Suppression of LIM Kinase 1 and LIM Kinase 2 Limits Glioblastoma Invasion
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Abstract

The aggressive brain tumor glioblastoma (GBM) is characterized by rapid cellular infiltration of brain tissue, raising the possibility that disease progression could potentially be slowed by disrupting the machinery of cell migration. The LIM kinase isoforms LIMK1 and LIMK2 (LIMK1/2) play important roles in cell polarization, migration, and invasion and are markedly upregulated in GBM and many other infiltrative cancers. Yet, it remains unclear whether LIMK suppression could serve as a viable basis for combating GBM infiltration. In this study, we investigated effects of LIMK1/2 suppression on GBM invasion by combining GBM culture models, engineered invasion paradigms, and mouse xenograft models. While knockdown of either LIMK1 or LIMK2 only minimally influenced invasion in culture, simultaneous knockdown of both isoforms strongly reduced the invasive motility of continuous culture models and human GBM tumor-initiating cells (TIC) in both Boyden chamber and 3D hyaluronic acid spheroid invasion assays. Furthermore, LIMK1/2 functionally regulated cell invasiveness, in part, by disrupting polarized cell motility under confinement and cell chemotaxis. In an orthotopic xenograft model, TICs stably transduced with LIMK1/2 shRNA were implanted intracranially in immunocompromised mice. Tumors derived from LIMK1/2 knockdown TICs were substantially smaller and showed delayed growth kinetics and more distinct margins than tumors derived from control TICs. Overall, LIMK1/2 suppression increased mean survival time by 30%. These findings indicate that LIMK1/2 strongly regulate GBM invasive motility and tumor progression and support further exploration of LIMK1/2 as druggable targets.

Introduction

The brain tumor glioblastoma (GBM) carries a median survival time of only 12–15 months even with aggressive surgical care, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy (1, 2). The rapid and intimate infiltration of tumor cells through the surrounding tissue renders complete surgical resection virtually impossible (3, 4) and contributes to resistance to a variety of therapeutic agents, including ionizing radiation and antiangiogenic drugs (5, 6). The aggressive spread of this cancer is complex, involving multiple routes of invasion through the dense neural architecture. GBM cells must dynamicaly adopt various morphologies to enable effective one-dimensional (1D) locomotion, confined migration, and three-dimensional (3D) invasion to navigate the GBM microenvironment, however, the mechanisms by which GBM cells modulate their cellular architecture is incompletely understood (7–9). As a result, there is growing interest in dissecting the molecular mechanisms that drive GBM cell invasion with an eye toward identifying novel biomarkers and targets for pharmacologic intervention (10).

While cell migration is a complex process involving many molecular components, the coordinated action of the actomyosin cytoskeleton plays a particularly central role in generating the protrusive and contractile forces needed for locomotion (11, 12). The Rho-family GTPases (e.g., Rho, Rac, Cdc42) organize the actomyosin cytoskeleton, with Rho driving the assembly and contraction of actomyosin bundles that pull against the extracellular matrix (ECM) and Rac stimulating actin polymerization at the cell front to drive protrusion (13). We previously showed that a balance between RhoA-mediated contraction and Rac1-mediated protrusion governs motility in GBM cells (14). We subsequently showed that constitutive activation of RhoA-dependent myosin contractility sensitizes human GBM tumor-initiating cells (TIC) to matrix stiffness cues and dramatically slows invasion in vivo (15). Others have shown that Rac1 activity promotes invasion by stimulating protrusive activity that promotes an invasive phenotype (16, 17). Although RhoA and Rac1 govern distinct functions of the actomyosin cytoskeleton, each GTPase acts through an effector kinase (ROCK for Rho, PAK for Rac) to phosphorylate a common protein, LIM kinase (LIMK; refs. 18, 19). LIMK may then phosphorylate and inactivate the actin–severing protein coflin, thereby stabilizing actin filaments (20, 21). Interestingly, the LIM kinase isoforms LIMK1 and LIMK2 (LIMK 1/2) have been implicated in cancer cell invasion (22–25). For example, Rac-mediated activation of LIMK1 reorganizes the cytoskeleton to promote the invasion of prostate cancer cells (23). In addition, overexpression of LIMK1 promotes tumor metastasis in a breast cancer model (22). LIMK1/2 are upregulated in GBM, and small-molecule inhibitors of coflin phosphorylation reduce proliferation, adhesion, and invasion of GBM cell lines in vitro (26). Despite these intriguing and promising findings, LIMK1/2 can help tumor cells navigate the GBM microenvironment, leading to the question of whether LIMK suppression could serve as a viable basis for combating GBM infiltration.
results, the mechanistic role and in vivo significance of LIMK in driving GBM invasion remains incompletely explored.

