IL4 Primes the Dynamics of Breast Cancer Progression via DUSP4 Inhibition
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Abstract

The tumor microenvironment supplies proinflammatory cytokines favoring a permissive milieu for cancer cell growth and invasive behavior. Here we show how breast cancer progression is facilitated by IL4 secreted by adipose tissue and estrogen receptor-positive and triple-negative breast cancer cell types. Blocking autocrine and paracrine IL4 signaling with the IL4R antagonists IL4DM compromised breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and tumor growth by downregulating MAPK pathway activity. IL4DM reduced numbers of CD44+/CD24- cancer stem-like cells and elevated expression of the dual specificity phosphatase DUSP4 by inhibiting NF-κB. Enforced expression of DUSP4 drove conversion of metastatic cells to nonmetastatic cells. Mechanistically, RNAi-mediated attenuation of DUSP4 activated the ERK and p38 MAPK pathways, increased stem-like properties, and spawned metastatic capacity. Targeting IL4 signaling sensitized breast cancer cells to anticancer therapy and strengthened immune responses by enhancing the number of IFNγ-positive CTLs. Our results showed the role of IL4 in promoting breast cancer aggressiveness and how its targeting may improve the efficacy of current therapies. Cancer Res; 77(12); 3268–79. ©2017 AACR.

Introduction

Despite the advent of efficacious treatment of primary breast cancer lesions, metastatic disease is poorly sensitive to the common therapeutic regimens (1).

Adipose tissue is the most abundant constituent of the breast cancer microenvironment and is mainly composed of mature adipocytes, preadipocytes, and adipose-derived stem cells (ADSC; ref. 2). Obesity and overweight have recently been suggested as being meaningful risk factors for the development of breast cancer (3). Indeed, compelling evidence shows that paracrine signals, provided by the adipose tissue and surrounding cancer cells, contribute to tumorigenesis and cancer progression (2, 4). Secreted protumorigenic cytokines and hormones feed breast cancer cells regardless of their hormone status and are also responsible for the acquisition of an aggressive cell phenotype (5).

Furthermore, we have identified autocrine and paracrine production of IL4 as a survival signal and tool to protect colorectal cancer cells from anticancer therapy, through the upregulation of antiapoptotic molecules (6, 7). Indeed, IL4 is a pleiotropic cytokine secreted by fibroblasts, immune, adipose, and a wide range of epithelial cells, including breast cancer cells (8). IL4 cognate receptors comprise two types: (i) type I IL4R (IL4RI) and (ii) type II IL4R (IL4RII). The first is mainly present on immune cells and characterized by the heterodimerization of the IL4Rα and the common γ-chain subunits. IL4RII, on the other hand, is present on nonhematopoietic cells and composed of IL4Rα and the IL13Rα1 subunits. IL4RII is expressed on the surface of many cancer cells and lacks intrinsic kinase activity, thus it requires further associated kinases for the initiation of signal transduction (8). Upon binding of IL4, the tyrosine kinases Jak1/2 and Tyk2 are indeed recruited on the transmembrane domain of IL4RI and mediate its phosphorylation, leading to the activation of PI3K/AKT, MAPK, and Jak/STAT6 downstream pathways (8).

In breast cancer, the secretion of the protumorigenic cytokines, IL6 and IL8, is controlled by the dual specificity phosphatase 4 (DUSP4; ref. 9). In physiologic conditions, the transcription of DUSP4 is MEK-dependent and its expression in turn suppresses ERK, along with p38, JNK1, NF-κB, and Rb (9–12), ensuring a proper negative feedback control of cellular proliferative stimuli. DUSP4 is differentially expressed among luminal and basal breast cancers. Specifically, the most aggressive tumors hold DUSP4 under-expression, due to methylation events or genomic loss (9, 10).

It has been clearly demonstrated that a subpopulation of cancer cells, named tumor-initiating cells (TIC), is endowed with the capability of self-renewal and tumor initiation (13–16). Indeed, this cell compartment is refractory to the common anticancer drugs and responsible for recurrence (13–16).
An inflammatory microenvironment has been shown to favor the maintenance of the breast TICs and their invasive behavior (17, 18). However, insufficient data are available on the mechanisms regulating this phenomenon. Recently, it has been demonstrated that, in a syngeneic breast cancer mouse model, the IL4/IL4R interaction promotes metastatic spreading by activating the MAPK pathway (19).

