An NQO1 Substrate with Potent Antitumor Activity That Selectively Kills by PARP1-Induced Programmed Necrosis
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Abstract

Agents, such as β-lapachone, that target the redox enzyme, NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1), to induce programmed necrosis in solid tumors have shown great promise, but more potent tumor-selective compounds are needed. Here, we report that deoxynyboquinone kills a wide spectrum of cancer cells in an NQO1-dependent manner with greater potency than β-lapachone. Deoxynyboquinone lethality relies on NQO1-dependent futile redox cycling that consumes oxygen and generates extensive reactive oxygen species (ROS). Elevated ROS levels cause extensive DNA lesions, PARP1 hyperactivation, and severe NAD+/ATP depletion that stimulate Ca(2+)–dependent programmed necrosis, unique to this new class of NQO1 “bioactivated” drugs. Short-term exposure of NQO1−/− cells to deoxynyboquinone was sufficient to trigger cell death, although genetically matched NQO1+ cells were unaffected. Moreover, siRNA-mediated NQO1 or PARP1 knockdown spared NQO1−/− cells from short-term lethality. Pretreatment of cells with BAPTA-AM (a cytosolic Ca2+ chelator) or catalase (enzymatic H2O2 scavenger) was sufficient to rescue deoxynyboquinone-induced lethality, as noted with β-lapachone. Investigations in vivo showed equivalent antitumor efficacy of deoxynyboquinone to β-lapachone, but at a 6-fold greater potency. PARP1 hyperactivation and dramatic ATP loss were noted in the tumor, but not in the associated normal lung tissue. Our findings offer preclinical proof-of-concept for deoxynyboquinone as a potent chemotherapeutic agent for treatment of a wide spectrum of therapeutically challenging solid tumors, such as pancreatic and lung cancers. Cancer Res; 72(12); 1–10. ©2012 AACR.
β-lapachone kills NQO1+ cancer cells by programmed necrosis that is independent of caspase activation or p53 status, independent of bcl-2 levels, not affected by BAX/BAK deficiencies, independent of EGF receptor, Ras, or other constitutive signal transduction activation, and/or not dependent on proliferation. β-Lapachone is, therefore, an attractive experimental drug, and various β-lapachone formulations have been, or are in, phase I/II clinical trials.

However, β-lapachone has a modest potency (LD₅₀: 2–10 μmol/L) in vitro, with limited aqueous solubility that complicates formulation and delivery. Although nanoparticle strategies for β-lapachone delivery solved formulation issues, resulting in dramatic antitumor efficacy (12), there is a clear need for higher potency drugs.

Deoxynyboquinone (Fig. 1A) is a promising antineoplastic agent whose mechanism of action has not been elucidated. Deoxynyboquinone killed cancer cells through oxidative stress and ROS formation (13, 14), and N-acetyl cysteine, a global free radical scavenger (13), partially blocked lethality. Here, we show that deoxynyboquinone undergoes an NQO1-dependent futile cycle similar to β-lapachone, in which O₂ is consumed, ROS is formed, and extensive DNA damage triggers PARP1 hyperactivation. Dramatic NAD⁺/ATP pool decreases caused programmed necrosis. Deoxynyboquinone is 20- to 100-fold more potent than β-lapachone, with a significantly enhanced therapeutic window in human breast, prostate, and pancreatic cancer models in vitro. NQO1 processes deoxynyboquinone in vitro more efficiently than β-lapachone, suggesting that increased usage accounts for its enhanced potency. Significant efficacy of deoxynyboquinone at 6-fold greater potency versus β-lapachone against orthotopic Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) is shown.

