as mouse origin by IDEXX BioResearch in early 2014. Tumor cells (5 × 106 cells/500 μL) were injected intraperitoneally in saline. Tumor and ascites were harvested between 40 and 70 days postimplantation.

Leukocyte fractionation and culture

Mouse leukocytes were obtained from B/6J mice spleens by grinding the spleen through a 70-μm nylon cell strainer. The splenocytes were processed as previously described (10). Mononuclear leukocytes from ascites or tumor of tumor-bearing mice were isolated as described previously (25). From single-cell suspensions, unique cells were magnetically isolated using an Automacs sorting machine (Miltenyi) based on the CD11c, CD4, and CD8 microbead isolation kits.

Multiplexed microsphere cytokine immunoassay

Multiplex assays were done as previously described (27). Supernatants were removed from wells containing 2.5 to 5.0 × 105 unstimulated or stimulated DCs derived from ascites of ID8 tumor-bearing mice. Cytokines were measured using multiplex microspheres as per the manufacturer’s direction (Bio-Rad).

Flow cytometry

Cell surface molecule staining and flow cytometry were done essentially as previously described (28). For flow cytometric analysis, a similar number of events, usually 20,000 to 100,000, were collected for all groups. Antibodies against human CD11c-APC, CD19-PerCPCy5.5, PD-1-PE, and CD40-PE, CD40-PE, CD80-PE were from eBioscience and BD Biosciences, respectively. Anti-mouse SIINFEKL/H-2Kb (25-D1.16 clone) and H-2Kb antibodies were from eBioscience. Isotype-matched nonspecific antibodies were used as controls.

Immunofluorescent staining

CD11c+ cells were purified from ascites of ID8 tumor-bearing mice as described above and plated into chamber slides and then incubated at 37°C for 3 hours to allow for adherence. Media was removed, and the cells were washed and further incubated for 2 hours with pure hamster anti-mouse PD-1 antibody (10), or appropriate isotype control (eBioscience) in the same media followed by washing twice and further incubation for 1 hour with goat anti-hamster Alexa Fluor 594 for PD-1. Next, the cells were incubated with purified rabbit anti-mouse SHP-2 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) in media for 2 hours, followed by 1-hour incubation with chicken anti rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 for SHP-2. The chambers were removed, and the slide itself was treated with two drops of the Prolong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen). A coverslip was placed on the slide and allowed to dry overnight. The cells were visualized using a confocal microscope.

Determination of phosphorylated NF-κB p65 in CD11c+ DCs

Phosphorylated p65 in purified ascites derived CD11c+ DCs was evaluated using the PathScan phospho-NF-κB p65 sandwich ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cell Signaling Technology). NF-κB Activation Inhibitor VI, BOST-64 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology was used at 10 μmol/L PTP IV inhibitor (SHP-2 inhibitor) from EMD Millipore was used at a concentration 2.5 μmol/L. After TIDC isolation from ascites, the cells were allowed to settle overnight in media and were then treated for 2 hours with inhibitor, DMSO control, or nothing. After 2 hours, to stimulate p65 activity, the cells were then treated for 40 minutes with one of the following: 10 μg/mL anti-PD-1 antibody (G4 clone), 10 μg/mL isotype control IgG, or 1 μg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The anti-PD-1 antibody (G4 clone) was produced in hybridoma core at Mayo Clinic, and has been
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qPCR assay

CD11c+ cells obtained from the ascites of ID8 tumor bearing B6/J mice were treated for 48 hours with nothing (control), 10 µg/ml pd-1 antibody (G4 clone), 1 µg/ml LPS, or 10 µg/ml isotype control IgG. Total RNA from treated or untreated CD11c+ cells was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit from Qiagen according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA was reverse transcribed with RT First Strand Kit from Qiagen. RT2 SYBR Green qPCR Mastermix, and Mouse NF-kB Signaling Targets PCR Array were purchased from Qiagen and used according to manufacturer's instructions.

