Chemosensitivity of IDH1-Mutated Gliomas Due to an Impairment in PARP1-Mediated DNA Repair
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Abstract

Mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) are the most prevalent genetic abnormalities in lower grade gliomas. The presence of these mutations in glioma is prognostic for better clinical outcomes with longer patient survival. In the present study, we found that defects in oxidative metabolism and 2-HG production confer chemosensitization in IDH1-mutated glioma cells. In addition, temozolomide (TMZ) treatment induced greater DNA damage and apoptotic changes in mutant glioma cells. The PARP1-associated DNA repair pathway was extensively compromised in mutant cells due to decreased NAD+ availability. Targeting the PARP DNA repair pathway extensively sensitized IDH1-mutated glioma cells to TMZ. Our findings demonstrate a novel molecular mechanism that defines chemosensitivity in IDH1-mutated gliomas. Targeting PARP-associated DNA repair may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for gliomas.

Introduction

Mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH1/2) are common genetic abnormalities in grade II and III diffuse astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas (1). In WHO grade II/III gliomas, IDH-mutated tumors are highly prevalent comprising nearly 80% of all clinical cases (2). In glioma, IDH mutations cluster in an arginine residue at the center of the catalytic domain (IDH1 R132, IDH2 R172). Mutant IDH confers neomorphic enzymatic activity that catalyzes α-ketoglutarate (α-KG) into 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG), an oncometabolite closely related to the deactivation of α-KG–dependent dehydrogenases (3, 4). For example, IDH1 mutant–derived 2-HG promotes hypoxia signaling by perturbing the catalytic activity of prolyl hydroxylase, resulting in constitutive activation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α; ref. 5). In addition, 2-HG has also been found to affect collagen maturation and basement membrane function, which may facilitate cancer cell infiltration and promote glioma progression (6). Clinically, the occurrence of IDH mutations predicts longer survival and greater sensitivity to chemotherapy in low-grade gliomas and secondary glioblastomas (7, 8). A phase III clinical trial has provided the direct link between IDH mutation and survival benefit from chemotherapy (9). Combined with O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation status, IDH mutations serve as an important prognostic marker for gliomas treated with radiotherapy and chemotherapy (10). Although there has been increasing awareness of the correlation between IDH mutations and chemosensitivity, the molecular mechanism that determines the vulnerability that results from IDH mutations remains unanswered.

DNA repair is defined as a series of molecular changes that occur in response to compromised chromosomal integrity. DNA repair is integral to cancer therapies based on generating DNA damage in chromosomal DNA, such as radiotherapy and cytotoxic chemotherapies (11). The activation and expression level of DNA repair pathways largely determines the efficacy of chemotherapies and resulting clinical outcomes (12, 13). Several studies shed light on the changes in DNA repair mechanism in IDH1-mutated cells, including RAD51 and ATM pathways (14, 15). The distinct connection between DNA repair pathway and chemosensitivity in IDH1-mutated cells, however, must be further elucidated.

In the present study, we established cell lines that stably express either the IDH1R132C or IDH1R132H pathogenic mutations. We demonstrate that our cell lines recapitulate the IDH1-mutant phenotypes found in glioma patients. The IDH1 mutations resulted in the metabolic reprogramming and cytotoxic effects via 2-HG production. In addition, cells with mutant IDH failed to form the poly (ADP-ribose) polymer (pADPR), and therefore were unable to maintain genomic integrity. Furthermore, targeting the PARP DNA repair mechanism remarkably potentiated the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapy. Taken together, our findings indicate a potential molecular mechanism of chemosensitization in IDH mutant gliomas and suggest a novel therapeutic strategy for clinical therapies.
Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

The U251 MG cell line was obtained from Sigma Aldrich in 2015. The U87 MG, HT1080, and LN-18 glioma cell lines were acquired from the ATCC in 2015. Each cell line was tested and authenticated by their manufacturers. Cells were cultured in DMEM-Ham F-12 medium (DMEM/F-12, 1:1; Life Technologies) supplemented with the 10% FBS (Cellgro) and 1% antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 10 μg/mL streptomycin) at 37°C in a humidified air with 5% CO₂. Temozolomide (TMZ; Sigma) or DMSO (Fisher Scientific) was added to cell cultures. Dimethyl ester of 2-hydroxyglutaric acid was synthesized and kindly provided by Dr. Stephen Frye's lab at University of North Carolina School of Pharmacy.