Materials and Methods
Chemicals, reagents, and antibodies

Deoxynyboquinone and β-lapachone were synthesized as described (10, 13). Streptonigrin, mitomycin C, menadione, Hoechst 33258, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), cytochrome c,
propidium iodide, and dicoumarol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All quinones and BAPTA-AM (Calbiochem) were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Dihydrolipoyl (DHE, 5 mmol/L in DMSO) was purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies. RH1 and α-human NQO1 antibody were provided by Dr. David Ross (University of Colorado Health Science Center, Denver, CO) and used at a 1:5,000 dilution overnight, 4°C. α-PAR (BD Pharmingen), which detects poly (ADP-ribosylated) (PAR) proteins (typically ADP-ribosylated PARP1), and α-PARP1 (sc-8007, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies were used at 1:4,000 and 1:2,000 dilutions, respectively. α-Tubulin was monitored for loading (15).

**NQO1 enzyme assays**

Deoxynyboquinone, β-lapachone, or other quinones (see Supplementary Fig. S1 for structures) were monitored as NQO1 substrates using an NADH (400 μmol/L) recycling assay and recombinant NQO1 (Sigma; ref. 10), in which NADH oxidation to NAD⁺ was monitored by absorbance (A₃₄₀ nm) and data recorded at 2 second intervals for 5 minutes. NADH oxidation rates were compared with reactions lacking β-lapachone or deoxynyboquinone, or containing dicoumarol (10 μmol/L). Initial velocities were calculated and data expressed as dicoumarol-inhibited relative units (μmol NADH oxidized/min/μmol protein; ref. 10).

**O₂ consumption rates**

Assays were carried out using Seahorse 24-well dishes in conjunction with an XF24 sensor cartridge and a XF24 Extra-Cellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Biosciences) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 30,000 cells per well were seeded using a 2-step process, and cells grown as above with unseeded background correction wells. O₂ consumption rates (OCR) and proton production rates were measured using the XF24 Analyzer and Assay Wizard software. Data represent means, %treated/control (T/C,%), ±SE from quadruplet assessments.

**Nucleotide analyses**

Changes in intracellular NAD⁺ pools were measured using Fluorescent NAD/NADH Detection Kits (Cell Technology, Inc.; ref. 2). NAD⁺/NADH levels were graphed as means, ±SE from at least 3 independent experiments carried out in sextuplets each. Changes in ATP *in vivo* were measured as described (2–4) and using a colorimetric/fluorometric assay (BioVision).

**Immunoblotting, ROS formation**

Western blots were carried out as described (3). ROS (superoxide) formation was monitored by DHE staining and microscopy. Quantitative data were analyzed using NIH ImageJ software, in which data are means, ±SE of 100 cells and duplicate experiments conducted in triplicate.

**PAR formation**

PAR formation *in vivo* was assessed by Western blotting controlled for α-tubulin (2–4). Chemiluminescence ELISA assays, to quantify PAR formation, were carried out by HT PARP in vivo Pharmacodynamic II Assays (Trevigen, Inc.). Untreated or treated cells were incubated with α–PAR antibody, then with goat α-rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase. Chemiluminescence by PeroxyGlow assays were expressed as means, ±SE from 3 independent experiments.

**TUNEL assays**

Terminal deoxynyucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end-labeling (TUNEL) assays were carried out by FC-500 flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter Electronics; ref. 10), and data were means, ±SE from 3 independent experiments, carried out in triplicate.

**Cell lines and culture**

Endogenous NQO1 overexpressing human A549 NSCLC, MCF-7 breast, MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic, PC-3 prostate, and HT1080 sarcoma cancer cells were obtained (3, 4, 16). MAP androgen androgen receptor (ATC). NQO1⁺ α PAR containing 2 polymorphic human H596 NSCLC or MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast, and genetically matched NQO1⁺, cancer cells were generated by us (2, 4). NQO1⁺ human PC-3 and genetically matched stable lentiviral short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-NQO1 knockdown, prostate cancer cells were generated by us (16). Cancer cells were grown in DMEM with 5% FBS. MDA-MB-231 cells were grown in RPMI 1640. Cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ 95% air humidified atmosphere and were *Mycoplasma* free.