Taken together, these findings suggest that IL4 may conceivably play a role in the progression of breast cancer and resistance to standard therapeutic regimens driven by TICs. In this study, we establish for the first time the molecular mechanisms elicited by IL4, which augment proliferation and invasiveness of breast cancer sphere cells (BCSC), notoriously largely composed of TICs (15). Blocking autocrine and paracrine IL4 signaling via the attenuation of the MAPK pathway, counteracts the protumorigenic effect of all the proinflammatory cytokines released by ADSCs. Moreover, we unveil that IL4 acts through NF-κB to lower DUSP4 expression levels.

Here, we emphasize the role of IL4 in the metastatic potential of BCSC and its neutralization as a useful strategy for therapeutic intervention.

Materials and Methods

Tissue collection

Breast cancer tissues were collected at the Department of Surgical, Oncological and STATOMATICAL Sciences (University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy), in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Committee on Human Experimentation and their molecular subtypes. Breast cancer characterization was established using the following IHC markers (20): ER, PR, HER2, Ki67low (luminal A), ER, PR, HER2, Ki67high or ER, PR, HER2 (luminal B), ER, PR, HER2 (HER2 amplified), ER, PR, HER2 (triple negative breast cancer, TNBC). Table 1. Cancer staging was determined according to the 7th Edition AJCC classification of malignant tumors.

Isolation and culture of cancer cells

Breast cancer tissue was mechanically and enzymatically digested using collagenase (1.5 mg/mL; Gibco) and hyaluronidase (20 mg/mL; Sigma Aldrich) in DMEM and shaken for 1 hour at 37°C. The recovered cell suspension was plated in ultra-low attachment flask in serum-free medium (SFM) supplemented with basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; 10 ng/mL; PeproTech) and EGF (20 ng/mL; PeproTech), as previously described (21, 22). SFM allows breast cancer cells to propagate as sphere structures, which are enriched in cells that harbor stem-like and tumor-initiating properties (6, 13, 15).

We used ER+ BCSCs derived from luminal B patients #4 and #18 and TN-BCSCs, derived from TNBC patients #10 and #30 (Table 1) for all the experiments performed. BCSCs and their relative tumor tissues were authenticated using a highly informative short tandem repeat (STR) system (GlobalFiler STR Kit; Applied Biosystems) and then sequenced using the ABIPRISM 3130 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems). CD90 staining was used to exclude the presence of stromal cells within the ER+ and TN-BCSC compartment. Human ADSCs were purchased from STEMPRO and cultured for less than six passages according to manufacturer’s instructions. MCF7 cell line was purchased from CLS cell line service in July 2013, authenticated from the cell bank by DNA profiling (STR analysis), and maintained in 10% PBS DMEM. Cells, expanded for two passages after receipt, were then frozen and used within 6 months after thawing. SUM159 cell line was kindly provided by Prof. Max Wicha (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI) and authenticated in our laboratory by STR as described above. SUM159 cells were cultured in Ham F-12, 5% FBS, hydrocortisone (1 μg/mL; Sigma), insulin (5 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma infection with MycoAlert PLUS Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza), revealed using Infinite F500 (Tecan).

Both BCSCs and established breast cancer cell lines were exposed to ADSC conditioned medium (ADSC-CM), IL4 (20 ng/mL; PeproTech), and the IL4R antagonist (IL4DM; 15 μg/mL), kindly donated by Apogenics. IL4DM was administrated for a total of 72 hours. All treatments were replenished every 48 hours.

Witahaerin A (Wit A, 2 μmol/L; Tocris) and 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA, 26 mmol/L; Sigma-Aldrich) were used as NF-κB inhibitors and added 1 hour and 30 minutes before IL4 treatment, respectively.

For the cell viability assay, BCSCs were exposed to fulvestrant (1 μmol/L; Selleckchem), doxetaxel (100 μmol/L; Selleckchem) or BKM120 (5 μmol/L; Selleckchem). BCSCs were exposed to IFNγ (100 ng/ml; Novus Biologicals), as positive control for PD-L1 expression, for 4 and 24 hours.

