Inhibition of BCL2 Family Members Increases the Efficacy of Copper Chelation in BRAFV600E-Driven Melanoma
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ABSTRACT

The principal unmet need in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma is lack of an adequate therapeutic strategy capable of overcoming resistance to clinically approved targeted therapies against oncogenic BRAF and/or the downstream MEK1/2 kinases. We previously discovered that copper (Cu) is required for MEK1 and MEK2 activity through a direct Cu–MEK1/2 interaction. Repurposing the clinical Cu chelator tetrathiomolybdate (TTM) is supported by efficacy in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma models, due in part to inhibition of MEK1/2 kinase activity. However, the antineoplastic activity of Cu chelators is cytostatic. Here, we performed high-throughput small-molecule screens to identify bioactive compounds that synergize with TTM in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells. Genetic perturbation or pharmacologic inhibition of specific members of the BCL2 family of antiapoptotic proteins (BCL-W, BCL-XL, and MCL1) selectively reduced cell viability when combined with a Cu chelator and induced CASPASE-dependent cell death. Further, in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells evolved to be resistant to BRAF and/or MEK1/2 inhibitors, combined treatment with TTM and the clinically evaluated BCL2 inhibitor, ABT-263, restored tumor growth suppression and induced apoptosis. These findings further support Cu chelation as a therapeutic strategy to target oncogene-dependent tumor cell growth and survival by enhancing Cu chelator efficacy with chemical inducers of apoptosis, especially in the context of refractory or relapsed BRAFV600E-driven melanoma.

Significance: This study unveils a novel collateral drug sensitivity elicited by combining copper chelators and BH3 mimetics for treatment of BRAFV600E mutation-positive melanoma.

Introduction

Melanoma is driven in 40% to 50% of cases by activating mutations in the BRAF serine/threonine kinases (1, 2). Over 90% of oncogenic BRAF mutations detected in melanoma are Val 600—Glu (V600E; refs. 3, 4). Activated BRAFV600E phosphorylates and activates MEK1/2, which subsequently phosphorylate and activate ERK1/2, resulting in hyperactivation of the evolutionarily conserved MAPK pathway to drive melanomagenesis (4, 5). Thus, patients with late-stage BRAFV600E-positive melanoma are typically treated with the FDA-approved combination of mutant-selective, ATP-competitive BRAF inhibitors (BRAFi, dabrafenib, and vemurafenib) and allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors (MEKi1/2, trametinib, and cobimetinib; refs. 6–9). Although this standard of care is initially effective, patients with BRAFV600E–mutant melanoma have only modest improvements in median progression-free survival and eventually develop resistance (4, 10, 11). The limited clinical durability of the combination has bolstered research aimed at additional combination strategies to forestall resistance development, targeting multiple signaling pathways capable of driving resistance, or exploring alternative pharmacologic accessible nodes within the MAPK pathway (4, 10, 11).

Materials and Methods

Reagents
A1210477 (ApexBio, B6011), ABT-199 (Selleck Chemicals, S8048), ABT-263 (M1637, AbMole), ABT-737 (Selleck Chemicals, S1002),
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Ammonium TTM (Sigma-Aldrich, 323446), trametinib (Selleck Chemicals, S2673), WEHI-539 hydrochloride (ApexBio, A8634), vemurafenib (CT-P4032), and Z-DEVD-FMK (Selleck Chemicals, S2673) were purchased from indicated companies.

Cell lines

293T/17 (ATCC, catalog #CRL-11268), A375 (ATCC, catalog #CRL-1619), WM88 (Rockland, catalog #WM88-01-0001), WM3311 (Rockland, catalog #WM3311-01-0001), and WM3743 (Rockland, catalog #WM3743-01-0001) cells were purchased from the indicated companies and maintained in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% v/v FBS (GE Lifesciences) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco). 451 Lu parental cells and resistant derivatives, 451-Lu BRAFiR and 451-Lu MEKiR, were a kind gift from Jessie Villanueva (Wistar Institute) and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS with 1 µmol/L vemurafenib or 1 µmol/L trametinib (20, 21). WM88B parental cells and a resistant derivative, WM88B BRAFiR, were a kind gift from Jessie Villanueva (Wistar Institute) and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS with 1 µmol/L vemurafenib. Cell lines were not authenticated. Mcoalert testing was done to test for mycoplasma contamination of all cell lines. Derived cell lines were generated by stable infection with lentiviruses derived from the pSMARTvector-inducible lentiviral shRNA plasmids (Dharmacon, see plasmids below) or retroviruses derived from the pBABE retroviral plasmid (see plasmids below) described below using established methods.