In this study, we investigate contributions of LIMK 1/2 to GBM progression and invasion using a combination of traditional and engineered invasion paradigms as well as mouse xenograft models. While suppression of either isoform alone minimally impacts migration, tandem suppression of both isoforms functionally reduces GBM invasion both in vitro and in vivo. Tumors derived from LIMK-suppressed TICs exhibit slower growth kinetics, more circumscribed morphologies, and smaller tumor volumes, leading to significantly extended survival. Our work demonstrates that LIMK1/2 suppression slows GBM progression by reducing invasive motility and supports further exploration of LIMK inhibition as a strategy for reducing GBM invasion.

Materials and Methods

Continuous cell line culture

U373-MG human GBM cells (hereafter referred to as U373 cells) were obtained from the University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley, CA) Tissue Culture Facility, and cultured as described previously (27).

Tumor cells were cultured adherently in DMEM (Life Technologies, 11965-118) supplemented with 10% FCS (J.R. Scientific, Lenexa, KS) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere supplemented with 5% CO2. Cells were passaged every 5 to 7 days via disassociation with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies, AT104). For bioluminescence imaging, TICs were transduced with a luciferase reporter. These cells have been transcriptionally characterized and classified as the Classical subtype of GBM (25). STR analysis (University of Arizona Genetics Core, Tucson, AZ) confirmed that these cells had not been contaminated by any known cell lines, and regular Mycoplasma testing ensured that cultures were free of Mycoplasma contamination.

Patient-derived primary cell culture

A patient-specific human brain tumor sample used in this study (L0) was collected in a previous study (28) after written informed consent from male patients who underwent surgical treatment and Institutional Review Board approval. Briefly, cells were propagated in neurosphere assay growth conditions with serum-free media and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2, with media changes every 3 to 4 days. All experiments were performed within 10 cell passages from the frozen stock.

shRNA knockdown

To create LIMK1 knockdown cells, a previously validated shRNA-targeting human LIMK1 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich in pLKO.1-puro vectors (Sigma-Aldrich, SH-001; sequences in Supplementary Table S1). Lentiviral particles were packaged in HEK 293T cells and purified using standard procedures (29). Bulk populations of U373 and L0 cells were transduced with viral particles at a multiplicity of infection ≤1, and shRNA-expressing cells were selected using 1 μg/mL puromycin. To create LIMK1/2 double knockdown cells, shRNA oligos targeting human LIMK2 with the appropriate overhangs were annealed and ligated into pLKO.1-hygro (Addgene, 21450) digested with AgeI (NEB, R3552S) and EcoRI (NEB, R3101S). These vectors were similarly packaged into lentiviral particles for transduction of LIMK1 KD cells, and cells transduced with both LIMK1- and LIMK2-targeting viral vectors were selected with both 1 μg/mL puromycin (Invitrogen, A1113803) and 100 μg/mL hygromycin (Corning, MT30240CR). Knockdown efficiency was assessed by Western blot. Vectors containing nontargeting shRNA sequences were used to create control cells with equivalent multiplicities of infection and were similarly selected with both antibiotics. TIC shRNA-expressing cells were maintained under full selection media.

Western blotting analysis

GBM cells were washed twice in PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer supplemented with HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 78442), 1% sodium molybdate (Sigma-Aldrich, Sigma-Aldrich, 737860), and 3% sodium fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich, 215309). Cells were centrifuged to remove membrane components. Protein quantification was conducted via BCA Protein Assay (Pierce, 30202S), and samples were normalized with respect to protein content. Proteins were separated via SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Li-COR, 926-31092). Membranes were blocked in Li-COR blocking buffer for 1 hour and incubated with primary antibody [rabbit LIMK1 (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3842S); rabbit LIMK2 (1:1.000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3845S); rabbit pCofilin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3313S); rabbit Cofilin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 5175S); and GAPDH secondary antibodies (1:10,000, anti-mouse 800, 925-32210 and anti-rabbit 680, 92-68071)], followed by three TBST washes and imaged via Odyssey CLx (LI-COR Biosciences).