To evaluate the expression of PD-1 or IFNγ in gated CD8+ T cells, 5 × 105 PBMCs/ml were activated (Activated) for 4 days in 24-well plates coated with purified anti-human CD3e (OKT3, IgG2a; Biogenegent), anti-human CD28 (CD28.2, IgG1k; Biologiegent), and in presence of human IL2 (100 IU/ml; Proleukin; Novartis Pharmaceuticals) in 10% FBS RPMI. Activated cells were then treated, for additional 4 days, with medium (medium), IL4, IL4DM alone, or in combination with IL4 (IL4+IL4DM). Prior flow cytometry analysis, for the intracellular staining of cytokines, untreated and treated activated cells were cultured in presence of PMA (20 ng/ml; BD Biosciences) and ionomycin (1 μmol/L; BD Biosciences) for 4 hours and for the last 3 hours in combination with monensin (2 μmol/L; Sigma-Aldrich), for blocking cellular protein transport.

CM production and Luminescent cytokine quantification

CM from ADSCs (ADSCS-CM), ER+ BCSCs (ER+CM) and TN-BCSCs (TN-CM) were obtained from cells plated at 70% confluence and cultured in SFM for 48 hours. CM was filtered through a 0.22-μm filter to eliminate cell debris.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Grading</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>HER2 amplification</th>
<th>Ki67</th>
<th>Clinical receptor subtype</th>
<th>Sphere formation</th>
<th>Xenograft formation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
<td>Luminal B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#18</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
<td>Luminal B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#30</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&gt;10%</td>
<td>TNBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.
Quantification of cytokine production was assessed by using multiplex Bio-Plex Pro Assays (Human Cytokine 21 and 27-plex Assay, Bio-Rad). Raw data (mean fluorescence intensity) were analyzed by Bio-Plex Software (Bio-Rad).

Cell motility and invasion assay
A total of $1 \times 10^5$ BCSCs were plated into 6-well attachment plates in DMEM with 10% FBS to allow cell attachment. The spreading of cells, which were treated with medium alone, ADSCs-CM, or IL4 in presence of 5% FBS, was determined by phase contrast microscopy at 12 hours. A total of $2 \times 10^5$ breast cancer cells, treated with IL4DM for 24 hours and subsequently exposed to ADSCs-CM or IL4 for another 48 hours in SFM, were plated onto growth factor–reduced matrigel (BD Biosciences)-coated transwell of 8-μm pore size. SFM in presence of 10% human serum AB was used as a chemoattractant in the bottom part of the chamber (600 μL/well). Cells invading Matrigel were monitored and counted using an optical microscope for up to 48 hours.

Cell viability
Cell viability was performed using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Cell proliferation was assessed using CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution cell proliferation assay (MTS) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Detection of both luminescence and absorbance was measured by using Infinite F500 (Tecan).

Stem cell frequency and colony-forming efficiency
BCSCs were plated at a concentration of a single cell per well. Wells containing more than three cells were excluded. The stem cell frequency was statistically evaluated according to 3 weeks by using ELDA analysis program (23). For the colony-forming assay, 500 dissociated BCSCs were mixed with 0.3% agarose (SeaPlaque Agarose Lonza) in SFM and seeded onto a layer of 0.4% agarose. After 20 days, colonies were stained with 0.01% crystal violet in 1% methanol. Colonies were first distinguished on the basis of their size: micro < 30 μm; small 30–60 μm; medium 60–90 μm; and large >90 μm and then counted using ImageJ software.

Animals and tumor models
Mice experiments were performed according to the ARRIVE and animal care committee guidelines of the University of Palermo. A total of $4 \times 10^5$ BCSCs, treated with medium alone or IL4 for 24 hours, were suspended in 100 μL of SFM 1:1 Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and injected subcutaneously into 6-week-old female NOD/SCID mice (Charles River Laboratories). After 1 week, mice were intrapectorally treated with vehicle (PBS) or IL4DM (3 mg/kg) 5 days/week for 10 weeks (6, 24). One week after the end of treatment, at 12 weeks, mice were sacrificed following IACUC guidelines and tumor xenografts were collected. Tumor growth was monitored weekly with an electronic caliper. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: largest diameter $\times$ (smallest diameter)$^2$ $\times$ π/6. For tail vein experiments, 1.5 $\times$ 10$^5$ luciferase (LUC)-transduced BCSCs (Supplementary Information) were suspended in 30 μL of PBS and injected into 6-week-old female NOD/SCID mice. After injection of VivoGlo Luciferin (150 mg/kg, Promega), in vivo cell spreading was monitored by the detection of bioluminescence intensity using a Photon IMAGER (Biospace Lab), for up to 9 weeks. The photon count (photons/s/sr, photons per second per steradian), emitted by mice metastasis, was calculated by using M3 Vision (Biospace Lab). Mice were sacrificed when lesions reached $4 \times 10^5$ photons/s/sr, corresponding to a ≤0.5 cm$^2$ tumor area, and liver and lungs were analyzed ex vivo to detect metastasis formation.