**PARP1 siRNA knockdown**

siRNA specific to the open reading frame of PARP1, 5'-CCAAAGGAAATTCCGAGAAG-3' (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was transiently transfected into cancer cells. PARP1 knockdown was confirmed using Western blot assays. Results were confirmed using the ON-TARGETplus PARP1 SMARTpool.

**Survival**

Relative survival assays were assessed as described (3) and correlated well with colony forming assays (15). Results were reported as means, ±SE from sextuplicate repeats. Experiments were independently repeated 3 times.

**Hepatocyte metabolic stability and pharmacokinetic assessments**

During initial maximum-tolerated dose evaluations for deoxynyboquinone (10 mg/kg), pharmacokinetics for deoxynyboquinone was assessed and compared with prior β-lapachone (30 mg/kg) pharmacokinetic data (12) as described in Supplementary Material and Methods. The metabolic stability of deoxynyboquinone versus β-lapachone was examined in a standard *in vitro* hepatocyte assay (Supplementary Materials and Methods).

**Deoxynyboquinone efficacy against orthotopic LLC**

**Antitumor efficacy.** LLC cells (0.5 × 10⁶) were intravenously injected into the tail veins of female (~22 gm) athymic nude mice. Two days later, randomized groups of mice (5 per group) were treated intravenously with hydroxypyrol-β-cyclodextrin (HPβCD) vehicle alone, or β-lapachone (30 mg/kg) or deoxynyboquinone (2.5–10 mg/kg) dissolved in...
Huang et al.

HPβCD (12), every other day for 5 injections; mice treated with HPβCD (600 or 1,000 mg/kg for β-lapachone or deoxynyboquinone, respectively) did not influence tumor growth or survival. Later (18 days posttumor inoculation), mice were euthanized, lungs removed, and average lung wet weights of tumor-bearing minus control nontumor-bearing animals calculated. Lungs were visually examined to confirm LLC nodules. Tumors and associated normal lung tissue were also assessed for PARP1 hyperactivation (PAR formation) and ATP loss. Experiments were carried out twice and average lung wet weights graphed/group.

Survival. LLC-bearing mice were treated with HPβCD alone, β-Lap-HPβCD (30 mg/kg), or DNQ-HPβCD (5 mg/kg) as above and monitored for changes in weight and survival, and Kaplan-Meier curves generated. P values were reported with asterisks. All animal protocols have Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval (#2008-1080, UT Southwestern).

Statistical analyses

Student t tests were used to determine statistical significance from experiments repeated at least 3 independent times. P values were reported by asterisks as indicated.

Results

Deoxynyboquinone kills cancer cells in an NQO1-dependent manner

We examined the lethality of deoxynyboquinone with or without dicoumarol, an NQO1 inhibitor, using 2 cancer cell lines, MCF-7 (breast) and A549 (NSCLC), that endogenously express elevated NQO1 levels (Fig. 1). Deoxynyboquinone-induced lethality was compared with β-lapachone and menadione (Fig. 1A); dicoumarol prevented β-lapachone, but potentiated menadione lethality in NQO1 cells (10, 17). Dicoumarol spared deoxynyboquinone lethality in both cells (Fig. 1B). However, deoxynyboquinone was approximately 20-fold more potent than β-lapachone (compare Fig. 1B and C). As with β-lapachone (5 μmol/L), a minimum 2-hour exposure of A549 cells to deoxynyboquinone (0.25 μmol/L) was required for complete lethality (Supplementary Fig. S2A) and extensive DNA base damage and SSBs (Supplementary Fig. S2B). This dose was used in all subsequent studies.