TNFα ELISA assay

For murine TNFα, ELISA kits from eBioscience were used according to manufacturer's protocol. CD11c+ cells were isolated from ascites of tumor-bearing mice or humans, settled overnight in culture and then treated with DMSO, 10 µmol/L, 2.5 µmol/L, 1.25 µmol/L PTP inhibitor IV (SHP-2 inhibitor) from EMD Millipore, or 10 µmol/L NF-kB activation inhibitor VI, BOT-64 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology for 2 hours. The cells were then treated with 10 µg/ml anti–PD-1 antibody (G4 clone), 10 µg/ml isotype control IgG, 10 µg/ml anti–PD-L1 antibody (10B5.2 clone), or 1 µg/ml LPS for 24 to 40 hours, after which the supernatants were harvested and TNFα was measured. The TNFα levels were read using the "Soft Max Pro" software for an HRP-TMB endpoint. Human IL6 and TNFα were measured similarly with relevant kits from eBioscience.

Antigen presentation assay

CD11c+ cells isolated from ascites were cultured in vitro in the presence of 10 µg/ml anti–PD-1 antibody, 10 µg/ml isotype control IgG, or media alone for 24 hours. Cells were then analyzed for class I (H-2Kb) expression using flow cytometry. For antigen presentation study, after culture with anti–PD-1 antibody or isotype control IgG the DCs were washed with PBS, and incubated with either media alone or media containing 4 µg/ml OVA AF647 for 8 to 9 hours. Cells were then harvested and analyzed for H-2Kb; SIINFEKL expression by flow cytometry. To determine the role of NF-kB and SHP-2 in antigen presentation by DCs, CD11c+ cells were incubated with NF-kB activation inhibitor VI, BOT-64, and PTP inhibitor IV (SHP-2 inhibitor) for 2 hours and then the cells were washed with PBS, were cultured in the presence of anti–PD-1 antibody, 10 µg/ml isotype control IgG, or media alone for 24 hours followed by incubation with OVA-AF647 as described above. For in vivo experiments, mice were treated with 7 doses of 200 µg of anti–PD-1 antibody (G4 clone) starting at 25 days after tumor implantation and were injected with 40 µg of OVA AF647 intraperitoneally on the night before the harvest of ascites. Ascites was harvested next day and isolated cells were stained for H-2Kb-SIINFEKL expression and analyzed by flow cytometry. Isotype–matched nonspecific antibodies were used as controls.

Results

PD-1 suppresses cytokine production and costimulatory molecules expression by human TIDCs

Several prior studies have demonstrated that TIDCs are immune suppressive (33, 34). Results from our recent work shows that PD-1 is expressed on mouse CD11c+ myeloid TIDCs (hereinafter referred to as TIDCs) in murine models of cancer and PD-1 appears to lock in their immunosuppressive phenotype (10, 35). In this study, we aimed to determine whether human ovarian CD11c+ TIDCs also express PD-1. CD1c is a marker for myeloid DCs in humans but is also expressed on B cells. Thus, single-cell suspensions obtained from peripheral blood, tumors, and ascites samples of ovarian cancer patients were stained for both CD1c and CD19 markers. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, CD1c+ CD19+ TIDCs (hereinafter referred to as human TIDCs) represent 4.8% ± 0.2% (mean ± SEM, n = 11) of the total mononuclear cells, whereas CD1c+ B cells represent 8.1% ± 0.3% (n = 11). The levels of TIDCs in humans are consistent with our prior estima-
tions of 6% to 10% of the total mononuclear cells in mouse ovarian cancer (10). Furthermore, we found that human ovarian cancer solid tumor contained approximately the same level of TIDCs as 4.3% ± 0.1% (mean ± SEM, n = 15, P = 0.5). As shown in Fig. 1C and D, increased levels of PD-1 expression were observed on the human TIDCs obtained from ascites [mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), 49 ± 6; n = 10] and tumor (MFI, 62 ± 6; n = 15) samples of ovarian cancer patients when compared with DCs derived from the blood of healthy donors (MFI, 11 ± 5; n = 15). Differential expression of PD-1 was also confirmed using RT-PCR and although a weak signal was observed with DCs derived from the blood of healthy volunteers, this did not manifest as cell surface expression. (Supplementary Fig. S1).