Boyden chamber (transwell) invasion assay

Transwell inserts of 8 and 3-μm pore sizes (Corning, 3422) were functionalized with 100 μg/mL laminin (Invitrogen, 21041-015) for 3 hours at 37°C and then seeded in the top chamber with 8,000 cells per insert in basal medium. The bottom chamber was filled with basal media supplemented with 20 ng/mL EGF (R&D Systems, 236-EG-01M) as a chemoattractant. Cells were allowed to migrate for 24 hours and were then fixed with 4% PFA for 15 minutes and washed three times with PBS. Wells were then stained with propidium iodide (Cell Signaling Technology, 4087S) overnight and imaged with a Nikon TE2000E2 microscope. Cell counting was analyzed with Imagel (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Hyaluronic acid-RGD invasion assay

Hyaluronic acid (HA) hydrogels were fabricated as described previously (30). Briefly, HA-methacrylate (Me-HA) was synthesized by treating sodium hyaluronate (LifeBiomedical, Research Grade, 66 kDa–99 kDa, HA60K) with methacrylic anhydride (Sigma-Aldrich, 94%, 276685). The extent of methacrylation per disaccharide was quantified by 1H NMR as described previously and found to be approximately 85% for materials used in this study. RGD peptide Ac-GCGYGRGDSPG-NH2 (Anaspec, AS-62349) was added at a concentration of 0.5 mmol/L to provide integrin-binding functionality. Gels were cross-linked with an MMP-degradable peptide (KKCGPGQWGQGCKK, Genscript, 0.68 mmol/L; ref. 31) in phenol-free DMEM (Invitrogen, 21063-029) to facilitate cell matrix degradation and invasion. HA-RGD gels (1.5 wt/wt%) with a shear modulus of approximately 300 Pa were generated to study 3D spheroid invasion both in vitro and in vivo. Tumors derived from LIMK-suppressed TICs exhibit slower growth kinetics, more circumscribed morphologies, and smaller tumor volumes, leading to significantly extended survival. Our work demonstrates that LIMK1/2 suppression slows GBM progression by reducing invasive motility and supports further exploration of LIMK inhibition as a strategy for reducing GBM invasion.

Western blotting analysis

GBM cells were washed twice in PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer supplemented with HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 78442), 1% sodium molybdate (Sigma-Aldrich, Sigma-Aldrich, 737860), and 3% sodium fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich, 215309). Cells were centrifuged to remove membrane components. Protein quantification was conducted via BCA Protein Assay (Pierce, 30202S), and samples were normalized with respect to protein content. Proteins were separated via SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Li-COR, 926-31092). Membranes were blocked in Li-COR blocking buffer for 1 hour and incubated with primary antibody [rabbit LIMK1 (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3842S); rabbit LIMK2 (1:1.000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3845S); rabbit pCofilin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 3313S); rabbit Cofilin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling Technology, 5175S); and GAPDH secondary antibodies (1:10,000, anti-mouse 800, 925-32210 and anti-rabbit 680, 92-68071)], followed by three TBST washes and imaged via Odyssey CLx (LI-COR Biosciences).

Boyden chamber (transwell) invasion assay

Transwell inserts of 8 and 3-μm pore sizes (Corning, 3422) were functionalized with 100 μg/mL laminin (Invitrogen, 23017-015) for 3 hours at 37°C and then seeded in the top chamber with 8,000 cells per insert in basal medium. The bottom chamber was filled with basal media supplemented with 20 ng/mL EGF (R&D Systems, 236-EG-01M) as a chemoattractant. Cells were allowed to migrate for 24 hours and were then fixed with 4% PFA for 15 minutes and washed three times with PBS. Wells were then stained with propidium iodide (Cell Signaling Technology, 4087S) overnight and imaged with a Nikon TE2000E2 microscope. Cell counting was analyzed with Imagel (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Hyaluronic acid-RGD invasion assay