Deoxynyboquinone was processed in vitro at a significantly increased rate relative to β-lapachone, or other quinones (streptonigrin, menadione, RH1, and mitomycin C). At 1 μmol/L, deoxynyboquinone was reduced by NQO1 approximately 13-fold more efficiently than other quinones (Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Fig. S1). Importantly, at LD50 levels (0.25 μmol/L for deoxynyboquinone, 5 μmol/L for β-lapachone) in A549 cells, the NQO1 NADH recycling activities in vitro using deoxynyboquinone or β-lapachone were essentially identical (Supplementary Table S2), suggesting that the catalytic efficiency of NQO1 for deoxynyboquinone was at least 20-fold greater than for β-lapachone. Menadione was a significantly (>20-fold) less efficient substrate in vitro for NQO1 at its LD50, as reported (10). Dicoumarol potentiated menadione lethality in MCF-7 cells, but not in A549 cells (Fig. 1D).

Deoxynyboquinone efficiently kills a wide spectrum of cancer cells in an NQO1-dependent manner

Deoxynyboquinone efficiently killed human MIA PaCa-2 pancreatic (Fig. 2A) and HT1080 sarcoma cancer cells (Fig. 2B) with LD50 values of 48 and 178 nmol/L, respectively; deoxynyboquinone showed greater potency in pancreatic cells, in general. Dicoumarol protected both cells. We then treated genetically matched NQO1 versus NQO1 human H596 NSCLC or MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells with deoxynyboquinone; 2 NQO1 polymorphic H596 and MDA-MB-231 cells were separately corrected for NQO1 expression as clones or pooled populations (4, 10). Deoxynyboquinone killed all NQO1 clones and pooled populations, but not genetically matched NQO1 cells (Fig. 2C and D). Similarly, human PC-3 cells stably knocked down for NQO1 expression (shNQ) were spared versus NQO1 PC-3 cells expressing nonsilencing shRNA (Fig. 2E). Deoxynyboquinone-induced cytotoxicity in nonsilenced NQO1 PC-3 cells was prevented by dicoumarol (Fig. 2E), as in parental PC-3 cells. PC-3 cells treated with dicoumarol were similarly spared from deoxynyboquinone lethality as were stable NQO1 knockdown shNQ PC-3 cells (Fig. 2E). Colony forming assays confirmed the NQO1 dependency of deoxynyboquinone (Fig. 2F). LD50 values for deoxynyboquinone versus β-lapachone cytotoxicities in various cancers are listed in Supplementary Table S3, highlighting the NQO1-dependent potency of deoxynyboquinone.

Deoxynyboquinone NQO1-dependent lethality involves a futile cycle generating ROS

β-Lapachone-induced lethality involves a futile cycle mediated by NQO1, in which approximately 60 moles of NAD(P)H are used, forming approximately 120 moles superoxide (O2−) per mole β-lapachone in 2 minutes (10). We compared OCR in A549 NSCLC cells after deoxynyboquinone versus β-lapachone treatments. As with β-lapachone (Fig. 3A), deoxynyboquinone-treated A549 cells (Fig. 3B) showed dramatic OCR increases that were dose dependent and reached peak levels in 30 minutes at LD50 levels (Fig. 3C and D). Minimal differences between β-lapachone and deoxynyboquinone were noted, except that significantly lower deoxynyboquinone doses were needed (Fig. 3B). Dicoumarol blocked OCR from either deoxynyboquinone- or β-lapachone-treated cells, strongly suggesting that O2 consumption was NQO1 mediated. Similar OCR increases were noted in NQO1−, but not in NQO1, H596 cells (Supplementary Fig. 3A and B). Low, but detectable, O2 consumption in NQO1− A549 cells after cotreatment of β-lapachone and dicoumarol (Fig. 3A and C) may be due to one-electron oxidoreductases (b5R and p450R) metabolizing β-lapachone. Interestingly, such OCRs with dicoumarol were not noted with deoxynyboquinone, suggesting that deoxynyboquinone was not as good a substrate for one-electron oxidoreductases (Fig. 3B and D).