Flow cytometry
ER$^-$/TN-BCSCs were washed twice in PBS and stained at 4°C with purified CD44-PE (G44-26, mouse IgG2b; BD Biosciences), CD24-APC (M15, mouse IgG2a; R&D Systems), CD10-APC (9C5, mouse IgG1; Miltenyi Biotec), MUC1-PE (604804, mouse IgG2b; R&D Systems), CD49F-APC (GoH3, rat IgG2a; Miltenyi Biotec), EP-CAM-PerCP (EBA-1, mouse IgG1, BD Biosciences), or CD90-PE-CF594 (5E10, IgG1; BD Biosciences) antibodies or corresponding FITC CD14-PE (MilIgG2b; BD Biosciences), CD4-APC (11830, IgG2a; R&D Systems), CD4-APC (RE6236, IgG1; Miltenyi Biotec), CD8-PE (37006, IgG2b; R&D Systems), CD3-APC (BW264/56, IgG2a; Miltenyi Biotec), CD3-PerCP (SP34-2, IgG1; BD Biosciences), CD3-PE-CF594 (UCHT1, IgG1; BD Biosciences). Dead cells were excluded on the basis of light scatter and the uptake of 7-AAD (0.25 μg/L $\times 10^5$ cells; BD Biosciences) detected in FL3 channel. Single cells were gated in FSC-A versus FSC-H dot plots. Samples were analyzed by FACSVerse II (BD Biosciences) or Accuri C6 (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer and data analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star).

PBMCs were incubated on ice with FeR blocking reagent (Miltenyi Biotec) and stained with CD3-PECy7 (UCHT1, mouse IgG1; Biolegend), CD8-PE (RPA-T8, mouse IgG1; BD Biosciences), IFNy-APC (B27, mouse IgG1; BD Biosciences), PD-1-FITC (EH12.2H7, mouse IgG1; Biolegend) antibodies or corresponding isotype matched controls (IMC), specifically APC mouse IgG1 (MOPC-21; BD Biosciences) and FITC mouse IgG1x (MOPC-21; Biolegend). For intracellular staining, cells were previously subjected to Cytofix/Cytoperm protocol following the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences).

Western blot analysis
Cells were washed in ice-cold PBS and suspended in TPER Reagent (Pierce) in presence of NaCl (300 mmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), sodium orthovanadate (1 mmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), pefabloc (2 mmol/L; Roche), and proteinase inhibitor cocktail (5 μg/mL; Sigma Aldrich). Extracted proteins were loaded, separated by SDS-PAGE, and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Hybond-C Extra, nitrocellulose; Amersham Biosciences). Membranes were blocked in 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 hour at room temperature and then exposed to DUSP4 (D9A5, rabbit IgG, Cell Signaling Technology), P-ERK (E-4, rabbit IgG, Cell Signaling Technology), MEK (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling Technology, P-ERK (E-4, mouse IgG2a, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), ERK (rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RAS (27H5, rabbit IgG, Cell Signaling Technology), P-STAT6 (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling Technology, P-STAT6 (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling Technology), STAT6 (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling Technology), p-ERK (E-4, mouse IgG2a, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), P-MEK (G-9, mouse IgG2a, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), P-STAT6 (rabbit polyclonal, Cell Signaling Technology), MUC1 (D9A5, rabbit IgG, Cell Signaling Technology), IL13Ra2 (goat polyclonal, R&D Systems), P-STAT5 (rabbit polyclonal; Cell Signaling Technology), STAT5 (rabbit polyclonal; Cell
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ADSCs boost BCSCs proliferation and invasion in an IL4-dependent manner

To investigate whether IL4 is the main player within ADSCs-secreted cytokines that enhance the aggressiveness of breast cancer cells, we evaluated its receptor expression on ER- and TN-BCSCs. Both BCSC subtypes displayed IL4Rα along with IL13Rα2, a receptor inhibitor of IL13 signaling (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B; ref. 30).