Hyaluronic acid (HA) hydrogels were fabricated as described previously (30). Briefly, HA-methacrylate (Me-HA) was synthesized by treating sodium hyaluronate (LifeBiomedical, Research Grade, 66 kDa–99 kDa, HA60K) with methacrylic anhydride (Sigma-Aldrich, 94%, 276685). The extent of methacrylation per disaccharide was quantified by 1H NMR as described previously and found to be approximately 85% for materials used in this study. RGD peptide Ac-GCGYGRGDSPG-NH2 (Anaspec, AS-62349) was added at a concentration of 0.5 mmol/L to provide integrin-binding functionality. Gels were cross-linked with an MMP-degradable peptide (KKCGPGQWGQGCKK, Genscript, 0.68 mmol/L; ref. 31) in phenol-free DMEM (Invitrogen, 21063-029) to facilitate cell matrix degradation and invasion. HA-RGD gels (1.5 wt/wt%) with a shear modulus of approximately 300 Pa were generated to study 3D spheroid invasion both in vitro and in vivo. Tumors derived from LIMK-suppressed TICs exhibit slower growth kinetics, more circumscribed morphologies, and smaller tumor volumes, leading to significantly extended survival. Our work demonstrates that LIMK1/2 suppression slows GBM progression by reducing invasive motility and supports further exploration of LIMK inhibition as a strategy for reducing GBM invasion.
invasion of U373 cells. The shear moduli of hydrogel formulations were measured using oscillatory rheometry (Anton Parr Physica MCR 310) as described previously (30). Briefly, hydrogels were first cross-linked by incubation for 1 hour in a humidified 37°C chamber. Rheological testing consisted of frequency sweeps ranging from 100 to 0.1 Hz at 0.5% amplitude also in a humidified 37°C chamber. The reported shear modulus is the average storage modulus for three tests per type of matrix composition at an oscillation frequency of 0.5 Hz. Tumor spheroids were created using the hanging drop method as described previously (32). Briefly, U373 cells were suspended in growth media and 13-μL droplets of cell suspensions containing 500 cells were plated on the lid of a 4-well plate and inverted over wells filled with PBS. After 5 days, spheres were collected and mixed with HA-RGD and cross-linker to initiate gelation. Five microliters of gel solution was then pipetted onto a hydrophobic dish and allowed to cross-link. Medium was added after 1 hour and spheres were monitored over 14 days. Invasion data are presented as a relative change in spheroid area from days 1 to 14.

Polydimethylsiloxane microchannel fabrication

Silicon masters were fabricated using established lithography techniques as described previously (33). Briefly, wafers were cleaned with a piranha solution (3:1 sulfuric acid to hydrogen peroxide), rinsed with water, and baked to remove residual water. The wafers were then spin-coated with SU-8 2010 (Microchem) and photopatterned with the microchannel designs (Supplementary Fig. S1). Next, the wafers were given a postexposure bake followed by a hard bake. Finally, the wafer was treated with dimethyldichloromethylsilane (Sigma-Aldrich, 440248) to prevent the polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) from sticking to the wafer. The wafers were given a postexposure bake followed by a hard bake. Following previously published techniques (35). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane and then maintained at 2% isoflurane for the duration of the surgery. The animals were secured onto a stereotactic apparatus, and a dental Dremel drill with a 0.5-mm bit was used to create a single hole 2 mm lateral right from the bregma. Cell solution (3 μL) was added at a rate of 1 μL/minute using a 26-gauge needle at a depth of 3 mm. After surgery, the animals were rested on a heating pad and treated with analgesics 0.1 mg/kg buprenorphine and 5 mg/kg meloxicam. In addition, the mice were treated daily with a single dose of 10 mg/kg meloxicam and two doses of 0.05 mg/kg buprenorphine for 6 days. A total of 15 mice were used for each cohort, and 5 from each group were sacrificed at a set 6-week time point to properly compare tumor size between NT and LIMK1/2 KD groups. The remaining 10 animals from each cohort were followed until humane limits were reached. All procedures were conducted under protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at UC Berkeley (Berkeley, CA).

Histologic analysis

Tissue processing and IHC were performed on free-floating sections following previously published techniques (35). Briefly, mice were anaesthetized with 100 mg/kg ketamine-xylazine (Sigma-Aldrich, K113) and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline. Brains were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, at 4°C for 48 hours and then incubated in a 30% sucrose for cryoprotection. After the brains sunk down in the sucrose solution, they were sectioned coronally at 40 μm with a cryotome (Leica) and each section was stored in cryoprotective medium. For staining, brain sections were mounted onto frosted microscope slides and blocked with 5% goat serum in PBS for 1 hour. Then, tissue sections were stained with mouse anti-Nestin (1:200; EMD Millipore MAB5326), rabbit anti-Ki-67 (1:200; Cell Signaling Technology, 9129S), and DAPI (1:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific D1306) overnight at 4°C. Next, the tissue was washed three times and incubated with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature and subsequently mounted with Fluormount Aqueous Mounting Media (Sigma-Aldrich F4680).
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For histologic staining, standard procedures were used to dehydrate the tissue and stain with hematoxylin and eosin (Sigma-Aldrich H11516, E4009) to identify tumors. Low magnification images were taken with the Zeiss Axiostar.Z1 and higher magnification images were taken with a Nikon TE2000E2 microscope.

Statistical analysis
The data are reported as the mean of all replicates, and error bars are SEM. GraphPad Prism 7 software was used to create statistical analysis were taken with a Nikon TE2000E2 microscope.