This supports the conclusion that PD-1 expression is driven via DC intrinsic transcription rather than protein transfer in the tumor microenvironment. In addition, the data show that upre-
gulation of PD-1 on myeloid DCs only occurs in the tumor microenvironment and not in the periphery of the same patients as the PD-1 MFI of the peripheral blood myeloid cells was 11 ± 6 (n = 11). Thus, these results demonstrate that PD-1 is restrictively expressed in the human cancer microenvironment.

Given the role of PD-1 on TIDCs in regulating their immunos-
timulatory functions (10), we wanted to determine whether PD-1

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.00 (GraphPad; http://www.graphpad.com). The Student t test, Mann–Whitney U test, or two-way ANOVA test was performed to determine statistically significant difference. A P value <0.05 was considered significant.
expressed on TIDCs in human cancer microenvironment regulates cytokine production and costimulatory molecules expression. CD1c⁺CD19⁻ cells obtained from tumors were treated overnight with blocking anti–PD-1 antibody and 48 hours following incubation supernatants were measured for TNFα and IL6. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, treatment resulted in a significant increase in the release of cytokines TNFα and IL6 when compared to treatment with isotype antibody. Similarly, as shown in Fig. 2C and D, we observed that blockade of PD-1 increased expression of costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD40 on TIDCs. No effect of treatment with anti–PD-1 antibody was observed on DCs obtained from peripheral blood of healthy donors as would be expected given the low levels of PD-1 expression in blood-derived DCs. Thus, the behavior of PD-1 on TIDCs that is seen in mice is relevant to human disease.

PD-1 regulates expression of NF-kB targets in murine TIDCs

The role of PD-1 in regulating T-cell function has been well studied (36) and we recently showed that PD-1, expressed on TIDCs, upon its interaction with PD-L1, suppressed cytokine production and this suppression was reversed upon in vitro treatment of PD-1⁺ DCs with anti–PD-1-blocking antibody (10). DCs have been shown to exhibit the trogocytosis function to pick up proteins and use them as functional components (37). To provide evidence that the PD-1 expression observed on the TIDCs is driven via DC intrinsic transcription, we show using RT-PCR that TIDCs express high levels of PD-1 mRNA (Supplementary Fig. S2). In this study, we hypothesized that PD-1 inhibits expression of genes that are responsive to NF-kB activation. To address this, the expression of 84 key genes regulated by NF-kB signaling in ID8-derived CD11c⁺ cells treated with anti–PD-1 antibody was determined. PD-1 blockade increased the expression of (64 of 84 genes, >2-fold increase) the majority of NF-kB target genes in DCs and this effect was higher than the upregulation of NF-kB target genes observed in the DCs treated with LPS, the latter of which is consistent with prior findings that LPS-induced activation of cytokine gene expression is suppressed in TIDCs as compared with bone marrow–derived DCs (10) (Figs. 3A–C). These results show that PD-1 expressed on ovarian
Figure 3.
PD-1 on mouse TIDCs regulates activation of NF-κB. Shown is the fold regulation of (relative to untreated DC) expression of NF-κB target genes in tumor-infiltrating DCs treated for 48 hours with anti–PD-1 antibody, LPS, and isotype control antibody. Fold change for genes upregulated by ≥10-fold by anti–PD-1 treatment (A), for genes upregulated by 5- to 10-fold (B), and for genes upregulated by <5-fold (C). Representative of two experiments yielding similar results.
tumor–derived CD11c+ cells globally inhibits NF-κB–responsive gene transcription, thus suggesting that the effects of PD-1 on paralyzing TIDCs are in part due to blocking NF-κB activation.