Plasmids

pSMARTvector-inducible lentiviral shRNA plasmids were purchased from Dharmacon to express: nontargeted control, human BCL2 target sequence #1 5′-TGAAGCTTCCACACACATAC, human BCL2 target sequence #2 5′-AAGAGAGGCCACAATCTCC, human BCL-XL target sequence #1 5′-GAAACAGCTGTTGCGGCA, human BCL-XL target sequence #2 5′-CCCGATTCAGTCCCTTCT, human BCL-W target sequence #1 5′-GGCCGGTCTCGAATCTC, human BCL-W target sequence #2 5′-CTGGTCTGGCATCAGTCAG, human MCL1 target sequence #1 5′-CGAGGGAAGTATCGGATT, human MCL1 target sequence #2 5′-AGAGTGTGATACAGAGGGA. GFP and shRNA expression was induced with adding 0.5 µg/mL (for A375) or 1 µg/mL (for WM88) of doxycycline hydrochloride (Dox, Fisher Scientific, AAJ67043AE) to DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin, Gibco. pBABEpuro-HA-p61BRAF

Flow cytometry

Note that 2 × 105 of the indicated cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Genesee Scientific, 25-105) and treated as described, floating and attached cells were harvested, and stained with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) using Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit I (BD Biosciences, 556547) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Data were acquired using the Attune NxT flow cytometry (Thermo Scientific) and analyzed with FlowJo 8.7 (Tree Star).

Immunoblot analysis

Indicated cells or xenograft-derived tumor lysates were treated as indicated and then washed with cold 1X PBS and lysed with cold RIPA buffer containing 1X EDTA-free Halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, 78441). The protein concentration was determined by BCA Protein Assay (Pierce) using BSA as a standard. Equal amounts of lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE using standard techniques, and protein was detected with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-phospho (Thr202/Tyr204)-ERK1/2 [1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology (CST), 9101], mouse anti-ERK1/2 [1:1,000; CST, 9107], rabbit anti-phospho (Ser217/221)-MEK1/2 [1:1,000; CST, 9154], mouse anti-MEK1/2 [1:1,000; CST, 4694], rabbit anti-PARP [1:1,000; CST, 9542], rabbit anticleaved PARP [1:1,000; CST, 9541], rabbit anti-CASPASE-3 [1:1,000; CST, 9662], mouse anti-β-actin [1:10,000; CST, 3700], rabbit anti-BCL1 [1:1,000; CST, 4223], rabbit anti-BCL-W [1:1,000; CST, 2724], rabbit anti-BCL-XL [1:1,000; CST, 2764], rabbit anti-MCL1 [1:1,000; CST, 94296], rabbit anti-CYTOCHROME C [1:1,000; CST 11940], mouse anti-HSP60 [1:1,000; CST, 4870], mouse anti-α-TUBULIN [1:1,000; CST, 3873], followed by detection with one of the horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies: goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; CST, 7074) or goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5,000; CST, 7076), using SignalFire (CST) or SignalFire Elite ECL (CST) detection reagents. For detection of CYTOCHROME C release, cells were treated as described, washed with cold 1X PBS, and mitochondrial and cytosolic fractions were separated using mitochondria/cytosol fractionation kit (BioVision K256) according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

High-throughput small-molecule library screen

Drug screening was performed by the University of Pennsylvania High-Throughput Screening Core. To determine the IC50, for the indicated cell lines, 104 cells were plated per well in white 384-well plates, treated with eight concentrations of TTM (1:3 dilution, ranging from 0.0457 to 100 µmol/L), and cell viability was measured 96 hours later with the ATPlite cell viability assay (Perkin Elmer) on an EnVision Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer). To generate IC50 curves, the logistic regression curve of Log(Drug) versus normalized response (%ATP Normalized to DMSO) was fit in TIBCO Spotfire software. The IC50 was calculated using the following formula: IC50 = ([F/(100-F)^C/H)] IC50, where H = the Hill slope. For the subsequent drug screens, indicated cell lines were treated with 100 nmol/L of a compound from the SelleckChem Bioactive Compound Library with or without TTM at the IC50 for the respective cell line. DMSO and doxycycline were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Normalized percent inhibition (NPI) was calculated for all data points.

Mouse xenografts and drug treatments

All studies were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Note that 5 × 105 of the indicated cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Genesee Scientific, 25-105) and treated as described, floating and attached cells were harvested, and stained with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) using Annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit I (BD Biosciences, 556547) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Data were acquired using the Attune NxT flow cytometry (Thermo Scientific) and analyzed with FlowJo 8.7 (Tree Star).
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mice (Charles River Laboratory) as previously described (23). When tumor volume reached approximately 100 mm³, mice were treated daily via oral gavage; vehicle [1% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, M0512), 1% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich)]; 80 mg/kg TTM in vehicle; 25 mg/kg vemurafenib in 10% ethanol, 30% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400 (EMD Millipore, 8.07485.1000), and 60% Phosal 50PG (Lipoid, 368315); 0.5 mg/kg trametinib in PEG; or 25 mg/kg ABT-263 in PEG.