Although the ER-BCSCs morphologic shape was not affected by the exposure to ADSCs-CM or IL4 (data not shown), TN-BCSCs acquired elongated protrusions early on, expanding in all directions (Fig. 1C). Thus, this phenomenon indicates a susceptibility of TN-BCSCs to acquire a migratory phenotype upon microenvironmental stimuli.
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Exploring the levels of a panel of cytokines across the CMs of ADSCs and ER- and TN-BCSCs, we detected a higher secretion of proinflammatory and protumorigenic cytokines in CMs of ADSCs and TN-BCSCs. These data suggest that TN-, as opposed to ER-BCSCs, support the generation of an inflammatory microenvironment, linked to enhanced tumorigenic features (Fig. 1A and B).
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Statistical analysis

Kaplan–Meier curves of relapse-free survival based on the gene expression ratio of IL4/DUSP4 in breast cancer patients were obtained by interrogating the PROGeneV2 - Pan Cancer Prognostics Database-GSE10893-GPL887. Statistical analysis was calculated using ANOVA with Bonferroni post test. Significance was indicated as P values.

Results
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Figure 1.  
ADSC-CM and IL4 enforce the motility and proliferation of BCSCs.  

A, Cytokines production of CMs derived from ADSCs, ER⁺-BCSCs, and TN-BCSCs after 48 hours.  
B, Schematic model of paracrine and autocrine signals occurring in breast cancer cells.  
C, Representative phase contrast analysis of TN-BCSCs (pt #30) in presence of the indicated treatment and cultured in adherence for 12 hours. Arrows, cells protrusions. Scale bars, 20 μm.  
D, Cell proliferation analysis of ER⁺-BCSCs and TN-BCSCs treated with medium, ADSCs-CM, or IL4.  
E, Stem cell frequency of ER⁺-BCSCs and TN-BCSCs treated with medium, ADSCs-CM, or IL4.  
F, Fold increase of colony number in ER⁺-BCSCs and TN-BCSCs treated as in E.  
G, Colony-forming efficiency of cells treated as indicated. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent experiments using two different ER⁺-BCSC (pt #4 and pt #18) and two TN-BCSC (pt #10 and pt #30) lines. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
It was reported that the MAPK pathway activity, including in breast cancer, is partially modulated by DUSP4 (9–12). We hypothesized that the effect of IL4DM in impairing proliferation and invasive potential of BCSCs could be due to the DUSP4-mediated downregulation of MAPK pathway. IL4DM decreased the activity of MEK, ERK, and RAS, at 45 minutes and 24 hours (Fig. 3C), even in presence of ADSCs-CM and IL4 in both ER⁺- and TN-BCSCs (Fig. 3D and E; Supplementary Fig. S3B–S3D). In ER⁺-BCSCs, which retain high levels of DUSP4, IL4DM slightly augmented its levels in the absence or presence of ADSCs-CM or IL4 (Fig. 3C–E). Similarly, IL4DM prompted DUSP4 expression in MCF7 and SUM159 cells (Supplementary Fig. S3E). Although IL4DM boosted DUSP4 expression in TN-BCSCs (Fig. 3C), this effect was weaker in presence of IL4 and ADSCs-CM (Fig. 3D and E; Supplementary Fig. S3B–S3D). NF-kB has been reported to be modulated by IL4 treatment in B lymphocytes (33) and to promote the expansion of breast TICs, which contribute to cancer progression (34, 35). To understand how the IL4 signaling blockade is capable of modulating expression levels of DUSP4, we analyzed the activation of NF-kB. The phosphorylation of NF-kB was enhanced by IL4 exposure of both ER⁺- and TN-BCSCs, whereas its expression levels were barely present in ER⁺-BCSCs and potently lowered in TN-BCSCs by the addiction of IL4DM to the cell culture (Fig. 3F; Supplementary Fig. S3F). Withaferin A (WitaA), which selectively inhibits IKKβ (36, 37), overcame the effects of IL4, reducing the NF-kB activation to a greater extent in TN-BCSCs (Fig. 3G; Supplementary Fig. S3G). WitaA restored in ER⁺-and upregulated in TN-BCSCs the DUSP4 expression levels in the presence of IL4 (Fig. 3H). 5-Aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), another NF-kB inhibitor (38), behaved like WitaA in boosting the expression of DUSP4 in both ER⁺- and TN-BCSCs (Supplementary Fig. S3H).