PD-1 regulation of cytokine production and costimulatory molecules expression by mouse TIDCs is IKK dependent

The IκBα protein inhibits NF-κB proteins by keeping them in an inactive state. Canonically, upon ligand-mediated IKK activation, degradation of IκBα ensues, leading to entry of free NF-κB dimers into the nucleus to mediate transcription. Alternatively, noncanonical pathways have also been reported (38). Thus, we asked whether PD-1 regulation of NF-κB activation in TIDCs is mediated through IκBα protein degradation using immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. 4A, treatment of TIDCs with anti–PD-1 antibody resulted in the degradation of IκBα, showing that PD-1 interacts with the canonical NF-κB pathway. In our prior work, as well as the current work, we showed that PD-1 regulates cytokine production and costimulatory molecules expression by TIDCs (10). Thus, we asked whether increase in the production of cytokines (IL10, IL6, IL12(p70), G-CSF, and TNFα) and expression of costimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80, and CD86) by ovarian TIDCs upon PD-1 blockade is mediated by the canonical NF-κB pathway. As shown in Figs. 4B–E, the effect of PD-1 blockade on cytokine release was completely reversed by the inclusion of the NF-κB activation inhibitor VI, BOT-64, which is a cell-permeable benzoxathiole compound that inhibits IKK-β. There was no difference in viability of cells between those that were treated or untreated with the inhibitor (data not shown). Our analysis showed that inhibition of IKK-β also reversed, albeit not completely, the anti–PD-1-induced increase of costimulatory molecules CD40, CD80, and CD86 expression by TIDCs (Figs. 4F–H). In control experiments, we observed that phosphorylation of NF-κB subunit p65 induced by PD-1 blockade was reversed by the inclusion of IKK-β inhibitor for both anti–PD-1 treatment and LPS (Fig. 4I). Finally, we also show that PD-L1 blockade using anti–PD-L1 antibody increases the cytokine production (e.g. TNFα) by TIDCs similar to the effects seen with anti–PD-1 antibody (Fig. 4J); hence confirming that the anti–PD-1–mediated effects are due to disruption of PD-1:PD-L1 axis activation. These results show that PD-1 regulates cytokine production and costimulatory molecules expression by ovarian TIDCs through blockade of the canonical NF-κB pathway, which represents a newly identified signaling pathway for PD-1.

PD-1 regulated antigen presentation and MHC class I expression by mouse TIDCs is dependent on canonical NF-κB pathway

It is well known that expression of costimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80, and CD86), MHC molecules and production of immunostimulatory cytokines (IL12 and TNFα) by DCs is critical in inducing T-cell activation. Yoshimura and colleagues showed that expression of costimulatory molecules, MHC molecules, and production of immune stimulatory cytokines by DCs is regulated by NF-κB activation (39, 40). As we have shown previously that PD-1 blockade on ovarian TIDCs leads to enhanced stimulation of T cells as evidenced by enhanced proliferation of T cells in mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR) assays (10) and leads to enhanced expression of costimulatory molecules expression on murine (10) and human (Figs. 2C and D) TIDCs, we asked whether PD-1 expression on TIDCs regulates antigen presentation. As shown in Fig 5A and B, ovarian TIDCs, pulsed with either SIINFEKL or ovalbumin (OVA) in the presence of anti–PD-1 demonstrate enhanced activation of OT-1 T cells. Although increased cytokine and/or costimulatory molecule expression could account for the enhanced activation, we also examined the effects of blocking PD-1 in enhancing the expression of peptide:MHC complexes on the surface of TIDCs. As shown in Fig. 5C, TIDCs treated with PD-1–blocking antibody (in vitro) for 24 hours followed by pulsing with ovalbumin protein showed increase in Class I: SIINFEKL complexes expression on the surface compared with isotype antibody treated or untreated TIDCs. In vivo, similar results were observed on the TIDCs obtained from the ascites of ID8 ovarian tumor–bearing mice that are treated with PD-1–blocking antibody and injected with OVA as described in Materials and Methods (Fig 5D). There was no difference in the antigen uptake by DCs from different treatment groups (Fig 5E and F), suggesting that difference in uptake was not the mechanism behind enhanced antigen presentation by these DCs. Thus, increased MHC class I expression was also examined following in vitro treatment of tumor-derived DCs with anti–PD-1. As shown in Fig 5G, ID8 tumor–derived DCs when treated with anti–PD-1 for 24 hours in vitro showed increased Class I expression, which was also recapitulated with in vivo PD-1 blockade (Fig. 5H). These results suggest that PD-1 on TIDCs suppresses antigen presentation through blocking of surface expression of MHC class I, leading to reduced T-cell activation.