**Immunofluorescence**

Tumor tissue sections were stained with either a rabbit anti-Ki67 antibody (1:100; Novus Biologicals, NB100-89717) followed by detection with a Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; Thermo Scientific, A27040) or DeadEnd Colorimetric TUNEL System (Promega, G3250), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Photographs were taken on a Leica DMi6000B–inverted confocal microscope (40x) in a blinded fashion. Images were quantified using Image J software.

**Results**

**TTM-mediated reductions in BRAFV600E-driven cell viability and MAPK signaling fail to induce apoptosis**

To examine whether Cu chelator efficacy could be improved in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma, we first tested BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cells in vitro with increasing concentrations of TTM and measured cell viability. TTM reduced the number of viable 451-Lu, A375, WM88, and WM983B cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A–E). However, TTM treatment failed to increase the percentage of apoptotic cells (Fig. 1F–J), as measured by Annexin V and PI positivity via flow cytometry (24), despite effectively decreasing ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Fig. 1M; Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1D). In agreement, cleavage of CASPASE-3 and PARP (25, 26), well-known markers of cells undergoing apoptosis, were only observed at concentrations higher than the IC50 of TTM (Fig. 1J–M; Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1D). Similarly, treatment with either MAPKi (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2I) or BH3 mimetic (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2I) was not sufficient to increase markers of apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S2K–S2R). Thus, in vitro Cu chelators blunt MAPK pathway activation and inhibit the viability of BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells but fail to induce apoptosis, akin to treatment with MAPKis, and support the rationale to identify other therapeutic combinations to improve Cu chelation therapy.

**BH3 mimetics synergize with TTM to reduce cell viability of BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells**

In order to identify collateral drug sensitivities with Cu chelators, we investigated combination of a minimally inhibitory dose of TTM with the Selleckchem bioactive compound library composed of a unique collection of 2123 bioactive chemical compounds, which are either FDA-approved or have known bioactivity and safety profiles (Fig. 2A). A375 and WM88 cells were treated with a low dose of each individual compound within the Selleckchem bioactive compound library and either vehicle or the respective IC50 of TTM (Fig. 2A). A 20% reduction in A375 and WM88 cell viability was achieved for the majority of the bioactive compounds, which reflected TTM efficacy alone, and indicated that most of the bioactive compounds displayed minimal efficacy alone at 100 nmol/L (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B). Therefore, initial hits were restricted to compounds that when combined with TTM yielded the intended 20% or greater inhibition of cell viability (Fig. 2B and C; Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B). Further, synergistic combinations with TTM were identified by calculating the Bliss Index (22), which considers the expected additive effect of a drug combination compared with the observed effect, for each initial compound hit (NPI TTM + Compound ≥20; Fig. 2D and E). Fifty and 233 bioactive compounds synergistically inhibited cell viability of A375 or WM88 cells, respectively, with a Bliss Index ≥1.5 (Fig. 2F and G). Only five bioactive compounds scored as hits (NPI TTM + Compound ≥20;Bliss Index ≥1.5) in both BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells (Fig. 2H and I). These findings establish the utility of employing high-throughput small-molecule screens to identify synergistic drug combinations for potential cancer treatment and more importantly suggest that several bioactive compounds may be useful to enhance Cu chelation therapy efficacy in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma.

We were keenly interested in our finding that the pan BH3 mimetic ABT-737 synergistically inhibited the viability of both A375 and WM88 cells to the greatest extent when combined with TTM (Fig. 2I). The B-cell lymphoma-2 (BCL2) family consists of two types of proteins (27), antiapoptotic (e.g., BCL2, BCLXL, BCL-W, and MCL1; refs. 28–30) and proapoptotic (e.g., BAK, BAX, and BIM), which cooperate through homo- or heterodimer formation to retain the balance between cell survival and death (31–33). Drugs termed “BH3 mimetics” that bind the surface groove of certain antiapoptotic BCL2 proteins and thereby elicit apoptosis have been developed (34–40). Among them, successful clinical trials of venetoclax (ABT-199), a specific BCL2i, has led to its FDA approval for chronic lymphocytic leukemia and clinical evaluation for treatment of other cancers (41–44). However, single-agent inhibition of BCL2 is not sufficient to induce cell death of melanomas due to part to differential BCL2 protein expression and diversity (45–51). Similarly, ABT-199 failed to significantly reduce BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cell viability alone or in combination with TTM in the high-throughput screen (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Inhibition of multiple antiapoptotic BCL2 proteins is a major target for melanoma and other cancers (34, 46). Collateral inhibition of oncogenic MAPK signaling and BCL2 proteins with the pan-BH3 mimetic, ABT-737, reduces targeted therapy resistance in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma (52–54). In support of these findings, combining MAPKis and navitoclax (ABT-263), an orally bioavailable analog of ABT-737 (NCT01989585), is being tested clinically in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. Although not every BH3 mimetic in the high-throughput screen increased the efficacy of TTM, ABT-263 synergistically reduced A375 cell viability but was only additive in the WM88 cell line (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Combination of IC50 or IC10 doses of TTM and 100 nmol/L ABT-737 was sufficient to significantly reduce cell viability (Fig. 2J and K), but failed to increase the percentage of Annexin V–positive cells, cleaved-CASPASE-3, or cleaved-PARP (Supplementary Fig. S3D–S3G). Further, the addition of TTM to the combination of vemurafenib and ABT-737 or trametinib and ABT-737 significantly reduced A375 and WM88 cell viability (Fig. 2L–O). Treatment with TTM, ABT-737, and either MAPKi was either equivalent or superior to the combination of vemurafenib, trametinib, and ABT-737 (Fig. 2L–O). Finally, TTM significantly increased the capacity of the combination of the MAPKis and ABT-737 to reduce A375 cell viability (Fig. 2L). These findings indicate that Cu chelation therapy may be a viable alternative to either MAPKi when combined with a BH3 mimetic and, more importantly, could enhance the therapeutic benefit of the clinically investigated combination of the MAPKi and a BH3 mimetic.