Results
Upregulation of LIMK1/2 in GBM is associated with high grade and poor prognosis
A key premise of our study is that targeting LIMK, a central regulator of actin-based motility, may prove effective in limiting GBM infiltration. As a first step toward assessing the clinical significance of this premise, we queried The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) to determine whether transcriptomic and clinical features of GBM might be associated with changes in expression of LIMK and a set of other candidate proteins relevant to actin-based cell motility. Frequently mutated genes (EGFR, CDKN2A, PTEN, PDGFRα) were used as controls to ensure that proper statistical thresholds (e.g., z-scores) were used for the analysis of the TCGA dataset. We found that within this list of actin–related genes, LIMK1 was among the most altered loci, with approximately 21% of patients exhibiting increases in DNA copy number and/or mRNA levels. Genes that make up the Arp2/3 complex (ARPC1A, ARPC1B, and WASL) were similarly altered in patients with GBM as was Rac1. Furthermore, modifications of LIMK2 were observed in approximately 5% of patients (Fig. 1A). We then explored changes in mRNA levels between GBM and low-grade gliomas (which are generally much less invasive) to identify actin-related genes that may be enriched in the high-grade cohort. We found that within this candidate list of actin-based cell motility genes, LIMK1 mRNA levels ranked second highest, indicating a strong association between LIMK1 and disease severity (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, Kaplan–Meier analysis showed significantly decreased survival in patients with increases in LIMK1 and LIMK2 (Fig. 1C).

Combined knockdown of LIMK1/2 disrupts actin polymerization and dynamics
Given the strong clinical association between GBM progression and LIMK expression, we hypothesized that suppression of LIMK might attenuate the invasive phenotype. We therefore used shRNAs to suppress expression of LIMK proteins in culture, beginning with U373 human GBM cells. Surprisingly, although we were successfully able to individually knock down either LIMK1 or LIMK2 nearly completely without changes in total levels of the other isoform, neither knockdown produced significant reductions in coflin phosphorylation. However, combined knockdown of LIMK1/2 together produced a dramatic reduction in coflin phosphorylation, indicating increased coflin activity (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that LIMK1/2 play overlapping roles in the regulation of coflin, and that loss of both isoforms is necessary for coflin disassembly. To confirm that LIMK1/2 suppression is associated with reductions in polymerized actin, we applied SIM to image actin cytoskeletal architecture. As expected, we did not observe changes in cell morphology or cytoskeletal organization in NT and single knockdown lines, whereas in the double knockdown lines, we observed a near-absence of actin filaments and bundles within the cell interior (Fig. 2B). To gain insight into effects on actin dynamics, we transduced our control and double KD cells with LifeAct and used time-lapse imaging to capture actin cycling. We observed classic actin retrograde flow within the lamellipodia of the NT lines (kymographs), however, LIMK1/2 KD lines showed random actin cycling with no clear actin structures, revealing significant alterations in actin structure, organization, and dynamics (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Video S1). Further analysis revealed a loss of cell polarization in the KD lines and a significant decrease in net protrusion displacement (Fig. 2D and E). Velocity versus time plots of cell protrusions were generated via KymographDirect and showed dramatically different protrusive character in NT and LIMK1/2 KD lines. NT cells exhibited stable protrusion growth velocities in the leading edge with an expected reduction in velocity over time as the lamellipodia matured. Similarly, the trailing edge velocity was relatively stable over time. However, LIMK1/2 KD cell protrusions in both directions were highly variable with positive and negative velocities, indicating rapid protrusion extension and retraction with an inability to mature (Fig. 2F and G). Together, these data show that suppression of LIMK1/2 reduces coflin phosphorylation, thereby promoting actin cleavage and disassembly, which disrupts protrusive growth, dynamics, and ultimately polarization. Because suppression of both isoforms is needed to influence coflin phosphorylation, we focused on LIMK1/2 KD cells in all subsequent studies.

LIMK1/2 knockdown decreases cell invasiveness
We next examined the functional consequence of LIMK1/2 knockdown on cell invasion by conducting 2D motility, Boyden Chamber, and 3D spheroid invasion assays. Two-dimensional (2D) motility showed no differences (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B), but Boyden chamber studies revealed that LIMK1/2 KD cell lines have significantly reduced cell invasion through both 8- and 3-μm diameter pores, with this reduction becoming more pronounced with decreased pore size (Fig. 3A). Thus, suppression of LIMK 1/2 renders cells less capable of tightly confined invasion, an important mode of invasion necessary for spread throughout the brain parenchyma (36). To verify this finding in a completely independent paradigm, we conducted 3D spheroid invasion assays in protease-degradable hydrogels composed of hyaluronic acid (HA, the primary brain ECM component), a 3D culture model we had previously shown recapitulates invasive morphologies seen in brain tissue (Fig. 3B; ref. 30). Indeed, LIMK1/2 KD cells were significantly impaired in their ability to invade through HA, confirming that LIMK1/2 suppression functionally reduces cell invasion within confined, 3D environments.