Lentivirus or retrovirus production and stable cell line generation

U87 and U251 stable cell lines ectopically expressing wild-type IDH1, R132C, or R132H variants, as well as HT1080 cells with stable IDH1 gene knockdown were created. For IDH1 knockdown, plKO.1 plasmids carrying either a nontarget or IDH1-specific shRNA sequences were purchased from Sigma. The IDH1-specific shRNA sequence was 5'-CCG GCC TGC TTG CAT TAA AGG TTT ACT CGA GTA AAC CTT TAA TGC AAG CAG CTT TTT-3'. The lentivirus was packaged using HEK 293T cells. Virus containing puromycin (1 μg/mL) was added to cell cultures.

Cell viability analysis

The MTS cell proliferation (Promega) and CCK-8 assays (Dojindo) were used to determine the number of viable cells according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cell viability was measured by optical absorbance on an Epoch plate reader (BioTek).

Flow cytometry

Cells were collected and fixed in ice-cold 75% ethanol overnight and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 minutes. Cell pellets were mixed with 200 μL ethanol, and RNA was removed by RNase treatment. Cellular DNA content was investigated by propidium iodide (PI) staining. Stained cells were analyzed on FACS Canto II (BD).

Apoptosis assay

Cell apoptosis was analyzed by an Annexin-V/7-AAD or Annexin-V/PI kit (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were collected and analyzed on FACS Canto II (BD) flow cytometer.

DNA fragmentation assay

Genomic DNA was isolated from cells using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). Fifty nanograms of DNA were resolved by electrophoresis on a 10% Novex TBE gel. The gel was probed with SYBR Safe DNA Gel stain and visualized on the Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Imaging System.

Immunoblotting

Total protein was isolated from cultured cells using RIPA lysis buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher). Cell lysates were sonicated and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 25 minutes. Protein content was determined using the BCA protein assay (Thermo Scientific). Protein extracts (25 μg/lane, for all tested proteins) were separated on NuPAGE 4% to 12% Bis-Tris minigels (Life Technologies) and transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore). Blots were probed with primary antibodies and visualized by chemiluminescence according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher). The primary antibodies used include: Anti-histone 1–4c antibodies were used according to the manufacturer's recommendation (CST), endostatin (Abcam), IDH1 (CST), IDH1 R132H (Dianova), PARP1 (CST), αADPR (Abcam), β-actin (Sigma), and FLAG M2-HRP (Sigma).

 Comet assay

The comet assay was performed as previously described (16). Cells were resuspended in 0.75% agarose and placed on glass slides. Cells were lysed in 1.2 mol/L NaCl, 100 mmol/L EDTA, 0.1% sodium lauryl sarcosinate, and 0.26 mol/L NaOH (pH > 13). Electrophoresis of the cells was performed at a voltage of 0.6 V/cm for 30 minutes. DNA was labeled with SYBR Safe DNA Gel stain and visualized under a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.

Seahorse metabolic assay

Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress assays were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Twenty thousand cells were seeded in a poly-D-lysine-coated 96-well plate. Cells were treated with oligomycin (1 μmol/L), FCCP (2 μmol/L), and antimycin/rotenone (0.5 μmol/L) sequentially. The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was recorded and calculated for baseline respiration and maximal respiration.

Determining 2-HG concentration

Intracellular 2-HG concentration was determined by either nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or colorimetric assay (BioVision). For NMR analysis, culture media were removed and cells were scraped in ice-cold acetonitrile (Sigma) and mixed with 2/3 volume of cold water. The total extract was evaporated in a Speed-Vac. Prior to analysis, the dried cell extract pellet was suspended in 0.75% agarose and placed on glass slides. Cells were collected and analyzed on FACS Canto II (BD).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (Version 6.05; GraphPad Software, Inc.). Data were displayed as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were conducted using One-way ANOVA or independent Student t test.