PD-1 on mouse ovarian TIDCs is associated with SHP-2

The interaction of PD-1 on T cells with its ligand PD-L1 phosphorlylates tyrosine residues in the immunoreceptor tyrosine–based switch motif (ITSM) of the PD-1 cytoplasmic tail, which leads to recruitment of SHP-2 (41). Upon recruitment, SHP-2 inhibits the downstream signaling molecule PI3K, which further results in inhibition of T-cell activation (36). As shown in Figs 6A and B, using immunofluorescence and immunoprecipitation analyses, respectively, of TIDCs, we found that PD-1 expressed on TIDCs is associated with SHP-2 by both immunocytochemical staining and co-immunoprecipitation assays. To determine whether SHP-2 in PD-1+ TIDCs had any role in regulating NF-κB and cytokine production, we used the relatively specific SHP-2 inhibitor PTP inhibitor IV. As shown in Fig. 6C, we found that phosphorylation of NF-κB subunit p65 induced by PD-1 blockade was significantly, albeit incompletely, reversed by the inclusion of the SHP-2 inhibitor. As a result, TNFα release induced by PD-1 blockade was also suppressed (Fig. 6D). In contrast, however, to p65 phosphorylation and cytokine release, increased costimulatory molecules expression, antigen presentation, and MHC class I expression was not dependent on SHP-2 as treatment with inhibitor had no impact (Figs 6E–I). Thus, these results suggest that SHP-2 has important role in mediating PD-1–regulated cytokine production and NF-κB activation by ovarian tumor DCs but does not have any role in...
PD-1 may regulate mouse TIDCs function through the classical NF-κB pathway. A, a representative blot of IκBα levels in ID8-derived TIDCs treated for 30 minutes with control vehicle, anti PD-1 antibody, isotype control antibody, or LPS. B–E, shows the mean (±SEM, N = 2–3 replicates) levels of TNFα, IL6, G-CSF, and IL10, respectively, in the culture media following treatment of ID8-derived TIDCs with anti-PD-1, isotype antibody, and LPS with or without IKK inhibitor. Representative of two experiments yielding similar results. F–H, mean (±SEM, N = 2 replicates) CD40 (F), CD80 (G), and CD86 (H) expression on ID8 tumor-derived DC treated in vitro with anti-PD-1 blocking or isotype antibody in the presence or absence of IKK inhibitor. Representative histograms also accompany each of panels F–H. I, the mean (±SEM, N = 6) phosphorylated p65 levels (measured in absorbance units, Abs) in ID8-derived TIDCs treated identically to that in B–E (Supplementary Fig. S2). Blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling axis enhances TNFα production by TIDCs. J, the mean (±SEM, N = 3) pg/mL values of TNFα in culture supernatants released by TIDCs from the ascites, which were cultured for 24 hours in the presence of 10 μg/mL of anti-PD-1 antibody (α-PD-1), 10 μg/mL of anti-PD-L1 (α-PD-L1) antibody, or media alone (Control).