**Genetic loss of select antiapoptotic BCL2 family proteins decreases TMM in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells**

We next explored what molecular target(s) of ABT-737 impart(s) sensitivity to Cu chelators. ABT-737 selectively targets the
Figure 1.
TTM reduces MAPK pathway activation and viability without inducing apoptosis in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells. A–D, Relative CellTiter-Glo cell viability ± SEM of indicated cells treated with indicated concentrations of TTM. n = 3. E, Scatter dot plot of TTM IC50 ± SEM of indicated cell lines. F–I, Representative flow cytometry graphs and scatter dot plots of flow cytometry analysis of Annexin V–FITC and PI ± SEM from indicated cell lines treated with vehicle (VEH) or TTM. n = 3. J–M, Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (P)-ERK1/2, total (T)-ERK1/2, Cleaved (Clv.) CASPASE-3, CASPASE-3, Clv. PARP, PARP, and β-actin from indicated cells treated with vehicle or TTM. n = 3. Quantification: P-ERK1/2/T-ERK1/2 normalized to vehicle control and fold change Clv. CASPASE-3/CASPASE-3 and Clv. PARP/PARP.
TTM enhances efficacy of select BH3 mimetics and induces apoptosis in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells

To further examine the generalizability of combining Cu chelators with BH3 mimetics in BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma, we tested whether treatment with TTM could lower the IC50 of a panel of four BH3 mimetics, ABT-737 (37), ABT-199 (BCL2i; ref. 38), WEHI-539 (BCL-XLi; ref. 39), and A1214077 (MCL1i; ref. 40). ABT-737 IC50 was significantly decreased by approximately 3-fold in A375 cells and approximately 10-fold in WM88 cells when cotreated with the highest concentration of TTM (Fig. 3E–H). However, the BRAF mutation-negative melanoma cell lines, WM3311 and WM3743, did not show a similar dose dependence when TTM and ABT-737 were coadministered (Supplementary Fig. S4C and S4D), suggesting that BRAFV600E-mutant melanomas are selectively sensitive to the combination. The efficacy of WEHI-539 and A1214077 was also substantially enhanced with TTM (Fig. 3E–H). TTM only slightly decreased the IC50 of ABT-199 in either A375 or WM88 cells (Fig. 3E–H). Further, combination of TTM with ABT-737, WEHI-539, or A1214077 synergistically inhibited A375 and WM88 cell viability, whereas combining TTM with ABT-199 was additive by Bliss Indexes (Fig. 3I and J). Collectively, the above results suggest that the combined effects of TTM and BH3 mimetics on BRAF-mutant melanoma cell viability are driven by targeting BCL-W, BCL-XL, and/or MCL1, but not BCL2.

Melanomas are known to harbor elevated levels of the antiapoptotic BCL2 proteins (55, 56), which underlies intrinsic resistance to BH3 mimetics. Mechanistically, treatment of BRAF-mutant melanoma cells with TTM was sufficient to selectively reduce ERK1/2 phosphorylation and the expression of at least two BCL2 proteins (Fig. 4A and B; Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B). The differential expression of BCL-W, BCL-XL, and/or MCL1 correlated with significantly increased cytosolic CYTOCHROME-C in A375 or WM88 cells treated with both TTM and ABT-737 (Fig. 4C and D; Supplementary SSC and SSD), indicating that mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) was triggered. In turn, cotreatment with TTM and ABT-737 increased the population of cells undergoing apoptotic cell death by 2- or 3-fold (Fig. 4E and F). Finally, cleaved CASPASE-3 and PARP levels, which are initiated by MOMP-mediated CYTOCHROME-C release, were elevated following cotreatment with TTM and any of the three BH3 mimetics, ABT-737, WEHI-539, and A1214077 (Fig. 4G–J; Supplementary Fig. S5E–S5G). As expected, preincubation of A375 or WM88 cells with the irreversible CASPASE-3i Z-DEVD-FMK blunted the induction of markers of apoptosis in response to the combination of TTM and ABT-737 (Fig. 4E–H; Supplementary Fig. S5F and S5F). Taken together, these results revealed that Cu chelation can enhance the apoptotic activity of BH3 mimetics in a CASPASE-dependent manner in BRAFV600E-driven melanoma cells.