Results

IDH1-mutated glioma cells are sensitive to TMZ

Emerging clinical observations indicate remarkably different clinical outcomes in glioma patients depending on the IDH1 mutation status of their cancer. IDH mutations appear to be associated with a better response to chemotherapies such as TMZ (9, 10, 17). To better understand the molecular basis of
IDH-associated chemosensitivity, we established IDH1 mutant cells by stably expressing IDH1 mutants (IDH1R132C or IDH1R132H) in U87 MG or U251 MG cell lines. We found that IDH1 mutant cells were more vulnerable to TMZ treatment in vitro. Assessing cell viability under different doses of TMZ revealed that the dose-response curves were significantly shifted leftwards in mutant cells ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 1A). The IC$_{50}$ of TMZ was reduced in IDH1R132C and IDH1R132H cells (for U251 cells, IC$_{50}$ IDH1WT = 1,791 μmol/L; IC$_{50}$ IDH1R132C = 715.9 μmol/L; IC$_{50}$ IDH1R132H = 772.6 μmol/L), suggesting that a smaller dose of TMZ is sufficient to achieve cytotoxic effects in IDH mutant cells. TMZ-induced apoptosis was enhanced in IDH mutant cells, as Annexin-V–labeled cells were more abundant in IDH1R132H cells (Fig. 1B, Annexin-V+ cells IDH1WT = 22.5%; Annexin-V+ cells IDH1R132H = 34.2%). The enhanced chemosensitivity was further verified through PI staining followed by cell-cycle analyses (Fig. 1C). We demonstrated impaired cell-cycle progression with G2–M arrest in both IDH1WT and IDH1R132H cells treated with TMZ, which is consistent with the finding that TMZ induces G2–M arrest in glioma cells (18). Notably, the population of cells in G2–M arrest was markedly increased in IDH1 mutant cells (for U251 cells, G2–M IDH1WT = 26.6%; G2–M IDH1R132H = 56.8%), suggesting a greater incidence of failed cell-cycle progression in mutant cells during treatment. As a further validation of cellular apoptosis, we also investigated the dynamic change of TMZ-induced DNA fragmentation (Fig. 1D). TMZ treatment resulted in the accumulation of small molecular weight of fragmented DNA over a 4-day time course. The amount of fragmented DNA was increased by 2.21-fold in IDH1R132H cells, indicating an increased tendency for apoptosis in IDH1 mutant cells in the presence of TMZ.

Enhanced DNA damage in IDH1 mutant glioma cells

The increase in G2–M arrest is a common phenomenon when cells fail to proceed to the DNA damage checkpoint, suggesting that the chemosensitivity of IDH1 mutant cells is linked to their vulnerability to DNA damage. To test this hypothesis, we evaluated TMZ-induced DNA damage in IDH1 mutant cells by measuring γH2A.X (Fig. 2A). TMZ exposure resulted in a remarkable increase in γH2A.X expression in all cell lines. TMZ induced more robust expression of γH2A.X in IDH1R132C cells compared with wild type (0.89 AU and 1.84 AU for IDH1WT and IDH1R132C, respectively, by densitometry analysis). Interestingly, the baseline H2A.X phosphorylation in mutant cells was also elevated, indicating severe intrinsic dysfunction in DNA repair machinery (0.21 AU and 0.57 AU for IDH1WT and IDH1R132C, respectively). We confirmed DNA damage through in situ labeling of γH2A.X puncta in the nucleus (Fig. 2B). Consistent with previous findings, the baseline DNA damage was also elevated in mutant cells (0.0036 AU, 0.018 AU, and 0.032 AU for IDH1WT, IDH1R132C, and IDH1R132H, respectively). To better understand the integrity of genomic DNA in IDH1 mutant cells, we analyzed the proportion of fragmented DNA through comet assay (Fig. 2C). We confirmed the baseline DNA damage by increased fragmented DNA in...
IDH1<sup>R132C</sup> and IDH1<sup>R132H</sup> cells without any treatment, as shown by elongated comet tail under electric field. TMZ treatment resulted in significant DNA fragmentation, and the fragmented DNA is more abundant in IDH1<sup>R132C</sup> and IDH1<sup>R132H</sup> cells. Quantitative analysis confirmed more DNA damage occurred in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 2D).