PD-1 regulates antigen presentation by mouse TIDCs, which is mediated by NF-κB pathway. A and B, mean (±SEM, N = 3 replicates) numbers of IFN-γ ELispots (spots) observed following exposure of in vivo-primed OT-1 T cells to either isotype-treated or anti-PD-1-treated ovarian TIDCs pulsed with cognate ovalbumin-derived H-2Kb peptide SIINFEKL (A) or whole ovalbumin protein (B). C and D, representative flow cytometry histograms and bar graphs of mean (±SEM, N = 3–4 replicates) Class I (H2-Kb):SIINFEKL complexes on ovalbumin protein pulsed TIDC either untreated (solid line), treated with isotype antibody (dotted line) or anti-PD-1-blocking antibody (dashed line). E and F, representative flow cytometry histograms and bar graphs of mean (±SEM, N = 3–4 replicates) levels of OVA-AF647 in tumor-derived DCs either untreated (solid line), treated with isotype antibody (dotted line), or anti-PD-1-blocking antibody (dashed line). G and H, representative flow cytometry histograms and bar graphs of mean (±SEM, N = 3–4 replicates) Class I (H2-Kb) expression on TIDCs either untreated (solid line), treated with isotype antibody (dotted line), or anti-PD-1-blocking antibody (dashed line). Cells in C, D, E, and G were treated in vitro and cells in F, and H were treated in vivo with antibodies. Filled histograms represent the isotype stain (C, D, G, and H) or background signal from unpulsed cells (E and F). I and J, mean (±SEM, N = 3–4 replicates) Class I (H2-Kb):SIINFEKL complex I or Class I (H2-Kb) expression (J) on TIDCs treated in vitro with anti-PD-1-blocking or isotype antibody in the presence or absence of IKK inhibitor. The filled histograms for all panels represent isotype control staining.
PD-1 on mouse TIDCs is associated with SHP-2 and PD-1–regulated mouse ovarian TIDCs function is dependent on (NF-κB activation, cytokine production) and independent (costimulatory molecules expression, antigen presentation) of SHP-2. A, photos of ascites TIDCs demonstrating coexpression of PD-1 and SHP-2. Left, PD-1 expression (red signal); middle, SHP-2 expression; right, SHP-2 interaction with PD-1 (combined signal seen in purple). B, immunoblots of PD-1+ TIDCs derived from ascites showing the association between PD-1 and SHP-2. Cell lysate obtained from ascites-derived TIDCs was immunoprecipitated with anti-SHP-2 antibody (lane 1), rabbit antibody (lane 2), and the immunoblot was probed with anti–PD-1 antibody. Lanes 3 and 4 represent no protein and whole cell lysate controls, respectively. Shown is the immunoblot, which is representative of one of three experiments with similar results. C, the mean (±SEM, N = 6) phosphorylated p65 levels (measured in absorbance units, Abs) in TIDCs treated with anti–PD-1 antibody, isotype antibody, and LPS with or without SHP-2 inhibitor. D, the mean (±SEM, N = 5) levels of TNFα in the culture media of TIDCs treated identically to that in C, E–G, mean (±SEM, N = 2 replicates) CD40 (E), CD80 (F), and CD86 (G) expression on TIDCs treated identically to that in C, H and I, mean (±SEM, N = 3–4 replicates) Class I (H2-Kb):SIINFEKL complex (H) or Class I (H2-Kb) expression (I) on TIDCs treated identically to that in C, P values were calculated using Student t test. NS, not significant.