We then monitored superoxide (O2−) formation using DHE staining. Deoxynyboquinone (0.25 μmol/L) induced dramatic ROS formation in 60 minutes in A549 cells that was prevented by dicoumarol (Fig. 3E). Exogenous catalase (CAT, 1,000 U) partially rescued deoxynyboquinone cytotoxicity (Fig. 3F). Thus, NQO1-dependent deoxynyboquinone metabolism...
resulted in extensive OCR, O$_2^-$ formation, and long-lived H$_2$O$_2$ production similar to β-lapachone (2), but at 20-fold lower concentrations.

**Deoxynyboquinone induces PARP1 hyperactivation, loss of essential nucleotides**

Deoxynyboquinone-treated A549 cells were examined for poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated protein (PAR) formation using an ELISA method, in which peak levels were noted at approximately 10 minutes (Fig. 4A). PAR-PARP1 (PAR) formation was confirmed by Western blot analyses with similar kinetics, lasting approximately 20 minutes (Fig. 4B). Dicoumarol effectively suppressed PAR formation and blocked PARP1 hyperactivation in deoxynyboquinone-treated A549 cells (Fig. 4A). PARP1 hyperactivation induced by deoxynyboquinone in A549 cells was accompanied by dramatic NAD$^+$ and ATP losses. Both dose–response and time–course studies showed that NAD$^+$ and ATP were rapidly and extensively depleted (Figs. 4C–F), and a 2-hour exposure was sufficient for near complete NAD$^+$ loss in deoxynyboquinone-exposed cells (0.25 μmol/L, 2 hours, Fig. 4C and E). Dramatic ATP loss followed NAD$^+$ depletion in both dose- and time-dependent manners (Fig. 4D and F) that were prevented by dicoumarol. Deoxynyboquinone-induced ATP losses occurred in an NQO1-dependent manner in NQO1$^+$, but not in NQO1$^-$, H596 cells (Supplementary Fig. 4A and B).

Both PARP1 and Ca$^{2+}$ play pivotal roles in deoxynyboquinone-induced cell death

We then transiently knocked down PARP1 using specific siRNA, which did not affect NQO1 expression (Fig. 5A). PARP1 knockdown significantly prevented NAD$^+$ (Fig. 5B) and ATP losses (Supplementary Fig. 5S) in deoxynyboquinone-exposed A549 cells versus parental or nontargeting siRNA-treated (siNT) cells. Transient PARP1 knockdown significantly decreased programmed necrosis (TUNEL$^+$) 24 hours after treatment with either LD$_{50}$ (0.125 μmol/L) or lethal (0.25 μmol/L) deoxynyboquinone doses (Fig. 5C and D), similar to effects noted with β-lapachone (2). Dicoumarol spared parental and PARP1 knocked down cells (Fig. 5D).

PARP1 hyperactivation required endoplasmic reticulum Ca$^{2+}$ release as BAPTA-AM, an endogenous cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ chelator, spared β-lapachone-induced lethality (2). BAPTA-AM pretreatment significantly spared deoxynyboquinone-induced lethality in NQO1$^+$ A549 or H596 NSCLC cells using long-term survival assays (Fig. 5E, Supplementary Fig. S6), and significantly suppressed PARP1 hyperactivation, noted by abrogation of NAD$^+$ depletion (no addition vs. BAPTA-AM, Fig. 5F).
Potential NQO1-dependent therapeutic window of deoxynyboquinone