**Metabolic reprogramming results in chemosensitivity in IDH1-mutated cells**

Mutations in IDH1 establish neomorphic catalytic activity, which redirects carbon metabolites away from the Kreb cycle toward 2-HG production (19, 20). Metabolic defects and reprogramming could be one of the causes of chemosensitivity. To test this, we studied the oxidative metabolism in IDH1 mutant cells through the Seahorse metabolic assay (Fig. 3A). The decrease in OCR in IDH1-mutated cells suggested significantly reduced oxidative metabolism. Quantitative analyses confirmed a significant decrease in both baseline and maximum capacity of oxidative metabolism in mutant cells (Fig. 3B and C). Moreover, we found a significant increase in intracellular 2-HG in mutant cells (Fig. 3D). The 2-HG production could be reversed by treatment with AGI-5198, a specific chemical inhibitor targeting the R132H variant of IDH1 enzyme (21). However, AGI-5198 did not result in obvious difference in TMZ-induced cytotoxicity in our assay (Supplementary Fig. S1). Taken together, our findings demonstrate that the capacity for oxidative metabolism is greatly limited in the presence of IDH1 mutation, suggesting a significant shift in energy metabolism in IDH1 mutant cells.

We then investigated the relationship between 2-HG production and cellular sensitivity to TMZ. To investigate whether 2-HG directly leads to chemosensitization for TMZ treatment, we analyzed cell viability in the presence of cell permeable dimethyl-2-HG by MTS assay (Fig. 3E). We found that the 2-HG levels correlated with their vulnerability to chemotherapy, as the introduction of 2-HG potentiated the cytotoxic effect of TMZ. With 500 μmol/L TMZ treatment, 2-HG reduced cell viability by 31.0% in U87 cells. Conversely, increasing oxidative metabolism by introducing α-KG reversed the cytotoxic effect of TMZ (Fig. 3F). Ten millimolar α-KG resulted in a 99.1% increase in cell viability after TMZ treatment. To further test the role of IDH1 mutation in chemosensitivity, we investigated the cell viability of HT-1080, a colon cancer cell line with an intrinsic IDH1<sup>R132H</sup> variant, with TMZ treatment (Fig. 3G to H). As expected, TMZ treatment resulted in decreased cell viability in a dose-dependent manner. The cytotoxic effect of TMZ was alleviated by reducing 2-HG production via shRNA targeting of IDH1. In sum, our findings suggested an important role of 2-HG–centered metabolic reprogramming in chemosensitization in IDH1 mutant cells.

**Dysfunction of PARP1 DNA repair pathway in IDH1 mutant cells**

In addition to alterations in cellular metabolism, the remarkably increased susceptibility for acquiring DNA damage in mutant cells suggested that the chemosensitivity may also be mitigated by defunct DNA repair mechanisms, such as base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair, mismatch repair, and/or DNA recombination. A seminal study indicated that the metabolic
Metabolic reprogramming results in chemosensitivity in IDH1 mutant cells. A, Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress analysis showed altered oxidative metabolism in IDH1 mutant cells (n = 4). B, Quantification of baseline oxidative metabolism in IDH1 mutant cells (n = 4; **, P < 0.01). C, Quantiﬁcation of maximum oxidative metabolism in IDH1 mutant cells (n = 4; ***, P < 0.001). D, Quantification of D-2-HG in IDH1 mutant cells. AGI-5198 treatment (1 μmol/L) was used to determine specific activity from IDH1 mutant enzyme (n = 3; **, P < 0.01). E, Cell viability assessment of U87 MG cells in the presence of TMZ and dimethyl-2-HG (n = 3). F, Cell viability measurement of HT-1080 cells in the presence of TMZ and dimethyl-a-KG (n = 3, **, P < 0.001 as compared with DMSO group; #, P < 0.05 as compared with TMZ group). G, Cell viability assessment of HT-1080 cells with stable expression of IDH1 shRNA (n = 3; **, P < 0.05 as compared with 0 μmol/L TMZ; ##, P < 0.01 as compared with scramble RNA). H, Quantitative PCR (top) and Western blot analysis (bottom) for IDH1 expression in HT-1080 cells (n = 3).