Figure 6.
in mediating PD-1-regulated costimulatory molecules expression and antigen presentation by ovarian TIDCs.

Discussion

This work revealed several novel mechanistic aspects associated with PD-1 signaling in TIDCs. First and most importantly, it appears that PD-1 is upregulated exclusively in the tumor microenvironment on TIDCs in human and mouse ovarian cancer and PD-1 expressed on mouse TIDCs results in general suppression of NF-κB as well as PD-1 activity. Finally, SHP-2 was only important in cytokine release whereas its blockade had no impact on antigen presentation or cell surface costimulatory molecules thus pointing to multiple NFκB-dependent signaling circuits used by PD-1.

While resting T cells do not express PD-1, it is upregulated following TCR ligation (41, 42) and subsequent NFAT2 translocation to the nucleus (43). Lacking a TCR, TIDCs must therefore upregulate PD-1 through a different mechanism such as reverse signaling through costimulatory molecules or cytokines, which remains to be explored. PD-1 is likely to be upregulated directly in the tumor microenvironment as we are not able to detect upregulation in systemically circulating DCs in both humans and mice with a monoclonal antibody (43). Although NFAT2 expression has not been reported in DCs, the Pdcdf gene locus is known to harbor several transcriptional factor binding sites that may be involved in upregulation of PD-1 (44). One key example is STAT3, which is expressed in DCs and activated in response to multiple regulatory cytokine signals such as IL10, a cytokine present in the ovarian cancer microenvironment (45). Prior work has shown that IL10-driven STAT3 activation in DCs impairs maturation and function. Future studies could be aimed at determining whether DC impairment mediated by immune suppressive cytokines such as IL10 are in fact mediated secondary to upregulation of PD-1 (46).

Importantly, our results suggest, in contrast to current thinking, that the antitumor effects of PD-1 blockade are not necessarily solely mediated through blocking PD-1. Although PD-1 blockade appeared to be completely dependent on the canonical NFκB pathway, future studies could be aimed at determining whether DC cytokine signals such as IL6 and TNFα upon PD-1 blockade, which is regulated by classical NFκB pathway in TIDCs as shown by our data, future studies aimed at understanding their respective roles (i.e., beneficial or detrimental) need to be done (10).

In addition to these critical DC functions, we also report for the first time that antigen processing and presentation is also suppressed by PD-1 expression. Not all aspects of antigen processing and presentation were affected. Specifically, we found that antigen uptake was not impacted by PD-1 rather only MHC class I peptide complex expression. Total levels of MHCI class I at the cell surface also increased as well, although total class I expression remained high even when PD-1 was expressed. Furthermore, the impact of PD-1 blockade appeared to be completely dependent on the canonical NFκB pathway. There are several potential points of intersection of the PD-1 and NFκB in regulating MHC class I antigen presentation, as recently reviewed by Watts, and include MHC synthesis, turnover, trafficking, and the cross-presentation machinery (50).

Although not a surprising finding, in this study we observed that PD-1 expression on TIDCs is associated with SHP-2. Our data also showed that treatment of tumor DCs with SHP-2 inhibitor followed by in vitro PD-1 blockade blunted p65 phosphorylation and inhibited cytokine production. Although this data does not indicate that the PD-1-associated SHP-2 is directly regulating NFκB activation in TIDCs, it suggests that SHP-2 in TIDCs plays critical role in inducing NFκB activation on PD-1 blockade. The role of SHP-2 in inducing NFκB activation has been demonstrated in prior studies. For example, You and colleagues showed an association of SHP-2 with IKK and SHP-2/IKK complex in fibroblasts enhanced the IL6 production by these cells in response to IL1α and TNFα (51). We speculate that engagement of PD-1 on TIDCs with its ligand limits or inhibits SHP-2 interaction with activators of NFκB pathway in these cells there by blunting NFκB activation. Thus, it is possible that the role played by SHP-2 in PD-1-induced immunosuppression in TIDCs is different than the role played by it in PD-1 signaling in T cells. We also observed that PD-1-regulated antigen presentation and costimulatory molecules expression were independent of SHP-2. This suggests the involvement of complex signaling network, which is partially dependent on SHP-2 in regulating the immunosuppressive PD-1 TIDCs. PD-1 is also known to activate other regulatory molecules including SHP-1, which could mediate the suppressive effects of PD-1 in DCs (22, 41).

Several PD-1 pathway blockers have shown robust activity in trials and two blockers, pembrolizumab and nivolumab, have been recently approved by the FDA for use in refractory melanoma and non–small cell lung cancer (52–54). As a result, PD-1-induced exhaustion of T cells and the associated signaling pathways are being extensively studied to design the strategies that can enhance cancer vaccines efficacy by reversing the PD-1-mediated immunosuppression of T cells. Our findings that PD-1 paralyzes TIDCs warrants further understanding of signaling pathways in...
these central mediators of adaptive and innate immunity. Data obtained in this study gives a new insight and will leverage future studies aimed at understanding and targeting immunosuppressive TIDCs and the PD-1–PD-L1 regulatory axis.
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