Differential NQO1 expression in various solid tumors versus normal tissue can be effectively exploited using β-lapachone, resulting in a wide therapeutic window in vitro (4) that predicted its antitumor efficacy in vivo (3, 16). A549 cells were exposed to various deoxynyboquinone doses, with or without dicoumarol (40 μmol/L), at various times to assess the long-term survival “potential therapeutic window,” as done with β-lapachone in NSCLC, pancreatic, and prostate cancers (3, 12, 16). In A549 cells, deoxynyboquinone exhibited a much broader therapeutic window than β-lapachone (compare Figs. 6A–J to those in ref. 4). Deoxynyboquinone-treated (0.25 μmol/L) A549 cells were rescued by dicoumarol (Fig. 6A–H). However, as the length of deoxynyboquinone exposure was increased to more than 24 hours, only approximately 70% of the cells were spared by dicoumarol (Fig. 6I). Lethality was further increased after more than 48 hours of deoxynyboquinone exposure in cells treated with dicoumarol (Fig. 6J), with limited lethality increases in parental NQO1+ cells (compare solid square lines at 2 vs. 24 hours, Fig. 6C and H, respectively). Similar responses were noted in NQO1+ vs. NQO1− H596 cells (Supplementary Fig. S7A–C).

Deoxynyboquinone antitumor efficacy

Using an extremely aggressive orthotopic LLC model in athymic mice, we examined the antitumor efficacy of deoxynyboquinone (Fig. 7); murine LLC tumors have approximately 80 U of NQO1 activity versus less than 100 U in mouse normal lungs. Mice were treated every other day for 5 injections with HPβCD alone, deoxynyboquinone (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/kg) or β-lapachone (30 mg/kg). Later (18 days), lungs were removed, weighed, and visually scored for tumor nodules (Fig. 7A and B). DNQ-HPβCD (5 and 10 mg/kg) or β-Lap-HPβCD–treated mice showed significant tumor growth reductions, confirmed by decreases in tumor nodule formation and histology (Fig. 7A and B). No long-term pathologic effects (monitored 18 days after one regimen) of deoxynyboquinone or β-lapachone were noted in liver (Fig. 7C), lung, bone marrow, spleen, or thymus; unlike humans, mice have relatively high liver NQO1 levels. Overall survival confirmed significant antitumor efficacy of deoxynyboquinone (at 5 mg/kg; P ≤ 0.04), at a 6-fold lower dose.
than β-lapachone (30 mg/kg; Fig. 7D). Finally, PAR formation and energy (ATP) losses in LLC tumors after deoxynyboquinone or β-lapachone exposures confirmed their PARP1 hyperactivation-mediated programmed necrotic mechanism (Fig. 7E and F); tumor-specific ATP losses were confirmed by LC/MS/MS analyses. In contrast, associated normal lung tissue was unaffected, showing no PARP1 hyperactivation or ATP loss (Fig. 7E and F).

Metabolic stability measurements using murine hepatocytes and plasma pharmacokinetic analyses revealed greater metabolic stability and prolonged plasma half-life of deoxynyboquinone versus β-lapachone (Supplementary Fig. S8A and B and Supplementary Table S4).

**Discussion**

Identifying exploitable differences between cancerous and healthy tissue is critical for development of next-generation cancer treatments. NQO1 is overexpressed in a majority of solid tumors, in angiogenic and bone marrow endothelial cells (18, 19), and is induced by exposure to certain quinones (20) or ionizing radiation (21, 22). NQO1 overexpression in solid tumors versus associated normal tissue was reported in approximately 80% NSCLC tumors at 20- to 40-fold (4), approximately 70% breast tumors at 10- to 20-fold (23), approximately 90% pancreatic tumors at 20- to 30-fold (24), approximately 60% prostate tumors at 10- to 20-fold (3), and approximately 60% colon tumors at 5- to 10-fold (25). Thus, compounds "bioactivated" by NQO1 have potential for "personalized" anticancer therapy.