Figure 3.

PARP Defines Chemosensitivity in IDH1-Mutated Cell

defects in NAD⁺ productivity prompted the vulnerability of IDH1 mutant cells (22). NAD⁺ acts as a coenzyme in many redox reactions by donating ADP-ribose moieties to ADP-ribosylation reactions. Importantly, NAD⁺ is an essential donor molecule for PARP-mediated single strand DNA repair. Insufﬁcient NAD⁺ may disable PARP-associated DNA repair, which could be fatal for IDH1-mutated cells when confronting DNA damage. To test this hypothesis, we treated IDH1 mutant cells with TMZ and olaparib (Ola), a PARP inhibitor (23). DNA damage was assessed by γH2A.X staining (Fig. 4A). We found that TMZ-induced DNA damage was signiﬁcantly enhanced when PARP-associated DNA repair pathway was inhibited. Quantitative analysis conﬁrmed the remarkable enhancement in DNA damage (Fig. 4B). Consistent with this, the comet assay demonstrated a signiﬁcantly elongated tail formation in both IDH1WT and IDH1R132H cells, demonstrating that the fragmented DNA is more abundant in mutant cells in either TMZ treatment or combination treatment involving TMZ and Ola (Fig. 4C and D).

To better understand whether PARP-associated DNA repair is a key factor that deﬁnes the difference in chemosensitivity in IDH1 mutant cells, we measured the quantity of ADP-ribose-conjugated PARP (pADPR) through immunoblotting (Fig. 4E). The formation of pADPR is a posttranslational modiﬁcation on PARP, which serves as a key step for PARP-associated DNA repair (24). We found that TMZ treatment induced a 1.73-fold increase in pADPR in IDH1WT cells, suggesting a mobilization of the intrinsic DNA repair mechanisms during chemotherapy. Interestingly, the quantity of pADPR was found to be extensively reduced in untreated IDH1R132C and IDH1R132H cells (2.92 AU, 0.42 AU, and 0.61 AU for IDH1WT, IDH1R132C, and IDH1R132H, respectively). Moreover, TMZ treatment did not induce an obvious elevation in pADPR in mutant cells (5.05 AU, 0.01 AU, and 0.82 AU for IDH1WT, IDH1R132C, and IDH1R132H, respectively). As expected, Ola treatment depleted pADPR formation and completely abolished PARP-associated DNA repair, whereas the expression of PARP1 was found unchanged across all treatment groups. The signiﬁcant difference in the pADPR/PAR ratio suggested that although PARP DNA repair machinery was intact, DNA damage was more likely to accumulate due to lack of NAD⁺ as substrate for BER repair. Finally, we conﬁrmed this hypothesis by measuring changes in NAD⁺ in mutant cells (Fig. 4F and Supplementary Fig. S2). The total and nuclear NAD⁺ quantity was slightly lower in IDH1R132C and IDH1R132H cells without treatment. TMZ treatment reduced the quantity of NAD⁺, presumably a direct effect on pADPR formation and DNA repair. Interestingly, the NAD⁺ quantity was increased after washing out TMZ in the microenvironment, suggesting that active NAD⁺ synthesis provides substrate for BER DNA repair. The quantity of NAD⁺ was signiﬁcantly reduced in mutant cells after washing off TMZ, suggesting that the NAD⁺ deﬁciency is the key factor causing a malfunction of BER
repair (–32.6% and –25.7% in IDH1 R132C and IDH1 R132H, respectively).