Because IL4 blockade circumvents the resistance to conventional antitumor treatment (6, 7), we aimed to couple the inhibition of IL4 with conventional therapies used in breast cancer management. In this context, several clinical trials are evaluating the effectiveness of standard therapy in combination with PI3K
Figure 3. IL4DM prevents the activation of MAPK pathway. A, Representative flow cytometry analysis of IMC, CD44, and CD24 on TN-BCSCs (pt #30) treated as indicated for 72 hours. Red dots, double positive cells. B, Immunoblot analysis for DUSP4 of ER\(^+\)-BCSCs (pt #18) and TN-BCSCs (pt #30) treated as shown at the indicated time points. Red box, the time point selected for the further experiments. \(\beta\)-Actin was used as loading control. C–E, Western blot analysis for P-MEK, MEK, P-ERK, ERK at 45 minutes and for RAS and DUSP4 at 24 hours of ER\(^+\)-BCSCs (pt #18) and TN-BCSCs (pt #30) exposed to the indicated treatment. \(\beta\)-Actin was used as loading control. F, Immunoblot analysis for P-NF-xB and NF-xB of ER\(^+\)-BCSCs (pt #18) and TN-BCSCs (pt #30) treated with the indicated agents for 45 minutes. \(\beta\)-Actin was used as loading control. G, Western blot analysis for P-NF-xB and NF-xB of cells treated as indicated for 45 minutes. H, Immunoblot analysis for DUSP4 of cells as in G exposed to IL4DM and Fulvestrant+BM120 or Docetaxel+BM120 for 24 hours. I, Percentage of cell death in cells exposed to ADSCs-CM in combination with IL4DM and Fulvestrant+BM120 at 48 hours. J, Cell death percentage of cells exposed to IL4 in combination with IL4DM and treated with antitumoral drugs as in I at the indicated time points. Bars represent mean ± SD of three independent experiments performed with two ER\(^+\)-BCSC (pt #4 and pt #18) and two TN-BCSC (pt #10 and pt #30) lines. *< P < 0.05; **< P < 0.001; NS, nonsignificant.
IL4 in Breast Cancer Progression

DUSP4 reduces proliferation, invasion, and metastatic potential of BCSCs

To assess the role of DUSP4 with regard to tumorigenic and metastatic potential, we stably knocked-down DUSP4 (shDUSP4) in ER⁻/⁻BCSCs and MCF7 cells and ectopically expressed it (DUSP4) in TN-BCSCs and SUM159 cells (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Fig. S4–S4C). shDUSP4 provoked a slight increase in ER⁻/⁻BCSC proliferation, which was delayed in TN-BCSCs overexpressing DUSP4 (Fig. 4B). The analysis of the invasion assay revealed a potent capability of ER⁻/⁻BCSCs harboring shDUSP4 to invade the Matrigel in response to the chemotactic human serum AB. The ectopic expression of DUSP4 curtailed the invasive potential of TN-BCSCs (Fig. 4C). Moreover, the knockdown of DUSP4 led to an enrichment in cells able to form large colonies, whereas their reduction was dictated by DUSP4 ectopic expression (Fig. 4D).

In accordance with already published data, DUSP4 knockdown, in ER⁻/⁻BCSCs, increased the CD44⁺/CD24⁻/CD90⁺ compartment, conversely TN-BCSCs, ectopically overexpressing DUSP4, showed a significant decrease of this cell fraction (Fig. 4E; Supplementary Fig. S4D and S4E) by the modulation of MAPK pathway activation (Fig. 4F; Supplementary Fig. S4F; ref. 9). Likewise, shDUSP4 in MCF7 cells and DUSP4 overexpression in SUM159 cells, respectively, enhanced or decreased the activation of MEK, ERK, and P38 MAPKs (Supplementary Fig. S4G).

By modulating EMT effectors and molecules, which control breast cancer invasion, P38 has been implicated in multiple steps of the metastatic process (41). Thus, we reasoned that DUSP4 could be involved in the metastatic dissemination of BCSCs. In vivo imaging analysis of cells transduced with luciferase showed that shDUSP4 rendered ER⁻/⁻BCSCs able to colonize the liver and the lung when injected in the tail vein of NOD/SCID mice (Fig. 4G). Conversely, TN-BCSCs overexpressing DUSP4 lost their metastatic potential (Fig. 4H). Collectively, these findings confirm the tumor suppressor role of DUSP4 and unveil a novel role in inhibiting metastasis.