TTM treatment with ABT-737 sensitizes trametinib- or vemurafenib-resistant BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells

To interrogate the utility of combining Cu chelation with BH3 mimetics to restore apoptotic cell death in the setting of MAPKi acquired resistance, we employed BRAFi- or MEK1/2-resistant variants of the BRAFV600E-mutant cell lines 451-Lu and WM983B (20, 21). Treatment with TTM, trametinib, or vemurafenib reduced the IC50 of ABT-737 in parental 451-Lu and WM983B cells (Fig. 5A and B; Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B). Whereas in 451-Lu-BRAFi and WEHI-539-BRAFi cells, both TTM and trametinib markedly decreased ABT-737 IC50 (Fig. 5C and D; Supplementary Fig. S6C and S6D), whereas only TTM was sufficient to sensitize the MEK1/2-resistant 451-Lu cells to the BH3 mimetic (Fig. 5E and F). Further, cotreatment with TTM and ABT-737 was the only synergistic combination in both the parental and resistant cell lines based on Bliss Indexes (Fig. 5G–I; Supplementary Fig. S6E and S6F). As such, TTM treatment enhanced the efficacy of a BH3 mimetic in the setting of MAPKi resistance.

Combining the Cu chelator and BH3 mimetic in the clinically relevant setting of MAPKi resistance revealed that the combination was more efficacious than the FDA-approved combination of vemurafenib and trametinib in both the naive and MAPKi-resistant 451-Lu and WM983B cells (Fig. 5L–O; Supplementary Fig. S6G–S6I). In addition, TTM significantly increased the efficacy of the MAPKis and BH3 mimetic in WM983B cells (Supplementary Fig. S6G and S6H). Most importantly, TTM treatment significantly improved the efficacy of ABT-737 combined with the MAPKis of each in the MAPKi-resistant BRAF mutation-positive melanoma cells (Fig. 5L–O; Supplementary Fig. S6J and S6K). Thus, Cu chelator treatment was advantageous in improving the response to the combination of a BRAFi, MEK1/2i, and BH3 mimetic being tested clinically and more importantly be an additional treatment option in the context of MAPKi resistance.

TTM dampens the apoptotic threshold in response to BH3 mimetics by limiting antiapoptotic protein expression in MAPKi-resistant BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells

Next, we tested and found in parental cells, MAPK signaling was abrogated by either TTM or MAPKi treatment, but unlike TTM that significantly decreased the expression of BCL-W, BCL-XL, and/or MCL1, trametinib failed to impact the levels of BCL2 proteins (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. 57A). Interestingly, vemurafenib significantly decreased the expression of BCL-W, BCL-XL, and MCL1, trametinib failed to impact the levels of BCL2 proteins (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. 57A). Thus, surprisingly the pattern of BCL2 protein expression was divergent among the 451-Lu and WM983B cells treated with TTM or the MAPKis (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S7A–S7C). The BRAFi-resistant 451-Lu and WM983B cells (20, 21), which harbor upregulation of receptor tyrosine kinases as a resistance mechanism, and MEK1/2i-resistant 451-Lu cells (20, 21), which harbor a Q60P-activating mutation in MEK2 and BRAF amplification as a resistance mechanism, displayed elevated MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Fig. 6A–C; Supplementary Fig. S7A–S7D). Increased MAPK signaling in the BRAFi-resistant variants was dampened by TTM and trametinib treatment but not the mutant selective BRAFi vemurafenib (Fig. 6B; Supplementary Fig. S7B–S7D). Of clinical relevance, TTM treatment of the dual MAPKi-resistant 451-Lu cells was sufficient to reduce ERK1/2 phosphorylation, along with BCL-W, BCL-XL, and MCL1 expression, which may explain the maintained sensitivity to the combination of TTM and ABT-737 in these cells (Fig. 5C, E, and F; Supplementary Fig. S7D). These findings indicate that the cooperativity between the Cu chelator and BH3 mimetic in
MAPKi-resistant BRAF mutation-positive melanoma cells may be driven in part by diminished antiapoptotic protein expression.