Targeting the PARP DNA repair pathway enhanced cytotoxicity induced by chemotherapy

The significantly enhanced DNA damage in IDH1 mutant cells that occurs as a consequence of inhibiting the PARP-associated DNA repair pathway suggested that a combination therapy could be more effective than current chemotherapy options, potentially generating a better tumor-inhibiting effect but at a lower dosage of chemotherapy. To better understand the potentiation effect of Ola in IDH1 glioma, we performed cell viability assays with combination therapy involving TMZ and Ola. We found that Ola potently enhanced the cytotoxic effect of TMZ regardless of the IDH1 mutation status (Fig. 5A), as the dose-response curves were shifted leftward in all genotypes. For clarity, we also showed that although cell viability was affected by a TMZ dose of 1 mmol/L, the addition of Ola resulted in remarkable potentiation of cell death at the same dose of TMZ (Supplementary Fig. S3). Consistent with the findings above, the IC50 of TMZ was significantly smaller for IDH1 R132C and IDH1 R132H cells (Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, the potentiation of TMZ cytotoxicity was confirmed by Annexin-V/PI apoptosis analysis (Fig. 5B). Similar to previous findings, quantitative analysis showed that TMZ-induced more apoptosis in IDH1 mutant cells. The population of apoptotic cells was significantly increased in both cell lines with the presence of Ola; however, IDH1 mutation predicted a more extensive cellular apoptosis (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

In the present study, we confirmed that pathogenic IDH1 mutations result in metabolic reprogramming and compromised oxidative metabolism. Production of 2-HG by the mutant enzyme activity confers a vulnerability to TMZ treatment. Furthermore, the compromised oxidative metabolism and decreased NAD+ pool in IDH1-mutated cells impairs the PARP-dependent DNA repair mechanism, resulting in substantially elevated DNA damage and cell death. As a consequence of these molecular changes, IDH1-mutated cells are sensitized to therapies such as TMZ and Ola (Fig. 6). TMZ treatment in tandem with DNA repair inhibitor is a promising therapeutic strategy for future gliomas therapies.

IDH mutation and chemosensitivity

Emerging studies have demonstrated the increase in chemosensitivity in IDH mutant glioma (7). Although the prognostic value of an IDH mutation in glioma has been widely accepted, little is known about the unique molecular features that define the chemosensitivity in IDH1 mutant cells. In the present study, we established cell line models by introducing IDH1 mutation in a glioma biologic background. Our cell lines recapitulate the molecular signatures of IDH1 mutant gliomas, such as expression of mutant enzyme, 2-HG production, as well as an increase in histone 3 methylation (Supplementary Fig. S4). Moreover, we confirmed that IDH1 mutant cells are more vulnerable to TMZ treatment, which replicated the clinically observed increased

Figure 4.

Dysfunction of the PARP1 DNA repair pathway in IDH1 mutant cells. A, Immunostaining of γH2A.X in IDH1 mutant cells treated with TMZ and Ola (Bar, 10 μm). B, Quantification of γH2A.X puncta in IDH1 mutant cells treated with TMZ and Ola (n = 3; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01). C, Comet assay measuring DNA fragmentation in IDH1 mutant cells treated with TMZ and Ola. D, Quantification of DNA fragmentation in IDH1 mutant cells. E, Western blot analysis of pADPR, PARP, and β-actin expression in IDH1 mutant cells treated with TMZ and Ola. F, Quantification of NAD+ in IDH1 mutant cells treated with TMZ (n = 3; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01).
chemosensitivity in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 1A). In addition, analysis of apoptosis confirmed increased sensitivity to TMZ in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 1B to D). These findings suggested that the IDH mutant cell line models can be valuable and reliable tools to understand the molecular and cellular responses to TMZ chemotherapy. We believe that our models are more suitable than several recently developed cell lines from individual patient with intrinsic IDH1 mutation. Because we introduced IDH1 mutants to cell lines with the same genetic background, as such the bias from genomic/transcriptomic variation is extensively reduced.