β-Lapachone and deoxynyboquinone kill cancer cells through the same NQO1-dependent programmed necrotic (necroptosis) pathway. At minimally lethal doses of each agent (0.25 μmol/L for deoxynyboquinone, 5 μmol/L for β-lapachone), a minimum exposure time (2 hours) was noted for 100% lethality in vitro; H$_2$O$_2$ was the apparent obligatory ROS intermediate required for PARP1 hyperactivation and lethality, as catalase prevented both; Ca$^{2+}$ release (2, 26) for PARP1 hyperactivation and lethality was required, as BAPTA-AM prevented both; PARP1 hyperactivation caused dramatic ATP and NAD$^+$ losses; and cells were killed in the same time frame and with unique proteolysis (e.g., atypical PARP1 and p53 cleavage). Understanding this mechanism will lead to further improvement in their efficacy.
The potency and NQO1-dependent therapeutic window (Fig. 6) of deoxynyboquinone and its apparent reduced metabolism by one-electron oxidoreductases, make this drug (or derivatives) very promising. We elucidated its mechanism of action in various cancer cells, showing that it induced programmed necrosis identical to β-lapachone, but with approximately 20-fold increased potency. NQO1⁺ cells were selectively hypersensitive to deoxynyboquinone, whereas genetically matched NQO1⁻ cells were resistant. NQO1⁺ cells were rescued from deoxynyboquinone-induced cytotoxicity by NQO1 knockdown or by chemical inhibition (via DIC) of NQO1. Exposure of NQO1⁺ cancer cells to deoxynyboquinone elicited elevated superoxide levels, with concomitant and significant OCR. Downstream, deoxynyboquinone stimulated PARP1 hyperactivation in a mechanism essentially identical to β-lapachone, which correlated well with NAD⁺/ATP losses, and NADH recycling assays confirmed futile cycling of deoxynyboquinone by NQO1.

Deoxynyboquinone has an apparently broader NQO1-dependent therapeutic window in vitro (Fig. 6) than β-lapachone (4) using A549 cells as a surrogate. Similar data were obtained using NQO1⁺ versus NQO1⁻ H596 cells (Supplementary Fig. S7). Thus, deoxynyboquinone may not be as good a substrate as β-lapachone for p450R and b5R, as β-lapachone-treated cells consumed low, but detectable O₂ even with DIC, whereas OCR was blocked in deoxynyboquinone-treated cells (Figs. 3C and D).

Antitumor (Fig. 7), pharmacokinetic and metabolic stability (Supplementary Material, Table S4 and Supplementary Fig. S8A and B) data support a strong antitumor efficacy potential for deoxynyboquinone, with increased metabolic stability in intact hepatocytes in vitro and a longer half-life than β-lapachone. The increased metabolic stability of deoxynyboquinone

Figure 5. PARP1 and Ca²⁺ play pivotal roles in deoxynyboquinone-induced lethality. A, steady-state PARP1, NQO1, and α-tubulin levels were assessed by Western blots in mock-transfected, transiently PARP1 knockdown (siPARP1) or nontargeted siRNA (siNT) cells 48 hours posttransfection. Loading was monitored by α-tubulin levels. B, PARP1 is essential for NAD⁺ loss at the indicated times (minutes) in deoxynyboquinone-treated (0.25 μmol/L) NQO1⁺ A549 cells. P values compare NAD⁺ levels in PARP1 knockdown versus parental or siNT A549 cells. C, micrographs of deoxynyboquinone-treated nontargeted (siNT) or PARP1-specific (siPARP1) siRNA knockdown A549 cells at 24 hours posttreatment with LD₅₀ or lethal doses, ± dicoumarol (40 μmol/L). D, PARP1 knockdown significantly protected A549 cells from deoxynyboquinone-induced programmed necrosis (%TUNEL⁺ cells). Treatment conditions were as in C. Data are means, ±SE from 3 independent experiments. E, long-term survival of A549 cells pretreated or not with BAPTA-AM (5 μmol/L, 60 minutes), with or without a 2-hour deoxynyboquinone pulse. F, BAPTA-AM pretreatment of deoxynyboquinone-exposed A549 cells prevented NAD⁺ loss. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001. DNQ, deoxynyboquinone; α-tub, α-tubulin.