To address whether the threshold for apoptosis induction is tipped when MAPKi-resistant BRAFV600E-mutant melanoma cells are treated with the combination of ABT-263 and TTM or a MAPKi, we measured the percentage of apoptotic cells and the levels of markers of apoptosis. Cotreatment with TTM and ABT-263 significantly elevated the number of Annexin V–positive parental and BRAFi-resistant cells, whereas vemurafenib failed to increase the apoptotic response of ABT-263 in the 451-Lu BRAFi4 and WM983B BRAFi4 cells (Fig. 6D) and E; Supplementary Fig. S7E and S7F). Although the dual combination of trametinib and ABT-263 was sufficient to increase the percentage of apoptotic parental and 451-Lu BRAFi4 cells to a similar degree to cotreatment with TTM and ABT-263, apoptotic cell death was only observed in MEK1/2i-resistant 451-Lu cells when TTM and ABT-263 were coadministered (Fig. 6F). Further, cotreatment of TTM with ABT-263 was the only combination to result in elevated cleavage of CASPASE-3 and PARP in both the parental and MAPKi-resistant 451-Lu cells (Fig. 6G–I; Supplementary Fig. S7G–S7I). These results provide a rationale for a cotreatment strategy with a Cu chelator and BH3 mimetics in the context of MAPKi-resistant forms of BRAFV600E melanoma.

Finally, to extend our findings to in vitro models with well-characterized mechanisms of resistance to MAPKi, A375 cells were engineered to stably expressing the p61BRAFV600E splice variant, MEK1C121S, or PDGFRβ (18). As expected, each protein conveyed resistance to vemurafenib, whereas both p61BRAFV600E and MEK1C121S impaired resistance to trametinib (18), as measured by an increase in the IC50 of the inhibitors (Supplementary Fig. S8A and S8B). In contrast, TTM reduced the number of viable A375 cells expressing these MAPK-dependent drivers of MAPKi resistance (Supplementary Fig. S8A and S8B). Mechanistically, we previously showed that TTM treatment elicits a reduction in ERK1/2 phosphorylation in A375 cells stably expressing either the p61BRAFV600E splicing variant, MEK1C121S, or PDGFRβ (18). TTM was the only compound capable of significantly increasing the cleavage of CASPASE-3 and PARP when combined with ABT-263 in each cell line (Supplementary Fig. S8C and S8D). In summary, these data indicate that MAPK-dependent forms of resistance can be countered by a Cu chelator by mechanisms inhibiting MEK1/2 kinase activity, and apoptosis induction can be achieved when the Cu chelator is combined with BH3 mimetics.

TTM in combination with ABT-263 suppresses growth of naïve and MEK1/2i-resistant BRAFV600E-positive melanoma xenografts

To evaluate the therapeutic potential of combining TTM with BH3 mimetics in vivo, we utilized mouse xenograft tumor models of parental and MAPKi-resistant BRAF mutation–positive melanoma. Instead of using ABT-263 for in vivo experiments, we evaluated its clinically relevant, orally available analog navitoclax, ABT-263 (36). Mice bearing approximately 100 mm3 A375 or 451-Lu parental tumors were randomly assigned to one of five treatment arms: (i) vehicle, (ii) TTM, (iii) ABT-263, (iv) BRAFi, MEK1/2i, and ABT-263, and (v) BRAFi, MEK1/2i, ABT-263, and TTM. These treatments arms were prioritized based on their ability to examine the benefit of combining a Cu chelator and a BH3 mimetic, along with a Cu chelator and the clinically investigated combination of MAPKis and a BH3 mimetic. The growth of established xenograft tumors derived from 451-Lu and A375 cells was reduced when mice were treated with TTM alone and was enhanced when TTM was combined with ABT-263 (Fig. 7A–F). Further, growth of the parental tumors was severely reduced when mice were treated for 30 days with the combination of MAPKis and ABT-263, and this reduction in A375 tumor growth was significantly accentuated when TTM was also administered (Fig. 7A–F). Although the in vivo tumor growth response to the combination of MAPKis and ABT-263 was attenuated in BRAFi-resistant 451-Lu cells, the inclusion of TTM delayed tumor growth and significantly improved the time to endpoint tumor volume (Fig. 7G–I). Finally, we demonstrate that xenograft tumors derived from MEK1/2i-resistant 451-Lu cells failed to respond to the combination of MAPKis and ABT-263, but remained sensitive to TTM and ABT-263 dual treatment, which also improved the antitumorigenic properties of the MAPKis (Fig. 7J–L). The body weights of mice, monitored as an indicator of drugs toxicity, were similar compared with vehicle group (Supplementary Fig. S9A–S9D). In concordance with reduced tumor growth kinetics, cotreatment with either TTM and ABT-263 or MAPKis and ABT-263 significantly decreased Ki67-positive staining and increased terminal deoxyxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL)–positive staining in parental BRAF-mutant melanoma tumors (Fig. 7M–T; Supplementary Fig. S9E–S9L). Although the addition of TTM to the combination of MAPKis and ABT-263 did not increase tumor cell apoptosis (Fig. 7Q–T; Supplementary Fig. S9M–S9P), cell proliferation was dampened in the parental and MAPKi-resistant tumors (Fig. 7M–P; Supplementary Fig. S9E–S9H). As further evidence of apoptosis, cleaved CASPASE-3 and PARP were elevated in parental tumor tissues isolated from mice treated with the dual combination of TTM and ABT-263, the triple combination of MAPKis and ABT-263, and quadruple combination of TTM, MAPKis, and ABT-263 (Supplementary Fig. S9M and S9N). This observation was restricted to the MAPKi-resistant tumors tissue isolated from mice treated with the aforementioned dual and quadruple combinations of TTM and ABT-263 (Supplementary Fig. S9O and S9P). Taken together, these findings support our conclusion that the efficacy of Cu chelators can be enhanced with chemical inducers of apoptosis, and this combination can cooperate with MAPKis to reduce tumor cell growth and survival, especially in the context of refractory or relapsed BRAFV600E-driven melanoma.