To validate the observed increase in chemosensitivity, we carefully measured DNA damage in IDH1 mutant cells by measuring γH2AX as well as DNA fragmentation (Fig. 2). TMZ treatment introduced significant DNA damage in our cell line models. Consistent with the changes in cell viability and fraction of apoptotic cells, we demonstrated greater DNA damage in IDH1-mutated cells compared with their wild-type counterpart. Interestingly, we found an accumulation of DNA damage in untreated IDH1-mutated cells, suggesting elevated basal level of DNA damage resulted from the metabolic deficiencies. Presumably, the intrinsic DNA repair mechanism limits the baseline DNA damage to a certain level, allowing the cells to survive and proliferate. In this case, mutant cells are more likely to suffer additional DNA damage with chemotherapy, when DNA repair mechanisms are compromised.

Metabolic defects in IDH-mutated cells confer cytotoxicity

Three distinct IDH enzymes, IDH1, IDH2, and IDH3, have been found in mammalian cells (25, 26). All three enzymes catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α-KG. In contrast to IDH3, which is a heterotrimeric enzyme that uses NAD⁺ as a cofactor for its enzymatic reaction, IDH1 and 2 are homodimeric enzyme complexes and use NADP⁺ as cofactor to produce α-KG (26). Currently, only IDH1 and IDH2 mutations have been found in glioma cells. Neomorphic changes in IDH redirect carbon-based metabolites into unusable 2-HG (3, 20, 27). Metabolite deprivation leads to reprogramming of the Kreb’s cycle by altering glutamine utilization and pyruvate metabolism (27, 28). The adjustment in cellular metabolism may limit physiologically important metabolic routes, such as ATP generation and macromolecule synthetic pathways, and therefore result in the increased vulnerability of IDH1 mutant cells. In the present study, we confirmed impaired oxidative metabolism (Fig. 3A to C) as well as robust production of 2-HG (Fig. 3D) in mutant cells. Moreover, introducing 2-HG to native U87 cells mimics the introduction of IDH1 mutant enzyme, as shown by increased cell death and chemosensitivity (Fig. 3E). Furthermore, in HT-1080 cells, the TMZ chemosensitivity could be salvaged by enhancing cellular metabolism (Fig. 3F) or reducing IDH1 biological activity (Fig. 3G to I). Taken together, our findings demonstrate reduced oxidative metabolism and 2-HG production.
lead to chemotherapy sensitization. Our finding also indicated that relieving the metabolic stress in IDH1-mutated cancer may prompt chemoresistance by enhancement in cellular metabolism. Indeed, Molenaar and colleagues have reported that targeting IDH1 mutation confers radioprotection (29). The contradictory therapeutic mechanisms between genotoxic agent and metabolic modulator should be considered in the future drug design and therapeutic strategies.