LIMK1/2 knockdown dysregulates confined migration and chemotaxis
Our Boyden chamber and 3D spheroid studies indicate that LIMK1/2 KD strongly suppresses invasion. However, these paradigms interrogate the combined effect of multiple cellular processes, including chemotaxis and confined migration. To gain deeper mechanistic insight into the origin of these results, we examined the effect of LIMK1/2 KD on 1D confined migration and chemotaxis using microchannels. In addition to being compatible with live-cell imaging, both chemotaxis and migration through confined spaces are important features of GBM invasion in vivo (8, 37). In previous studies, we have found microchannel-based scaffolds serve as a useful surrogate for contact guidance–mediated invasion reminiscent of that observed along vascular beds and white matter tracts (33, 38–41). We fabricated
PDMS devices with varying width channels ranging from 5 to 100 µm and measured migration speed along the channels. As anticipated, when NT cells were fully confined by both walls in the 5-µm wide channels, these cells migrated faster than in fully unconfined matrices, which we and others have previously observed and attributed to the enforced polarization (42). More importantly, LIMK1/2 KD cells migrated significantly slower than NT cells specifically when confined by 5-µm wide channels, which points to the particular significance of LIMK1/2 expression status is associated with GBM grade and poor prognosis. A and B, TCGA was queried using a list of candidate genes involved in actin-based cell motility for alterations observed in GBM tumors, and a z-score threshold of ±2.0 was set as the statistical threshold. LIMK1 is altered in >20% of patients (alterations include amplification of DNA copy number and mRNA upregulation) and is elevated in high-grade gliomas when compared with low-grade glioma (LGG) groups. C, Frequently mutated genes (EGFR, CDKN2A, PTEN, TP53) were used as controls to ensure proper statistical thresholds were used in the analysis of the datasets. Kaplan-Meier survival curves show reduced survival time in patients with LIMK alterations. TCGA Cell 2013 dataset was analyzed via cBioPortal (62–64).

Knockdown of LIMK1/2 disrupts actin polymerization and dynamics. A, Single and double LIMK knockdown lines were generated with U373s and investigated for changes in coillin activity and actin cytoskeletal organization. Single and double knockdown was confirmed via Western blot, and coillin phosphorylation was strongly reduced only in the double knockdown lines. B, SIM imaging revealed stable cytoskeletal structure and organization in NT and single knockdown lines but disrupted actin networks in the LIMK1/2 KD lines, providing support for increased coillin activity. C, Furthermore, retrograde flow of actin was clearly seen in NT lines as indicated by the kymograph at the lamellopodia (a), however, the kymograph for LIMK2 cells (b) showed aberrant actin dynamics and organization. D and E, Quantification of cell polarization and protrusion displacement showed that LIMK1/2 KD cells were unable to display a polarized morphology and generate stable growth in protrusions. F and G, Furthermore, quantification of protrusion velocities revealed steady protrusion growth in the NT cells but highly variable protrusion dynamics with frequent changes in direction, indicating constant extension and retraction events in the LIMK1/2 KD cells. *P < 0.05. Scale bar, 10 µm.
Chen et al. investigated the ability of the KD cells to respond to chemotactic cues, necessary for efficient cell motility in 1D (Fig. 4A; ref. 43). We then investigated the ability of the KD cells to respond to chemotactic cues, motivated by the reported roles of cofilin in shaping directional migration (44–46). Under an EGF gradient, approximately 75% of NT cells fell within the upper quadrants of a Wind-Rose plot, demonstrating a strong chemotactic effect as expected. Conversely, under the same stimulus, LIMK1/2 KD cells migrated much more randomly as evidenced by the even distribution of cells within migration paths in all quadrants (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the LIMK1/2 KD cells not only showed aberrant chemotaxis but also exhibited slower overall migration speeds (Fig. 4C). Thus, suppression of LIMK1/2 slows cell invasion by limiting cell polarization and rapid migration along 1D-confined channels as well as by disrupting chemotaxis.