Fig. 2. High-throughput screen of bioactive compound library reveals BH3 mimetics as a synergistic combination with TTM in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma cells. A, Schematic diagram of high-throughput screen of 2123 Selelekhemic bioactive compound library at 100 nmol/L alone or in combination with the IC50 of TTM (dashed red line) in A375 or WM88B. B and C, NPI of TTM + Compound versus NPI Compound graph for indicated cells treated with Selelekhemic bioactive compound library alone or in combination with IC50 of TTM. Hits (blue circles) are defined as NPI TTM + Compound ≥20 (dashed red line). D and E, Observed effect versus expected effect graph for indicated cells of hits from B and C. Hits (blue circles) are defined as Bliss Index ≥1.5 (dashed red line). F and G, Graphical representation of hits defined as NPI TTM + Compound ≥20 and Bliss Index ≥1.5 in indicated cells. H, Venn diagram relationship between compound hits. I, NPI of 5 overlapping hit compounds from H alone or in combination with IC50 of TTM (dashed red line). J and K, Scatter dot plot of %AP at normalized to vehicle ± SEM of indicated cells treated with vehicle or indicated concentrations of drugs. L–O, Scatter dot plot of %AP at normalized to vehicle ± SEM of indicated cells treated with vehicle or indicated concentrations of drugs. Results were compared using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s multicomparisons test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. n = 3.
Targeting select BCL2 family proteins increases sensitivity to TTM in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. 

Figure 3. 