IL4 favors a more permissive microenvironment

We subsequently evaluated the clinical relevance of IL4 and DUSP4 in breast cancer patients by interrogating a publicly available database (PROGeneV2—Pan Cancer Prognostics Database-GSE10893–GPL887). High expression of IL4 and low expression levels of DUSP4 resulted to be associated with a decreased relapse-free survival of patients affected by breast cancer (HR 2.36; 95% CI, 1.15–4.85; P = 0.019; Fig. 5A). IL4 biological main function relies on the modulation of immune response (42). We investigated whether adipose tissue, aided by the infiltrating T lymphocytes, could foster a protumorigenic microenvironment. Tumor cells escape from the cytotoxic activity of CD8⁺ cells through the expression of PD-1, which by binding to its receptor, PD-1, promotes the apoptosis of cytotoxic T cells (43). IL4DM markedly diminished the expression of PD-1 on the surface of activated cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells, as compared with its control (medium) and regardless of the presence of IL4 (Fig. 5B; Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). We found that the treatment with IL4 reduced the percentage (of about 15% vs. medium) of activated cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells expressing IFNγ. Although IL4DM alone did not alter T-cell activation, it was notably able to counteract the effect of IL4, restoring the number of cytotoxic T cells expressing IFNγ (Fig. 5C; Supplementary Fig. S5B). As ER⁻/⁻ and TN-BCSCs express PD-L1 (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B), we hypothesize that IL4DM could potentially limit the activation of the PD-1 pathway by reducing the number of cytotoxic PD-1⁻/CD8⁺ T-cell compartment. These phenomena suggest the existence of a negative DUSP4 feedback loop that inhibits the MAPK pathway, as well as the production of cytokines involved in the priming of microenvironment that fuels cancer progression (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

Here, we provided evidence that BCSCs with a metastatic propensity express high levels of IL4 along with a downregulation of DUSP4. The latter is confirmed by the inverse correlation between relapse-free survival of breast cancer patients and IL4 expression. Targeting inflammatory mediators in breast cancer has pointed out appealing endpoints in vitro and in preclinical models (31, 44). Notwithstanding the ongoing development of innovative therapeutic agents that block inflammatory cytokines released by tumor microenvironment and involved in the progression of breast cancer, nowadays the clinical utility of these therapies does not show improvement in patient outcome (45). On the basis of our previous findings in colorectal cancer (6), we here investigated the IL4-mediated mechanism that regulates the tumorigenic and metastatic potential of BCSCs.

Targeting IL4 signaling depleted the tumorigenic and metastatic CD44⁺/CD24⁻ cell fraction, thus delaying the proliferation and invasion capability of BCSCs through the inhibition of MEK, ERK, and RAS activity. Notably, ER⁻/⁻BCSCs expressed elevated levels of IL4Rα and were promoted to produce IL4 following microenvironmental cytokine stimuli, although to a lesser extent than TN-BCSCs. Our data indicated that nonmetastatic ER⁻/⁻BCSCs, under tumor microenvironmental influence, acquire an invasive phenotype by strengthening IL4 signaling activity, which is overcome by the blockade of IL4Rα with IL4DM.

We observed that blocking IL4 signaling, by IL4DM, increases the expression of DUSP4 concomitantly with the downregulation of RAS–MAPK pathway. It has recently been demonstrated that in physiologic conditions, the activation of MAPK promotes DUSP4 expression, which in turn suppresses ERK, ensuring breast cell...
Figure 4. DUSP4 hampers the metastatic potential of BCSCs. 

A, Western blot analysis for DUSP4 of ER^+^ BCSCs (pt #18) exposed to medium alone and transduced with scramble (Scr) and short hairpin DUSP4 (shDUSP4) and TN-BCSCs (pt #30) transduced with empty vector (EV) and DUSP4 synthetic gene (DUSP4). β-Actin was used as loading control. 

B, Cell proliferation of cells transduced as in A. 

C, Percentage of invading cells as described in A. 

D, Percentage of colony forming efficiency of cells as in A. 

E, Percentage of CD44^high^/CD24^low^ positivity of cells treated as in A by flow cytometry analysis. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent experiments using two different ER^+^ BCSC (pt #4 and pt #18) and two TN-BCSC (pt #10 and pt #30) lines. 

F, Immunoblot analysis of P-MEK, MEK, P-ERK, ERK, P-P38, P38 of cells transduced as in A. β-Actin was used as loading control. 