Figure 6. Deoxynyboquinone shows a broad NQO1-dependent therapeutic window. Relative survival was monitored in A549 cells treated with various deoxynyboquinone concentrations (μmol/L) ± dicoumarol (40 μmol/L) for 30 minutes (A), 1 hour (B), 2 hours (C), 4 hours (D), 6 hours (E), 8 hours (F), 12 hours (G), 24 hours (H), 48 hours (I), and 72 hours (J). Graphed are means, ±SE of duplicate experiments, repeated in sextuplets. DNQ, deoxynyboquinone.
is consistent with a lower affinity for one-electron oxidoreductases (i.e., b5R and P450R), as these enzymes are elevated in mouse liver. Deoxynyboquinone showed equivalent antitumor activity, suppressed tumor growth, and increased survival of tumor-bearing mice as b-lapachone, but at 6-fold lower doses (Fig. 7), consistent with its therapeutic window in vitro (Fig. 6). However, our studies in vivo are in no way an optimization of deoxynyboquinone delivery. Significant dose-limiting methemoglobinemia was noted in deoxynyboquinone-treated mice, and much less with b-lapachone (12). Such blood-borne toxicity can be easily resolved, however, as methemoglobinemia was completely prevented using b-lapachone “stealth” micellar delivery (12). In addition, novel deoxynyboquinone analogs that we believe will avoid such limiting toxicities are currently being tested using orthotopic breast, lung, prostate, and pancreatic tumor models.

Our comparison of deoxynyboquinone to various quinones as NQO1 substrates in vitro using NADH reutilization assays (10) may explain its increased potency. At 1 μmol/L, NQO1 processed deoxynyboquinone approximately 13-fold more efficiently than b-lapachone (1,400 ± 80 vs. 110 ± 20 relative units, Supplementary Table S1). At equitoxic (LD100) levels, similar NQO1 enzymatic activities (680 ± 110 vs. 530 ± 60 relative units for 5 μmol/L b-lapachone vs. 0.25 μmol/L deoxynyboquinone, respectively, Supplementary Table S2) were noted. The potential therapeutic window of deoxynyboquinone seems superior to b-lapachone, making deoxynyboquinone a potentially safer candidate for use as a chemotherapeutic drug, although increased potency may translate into greater normal tissue toxicity. Thus, our studies strongly suggest that deoxynyboquinone and future more soluble derivatives and/or stealth polymeric nanoparticles will be very promising anticancer therapeutics for the treatment of NSCLC, pancreatic, and breast cancers.
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PARP1 Hyperactivation Mediates Tumor-Specific DNQ Killing

Figure 7. Deoxynyboquinone shows equivalent efficacy to b-lapachone at a 6-fold lower dose. In A–C, mice bearing visible tumors were treated once every other day for 5 injections as in the text, and average wet weight tumor volumes assessed (A and B). Tumor nodules were confirmed visually (A) and histologically (not shown), and average wet weights calculated (B). DNQ-HPβCD (5 or 10 mg/kg) or b-Lap-HPβCD (30 mg/kg) caused tumor weight decreases that were equivalent (P > 0.6). Normal tissues were assessed with no long-term pathologic injury noted; see H&E-stained livers (C). D, Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed significant (P ≤ 0.04) survival advantages of deoxynyboquinone (5 mg/kg) or b-lapachone-treated (30 mg/kg) groups. Groups were HPβCD alone (1,000 mg/kg), deoxynyboquinone (2.5, 5.0 mg/kg), or b-lapachone (30 mg/kg) in HPβCD. * P ≤ 0.04; ** P ≤ 0.01. In E and F, PAR formation and ATP loss confirmed programmed necrotic mechanism of deoxynyboquinone- or b-lapachone-treated LLC tumors where animals were treated as in A–C with 3 doses and 24 hours later lungs were removed. Note the lack of response of associated normal lung tissue. *** P < 0.01; **** P < 0.001; a-tub, a-tubulin; and PAR, PAR-PARP1. DNQ, deoxynyboquinone; b-lap, b-lapachone.
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