**Knockdown of LIMK1/2 decreases TIC invasiveness**

While the above results strongly support the idea that LIMK1/2 suppression limits invasion, the use of U373 cells carries important caveats. Continuous GBM culture models are widely acknowledged to suffer from significant genetic drift, which may result, in part, from chronic conditioning to highly aphysiologic culture conditions (47). Moreover, continuous culture models frequently form circumscribed tumors in vivo as opposed to the more infiltrative lesions characteristic of GBM (48). To extend our findings to a more clinically proximal culture model, we turned to a classical-subtype human GBM TIC line (LU) that forms infiltrative tumors in mouse xenograft studies (15, 28). We began by knocking down LIMK1 and 2 using shRNA constructs and confirming knockdown via Western blotting (Fig. 5A). We observed comparable cytoskeletal alterations and reduced cofilin phosphorylation to LIMK1/2 KD U373 cells (Fig. 5A and B). For example, control TICs assembled F-actin bundles along the cell perimeter, whereas these structures were not visible in LIMK1/2 KD TICs. Importantly, when we conducted Boyden chamber assays with 8- and 3-µm pore sizes, we saw a U373-like reduction in cell invasiveness in the knockdown cells, which was also enhanced with smaller pore sizes (Fig. 5C and D).

Overall, the phenotypes we observed upon LIMK suppression in U373 cells were broadly reproduced in TICs.

**LIMK1/2 knockdown reduces tumor growth and invasion and extends survival in vivo**

As described above, a key advantage of TICs is that they more closely recapitulate defining pathologic features of GBM when orthotopically xenografted into immunocompromised mice. To test whether LIMK1/2 knockdown could influence tumor progression in vivo, we injected NT and LIMK1/2 KD TICs intracranially into separate cohorts of NSG mice (n = 15). For each cohort, we sacrificed 5 animals at 6 weeks postimplantation to enable direct comparison between NT and LIMK1/2 KD tumors and then followed tumor evolution until animal death for the rest of the group. To quantify tumor growth kinetics, TICs were transduced with a luciferase reporter and monitored longitudinally with bioluminescence imaging. Strikingly, we observed robust decreases in bioluminescence signal in the LIMK1/2 KD cohort, suggesting that the tumors were much smaller and spread at dramatically slower rates (Fig. 6A and C). Furthermore, animal survival was prolonged by approximately 30% in the LIMK1/2 KD animals (Fig. 6D). Quantification of tumor volume from histologic analysis at 6 weeks supported the bioluminescence data and revealed a strong reduction in tumor occupancy in the LIMK1/2 KD groups (Fig. 6E and F). Moreover, endpoint IHC showed that although LIMK1/2 KD tumors continued to spread, the tumor margins were more distinct with less diffuse invasion into the parenchyma, as evidenced by the lack of nestin-positive TICs outside the tumor mass (Fig. 6G). IHC also showed comparable levels of Ki-67+ cells, ruling out dramatic changes in proliferation rates between the two groups. Staining for LIMK1/2 in tumor sections revealed enriched LIMK1/2 within the tumor mass in NT groups and confirmed loss of LIMK1/2 in the KD animals (Supplementary Fig. S4). Collectively, and consistent with our culture studies, these data indicate that suppression of LIMK 1/2 drastically impedes tumor growth and spread in vivo by disrupting invasion.

**Discussion**

Aggressive cell infiltration is a hallmark of GBM, and there is a significant need to better understand the biophysical mechanisms of invasion and leverage these insights for diagnosis, prognosis, and
therapy (3, 4, 10, 49). While work from our own laboratory and many others has strongly implicated Rho and Rac GTPase-driven cytoskeletal remodeling as a critical driver of invasion (11, 12), it has remained unclear how suppression of effectors common to both pathways might influence invasion. Here, we investigate one such common effector, LIMK, which is activated by the Rho effector ROCK and the Rac effector PAK1 to promote actin polymerization. We combine traditional and engineered culture paradigms to show that simultaneous suppression of both isoforms LIMK1 and LIMK2 reduces invasion through disrupted cell polarization, impaired chemotaxis, and ability to navigate confined spaces. Moreover, suppression of LIMK1/2 in vivo produces more circumscribed and less infiltrative tumors, resulting in an approximately 30% extension in survival. These findings show that disrupting cell invasion significantly slows GBM progression in a mouse model and supports further preclinical exploration of LIMK1/2 inhibition as a strategy for limiting GBM invasion.

Figure 4.
LIMK1/2 knockdown disrupts 1D confined migration and chemotaxis. A, Significant differences in confined 1D motility were observed in 5-μm channels, with NT cells migrating more quickly than LIMK1/2 KD cells. B and C, The reduced migratory capacity of LIMK1/2 KD cells is associated with actin cytoskeletal disorganization. Furthermore, LIMK1/2 KD cells exhibit robust defects in chemotaxis, with a lack of directional persistence under EGF stimulation as well as reduced migration speed. * P < 0.05.