The importance of NAD\(^+\) in chemosensitivity in IDH1-mutated glioma

Mammalian cells develop DNA repair machinery that could be of great importance in maintaining genomic integrity. In cancer therapies, DNA repair pathways sometimes are taken advantaged to fix DNA damage sites introduced by chemotherapy. IDH1-mutated gliomas exhibit unique pattern in DNA repair enzymes. For example, ATRX/RAD54 is frequently mutated in IDH1-mutated glioma (30), whereas other DNA repair enzymes, such as ATM, CHEK1, CHEK2, and RAD52, are amplified in the genome of IDH1-mutated glioma (Supplementary Fig. S5). Several studies shed light on the changes in DNA repair mechanism in IDH1-mutated cells, including RAD51 and ATM pathways (14, 15). In-depth study is encouraged to elucidate the unique pattern in DNA repair mechanisms in IDH1-mutated glioma, and therefore better guide drug discovery and cancer therapy. The question whether DNA repair mechanism is the key factor that determines in the chemosensitivity in IDH1-mutated cells remains unanswered. A seminal study by Tateishi and colleagues highlighted the essential role of NAD\(^+\) in IDH1-mutated glioma, suggesting that impairment of NAD\(^+\) synthesis could be one of the key metabolic defects in IDH1 mutant cells (22). In metabolic intact cells, the NAD concentrations in each of the subcellular compartment are steadily maintained (31). IDH1 mutant depletes NAD in all subcellular compartment due to inhibition of Naprt1 activity. Targeting the damaged NAD synthetic pathway by Nampt inhibitors FK866 or GMX1778 further limits the availability of NAD (22). In additional to the many important roles in cellular metabolism and redox balance, NAD\(^+\) plays a key role in BER pathway through PARP (24). Indeed, our results confirmed that PARP1 is essential for DNA repair during TMZ treatment, as chemical inhibition of PARP1 through Ola significantly potentiated TMZ-induced DNA damage (Fig. 4A–C). Interestingly, we observed a significant reduction in NAD\(^+\) in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 4F), which confirmed that the limited DNA repair capacity in IDH1 mutant cells is caused by insufficient substrate for the biological function of PARP. In addition, we observed pADPR, the intermediate molecule that represents active PARP DNA repair, is depleted in IDH1 mutant cells (Fig. 4D). TMZ treatment led to an induction of pADPR formation in cells with wild-type IDH1, but not the mutant cells, suggesting a significantly reduced DNA repair mechanism in IDH1 mutant cells. Interestingly, the amount of total PARP remained stable within all the treatments, suggesting the vulnerability based on functional ablation of DNA repair, but not changes in the level of expression. Notably, several recent findings showed PARP inhibition further damages energy metabolism, which may exert synergistic effect with genotoxic agents (32, 33). In summary, our findings extend the current understanding of the molecular mechanisms that determine the vulnerability to NAD\(^+\) depletion in IDH1-mutated gliomas, through compromised PARP-associated DNA repair.

Combined treatment of TMZ and Ola is a promising therapeutic strategy for IDH1-mutated gliomas

Radiotherapy with daily TMZ followed by adjuvant TMZ is the current standard care for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. Compared with radiotherapy alone, additional TMZ chemotherapy extends median survival from 12.1 to 14.6 months (34, 35). Despite the clear statistical improvement of this regimen compared with radiotherapy alone, less than one third of the patients survive beyond 2 years. A phase III study in patients with grade II glioma provided the level 1 evidence that radiotherapy plus chemotherapy should be considered a new standard of care for patients who had subtotal resection and older than 40 years old (36). Although patients with low-grade glioma survive longer...
following radiotherapy plus chemotherapy compared with those who receive radiotherapy only, the current standard care has not prevented the lower grade tumor from going through higher grade transformation. Despite the fact that patients with IDH-mutated glioma have a better prognosis compared with those whose tumors lack this mutation, a fatal clinical outcome is seen in nearly all patients with IDH-mutated lower grade glioma. Therefore, developing more effective therapies remains an important priority for patients with even lower grade gliomas.

As an orally available alkylating agent, TMZ has been widely used in lower grade glioma. Our study has provided the preclinical evidence that combined treatment with TMZ and Olaparib is more effective in against IDH-mutated glioma compared with the IDH wild-type tumors. IDH-mutated tumor exhibited deficiency in NAD+ production and pAPDR production, whereas greatly limited the PARP DNA repair pathway (Fig. 4E and F). The addition of Olaparib, an inhibitor of PARP, targeting a “selective vulnerability” of IDH-mutated tumors, further limiting DNA repair, leads to more DNA damage and cell death (Fig. 5).

The synergistic effects between TMZ and Olaparib in patients with the IDH mutation provide the possibility to achieve better cytotoxic effect with less amount of alkylating agent, which leads to the reduced toxicities and improved patients’ quality of life (37, 38). The findings from our study provide the foundation for a hypothesis-driven clinical therapeutic study of the clinical efficacy of the combined treatment of TMZ and Olaparib in IDH-mutated glioma, prospectively investigating IDH mutation status as a predictive marker for this combined therapy.
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