Targeting select BCL2 family proteins increases sensitivity to TTM in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. A and C, Relative CellTiter-Glo cell viability ± SEM of indicated cells stably expressing two independent Dox-inducible shRNAs (A#1 and A#2) against indicated genes treated with indicated concentrations of TTM without or with Dox. n = 3. B and D, Scatter dot plot of TTM IC50 ± SEM in indicated cells stably expressing two independent Dox-inducible shRNAs against indicated genes treated without or with Dox. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak multicomparisons test. **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001. n = 3. E and G, Relative CellTiter-Glo cell viability ± SEM of indicated cells treated without or with indicated concentrations of TTM and increasing concentrations of indicated BH3 mimetics. n = 3. F and H, Scatter dot plot of BH3 mimetic IC50 ± SEM in indicated cells treated without or with indicated concentrations of TTM and increasing concentrations of indicated BH3 mimetics. n = 3. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. n = 3. I and J, Graphical representation of Bliss Index (observed effect vs. expected effect) from A375 (E) and WM88 (G) at the indicated drug combinations. Synergistic combinations are indicated by Bliss Index values >1. **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001; *****, P < 0.00001.
TTM reduces BCL2 protein expression and lowers the apoptotic threshold when combined with BH3 mimetics in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. A and B, Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (P)-MEK1/2, total (T)-MEK1/2, P-ERK1/2, T-ERK1/2, BCL2, BCL-W, BCL-XL, MCL1, and β-actin from indicated cells treated with vehicle (VEH) or the indicated concentrations of TTM. n = 3. C and D, Immunoblot detection of CYTOCHROME-C, HSP60, and β-TUBULIN of mitochondrial or cytosolic fractions from indicated cells treated with vehicle or the indicated concentrations of drugs. E and F, Scatter dot plot of flow cytometry analysis of Annexin V-FITC and PI ± SEM stained cells pretreated without or with Z-DEVD-FMK, followed by treatment with vehicle or indicated drugs. n = 3. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.0001. A375, WEHI-539, WM88, and C6.
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TTM reduces BCL2 protein expression and lowers the apoptotic threshold when combined with BH3 mimetics in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. A and B, Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (P)-MEK1/2, total (T)-MEK1/2, P-ERK1/2, T-ERK1/2, BCL2, BCL-W, BCL-XL, MCL1, and β-actin from indicated cells treated with vehicle (VEH) or the indicated concentrations of TTM. n = 3. C and D, Immunoblot detection of CYTOCHROME-C, HSP60, and β-TUBULIN of mitochondrial or cytosolic fractions from indicated cells treated with vehicle or the indicated concentrations of drugs. E and F, Scatter dot plot of flow cytometry analysis of Annexin V-FITC and PI ± SEM stained cells pretreated without or with Z-DEVD-FMK, followed by treatment with vehicle or indicated drugs. n = 3. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.0001. A375, WEHI-539, WM88, and C6.
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TTM reduces BCL2 protein expression and lowers the apoptotic threshold when combined with BH3 mimetics in BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. A and B, Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (P)-MEK1/2, total (T)-MEK1/2, P-ERK1/2, T-ERK1/2, BCL2, BCL-W, BCL-XL, MCL1, and β-actin from indicated cells treated with vehicle (VEH) or the indicated concentrations of TTM. n = 3. C and D, Immunoblot detection of CYTOCHROME-C, HSP60, and β-TUBULIN of mitochondrial or cytosolic fractions from indicated cells treated with vehicle or the indicated concentrations of drugs. E and F, Scatter dot plot of flow cytometry analysis of Annexin V-FITC and PI ± SEM stained cells pretreated without or with Z-DEVD-FMK, followed by treatment with vehicle or indicated drugs. n = 3. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.0001. A375, WEHI-539, WM88, and C6.
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Figure 5.
TTM sensitizes vemurafenib- or trametinib-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-positive melanoma cells to a BCL2 protein inhibitor. A, C, and E, Relative CellTiter-Glo cell viability ± SEM of indicated cells treated without or with indicated concentrations of TTM, vemurafenib (VEM), or trametinib (TRAM) and increasing concentrations of ABT-737. n = 3. B, D, and F, Scatter dot plot of ABT-737 IC<sub>50</sub> ± SEM in indicated cells treated without or with indicated concentrations of TTM, vemurafenib, or trametinib and increasing concentrations of ABT-737. n = 3. Results were compared using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett multicomparisons test. n = 5. G–I, Graphical representation of Bliss Index (observed effect vs. expected effect) from indicated cells at the indicated drug combinations. Synergistic combinations are indicated by Bliss Index values >1. J–O, Scatter dot plot of %ATP normalized to vehicle ± SEM of indicated cells treated with vehicle or indicated concentrations of TTM, vemurafenib, trametinib, ABT-737, or the indicated combinations for 72 hours. Results were compared using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. n = 3. * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001.
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Figure 6.
TTM reduces BCL2 protein expression and lowers the apoptotic threshold when combined with BH3 mimetics in MAPK inhibitor-resistant BRAFV600E-positive melanoma. A–C, Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (P)-MEK1/2, total (T)-MEK1/2, P-ERK1/2, T-ERK1/2, BCL2, BCL-W, BCL-XL, MCL1, and β-actin from indicated cells treated with vehicle (VEH) or the indicated concentrations of TTM, trametinib (TRAM), vemurafenib (VEM), or ABT-737. Quantiﬁcation: P-MEK1/2/T-MEK1/2, P-ERK1/2/T-ERK1/2, or BCL2 family protein/β-actin, normalized to vehicle control. Fold change Clv. CASPASE-3/CASPASE-3 and Clv. PARP/PARP. Results were compared using a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multicomparisons test. *P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001; **** P < 0.0001, n = 3. D–F, Scatter dot plot of Annexin V–positive (%).
Indicated drugs. Results were compared using unpaired Student test. Cotreatment with TTM and ABT-263 suppresses growth of parental and MAPK inhibitor-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-positive melanoma. A, D, G, and J, Mean tumor volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) ± SEM versus time (days) in mice (n = 5 or 6) injected with indicated cells and treated with indicated drugs until tumor volume 1.0 cm<sup>3</sup> or for 30 days. B, E, H, and K, Kaplan–Meier analysis of percentage of mice (n = 5 or 6) with tumor volume ≥1.0 cm<sup>3</sup> versus time injected with indicated cells treated with indicated drugs. C and F, Scatter dot plot of tumor volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) ± SEM at endpoint of 1.0 cm<sup>3</sup> or at 30 days in mice (n = 5 or 6) injected with indicated cells and treated with indicated drugs. Results were compared using unpaired Student t test. n = 5 or 6. I and L, Scatter dot plot of days to endpoint tumor volume ≥1.0 cm<sup>3</sup> versus time injected with indicated cells treated with indicated drugs. Results were compared using unpaired Student t test. n = 5 or 6. M–T, Scatter dot plot of % Ki67 or TUNEL-positive cells per tumor ± SEM from mice injected with indicated cells treated with indicated drugs. Results were compared using unpaired Student t test. n = 5 or 6.

Figure 7.
Cotreatment with TTM and ABT-263 suppresses in vivo growth of parental and MAPK inhibitor-resistant BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-positive melanoma.
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Cu-dependent MAPK signaling and cellular intrinsic apoptotic pathways. Thus, we provide a proof of concept that Cu chelation therapy synergistically improves BH3 mimetic efficacy by inducing apoptosis and supports the utility of this combination in patients with BRAF mutation–positive melanoma that do not respond to targeted therapy or immunotherapy, have severe side effects, or acquire resistance.
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