Figure 5.
Knockdown of LIMK1/2 decreases TIC invasiveness. A, LIMK1/2 was suppressed in TICs as revealed via Western blotting. Similar to the U373s, coflin activity was significantly affected in the double knockdowns. B, Furthermore, loss of cytoskeletal organization was also observed via SIM imaging, similar to observations in U373s. C and D, Boyden chamber assays revealed a significant reduction in cell invasiveness in LIMK1/2 KD TICs loaded in 8-μm (C) and 3-μm (D) pore sizes. Pore sizes of 3 μm similarly generated a more pronounced relative decrease in invasion as observed in the U373s. * P < 0.05. Scale bar, 10 μm.
Modulation of LIMK1/2 can regulate cell motility in vitro by regulating cofilin activity (22–25, 50, 51). However, comparatively little is known about the extent to which these effects translate to mechanisms of motility relevant to GBM in vivo, which may be markedly different from standard 2D motility (42). In GBM, cells invade either slowly through the brain parenchyma and/or rapidly along white matter tracts and vascular beds, which contribute to diffuse infiltration and seeding of secondary tumors (3, 37, 52). Migration along white matter tracts and blood vessels may be regarded as a sort of 1D motility in which polarity is enforced by association with a linear structure. Although loss of LIMK 1/2 does not appreciably change rates of random 2D motility in unconfined environments, significant alterations were seen in cell polarization, protrusion displacement, and protrusion dynamics. The inability of LIMK1/2 KD cells to polarize and generate stable protrusions led to motility deficits that were exposed in 1D microchannels. Notably, confined 1D motility is dependent on formin-based actin assembly mechanisms, which rely heavily on the assembly and contraction of actin cables, which were not observed in the LIMK1/2 KD cells (43). This disruption of actin architecture and decreases in 1D motility was not observed in single KD lines, showing that knockdown of both isoforms is necessary to inhibit the canonical regulation of actin assembly and disassembly via cofilin (Supplementary Fig. S3). Interestingly, differences between NT and LIMK1/2 knockdown cell migration were minimized as channel width increased and cells began to adopt a 2D-based motility (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). These findings are consistent with at least one previous report in which LIMK1/2 inhibition was observed to minimally influence 2D motility (53). Together, these findings indicate that LIMK1/2 affects 1D-polarized migration to a much greater extent than random 2D motility, which may explain the pronounced effect on GBM tumor infiltration in vivo. Our finding that LIMK1/2 suppression also impairs chemotactic migration suggests a general role for these proteins in supporting polarized motility and is consistent with previous work implicating cofilin activity in the establishment of polarity (44, 45).

While we primarily interpret our results in terms of actin assembly, LIMK is also an established regulator of microtubule organization (54, 55), implying that its suppression may influence mitotic spindle positioning and proliferation (56). Inhibition of LIMK has been observed to affect microtubule organization and slow mitosis, motivating exploration of LIMK as a chemotherapeutic target (54). Interestingly, LIMK2 has been reported to play an important microtubule-dependent role in chemoresistance, with inhibition of LIMK2 restoring chemosensitivity (57). Although we did not observe strongly LIMK-dependent changes in proliferation in vivo, it will be important in future studies to clarify the role of LIMK-dependent microtubule behavior in tumor progression in vivo.

Finally, our in vivo experiments with primary TICs support further exploration of pharmacologic inhibition of LIMK1/2 in GBM therapeutics. We show that targeting the TIC population leads to reduced tumor size and clearer tumor margins in a mouse model, providing evidence that attenuating invasive motility is sufficient to significantly improve survival. It will be fruitful to revisit these studies with a wide diversity of GBMs to determine whether sensitivity to LIMK suppression is a universal feature or instead varies in some systematic way, such as by molecular subtype. Although orthotopic xenograft models have their disadvantages such as the absence of an adaptive immune system and potential variabilities in tumor initiation and engraftment, this system does allow one to follow the progression of human tumor cells in vivo. Nonetheless, it would be important to examine functional contributions in LIMK1/2 in other mouse models, including genetically engineered mouse models. These models would also be ideal settings in which to test small-molecule LIMK inhibitors, which would be expected to target both LIMK isoforms. Several small-molecule inhibitors of LIMK have been described with a range of efficacy in many in vitro studies across different types of cancers (14, 58–60).
Indeed, early studies of the LIMK inhibitors BMS-5 and Cucurbitacin with continuous GBM lines have shown promise with respect to reducing adhesion and invasion, with comparatively little cytotoxicity to normal astrocytes (26). Current efforts to develop effective LIMK inhibitors have significantly optimized binding affinity and pharmacokinetic parameters such as bioavailability, half-life, and clearance (61). Given this progress, we anticipate that it will be fruitful to more fully characterize mechanisms through which LIMK1/2, their effectors, and their regulators mechanistically contribute to GBM invasion.
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