G and H, In vivo whole-body imaging analysis of mice tail vein injected with cells transduced with scramble (Scr) and shDUSP4 (shDUSP4) for ER^+^ BCSCs and with empty vector (EV) and DUSP4 synthetic gene (DUSP4) for TN-BCSCs. Yellow dashed ellipse outlines the area of metastasis used for photons count. Data shown are mean ± SD of two BCSC lines for each molecular subtype injected into the tail vein of three mice for each indicated condition. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
Nevertheless, loss of DUSP4 and its methylation lead to an aberrant cell proliferation in basal-like breast cancers (9, 10). In addition, the activation of NF-κB signaling plays a crucial role in downregulating the expression levels of DUSP4 in endothelial cells (46). Strikingly, our data revealed that DUSP4 is inhibited by NF-κB activation in an IL4-dependent manner.

Figure 5.
IL4 contributes to the protumorigenic microenvironment. A, Relapse-free survival Kaplan-Meier curves according to IL4/DUSP4 gene expression ratio in breast cancer patients. B, Flow cytometry analysis of PD-1 and its IMC on PBMCs gated for CD8 positivity (CD8⁺ T cells). Activated PBMCs (Activated) refers to cells exposed to anti-CD3 in combination with anti-CD28 mAbs for 4 days. Activated cells were then treated, for additional 4 days, with medium (medium), IL4 (IL4), IL4DM (IL4DM), or IL4 in combination with IL4DM (IL4+IL4DM). Resting PBMCs (Resting) represent nonactivated cells cultured in presence of medium alone. C, Representative flow cytometry analysis of IFNγ on resting and activated CD8⁺ T cells treated as in B. D, Schematic model illustrating IL4 signaling in breast cancer cells. ADSCs and CD4⁺ T helper type 2 lymphocytes (LTh2) secrete IL4 into the tumor microenvironment. IL4 binding to its cognate receptor, IL4RII, on breast cancer cells triggers the activation of RAS–MAPK pathway, which promotes cancer stemness and is in turn blocked by DUSP4. Concurrently, IL4-mediated NF-κB activation cooperates in the blockage of DUSP4. Antagonizing IL4Rx, with IL4DM, inhibits IL4 signaling in cancer cells and favors cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cell activation.
Compelling data indicate that P38 and ERK activation promotes cancer cell dormancy, allowing their survival in a hostile microenvironment. In addition, P38 induces EMT awakening cancer cells from dormancy (41, 47). The overexpression of DUSP4 potently impaired ERK and P38 MAPK pathway, preventing the intrinsic metastatic capability of BCSCs.

Within tumor microenvironment, aside from ADSCs, infiltrating CD4^+ T_h2 lymphocytes are the other main source of IL4 (42). IL4 mediates the switching of CD4^+ T_h1 cells to CD4^+ T_h2 cells affecting their innate antitumor immunity (42). Although the activation of IL4/STAT6 signal boosts both the tumorigenic and metastatic activity of breast cancer cells, its loss exerts an antitumor activity through the activation of CD8^+ T cells, in a CD4^+ T_h2 cell-independent manner (19, 48). Although the role of T_h2 cytokines in cancer is still contradictory and context dependent (49), we here demonstrated that targeting IL4 pathway fostered cell-independent manner (19, 48). Although the role of Th2 lymphocytes are the other main source of IL4 (42). IL4 mediates the switching of CD4^+ T_h1 cells to CD4^+ T_h2 cells affecting their innate antitumor immunity (42). Although the activation of IL4/STAT6 signal boosts both the tumorigenic and metastatic activity of breast cancer cells, its loss exerts an antitumor activity through the activation of CD8^+ T cells, in a CD4^+ T_h2 cell-independent manner (19, 48). Although the role of T_h2 cytokines in cancer is still contradictory and context dependent (49), we here demonstrated that targeting IL4 pathway fostered cell-independent manner (19, 48).

Finally, our findings propose the targeting of IL4 signaling as a powerful approach in reducing tumor burden and metastatic colonization. Several commercially available compounds that block IL4 signaling are presently being utilized in the treatment of asthma and some have already been tested in clinical trials as anticancer agents (8). Their administration, in combination with other novel more effective therapies such as MEK, ERK, and PI3K inhibitors, warrants further investigation to establish their effective doses and efficacy.
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